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## 0. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ without boundary. We denote the Levi-Civita Connection of ( $M, g$ ) by $\nabla$, and the Laplace operator by $\Delta$. In this paper, we will prove an $L^{p}$-estimate for the Laplace operator:

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{p} \leq C\|\Delta u\|_{p} .
$$

Naturally, the constant $C$ depends on geometric data of ( $M, g$ ). The main purpose of this paper is to estimate the constant $C$ in terms of the diameter, the injectivity radius, and the lower bound of the Ricci tensor.

For the purpose of this, we construct the Green function using a parametrix. In $[2,3]$, Aubin used the Riemannian distance function $d(x, y)$ to construct a parametrix of the Green function. However, the second derivatives of the distance function cannot be estimated in terms of the Ricci tensor. In fact, we need a bound of Riemann curvature tensor in order to yeild an estimate of $\Delta d(x, y)$. (Here the Laplace operator $\Delta$ acts on $d(x, y)$ with respect to the argument $y$.) Therefore we construct a parametrix utilizing the harmonic coordinate of [1]. In the course of this we estimate the Green function and its first derivatives near the singularity in Section 6, and, using the estimate of the second derivative of the parametrix, we show the Calderon-Zygmund type inequalities in Section 6, from which we can easily obtain an $L^{p}$-estimate for the Laplace operator.

We denote the diameter by $D$, the injectivity radius by $i_{0}$, the volume by $V$, and the Ricci tensor by Ric. We fix a non-negative constant $\Lambda$ for which the bound Ric $\geq-(n-1) \Lambda g$ is satisfied.

For $x \in M$, the Green function $G_{x}$ is a unique smooth functions on $M \backslash\{x\}$ that satisfies $\Delta G_{x}=\delta_{x}-V^{-1}$ as distributions and $\int_{M} G_{x} d \mu=0$, where $\delta_{x}$ is the Dirac function at $x$ and $d \mu$ is the Riemannian volume form.
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## 1. Preliminaries

In this section we prepare some analytic tools. For $p \geq 1$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1$, we consider the following norms for functions on an Euclidean ball $B_{0}(r)=\{\xi$ $\left.\in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|\xi|<r\right\}:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f\|_{p, r}=\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{0}(r)\right)}=\left\{\int_{B_{0}(r)}|f|^{p} d \xi\right\}^{1 / p} ; \\
\|\partial f\|_{p, r}=\left\{\sum_{i} \int_{B_{0}(r)}\left|\partial_{i} f\right|^{p} d \xi\right\}^{1 / p} ; \\
\|f\|_{\infty, r}=\|f\|_{C^{0}\left(B_{0}(r)\right)}=\sup _{\xi \in B_{0}(r)}|f(\xi)| ; \\
{[f]_{\alpha, r}=\sup _{\substack{\xi, \zeta \in B_{0}(r) \\
\xi \neq \zeta}} \frac{|f(\xi)-f(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|^{\alpha}} .}
\end{gathered}
$$

The Sobolev space $L_{1}^{p}\left(B_{0}(r)\right)$ is the set of measurable functions for which the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L_{1}^{p}\left(B_{0}(r)\right)}=\|f\|_{p, r}+\|\partial f\|_{p, r}
$$

is finite. The Hölder Space $C^{\alpha}\left(B_{0}(r)\right)$ is the set of functions for which the norm

$$
\|f\|_{c^{\alpha}\left(B_{0}(r)\right)}=\|f\|_{\alpha_{,} r}+[f]_{\alpha, r}
$$

is finite.
We use Sobolev's embedding theorem in the following form. For the verification, see the proof of [5, Theorem 7.17].

Theorem 1.1. $\quad$ Assume $p>n$ and set $\alpha=1-n / p$. For $f \in L_{1}^{p}\left(B_{0}(2 r)\right)$, we have Sobolev's inequalities

$$
\|f\|_{\infty, r} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{p, 2 r}+r^{\alpha}\|f\|_{p, 2 r}\right)
$$

and

$$
[f]_{\alpha, r} \leq \mathrm{C}\|\partial f\|_{p, 2 r},
$$

where $C=C(n, p)$ is a constant that depends only on $n$ and $p$.
We next consider the regularity for an elliptic partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j} a^{i j} \partial_{i, u}^{2} u=f \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elliptic regularity theorem [5, Theorem 6.2] can be restated as follows.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that the coefficients $a^{i j}$ are smooth functions on $B_{0}(2 r)$ and satisfy for some constant $\kappa>0$ the conditions

$$
(1+\kappa)^{-2} \delta^{i j} \leq a^{i j}(\xi) \leq(1+\kappa)^{2} \delta^{i j} \text { (as symmetric bilinear forms) }
$$

and

$$
r^{\alpha}\left[a^{i j}\right]_{\alpha, 2 r} \leq \kappa
$$

If $u$ is a bounded weak solution of (1.1) for $f \in C^{\alpha}\left(B_{0}(2 r)\right)$, then we have $r\|\partial u\|_{\infty, r}+r^{2}\left\|\partial^{2} u\right\|_{\infty, r}+r^{2+\alpha}\left[\partial^{2} u\right]_{\alpha, r} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{\infty, 2 r}+r^{2}\|f\|_{\infty, 2 r}+r^{2+\alpha}[f]_{\alpha, 2 r}\right)$ for some constant $C=C(n, \alpha, \kappa)$.

For a compact Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ), we can also define the norms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f\|_{p}=\|f\|_{L^{p}(M)}=\left\{\int_{M}|f|^{p} d \mu\right\}^{1 / p} \\
\|f\|_{\infty}=\|f\|_{C 0(M)}=\sup _{x \in M}|f(x)|
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
[f]_{\alpha}=\sup _{\substack{x, y \in M \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{d(x, y)^{\alpha}}
$$

We define the Sobolev space $L_{1}^{p}(M)$ using the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L_{1}^{p}(M)}=\|f\|_{p}+\|\nabla f\|_{p}
$$

where $\|\nabla f\|_{p}$ is the $L^{p}$-norm of $|\nabla f|$, the pointwise Riemannian norm of the covariant derivative $\nabla f$, and the Hölder space $C^{\alpha}(M)$ using the norm

$$
\|f\|_{C^{\alpha}(M)}=\|f\|_{\infty}+[f]_{\alpha}
$$

It is well known the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric} \geq-(n-1) \Lambda g \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields the lower bound for the Sobolev constant (cf. [6]). We state it as follows.

Theorem 1.3 There is a constant $C_{s}$, depending only on $n, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D^{n} / V$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\frac{2 n}{n-2}} \leq C_{S}\|\nabla f\|_{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f \in L_{1}^{2}(M)$ satisfying $\int_{M} f d \mu=0$.
We denote by $B_{x}(r)$ the geodesic ball of $M$ centered at $x$ and of radius $r$, by $S_{x}$ the unit sphere of $T_{x} M$ with respect to $g$, and by $d \omega$ the standard volume form of the unit sphere $S_{x}=S^{n-1}$. Under the identification via the exponential mapping $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times S_{x} \ni(r, v) \mapsto \exp _{x}(r v) \in M$, we define a positive function $a(r, v)$ on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times S_{x}$ by the equation $d \mu=a(r, v)^{n-1} d r d \omega$ if the geodesic $[0, r] \ni t \mapsto \exp _{x}(t v)$ is minimizing, and $a(r, v)=0$ otherwise. Set $\gamma=e^{(n-1)}$ $\sqrt{\Lambda D}$. We also restate Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison theorem in the following form.

