On local integrability conditions for nowhere-zero complex vector fields

By

Haruki NINOMIYA

§1. Introduction

Let X be a nowhere-zero complex vector field, with C^{∞} coefficients, in an open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We shall say that X is *locally integrable* at a point P in Ω if the homogeneous equation

(1.1) Xu = 0

has C^1 solutions $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$ in a neighborhood U of P such that $du_1 \wedge du_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_n \neq 0$ in U (cf. Lewy [5], Treves [12] and Jacobowitz-Treves [4]). When X is locally integrable at every point in Ω , we shall say that X is locally integrable in Ω . It is evident that X is locally integrable in Ω if $X = \overline{X}$ or X is real analytic in Ω . But Nirenberg [9] gave a vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 which is not locally integrable at the origin; he proved that the equation

$$\partial u/\partial t + it(1 + t\phi(t, x))\partial u/\partial x = 0$$

admits the only constant C^1 solutions in every neighborhood of the origin where $\phi(t, x)$ is realvalued, even with respect to t and satisfies certain elaborate conditions. We note that $\partial/\partial t + it(1 + t\phi(t, x))\partial/\partial x$ is a non-solvable operator. Now, we may assume that X locally takes the following form:

$$X = \partial/\partial t + i \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{j}(t, \chi) \partial/\partial x_{j}, \qquad \chi = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

where all the $a^{j}(t, \chi)$ are realvalued. Then, it is said that X satisfies the solvability condition (\mathscr{P}) at P if there exists a neighborhood ω such that for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and every $\chi_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the function $t \to \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{j}(t, \chi_{0})\xi_{j}$ does not change sign in the set $\{t \in \mathbb{R}^{1}; (t, \chi_{0}) \in \omega\}$. From Treves [13], it follows that X is *locally integrable* at P if X satisfies (\mathscr{P}) at P. Considering these results, particularly we are concerned with the non-solvable vector fields in \mathbb{R}^{2} of the following form:

 $\partial/\partial t + ia(t, x)\partial/\partial x$

Received Feb. 25, 1992

Communicated by Prof. N. Iwasaki

where a(t, x) is a realvalued C^{∞} function having the property: ta(t, x) > 0 for $t \neq 0$. Throughout this paper the operator $\partial/\partial t + ia(t, x)\partial/\partial x$ having this property shall be denoted by L. It becomes into the subject whether L is *locally integrable* at a point on the x axis. There are a few results on *local integrability* of L ([8], [9], [10] and [12], for example). Now we shall state our results. First, we set the following definition:

 $f_{odd}(t, x) = the \ odd \ part \ of \ f(t, x)$ with respect to t and

 $f_{even}(t, x) = the even part of f(t, x)$ with respect to t for a function f(t, x).

A domain D in $\{(t, x); t \in R, x \in R\}$ is called a flag domain if $D \subset \{(t, x); t > 0\}$ and ∂D is a simple closed curve such that $\partial D \cap \{(t, x); t = 0\}$ is a line with positive length.

Now, as a necessary condition for L to be *locally integrable*, we get the following

Theorem A. If, for every neighborhood U of a point P on the x axis, there is a flag domain D in U such that either $\partial [D \cap \{a_{even}(t, x) > 0\}]$ constituting of a finite number of rectifiable Jordan curves or $\partial [D \cap \{a_{even}(t, x) < 0\}]$ constituting of a finite number of rectifiable Jordan curves is included in D, then L is not locally integrable at P.

Namely, it is necessary that there exists a neighborhood U of P such that no flag domain D in U satisfies that either $\partial [D \cap \{a_{even}(t, x) > 0\}]$ constituting of a finite number of rectifiable Jordan curves or $\partial [D \cap \{a_{even}(t, x) < 0\}]$ constituting of a finite number of rectifiable Jordan curves is included in D.

We see that Nirenberg's example does not satisfy this necessary condition.