Theorem 1.4 The function a $(r, v)$ satisfies $a(r, v) \leq \gamma^{1 /(n-1)} r$. For $0<r$ $\leq R$, we have $\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x}(R)\right) / \operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x}(r)\right) \leq \gamma(R / r)^{n-1}$.

## 2. Harmonic coordinates

First, we recall the result of Anderson and Cheeger [1] concerning the harmonic coordinate which is useful in considering regularity problems on a Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 2.1. $\quad$ Suppose that $(M, g)$ is a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary satisfying the bound $\operatorname{Ric} \geq-(n-1) \Lambda g$ for some constant $\Lambda \geq 0$. Given $\kappa>0, p>n$, there are constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, depending only on $n, \kappa$, and $p$, such that there is a coordinate $u=\left(u^{1}, \cdots, u^{n}\right)$ on any geodesic ball $B_{x}(r)$ for $r$ $\leq \min \left\{C_{1} / \sqrt{\Lambda}, C_{2} i_{0}\right\}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $u(x)=0$.
(2) Each $u^{k}(k=1, \cdots, n)$ is a harmonic function on $B_{x}(r)$ with respect to $g$.
(3) The functions $g_{i j}=g\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}, \partial / \partial u^{j}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{i j}(x)=\delta_{i j} ; \\
(1+\kappa)^{-2} \delta_{i j} \leq g_{i j} \leq(1+\kappa)^{2} \delta_{i j}(\text { as symmetric bilinear forms }) ; \\
r^{1-n / p}\left\|\partial g_{i j}\right\|_{L^{p}(B x(r))} \leq \kappa .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $p>n$ and set $\alpha=1-n / p$. Fixing $\kappa=1$, we restate Theorem 2.1 in the following form.

Theorem 2.2 There is a constant $C_{H}$, depending only on $n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$, such that there is a diffeomorphism $F: B_{0}(r) \rightarrow M$ for any $x \in M$ and $r \leq C_{H} i_{0}$ satisfying the following properties.
(1) $F(0)=x$.
(2) The local representation of $g$ by $F$, which we denote by $g_{i j}$, satisfies $4^{-1} \delta_{i j}$ $\leq g_{i j} \leq 4 \delta_{i j}$ as symmetric bilinear forms on $B_{0}(r)$ and $g_{i j}(0)=\delta_{i j}$.
(3) The functions $g_{i j}$ satisfy

$$
r^{1-n / p}\left\|\partial g_{i j}\right\|_{p, r} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad r^{\alpha}\left[g_{i j}\right]_{\alpha, r} \leq 1
$$

(4) The inverse mapping $F^{-1}=\left(f^{1}, \cdots, f^{n}\right)$ can be considered as a function $F^{-1}$ : $B_{x}(4 r) \mapsto \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and each component $f^{k}$ is a harmonic function with respect to $g$.

Proof. Set $C_{3}=\min \left\{C_{1} / \sqrt{\Lambda} D, C_{2}\right\}$. Clearly the properties of Theorem 2.1 hold for $r \leq C_{3} i_{0}$. By taking $F=u^{-1}$, we easily see that the properties of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied for $r \leq C_{3} i_{0} / 4$ except for the estimate of $\left[g_{i j}\right]_{\alpha, r}$. Applying Sobolev's inequality (Theorem 1.1), we can show that there is constant $C_{4}$, depending only on $n$ and $p$, such that

$$
r^{\alpha}\left[g_{i j}\right]_{\alpha, r / 2} \leq C_{4} r^{-n / p}\left\|\partial g_{i j}\right\|_{p, r} \leq C_{4} .
$$

We now set $C_{5}=\min \left\{C_{4}^{-1 / \alpha}, 1 / 2\right\}$. The theorem is valid for $C_{H}=C_{3} C_{5} / 4$.
Definition. We call the diffeomorphism $F$ in Theorem 2.2 a $p$-harmonic coordinate around $x$.

We fix $p$ such that $n p /(p-n)$ is not an integer and set $r_{0}=C_{H} i_{0} / 2$. In a $p$-harmonic coordinate $F: B_{0}\left(2 r_{0}\right) \rightarrow M$, the Laplace operator $\Delta$ is given by

$$
\Delta=-\sum_{i j} g^{i j} \partial_{i j}^{2}
$$

If two $p$-harmonic coordinates $F, F^{\prime}: B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right) \rightarrow M$ overlap, i.e.,

$$
F\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right) \cap F^{\prime}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset,
$$

then

$$
F\left(B_{0}\left(2 r_{0}\right)\right) \subset B_{F(0)}\left(4 r_{0}\right) \subset B_{F^{\prime}(0)}\left(8 r_{0}\right) .
$$

Each component of the transition function $F^{\prime-1} \circ F$ can be considered as a function on $B_{0}\left(2 r_{0}\right)$ which is harmonic with respect to $g_{i j}$, that is

$$
\Delta\left(F^{\prime-1} \circ F\right)=-\sum_{i j} g^{i j} \partial_{i j}^{2}\left(F^{\prime-1} \circ F\right)=0
$$

Then Theorem 1.2 implies that there is a constant $C$, which depends only on $n$ and $p$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\partial_{i}\left(F^{\prime-1} \circ F\right)\right\|_{\infty, r_{0}} \leq C ; \\
r_{0}\left\|\partial_{i j}^{2}\left(F^{\prime-1} \circ F\right)\right\|_{\infty, r_{0}} \leq C ;  \tag{2.1}\\
r_{0}^{1+\alpha}\left[\partial_{i j}^{2}\left(F^{\prime-1} \rho F\right)\right]_{\alpha, r_{0}} \leq C .
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus we obtain the estimate of $C^{2+\alpha}$-norms of the transition functions of $p$-harmonic coordinates.

Set $t_{0}=r_{0} / 12$. Let $\left\{B_{x_{\lambda}}\left(t_{0} / 8\right)\right\}{ }_{\lambda=1}^{Q}$ be a maximal family of disjoint geodesic balls of radius $t_{0} / 8$. We can choose a $p$-harmonic coordinate $F_{\lambda}: B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right) \rightarrow M$ around each $x_{\lambda}$. It is easy to see that $\left\{B_{x_{\lambda}}\left(t_{0} / 4\right)\right\}_{\lambda=1}^{Q}$ covers $M$. Hence $\left\{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(t_{0} / 2\right)\right)\right\}{ }_{i=1}^{@}$ also covers $M$.

Set $m(x)=\#\left\{\lambda: x \in F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right\}$ for $x \in M$. Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison theorem yields an estimate of $Q$ in terms of $n, \Lambda, D, V$ and $t_{0}$. Moreover,

Proposition 2.3 There is an upper bound $m_{0}$ for $m(x)$ that depends only on $n$ and $\Lambda D^{2}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m(x)}$ be the subset of the indices $\{\lambda\}{ }_{i=1}^{Q}$ such that $x \in F_{\lambda_{i}}\left(B_{0}\right.$ $\left.\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$. Since $B_{x_{\lambda_{i}}}\left(t_{0} / 8\right) \subset B_{x}\left(3 t_{0}\right) \subset B_{x_{\lambda_{i}}}\left(5 t_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
m(x) \leq \max _{i} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x}\left(3 t_{0}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x_{x_{i}}}\left(t_{0} / 8\right)\right)} \leq \max _{i} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x_{\lambda_{i}}}\left(5 t_{0}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{x_{\lambda_{i}}}\left(t_{0} / 8\right)\right)}
$$

Thus the result follows from Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison theorem.

Let $\chi$ be a smooth non-increasing function on $\mathbf{R}_{+}$satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi(s)=1 \quad \text { for } s \leq t_{0} / 2 ; \quad \chi(s)=0 \quad \text { for } s \geq t_{0} ; \\
-4 / t_{0} \leq \chi^{\prime}(s) \leq 0 ; \quad\left|\chi^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \leq 32 t_{0}^{2} ; \quad\left|\chi^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right| \leq 512 / t_{0}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

We set $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}(x)=\chi\left(\left|F_{\lambda}^{-1}(x)\right|\right)$ for $x \in F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}(x)=0$ otherwise. Then we see that

$$
1 \leq \sum_{\lambda=1}^{Q} \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}(x) \leq m_{0} .
$$

Thus we can construct a partition of unity $\left\{\chi_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda=1}^{Q}$ subordinate to the covering $\left\{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right\}_{\lambda=1}^{0}$ by setting

$$
\chi_{\lambda}(x)=\frac{\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}(x)}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{Q} \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}(x)} .
$$

The $C^{2+\alpha}$-norm of $\chi_{\lambda} \circ F_{\mu}$ can be estimated by $t_{0}, n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\chi_{\lambda}(x)-\chi_{\lambda}(y)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-1} d(x, y) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.

## 3. Parametrix of the Green function

In this section, we construct a parametrix of the Green function using the $p$-harmonic coordinates $\left\{F_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda=1}^{\theta}$. We denote by $g_{i j}^{\lambda}$ and $g_{\lambda}^{i j}$ the metric tensor and its inverse in the coordinate $F_{\lambda}$. From now on, we adopt Einstein's convention.

For $\zeta \in B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)$, we define a non-negative function $d_{\xi}^{\lambda}$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\left\{d \hat{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{2}=g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\left(\xi^{i}-\zeta^{i}\right)\left(\xi^{j}-\zeta^{j}\right)
$$

Choose a smooth increasing function $\psi: \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi(s)=s \text { for } s \leq t_{0} / 6 ; \quad \psi \equiv t_{0} / 3 \text { for } s \geq t_{0} / 2 \\
0 \leq \psi^{\prime} \leq 1 ; \quad-6 / \mathrm{t}_{0} \leq \psi^{\prime \prime} \leq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

We now define a function $h \zeta$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ by

$$
h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)=\frac{\{\psi(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\}^{2-n}-\left(t_{0} / 3\right)^{2-n}}{(n-2) \omega}
$$

where $\omega$ is the volume of the standard $(n-1)$-sphere. Notice that $h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)=0$ if $d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \geq t_{0} / 2$. The first derivatives are given by

$$
\partial_{i} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)=-\frac{1}{\omega}\left\{\psi\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\right\}^{1-n} \psi^{\prime}\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\left\{d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-1} g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\left(\xi^{j}-\zeta^{j}\right) .
$$

Since $\psi^{\prime}(s)=0$ for $s \geq t_{0} / 2$, we see that $\partial_{i} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)=0$ if $d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \geq t_{0} / 2$. If $d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)$ $\leq t_{0} / 2$, using the estimates $2 s / 3 \leq \psi(s) \leq s$ for $s \leq t_{0} / 2$ and $|\xi-\zeta| / 2 \leq$ $d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \leq 2|\xi-\zeta|$, we obtain

$$
\left|\partial_{i} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq C|\xi-\zeta|^{1-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C(n)$.

Similarly we can estimate the second derivatives of $h \hat{\zeta}$, which are given by $\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)=\frac{1}{\omega}\left\{\psi\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\right\}^{-n} \Psi_{1}\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\left\{d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-2} g_{i k}^{\lambda}(\zeta) g_{j l}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\left(\xi^{k}-\zeta^{k}\right)\left(\xi^{l}-\zeta^{l}\right)$

$$
-\frac{1}{\omega}\{\psi(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\}^{1-n} \psi^{\prime}(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\left\{d \hat{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-1} g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta),
$$

where we set $\Psi_{1}(s)=(n-1)\left\{\psi^{\prime}(s)\right\}^{2}-\psi(s) \psi^{\prime \prime}(s)+\psi(s) \psi^{\prime}(s) / s$. Since $\Psi_{1}(s)$ $=n$ for $s \leq t_{0} / 6$ and $\Psi_{1}(s)=0$ for $s \geq t_{0} / 2$, $\partial_{i j}^{2} h \zeta$ vanishes for $d \hat{\zeta}(\xi) \geq t_{0} / 2$ and we have

$$
\left|\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq C|\xi-\zeta|^{-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C(n)$.
The following will be needed in the next section.
Lemma 3.1 There is a constant $C$ depending only on $n$ such that if $\mid \xi-$ $\zeta|\geq 2| \xi-\xi^{\prime} \mid$, then

$$
\left|\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)-\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C|\xi-\zeta|^{-n-1}\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|
$$

and if $|\xi-\zeta| \geq 2\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|$, then

$$
\left|\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)-\partial_{i j}^{2} h h_{\zeta^{\prime}}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq C\left\{r_{0}^{-\alpha}|\xi-\zeta|^{-n}\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha}+|\xi-\zeta|^{-n-1}\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|\right\} .
$$

Proof. We apply the mean value theorem with attention to the fact that

$$
\left|\xi^{\prime}-\zeta\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi-\zeta| \text { for }|\xi-\zeta| \geq 2\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|\xi-\zeta^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi-\zeta| \text { for } \quad|\xi-\zeta| \geq 2\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|
$$

We also notice that either $\xi$ or $\xi^{\prime}$ does not appear in the left-hand sides of the inequalities as the argument of $g_{i j}^{\lambda}$