We note that the condition above is not a sufficient one; because the following theorem holds: let c be a positive constant and both of $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ (n = 1, 2, ...) positive sequences decreasing to 0 such that $a_n > b_n > a_{n+1}$ for every $n \in N$. Let both of $\{a'_n\}$ and $\{b'_n\}$ (n = 1, 2, ...) be negative sequences increasing to 0 such that $a'_n < b'_n < a'_{n+1}$ for every $n \in N$. Then we set V_n , W_n , V'_n and W'_n $(n \in N)$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} V_1 &= \{(t, x); \, 0 < t < c, \, b_1 \leq x - x_0 < a_1 \} \,, \\ V_k &= \{(t, x); \, 0 < t < c, \, b_k \leq x - x_0 \leq a_k \} \qquad (k = 2, 3, \ldots) \,, \\ W_j &= \{(t, x); \, 0 < t < c, \, a_{j+1} < x - x_0 < b_j \} \qquad (j = 1, 2, \ldots) \,, \\ V_1' &= \{(t, x); \, 0 < t < c, \, b_1' \geq x - x_0 > a_1' \} \,, \\ V_k' &= \{(t, x); \, 0 < t < c, \, b_k' \geq x - x_0 \geq a_k' \} \qquad (k = 2, 3, \ldots) \,, \\ W_j' &= \{(t, x); \, 0 < t < c, \, a_{j+1}' > x - x_0 > b_j' \} \qquad (j = 1, 2, \ldots) \,. \end{split}$$

Theorem B. Assume that $a_{even}(t, x)$ is nonnegative. If $a_{even}(t, x) \equiv 0$ in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j \cup V'_j$ and $a_{even}(t, x) > 0$ in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} W_j \cup W'_j$, provided that at least one of

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\iint_{\mathbf{w}_n} a_{\mathsf{even}}(t, x) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}x}{b_n - a_{n+1}}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\int\int_{\mathbf{W}'_n}a_{\mathsf{even}}(t,x)\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}x}{a'_{n+1}-b'_n}$$

is a positive constant, then L is not locally integrable at $P(0, x_0)$.

One can easily check that there is a neighborhood U of P such that no flag domain in U satisfies the condition of Theorem A under the assumption of Theorem B.

From the facts above, we know that the form of existence of supp a_{even} affects the local integrability of L; in case of non existence of supp a_{even} , we have an affirmative result (cf. [7], [8]):

Theorem C. Assume that $a_{even}(t, x) \equiv 0$. Then L is locally integrable at every point on the x axis.

Furthermore we obtain the following

Theorem D. Let $P(0, x_0)$ be a point on the x axis and $\beta(t, x)$

 $\{1-\alpha(t,x)\}/\{1+\alpha(t,x)\} \text{ where } \alpha(t,x) \equiv 1+i\int_{0}^{t}a_{x}(s,x)\mathrm{d}s.$

Assume that $\beta(t, x)$ can be extended as a \overline{C}^0 function $\tilde{\beta}(t_1, x_1)$ which is defined in a neighborhood U_0 of the origin where

$$t_1 = \int_0^t a(s, x) ds$$
 and $x_1 = x - x_0$.

Moreover, assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\sup |\tilde{\beta}(t_1, x_1)| < 1.$
- (ii) $\sup |\tilde{\beta}(t_1, x_1)| C_p < 1$

where p is a fixed exponent such that p > 2 and C_p stands for a positive constant satisfying

$$||Tg||_{p} \leq C_{p} ||g||_{p} \quad for \; \forall g \in L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$$

where

$$Tg(z) \equiv (2\pi i)^{-1} \int \{g(\zeta) - g(z)\} / [(\zeta - z)^{-2}] d\zeta d\overline{\zeta} \qquad and$$
$$z = t_1 + ix_1.$$

(iii) $\tilde{\beta}(t_1, x_1)$ has a distributional derivative $\tilde{\beta}_z(t_1, x_1) \in L_p$. Then, L is locally integrable at P.

We remark that, when $a_{add}(t, x)$ vanishes of finite order, Theorem C follows also from Theorem D.

We note that, generally, for every point P on the x axis there exist a neighborhood U of P and a function $u \in C^1(U \cap \{t \ge 0\})$ such that Lu = 0 and $du \ne 0$ in $U \cap \{t \ge 0\}$.

Finally, we shall refer to existence of a certain relation between *local solvability* and *local integrability*; it does not seem that both of them have a relation each other. But, we claim that there exists a certain connection under the assumption that solutions mean C^{∞} : for simplicity, let X be a nowhere-zero complex vector field in R^2 . Setting $X = \partial/\partial x_1 + b(x_1, x_2)\partial/\partial x_2$, we see that X is *locally integrable* at a point P if and only if the inhomogeneous equation $Xu = b_{x_1}$ has a solution in a neighborhood of P (see Ninomiya [7], Hörmander [3], and Treves [12] and [14]). Differently from two dimensional case, the situation in case of three more dimension vector fields X becomes more complicated and we shall find that there is a certain link between *local solvability* and *local integrability*.