Next, we will estimate $\Delta h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}$, which are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)=-g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi) \partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \\
& =-\frac{1}{\omega}\left\{\phi\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\right\}^{-n} \Psi_{1}\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\left\{d_{\hat{\zeta}}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-2} \\
& \times g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi) g_{i k}^{\lambda}(\zeta) g_{j l}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\left(\xi^{k}-\zeta^{k}\right)\left(\xi^{l}-\zeta^{l}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\omega}\{\psi(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\}^{1-n} \psi^{\prime}(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\left\{d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-1} g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi) g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta) \text {. } \\
& =-\frac{1}{\omega}\{\psi(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\}^{-n} \Psi_{2}\left(d_{\hat{\jmath}}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{\omega}\left\{\psi\left(d{ }_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\right\}^{1-n} \psi^{\prime}\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)\left\{d \hat{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-1} g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi)\left\{g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\xi)-g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{\omega}\{\psi(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\}^{-n} \Psi_{1}(d \hat{\zeta}(\xi))\{d \hat{\zeta}(\xi)\}^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi) g_{i k}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\left\{g_{j l}^{\lambda}(\xi)-g_{j l}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\right\}\left(\xi^{k}-\zeta^{k}\right)\left(\xi^{l}-\zeta^{l}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{2}(s)=\Psi_{1}(s)-n \psi(s) \psi^{\prime}(s) / s$. Notice that $\Psi_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\Psi_{2}(s)=0 \quad \text { for } s \leq t_{0} / 6 \text { or } s \geq t_{0} / 2
$$

Since $\partial_{i j}^{2} h \hat{\zeta}$ vanishes for $d \hat{\zeta}(\xi) \geq t_{0} / 2, \Delta h \hat{\zeta}$ vanishes for $d \hat{\zeta}(\xi) \geq t_{0} / 2$. For $d \hat{\zeta}(\xi) \leq t_{0} / 6$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)= & -\frac{1}{\omega}\left\{d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-n} g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi)\left\{g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\xi)-g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\right\} \\
& +\frac{n}{\omega}\left\{d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right\}^{-n-2} g_{\lambda}^{k l}(\xi) g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\left\{g_{i k}^{\lambda}(\xi)-g_{i k}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\right\}\left(\xi^{i}-\zeta^{i}\right)\left(\xi^{j}-\zeta^{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (3) of Theorem 2.2 implies that, if $d \hat{\zeta}(\xi) \leq t_{0} / 6$,

$$
\left|\Delta h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-\alpha}|\xi-\zeta|^{\alpha-n}
$$

for some constat $C=C(n)$. For $t_{0} / 6 \leq d \hat{\zeta}(\xi) \leq t_{0} / 2$, the estimate of $\left|\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right|$ implies that, if $t_{0} / 6 \leq d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \leq t_{0} / 2$,

$$
\left|\Delta h_{\hat{\zeta}}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C(n)$.
Combining these results, we obtain

$$
\left|\Delta h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-\alpha}|\xi-\zeta|^{\alpha-n}
$$

where $C$ is a constant that depends only on $n$ and $p$.
Fix $x \in M$ and take $\lambda$ for which $x \in F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$. For $y \in F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(3 t_{0}\right)\right)$, set

$$
H_{x}^{\lambda}(y)=h_{F^{-1}(x)}^{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1}(y)\right) .
$$

Notice that $H_{x}^{\lambda} \equiv 0$ outside $F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(2 t_{0}\right)\right)$. Therefore we can smoothly extend $H_{x}^{\lambda}$ over $M$ to be zero outside $F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(2 t_{0}\right)\right)$. Using the partition of unity $\left\{\chi_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda=1}^{Q}$ constructed in Section 2, we define

$$
H_{x}(y)=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{Q} \chi_{\lambda}(x) H_{x}^{\lambda}(y)
$$

It is clear that $H_{x}(y)$ is a smooth function on $M \times M$ minus the diagonal that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} d(x, y)^{2-n}-C_{2} r_{0}^{2-n} \leq H_{x}(y) \leq C_{3} d(x, y)^{2-n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$, which depend only on $n$ and $p$. The function $H_{x}(y)$ vanishes when $\mathrm{d}(x, y) \geq 2 t_{0}$. Notice that we have

$$
\nabla H_{x}(y)=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{Q} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \nabla H_{x}^{\lambda}(y)
$$

and

$$
\Delta H_{x}(y)=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{Q} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \Delta H_{x}^{\lambda}(y)
$$

From the estimate on $h \hat{\zeta}$, we obtain the estimates on $H_{x}^{\lambda}$ in the harmonic coordinate $F_{\lambda}$. Moreover, in view of (2.1), $H_{x}^{\lambda}$ can be estimated in any other
$p$-harmonic coordinate.
Hence the above argument shows:
Proposition 3.2 There is a constant C, depending only on $n$ and $p$, such that

$$
\left|\nabla H_{x}(y)\right| \leq C d(x, y)^{1-n}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Delta H_{x}(y)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-\alpha} d(x, y)^{\alpha-n} .
$$

We can now prove Green's formula.
Lemma 3.3 For any $\varphi \in C^{2}(M)$

$$
\varphi(x)=\int_{M} H_{x}(y) \Delta \varphi(y) d \mu(y)-\int_{M} \Delta H_{x}(y) \varphi(y) d \mu(y)
$$

Proof. Take a $p$-harmonic coordinate $F$ around $x$. Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M \backslash F\left(B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)} H_{x}(y) \Delta \varphi(y) d \mu(y)-\int_{M \backslash F\left(B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)} \Delta H_{x}(y) \varphi(y) d \mu(y) \\
= & \int_{F\left(\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)} H_{x}(y) \nabla_{\nu} \varphi(y) d \sigma(y)-\int_{F\left(\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)} \nabla_{\nu} H_{x}(y) \varphi(y) d \sigma(y), \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the outward normal vector field of $\partial F\left(B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)=F\left(\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)$ and $d \sigma$ is the volume element of $F\left(\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)$. Let $g_{i j}$ and $g^{i j}$ be the metric tensor and its inverse in the harmonic coordinate $F$. Then $\nu$ and $d \sigma$ are given by

$$
\nu(\xi)=\left\{g^{k l}(\xi) \xi_{k} \xi_{l}\right\}^{-1 / 2} g^{i j}(\xi) \xi_{i} \partial_{j}
$$

and

$$
d \sigma(\xi)=|\xi|^{-1}\left\{g^{k l}(\xi) \xi_{k} \xi_{l}\right\}^{1 / 2} \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \omega_{\epsilon}(\xi)
$$

where $d \omega_{\epsilon}$ is the volume element of the $(n-1)$-sphere of radius $\epsilon$ in the Euclidean space.