§2. Proof of Theorem A

Assume that L is locally integrable at P. We use the method of Nirenberg [9]. Let u_1 be a C^1 solution of $Lu_1 = 0$ in a neighborhood U of P(0, x_0) such that $du_1 \neq 0$. Then, $(\partial u_1/\partial x)(0, x_0) \neq 0$. Let θ_0 be $\operatorname{Arg}(\partial u_1/\partial x)(0, x_0)$ and c a constant such that $0 < c < \pi/2$. Set $u = e^{i(c-\theta_0)}u_1$. Then, u is a C^1 solution of Lu = 0 in U such that both of Re $\partial u/\partial x$ and Im $\partial u/\partial x$ are positive at P. Therefore we may assume that both of Re $\partial u/\partial x$ and Im $\partial u/\partial x$ are positive in U, contracting U if necessary.

Then, we may suppose that there exists a flag domain D in U such that $\partial [D \cap \{a_{even}(t, x) > 0\}]$ constituting of a finite number of rectifiable Jordan curves is included in D.

Furthermore we can assume that $D \cap \{a_{even}(t, x) > 0\}$ is an open set ω obtained by removing a finite number of simply connected domains or multiply connected domains that are disjoint each other from a simply connected domain Ω surrounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve.

Now, from Lu = 0, we have

(2.1)
$$\partial u_{\text{odd}}/\partial t + ia_{\text{odd}}(t, x)\partial u_{\text{odd}}/\partial x = -ia_{\text{even}}(t, x)\partial u_{\text{even}}/\partial x$$
 in U.

Hence, it follows that

(2.2)
$$\partial u_{\text{odd}}/\partial t + ia_{\text{odd}}(t, x)\partial u_{\text{odd}}/\partial x = 0$$
 in $D \cap \Omega^c$.

By our assumption ta(t, x) > 0 for $t \neq 0$, we see that $a_{odd}(t, x) > 0$ for t > 0.

Now we note that $u_{odd}(0, x) \equiv 0$. Therefore, applying uniqueness theorem (Ninomiya [6], Strauss-Treves [11] or Zuily [16]) to (2.2), we see that $u_{odd}(t, x)$ vanishes identically in $D \cap \Omega^c$.

Now, we have the following

Theorem 2.1 (Ninomiya [7]). Assume that b(t, x) is realvalued C^2 , odd with respect to t and positive for t > 0. Then, there exists a C^1 solution v = v(t, x) of

(2.3)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + ib(t, x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

in a neighborhood of every point on the x axis such that $\partial v/\partial x \neq 0$.

This proof will be given in the appendix. From Theorem 2.1, the equation

(2.4)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + ia_{\text{odd}}(t, x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

has a C^1 solution v = v(t, x) in a neighborhood of P such that $\partial v/\partial x \neq 0$. Then, we can assume that, from the beginning, v satisfies (2.4) in U and that both of Re $\partial v/\partial x$ and Im $\partial v/\partial x$ are positive in U. Then, from (2.1), we have

$$(2.5) \quad (\partial v/\partial x) \{ \partial u_{\text{odd}}/\partial t + ia_{\text{odd}}(t, x) \partial_{\text{odd}}/\partial x \} = (\partial v/\partial x) \{ -ia_{\text{even}}(t, x) \partial u_{\text{even}}/\partial x \}$$

in U. Hence we have

(2.6)
$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial v/\partial x) \{ \partial u_{\rm odd}/\partial t + i a_{\rm odd}(t, x) \partial u_{\rm odd}/\partial x \} dt dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \left\{ -i a_{\text{even}}(t, x) \frac{\partial u_{\text{even}}}{\partial x} \right\} dt dx .$$

One can easily verify that the lefthand side of (2.6) =

$$\int_{\Omega} d\{u_{odd} dv\} = 0 \qquad \text{because of } u_{odd} \equiv 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega .$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial v/\partial x) \{a_{\text{even}}(t, x) \partial u_{\text{even}}/\partial x\} dt dx = 0.$$

But this contradicts the fact that

$$\operatorname{Im} \left[(\partial v/\partial x) \{ a_{\text{even}} \partial u_{\text{even}} / \partial x \} \right]$$

= $a_{\text{even}}(t, x) \cdot \{ \operatorname{Re} \partial u_{\text{even}} / \partial x \cdot \operatorname{Im} \partial v / \partial x + \operatorname{Im} \partial u_{\text{even}} / \partial x \cdot \operatorname{Re} \partial v / \partial x \}$

is positive in $\omega \subset \Omega$. Q.E.D.

§3. Proof of Theorem B

Assume that the contrary holds. Then we can assume that there exist a neighborhood U of P and C^1 functions u and v such that

$$Lu=0.$$

(3.2)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + i a_{\text{odd}}(t, x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$
.

Haruki Ninomiya

(3.3) Re $\partial u_{\text{even}}/\partial x$, Im $\partial u_{\text{even}}/\partial x$, Re $\partial v/\partial x$ and Im $\partial v/\partial x$ are positive in U.

(3.4)
$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \cup V'_n \cup W_n \cup W'_n \subset U.$$

By the same way as the previous section, we can conclude that u_{odd} vanishes identically in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \cup V'_n$. And by the same way as the previous section, we have

(3.5)
$$\iint_{\mathbf{W}_{n}} d\{u_{\text{odd}} dv\} = \iint_{\mathbf{W}_{n}} (\partial v / \partial x) \{-i a_{\text{even}} \partial u_{\text{even}} / \partial x\} dt dx$$

and

(3.6)
$$\iint_{\mathbf{W}'_{n}} d\{u_{\text{odd}} dv\} = \iint_{\mathbf{W}'_{n}} (\partial v / \partial x) \{-i a_{\text{even}} \partial u_{\text{even}} / \partial x\} dt dx \qquad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Therefore, from $u_{odd} = 0$ on $\partial W_n \setminus \{t = c\} \cup \partial W'_n \setminus \{t = c\}$ for every $n \in N$, we obtain

(3.7)
$$\left| \int_{a_{n+1}}^{b_n} u_{\text{odd}}(c, x) (\partial v(c, x) / \partial x) dx \right| = \left| \iint_{\mathbf{W}_n} (\partial v / \partial x) \{ a_{\text{even}} \partial u_{\text{even}} / \partial x \} dt dx \right|$$

and

(3.8)
$$\left|\int_{b'_{n}}^{a'_{n+1}} u_{\text{odd}}(c, x)(\partial v(c, x)/\partial x)dx\right| = \left|\iint_{W'_{n}} (\partial v/\partial x) \{a_{\text{even}} \partial u_{\text{even}}/\partial x\} dt dx\right|.$$

Hence there exist suitable positive constants M and m such that

(3.9)
$$M\alpha_n(b_n - a_{n+1}) \ge m \iint_{W_n} a_{even}(t, x) dt dx$$

and

(3.10)
$$M\alpha'_n(a'_{n+1} - b'_n) \ge m \iint_{W'_n} a_{\text{even}}(t, x) dt dx$$

where

$$\alpha_n \equiv \max_{a_{n+1} \leq x \leq b_n} |u_{\text{odd}}(c, x)|$$

and

$$\alpha'_n \equiv \max_{\substack{b'_n \leq x \leq a'_{n+1}}} |u_{\text{odd}}(c, x)|.$$

As the other case can be also shown, we suppose

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\iint_{\mathbf{w}_n}a_{\mathrm{even}}(t,\,x)\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}x}{b_n-a_{n+1}}\equiv K>0\,.$$

Then, from (3.9) and $\lim \alpha_n = 0$, we obtain:

$$0 \ge mK > 0$$
.

This is absurd. Q.E.D.

Appendix

We shall prove Theorem 2.1. First, we easily see that the following lemma holds:

Lemma 4.1. Let A(t, x) be a realvalued C^2 function such that $A(t, x) \ge 0$ for $t \ge 0$. Then, there exist a neighborhood U(P) of P and a positive constant C such that

(i)
$$|A_x(t, x)| \leq C \sqrt{A(t, x)};$$

(ii) $\left| \int_{t'}^t A_x(s, x) ds \right| \leq C \left| \int_{t'}^t A(s, x) ds \right|^{1/2}$ in $U(P)_+ \equiv U(P) \cap \{t \geq 0\}.$

Next, let us consider a mapping $(t, x) \xrightarrow{F} (t_1, x_1)$ defined by

$$t_1 = \int_0^t b(s, x) ds$$
 and $x_1 = x - x_0$, provided $t \ge 0$.

F gives a homeomorphism from $U(P)_+$ onto $F(U(P)_+)$; t is expressed as $t = t(t_1, x_1)$. Let a function $c(t_1, x_1)$ defined in $F(U(P)_+)$ be

$$c(t_1, x_1) = \int_0^t ib_x(s, x)ds + 1$$

= $i \int_0^{t(t_1, x_1)} b_x(s, x_1 + x_0)ds + 1$.