The estimate (3.2) implies that the first integral of the right-hand side of (3.3) tends to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. If $x \in F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$, by putting $F_{\lambda}^{-1}=\left(f_{\lambda}^{1}, \cdots, f_{\lambda}^{n}\right)$ and changing the variable, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\int_{F\left(\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)\right)} \nabla_{\nu} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) \varphi(y) d \sigma(y) \\
&=-\int_{\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)}|\xi|^{-1} g^{i j}(\xi) \xi_{i} \partial_{j}\left(H_{x}^{\lambda} \circ F\right)(\xi) \varphi(F(\xi)) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \omega_{\epsilon}(\xi) \\
&=-\int_{\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)}|\xi|^{-1} g^{i j}(\xi) \xi_{i} \partial_{k} h_{F_{i}^{-1}(x)}^{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(\xi)\right) \partial_{j}\left(f_{\lambda}^{k} \circ F\right)(\xi) \\
&= \times \varphi(F(\xi)) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \omega_{\epsilon}(\xi) \\
& \int_{\partial B_{0}(\epsilon)}\left\{d_{F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(0)}^{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(\xi)\right)\right\}^{-n}|\xi|^{-1} g^{i j}(\xi) g_{k l}^{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(0)\right) \\
& \quad \times \xi_{i} \partial_{j}\left(f_{\lambda}^{k} \circ F\right)(\xi)\left(f_{\lambda}^{l} \circ F(\xi)-f_{\lambda}^{l} \circ F(0)\right) \\
& \quad \times \varphi(F(\xi)) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \omega_{\epsilon}(\xi) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Taylor's formula and the transformation law

$$
g_{i j}(0)=g_{k l}^{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(0)\right) \partial_{i}\left(f_{\lambda}^{k} \circ F\right)(0) \partial_{j}\left(f_{\lambda}^{l} \circ F\right)(0),
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{F_{\lambda}^{-1}}^{\lambda} \circ F(0)\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(\xi)\right) \\
& \quad=\left\{g_{i j}^{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(0)\right)\left(f_{\lambda}^{j} \circ F(\xi)-f_{\lambda}^{i} \circ F(0)\right)\left(f_{\lambda}^{j} \circ F(\xi)-f_{\lambda}^{j} \circ F(0)\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad=\left\{g_{i j}(0) \xi^{i} \xi^{j}+O\left(|\xi|^{3}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad=|\xi|(1+O(|\xi|))
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{i j}(\xi) g_{k l}^{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ F(0)\right) \xi_{i} \partial_{j}\left(f_{\lambda}^{k} \circ F\right)(\xi)\left(f_{\lambda}^{l} \circ F(\xi)-f_{\lambda}^{l} \circ F(0)\right) \\
& =g^{i j}(0) g_{j k}(0) \xi_{i} \xi^{k}+O\left(|\xi|^{3}\right) \\
& =|\xi|^{2}(1+O(|\xi|)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the integrand of the last integral of (3.4) is

$$
\epsilon^{1-n} \varphi(F(0))(1+O(\epsilon))
$$

and the integral tends to $\varphi(F(0))=\varphi(x)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Multiplying (3.4) by $\chi_{\lambda}(x)$, summing it up over $\lambda$, and passing to the limit, we obtain the lemma.

## 4. Estimate for singular integrals

We set $\Gamma_{x}^{1}(y)=-\Delta H_{x}(y)$ and define functions $\Gamma_{x}^{k}$ inductively by

$$
\Gamma_{x}^{k+1}(y)=\int_{M} \Gamma_{x}^{k}(z) \Gamma_{z}^{1}(y) d \mu(z)
$$

Proposition 4.1 Suppose $k<n / \alpha$. Then $\Gamma_{x}^{k}(y)=0$ for $d(x, y) \geq 2 k t_{0}$ and

$$
\left|\Gamma_{x}^{k}(y)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-k \alpha} d(x, y)^{k \alpha-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.
Proof. Set $\rho=d(x, y)$. We denote by $\widehat{z}$ the middle point of a minimizing geodesic joining $x$ and $y$. The first assertion is obvious from the fact that $\Gamma_{x}^{1}(y)=0$ for $d(x, y) \geq 2 t_{0}$. The second assertion follows from the estimate of the integral

$$
\int_{B_{\mathfrak{z}}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+2 t_{0}\right)^{\prime}} d(x, z)^{k \alpha-n} d(z, y)^{\alpha-n} d \mu(z)
$$

for $d(x, y) \leq 2(1+k) t_{0}$. We split the domain of the integral into

$$
B_{x}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right), \quad B_{y}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right), \quad B_{\bar{z}}(\rho) \backslash\left(B_{x}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \cup B_{y}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right), \quad \text { and } \quad B_{\bar{z}}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+2 t_{0}\right) \backslash B_{\bar{z}}(\rho) .
$$

By Bishop's theorem, we can estimate the integrals as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B x\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)} d(x, z)^{k \alpha-n} d(z, y)^{\alpha-n} d \mu(z) \leq \gamma \omega\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{\alpha-n} \int_{0}^{\frac{\rho}{2}} r^{k \alpha-1} d r=\frac{\gamma \omega}{k \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-n}, \\
& \int_{B v\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)} d(x, z)^{k \alpha-n} d(z, y)^{\alpha-n} d \mu(z) \leq \gamma \omega\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{k \alpha-n} \int_{0}^{\frac{\rho}{2}} r^{\alpha-1} d r=\frac{\gamma \omega}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-n}, \\
& \int_{B_{\hat{z}}(\rho) \backslash\left(B_{x}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \cup B_{r}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right)} d(x, z)^{k \alpha-n} d(z, y)^{\alpha-n} d \mu(z) \leq \gamma \omega\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-2 n} \int_{0}^{\rho} r^{n-1} d r \\
& =\frac{2^{n} \gamma \omega}{n}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-n} \text {, } \\
& \int_{B_{\tilde{\imath}}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+2 t o\right) \backslash B_{\tilde{\imath}}(\rho)} d(x, z)^{k \alpha-n} d(z, y)^{\alpha-n} d \mu(z) \\
& \leq \gamma \omega \int_{\rho}^{\frac{\rho}{2}+2 t_{0}}\left(r-\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-2 n} r^{n-1} d r \\
& \leq 2^{n-1} \gamma \omega \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{2 t_{0}} r^{(k+1) \alpha-n-1} d r \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{2^{n-1} r \omega}{n-(k+1) \alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-n}-\left(2 t_{0}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-n}\right\} & \text { if }(k+1) \alpha<n, \\
2^{n-1} r \omega \log \frac{4 t_{0}}{\rho} & \text { if }(k+1) \alpha=n, \\
\frac{2^{n-1} \gamma \omega}{(k+1) \alpha-n}\left\{\left(2 t_{0}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-n}-\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{(k+1) \alpha-n}\right\} & \text { if }(k+1) \alpha>n .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that we have put $\gamma=e^{(n-1) \sqrt{\Lambda} D}$. The last integral vanishes when $\rho \geq 4 t_{0}$. The claim now follows by induction.

Recall that $n / \alpha=n p /(p-n)$ is not an integer. The proof of Proposition 4.1 also yields the following estimate.