Next we set $C(t_1, x_1) = c(|t_1|, x_1)$; $C(t_1, x_1)$ is defined in a neighborhood of the origin. Then we have the following

Lemma 4.2 (Ninomiya [6]). There exists a neighborhood V of the origin such that $C(t_1, x_1) \in C^{1/2}(V)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2 ([6]). It holds that

$$\int_0^t b_x(s, x) ds - \int_0^{t'} b_x(s, x') ds = \int_{t'}^t b_x(s, x) ds + \int_0^t \{b_x(s, x) - b_x(s, x')\} ds.$$

Let t and t' be nonnegative. By virtue of Lemma 4.1, taking a smaller neighborhood U(P) of P if necessary, we have

$$\left| \int_{0}^{t} b_{x}(s, x) ds - \int_{0}^{t'} b_{x}(s, x') ds \right| \leq C_{1} \left| \int_{t'}^{t} b(s, x) ds \right|^{1/2} + \int_{0}^{t'} |b_{x}(s, x) - b_{x}(s, x')| ds$$
$$\leq C_{1} \left\{ \left| \int_{0}^{t} b(s, x) ds - \int_{0}^{t'} b(s, x') ds \right| + C_{2}t' |x - x'| \right\}^{1/2} + C_{3}t' |x - x'|$$

Haruki Ninomiya

$$\leq C_{4} \left\{ \left| \int_{0}^{t} b(s, x) \mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t'} b(s, x') \mathrm{d}s \right| + |x - x'| \right\}^{1/2}$$

in $U(P)_+$ where C_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) denote positive constants. Let (t_1, x_1) and (t'_1, x'_1) be in $F(U(P)_+)$. Then, we have

$$|C(t_1, x_1) - C(t_1', x_1')| \le C_4 \{|t_1 - t_1'| + |x_1 - x_1'|\}^{1/2}$$

in $F(U(P)_+)$. From this, it clearly follows that

$$C(t_1, x_1) \in C^{1/2}(V)$$

where $V = F(U(P)_+) \cup \{(t_1, x_1); (-t_1, x_1) \in F(U(P)_+)\}$.

By virtue of Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following

Theorem 4.3. There exists a $C^{1+1/2}(V_0)$ solution $z = z(t_1, x_1)$ of

 $i\partial z/\partial x_1 + C(t_1, x_1)\partial z/\partial t_1 = 0$

with $dz \neq 0$ in a neighborhood V_0 of the origin.

Theorem 4.3 follows from a classical result on the Beltrami equation. Now, let us define a function h = h(t, x) by

$$h(t, x) = z\left(\int_0^t b(s, x) \mathrm{d}s, x - x_0\right).$$

Let (t, x) be in $F^{-1}(V_{0_{+}})$ where $V_{0_{+}} = V_0 \cap \{t_1 \ge 0\}$. Then,

$$h_t(t, x) = b(t, x)z_{t_1}(t_1, x_1)$$

and

$$h_x(t, x) = z_{t_1}(t_1, x_1) \int_0^t b_x(s, x) ds + z_{x_1}(t_1, x_1).$$

Hence it follows that

$$Ah(t, x) \equiv \partial h/\partial t + ib(t, x)\partial h/\partial x$$

= $b(t, x) \bigg[iz_{x_1}(t_1, x_1) + \bigg\{ \int_0^t ib_x(s, x)ds + 1 \bigg\} z_{t_1}(t_1, x_1) \bigg]$
= $b(t, x) [iz_{x_1}(t_1, x_1) + c(t_1, x_1)z_{t_1}(t_1, x_1)]$
= $b(t, x) [i\partial z/\partial x_1 + C(t_1, x_1)\partial z/\partial t_1] = 0$.

Finally, let us define a function u = u(t, x) by u = h(|t|, x). We can easily verify that Au(t, x) = 0 and $du \neq 0$ in a neighborhood $F^{-1}(V_{0_+}) \cup F^{-1}(V_{0_+})_-$ of P where $F^{-1}(V_{0_+})_- = \{(t, x); (-t, x) \in F^{-1}(V_{0_+})\}$. Q.E.D.

Remark 1. From the proof above the following is easily known: for every point P on the x axis, there are a neighborhood U(P) of P and a function $u \in C^1(U(P)_+)$ such that Lu = 0 and $du \neq 0$ in $U(P)_+$.