Proposition 4.2. Set $N=[n / \alpha]+1$. Then

$$
\Gamma_{x}^{N}(y)=0 \quad \text { for } d(x, y) \geq 2 N t_{0}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Gamma_{x}^{N}(y)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.
The following estimate will be used later.
Corollary 4.3 Let $1 \leq k \leq N$ and $f$ be a function on $M$. Set

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} \Gamma_{y}^{k}(x) f(y) d \mu(y) .
$$

Then there is a constant $C$, depending only on $n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$, such that

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leq C\|f\|_{q}
$$

for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The similar estimate holds for

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} \Gamma_{x}^{k}(y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

Proof. From the previous propositions, we have

$$
\int_{M}\left|\Gamma_{y}^{k}(x)\right| d \mu(y) \leq C
$$

and

$$
\int_{M}\left|\Gamma_{v}^{k}(x)\right| d \mu(x) \leq C
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$. For $1 \leq q<\infty$, we have by Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{gathered}
|u(x)|^{q} \leq\left\{\int_{M}\left|\Gamma_{y}^{k}(x)\right| d \mu(y)\right\}^{q-1}\left\{\int_{M}\left|\Gamma_{y}^{k}(x) \| f(y)\right|^{q} d \mu(y)\right\} \\
\leq C^{q-1} \int_{M}\left|\Gamma_{y}^{k}(x) \| f(y)\right|^{q} d \mu(y)
\end{gathered}
$$

from which we obtain (by integration in $x$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M}|u(x)|^{q} d \mu(x) & \leq C^{q-1} \int_{M}\left\{\int_{M}\left|\Gamma_{y}^{k}(x)\right| d \mu(x)\right\}|f(y)|^{q} d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C^{q} \int_{M}|f(y)|^{q} d \mu(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof for $1 \leq q<\infty$. For $q=\infty$, the corollary follows from

$$
|u(x)| \leq \int_{M}\left|\Gamma_{v}^{k}(x)\right| d \mu(y) \cdot\|f\|_{\infty}
$$

We next estimate $\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}(y)$.
Proposition 4.4 (1) There is a constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}(y)=0 \quad \text { for } d(x, y) \geq 2(N+1) t_{0}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}(t)\right| \leq C r_{0}^{-n}
$$

(2) The function $\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}$ is of $C^{\beta}$-class for any $0<\beta<\alpha$. More precisely, in any $p$-harmonic coordinate $F: B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right) \rightarrow M$, we have

$$
r_{0}^{\beta}\left[\Gamma_{x}^{N+1} \circ \mathrm{~F}\right]_{\beta, r_{0}} \leq C r_{0}^{-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \beta, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.
Proof. The claim (1) can be proved easily by straightforward calculation as in the proof of Proposition 4.1. To prove (2), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose that $k_{1}(\xi, \zeta)$ and $k_{2}(\xi, \zeta)$ are smooth functions on $B_{0}(R) \times B_{0}(R)$ minus the diagonal satisfying

$$
\left|k_{1}(\xi, \zeta)\right| \leq C_{1} R^{-\alpha}|\xi-\zeta|^{\alpha}, \quad\left|k_{1}(\xi, \zeta)-k_{1}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right| \leq C_{2} R^{-\alpha}\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha},
$$

$$
\left|k_{2}(\xi, \zeta)\right| \leq C_{3}|\xi-\zeta|^{-n}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial k_{2}}{\partial \xi}(\xi, \zeta)\right| \leq C_{4}|\xi-\zeta|^{-n-1}
$$

$\operatorname{Set} k(\xi, \zeta)=k_{1}(\xi, \zeta) k_{2}(\xi, \zeta)$ and

$$
u(\xi)=\int_{B_{0}(R)} k(\xi, \zeta) f(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

for $f \in C^{0}\left(B_{0}(R)\right)$. Then $u \in C^{\beta}\left(B_{0}(R)\right)$ for any $0<\beta<\alpha$. More precisely, there exists a constant $C$, depending only on $n, \alpha, \beta, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$, and $C_{4}$, such that

$$
[u]_{\beta, R} \leq C R^{-\beta}\|f\|_{\infty, R} .
$$

Proof of Lemma. Set $\rho=\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|$ and $\bar{\xi}=\left(\xi+\xi^{\prime}\right) / 2$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u(\xi)-u\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq & \left\{\int_{B_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{3 \rho}{2}\right)}|k(\xi, \zeta)| d \zeta+\int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{3 \rho}{2}\right)}\left|k\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right| d \zeta\right. \\
& +\int_{B_{0}(R) \backslash B_{i}(\rho)}\left|k_{1}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right| \cdot\left|k_{2}(\xi, \zeta)-k_{2}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right| d \zeta \\
& \left.+\int_{B_{0}(R) \backslash B_{i}(\rho)}\left|k_{1}(\xi, \zeta)-k_{1}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right| \cdot\left|k_{2}(\xi, \zeta)\right| d \zeta\right\} \cdot\|f\|_{\infty, R}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first and the second integrals in the braces are estimated by

$$
C_{1} C_{3} \omega R^{-\alpha} \int_{0}^{\frac{3 \triangleright}{2}} r^{\alpha-1} d r=\frac{3^{\alpha} C_{1} C_{3} \omega R^{-\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} \alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \leq \frac{3^{\alpha} C_{1} C_{3} \omega R^{-\beta}}{2^{\beta} \alpha} \rho^{\beta} .
$$

When $|\bar{\xi}-\zeta| \geq \rho$,

$$
\left|k_{2}(\xi, \zeta)-k_{2}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right|=\rho\left|\frac{\partial k_{2}}{\partial \xi}(\widetilde{\xi}, \zeta)\right|
$$

for some $\widetilde{\xi}$ which lies in the segment connecting $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$. Since $|\widetilde{\xi}-\bar{\xi}| \leq \rho / 2$ $\leq|\bar{\xi}-\zeta| / 2$,

$$
|\widetilde{\xi}-\zeta| \geq|\bar{\xi}-\zeta|-|\widetilde{\xi}-\bar{\xi}| \geq|\bar{\xi}-\zeta| / 2 .
$$

Then the third integral is estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1} C_{4} R^{-\alpha} \rho \int_{B_{0}(R) \backslash B_{i}(\rho)}|\xi-\zeta| \alpha|\widetilde{\xi}-\zeta|^{-n-1} d \zeta \\
& \leq 2^{n+1-\alpha} C_{1} C_{4} R^{-\alpha} \rho \int_{B_{0}(R) \backslash B_{i}(\rho)}|\widetilde{\xi}-\zeta|^{\alpha-n-1} d \zeta \\
& \leq 2^{n+1-\alpha} C_{1} C_{4} \omega R^{-\alpha} \rho \int_{\rho}^{2 R} r^{\alpha-2} d r \\
& \leq \frac{2^{n+1-\alpha} C_{1} C_{4} \omega R^{-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \\
& \leq \frac{2^{n+1-\beta} C_{1} C_{4} \omega R^{-\beta}}{1-\alpha} \rho^{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the last integral is estimated by

$$
C_{2} C_{3} R^{-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \int_{B_{0}(R) \backslash B_{i}(\rho)}|\xi-\zeta|^{-n} d \zeta \leq 2^{n} C_{2} C_{3} R^{-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \int_{B_{0}(R) \backslash B_{i}(\rho)}|\bar{\xi}-\zeta|^{-n} d \zeta
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 2^{n} C_{2} C_{3} \omega R^{-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \int_{\rho}^{2 R} r^{-1} d r \\
& =2^{n} C_{2} C_{3} \omega R^{-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \log ^{2 R} \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \frac{2^{n+\alpha-\beta} C_{2} C_{3} \omega R^{-\beta}}{(\alpha-\beta)_{e}} \rho^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

because the function $\rho \rightarrow \rho^{\alpha-\beta} \log (2 R / \rho)$ takes its maximum at $\rho=2 R e^{-1 /(\alpha-\beta)}$. The lemma has been proved.

We now return to the proof of Proposition 4.4. By definition,

$$
\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}(y)=-\sum_{\lambda=1}^{Q} \int_{M} \Gamma_{x}^{N}(z) \chi_{\lambda}(z) \Delta H_{z}^{\lambda}(y) d \mu(z) .
$$

We rewrite each term of the sum in the harmonic coordinate $F_{\lambda}$ :

$$
u_{\lambda}(\xi) \equiv-\int_{B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)} \Gamma_{x}^{N}\left(F_{\lambda}(\zeta)\right) \chi_{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}(\zeta)\right) \Delta h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta)} d \zeta .
$$

In view of (2.1), it suffices to estimate the $C^{\beta}$-norm of $u_{\lambda}$. It is a consequence of straightforward calculation that $\Delta h \hat{\zeta}(\xi)$ expressed in (3.1) is a sum of the functions which satisfy the condition of Lemma 4.5: for the first term, with $k_{1}(\xi, \zeta)=\Psi_{2}\left(d_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right)$; for the second term, with $k_{1}(\xi, \zeta)=g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi)\left\{g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\xi)-g_{i j}^{\lambda}\right.$ $(\zeta)\}$; and with $k_{1}(\xi, \zeta)=g_{\lambda}^{i j}(\xi) g_{i k}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\left\{g_{j l}^{\lambda}(\xi)-g_{j l}^{\lambda}(\zeta)\right\}$ for the last term. Then the claim follows by applying Lemma 4.5 . with

$$
f(\zeta)=\Gamma_{x}^{N}\left(F_{\lambda}(\zeta)\right) \chi_{\lambda}\left(F_{\lambda}(\zeta)\right) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}^{\lambda}(\zeta)}
$$

## 5. Construction of the Green function

We are now ready to construct the Green function by using $H_{x}(y)$ and $\Gamma_{x}^{k}(y)$. Recall Green's formula,

$$
\varphi(x)=\int_{M} H_{x}(y) \Delta \varphi(y) d \mu(y)+\int_{M} \Gamma_{x}^{1}(y) \varphi(y) d \mu(y)
$$

By putting $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ in Green's formula, we obtain

$$
\int_{M} \Gamma_{x}^{1}(y) d \mu(y)=1
$$

Iterating Green's formula, we also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\int_{M} K_{x}(y) \Delta \varphi(y) d \mu(y)+\int_{M} \Gamma_{x}^{N+1}(y) \varphi(y) d \mu(y) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K_{x}(y)=H_{x}(y)+\int_{M} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Gamma_{x}^{k}(z) H_{z}(y) d \mu(z)
$$

From the results of the previous section, It is easy to see that

$$
\left|K_{x}(y)\right| \leq C d(x, y)^{2-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$ and that $K_{x}(y)=0$ for $d(x, y) \geq 2(N+1) t_{0}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left|K_{x}(y)\right| d \mu(y) \leq C r_{0}^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.
By putting $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ in the formula (5.1), we also obtain

$$
\int_{M} \Gamma_{x}^{N+1}(y) d \mu(y)=1 .
$$

Therefore we can define a function $R_{x}$ by solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta R_{x}=\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}-\frac{1}{V} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the condition

$$
\int_{M} R_{x}(y) d \mu(y)=0
$$

The elliptic regularity theorem (Theorem 1.2) and Proposition 4.4 imply that $R_{x}$ is of $C^{2}$-class.

Putting (5.3) into (5.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x)= & \int_{M} K_{x}(y) \Delta \varphi(y) d \mu(y)+\int_{M} \Delta R_{x}(y) \varphi(y) d \mu(y) \\
& +\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi(y) d \mu(y) \\
= & \int_{M} K_{x}(y) \Delta \varphi(y) d \mu(y)+\int_{M} R_{x}(y) \Delta \varphi(y) d \mu(y) \\
& +\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi(y) d \mu(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\Delta\left(K_{x}+R_{x}\right)=\delta_{x}-V^{-1}$. Since $\int_{M}\left\{K_{x}(y)+R_{x}(y)\right\} d \mu(y)=\int_{M} K_{x}(y) d \mu(y)$,
we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{x}(y)=K_{x}(y)+R_{x}(y)-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} K_{x}(y) d \mu(y) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now estimate the Green function near the singularity.
Theorem 5.1. There exist contants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, depending only on $n, p$, $\Lambda D^{2}$, and $V$, such that

$$
\left|G_{x}(y)\right| \leq C_{1} d(x, y)^{2-n} \quad \text { for } d(x, y) \leq C_{2} i_{0}
$$

Proof. Since we have already estimated $K_{x}(y)$ and

$$
\left|\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} K_{x}(y) d \mu(y)\right| \leq \frac{C r_{0}^{2}}{V} \leq \frac{C D^{n}}{V} r_{0}^{2-n},
$$

it remains to estimate $R_{x}(y)$. By (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{x}(z) & =\int_{M} K_{z}(y) \Delta R_{x}(y) d \mu(y)+\int_{M} \Gamma_{z}^{N+1}(y) R_{x}(y) d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{M} K_{z}(y) \Gamma_{x}^{N+1}(y) d \mu(y)-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} K_{z}(y) d \mu(y)+\int_{M} \Gamma_{z}^{N+1}(y) R_{x}(y) d \mu(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{x}(z)\right| \leq\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{M}\left|K_{z}\right| d \mu+\frac{1}{V} \int_{M}\left|K_{z}\right| d \mu+\left\|\Gamma_{z}^{N+1}\right\|_{\frac{2 n}{n+2}}\left\|R_{x}\right\|_{\frac{2 n}{}}^{n-2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Sobolev's inequality (1.3) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R_{x}\right\|^{2} \frac{2 n}{n-2} & \leq C_{S}^{2}\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{2}^{2}=C_{S}^{2} \int_{M} R_{x} \Delta R_{x} d \mu=C_{S}^{2} \int_{M} R_{x} \Gamma_{x}^{N+1} d \mu-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} R_{x} d \mu \\
& =C_{S}^{2} \int_{M} R_{x} \Gamma_{x}^{N+1} d \mu \leq C_{S}^{2}\left\|R_{x}\right\|_{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\frac{2 n}{n+2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\left\|R_{x}\right\|_{\frac{2 n}{n-2}} \leq C_{S}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\frac{2 n}{n+2}}
$$

From Proposition 4.4, we have

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C r_{0}^{-n}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\frac{2 n}{n+2}} \leq C r_{0}^{\frac{2-n}{2}}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$. Then putting these inequalities and (5.2) into (5.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{x}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C r_{0}^{2-n} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant that depends only on $n, p, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D^{n} / V$. The proof has been completed.