Remark 2. From Ahlfors [1], we see that the following theorem holds:

Theorem. There exists a C^1 solution $v = v(t_1, x_1)$ satisfying the Beltrami equation

$$\partial \bar{v} / \partial z = \mu \partial v / \partial z$$
 (z = t₁ + ix₁)

in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $|\partial v/\partial \bar{z}|^2 - |\partial v/\partial z|^2 > 0$ under the following assumptions:

(i) μ is a measurable function with $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leq k < 1$.

(ii) p is a fixed exponent such that 2 < p and $kC_p < 1$ where C_p is a constant stated in Theorem D.

(iii) μ has a distributional derivative μ_z such that $\mu_z \in L_p$.

Using this theorem, Theorem D is proved as follows: the assumptions of Theorem D admit an application of the theorem above to conclude that the equation

$$\partial v/\partial \bar{z} - \tilde{\beta}(t_1, x_1) \partial v/\partial z = 0$$

has a C^1 solution v in a neighborhood $U_1(\subset U_0)$ of the origin such that

 $|\partial v/\partial \bar{z}|^2 - |\partial v/\partial z|^2 > 0.$

Then we shall define u = u(t, x) by

$$u(t, x) = v\left(\int_0^t a(s, x) \mathrm{d}s, x - x_0\right).$$

Then it holds that, in a neighborhood of P,

$$Lu(t, x) = a(t, x)(1 + \alpha)(\partial v/\partial \bar{z} - \beta \partial v/\partial z) = 0$$
 with $\partial u/\partial x \neq 0$.

Theorem D is thus proved.

Remark 3. As is already stated, one can verify that the assumption of Theorem D is satisfied when $a_{even} \equiv 0$ and $a_{odd}(t, x)$ vanishes of finite order on t = 0. Naturally Nirenberg's example does not satisfy the assumption of Theorem D; in more details, we can verify that the condition that $\beta(t, x)$ is extended as a continuous function of t_1 and of x_1 in a neighborhood of the origin is violated.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OSAKA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

References

- [1] L. Ahlfors, Lectures on quasiconformal mappings, Van Nostrand, 1966.
- [2] R. Beals-C. Fefferman, On local solvability of linear partial differential equations, Ann. of Math., 97 (1973), 482-498.

Haruki Ninomiya

- [3] L. Hörmander, Propagation of singularities and semi-global existence theorems for (pseudo)differential operators of principal type, Ann. Math., 108 (1978), 569-609.
- [4] H. Jacobowitz-F. Treves, Non-Realizable CR Structures, Invent. Math., 66 (1982), 231-249.
- [5] H. Lewy, On the local character of the solutions of an atypical linear partial differential equation in three variables, Ann. of Math., 64 (1956), 514-522.
- [6] H. Ninomiya, Some Remarks on the Uniqueness in the Cauchy Problem for a First Order Partial Differential Equation in Two Variables, Memo. Osaka Inst. Tech., Series A Sci. & Tech., 19-2 (1975), 83-92.
- [7] H. Ninomiya, On Existence of Independent Solutions of First-Order Partial Differential Equations in Two Variables, Memo. Osaka Inst. Tech., Series A Sci. & Tech., 19-3 (1975), 133-142.
- [8] H. Ninomiya, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the local solvability of the Mizohata equations, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 28-4 (1988), 593-603.
- [9] L. Nirenberg, Lectures on linear partial differential equations, Reg. Conf. Series in Math. No 17, A.M.S., 1973.
- [10] J. Sjöstrand, Note on a paper of F. Treves concerning Mizohata operators, Duke Math. J., 47-3 (1980), 601-608.
- [11] M. Strauss-F. Treves, First-Order Linear PDEs and Uniqueness in the Cauchy Problem, J. Differential Equations, 15 (1974), 195-209.
- [12] F. Treves, Remarks about certain first-order linear PDE in two variables, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations, 5 (4), (1980), 381-425.
- [13] F. Treves, Approximation and representation of functions and distributions annihilated by a system of complex vector fields, 1981, Centre Math. Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau France.
- [14] F. Treves, On the local integrability and local solvability of systems of vector fields, Acta Math. 151 (1983), 1-38.
- [15] F. Treves, Hypo-analytic structures, Contemporary Math., 27 (1984), 23-44.
- [16] C. Zuily, Uniqueness and Non-Uniqueness in the Cauchy Problem, Progress in mathematics v.33, Birkhäuser, 1983.

Added in proof.

A. Andreotti-C. D. Hill; Complex characteristic coordinates and tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat. 8 (1981), 365-404.

F. Treves; Hypo-Analytic Structures Local Theory, Princeton Univ. Press, 1992.