We turn to the first derivative of the Green function.
Theorem 5.2 There exist constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, depending only on $n, p$, $\Lambda D^{2}$, and $D^{n} / V$, such that

$$
\left|\nabla G_{x}(\mathrm{y})\right| \leq C_{1} d(x, y)^{1-n} \quad \text { for } d(x, y) \leq C_{2} i_{0}
$$

Proof. Differentiating (5.4), we have

$$
\nabla G_{x}(y)=\nabla K_{x}(y)+\nabla R_{x}(y) .
$$

By the argument similar to [5, Lemma 4.1], the formula

$$
\nabla K_{x}(y)=\nabla H_{x}(y)+\int_{M} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Gamma_{x}^{k}(z) \nabla H_{z}(y) d \mu(z)
$$

is justified for $y \neq x$. Then Propositions 3.1,4.1, and 4.2 imply that

$$
\left|\nabla K_{x}(y)\right| \leq C d(x, y)^{1-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$ and that $\nabla K_{x}(y)=0$ for $d(x, y) \geq 2(N+$ 1) $t_{0}$. Hence we have

$$
\int_{M}\left|\nabla K_{x}\right| d \mu \leq C r_{0}
$$

for some $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.
In order to estimate $\nabla R_{x}$, we approximate $R_{x}$ with smooth functions $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in the $C^{2}$-topology.

From Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 , we see that the leading part of $K_{x}(y)$ is $H_{x}(y)$ and we deduce that

$$
K_{x}(y) \geq-a r_{0}^{2-n}
$$

for some constant $a$ depending only on $n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2}(y) & =\int_{M} K_{y} \Delta\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2} d \mu+\int_{M} \Gamma_{y}^{N+1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2} d \mu \\
& =\int_{M}\left(K_{y}+a r_{0}^{2-n}\right) \Delta\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2} d \mu+\int_{M} \Gamma_{y}^{N+1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2} d \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Weizenböck's formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2} & =2\left\langle\nabla \Delta \varphi_{k}, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right\rangle-2\left|\nabla^{2} \varphi_{k}\right|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Ric}\left(\nabla \varphi_{k}, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left\langle\nabla \Delta \varphi_{k}, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right\rangle+2(n-1) \Lambda\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K_{y}+a r_{0}^{2-n}$ is non-negative (by the definition of $a$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M}\left(K_{y}+a r_{0}^{2-n}\right) \Delta\left|\nabla \varphi_{k}\right|^{2} d \mu \leq & 2 \int_{M}\left(K_{y}+a r_{0}^{2-n}\right)\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{k}, \nabla \Delta \varphi_{k}+(n-1) \Lambda \nabla \varphi_{k}\right\rangle d \mu \\
= & 2 \int_{M}\left(K_{y}+a r_{0}^{2-n}\right) \Delta \varphi_{x}\left\{\Delta \varphi_{k}+(n-1) \Lambda \varphi_{k}\right\} d \mu \\
& -2 \int_{M}\left\{\Delta \varphi_{k}+(n-1) \Lambda \varphi_{k}\right\}\left\langle\nabla K_{y}, \nabla \varphi_{k}\right\rangle d \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\nabla R_{x}\right|^{2}(y) \leq & \int_{M} K_{y}\left|\Delta R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu+a r_{0}^{2-n} \int_{M}\left|\Delta R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu+(n-1) \Lambda \int_{M} K_{y} R_{x} \Delta R_{x} d \mu \\
& +a(n-1) \Lambda r_{0}^{2-n} \int_{M}\left|\nabla R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu-2 \int_{M} \Delta R_{x}\left\langle\nabla K_{y}, \nabla R_{x}\right\rangle d \mu \\
& -2(n-1) \Lambda \int_{M} R_{x}\left\langle\nabla K_{y}, \nabla R_{x}\right\rangle d \mu+\int_{M} \Gamma_{y}^{N+1}\left|\nabla R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The right-hand side of (5.7) is estimated with a constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right.$, $\left.D^{n} / V\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{M} \Gamma_{y}^{N+1}\left|\nabla R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu \leq\left\|\Gamma_{y}^{N+1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{M}\left|\nabla R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu=C r_{0}^{-n} \int_{M} R_{x} \Delta R_{x} d \mu \\
\leq C r_{0}^{-n} \int_{M} R_{x} \Gamma_{x}^{N+1} d \mu \leq C r_{0}^{2-2 n}, \\
a(n-1) \Lambda r_{0}^{2-n} \int_{M}\left|\nabla R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu \leq a C \Lambda r_{0}^{4-2 n} \leq a C \Lambda D^{2} r_{0}^{2-2 n},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M} K_{y}\left|\Delta R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu=\int_{M} K_{y}\left|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}-\frac{1}{V}\right|^{2} d \mu \leq 2\left(\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}+\frac{1}{V^{2}}\right) \int_{M}\left|K_{y}\right| d \mu \\
& \leq C\left(r_{0}^{2-2 n}+\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{V^{2}}\right) \leq C\left(1+\frac{D^{2 n}}{V^{2}}\right) r_{0}^{2-2 n}, \\
& a r_{0}^{2-n} \int_{M}\left|\Delta R_{x}\right|^{2} d \mu \leq 2 a r_{0}^{2-n}\left(\int\left|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right|^{2} d \mu+\frac{1}{V}\right) \\
& \leq 2 a r_{0}^{2-n}\left(C r_{0}^{-n}+\frac{1}{V}\right) \leq 2 a\left(C+\frac{D^{n}}{V}\right) r_{0}^{2-2 n}, \\
& (n-1) \Lambda \int_{M} K_{y} R_{x} \Delta R_{x} d \mu \leq(n-1) \Lambda\left\|R_{x}\right\|_{\infty}\left(\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\infty}+\frac{1}{V}\right) \int_{M}\left|K_{y}\right| d \mu \\
& \leq C \Lambda r_{0}^{4-n}\left(r_{0}^{-n}+\frac{1}{V}\right) \leq C \Lambda D^{2}\left(1+\frac{D^{n}}{V}\right) r_{0}^{2-2 n}, \\
& -2 \int_{M} \Delta R_{x}\left\langle\nabla K_{y}, \nabla R_{x}\right\rangle d \mu \leq 2\left(\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right\|_{\infty}+\frac{1}{V}\right)\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{M}\left|\nabla K_{y}\right| d \mu \\
& \leq C r_{0}\left(r_{0}^{-n}+\frac{1}{V}\right)\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq C\left(1+\frac{D^{n}}{V}\right) r_{0}^{1-n}\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty}, \\
& -2(n-1) \Lambda \int_{M} R_{x}\left\langle\nabla K_{y}, \nabla R_{x}\right\rangle d \mu \leq 2(n-1) \Lambda| | R_{x}\left\|_{\infty}\right\| \nabla R_{x} \|_{\infty} \int_{M}\left|\nabla K_{y}\right| d \mu \\
& \leq C \Lambda r_{0}^{3-n}\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \Lambda D^{2} r_{0}^{1-n}\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq C_{1} r_{0}^{1-n}\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty}+C_{2} r_{0}^{2-2 n}
$$

for some constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda D^{2}$ and $D^{n} / V$. This implies

$$
\left\|\nabla R_{x}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C r_{0}^{1-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}, D^{n} / V\right)$ and the theorem follows.
Remark 5.3. Using the estimate of the heat kernel, one can estimate $G_{x}$ and $\nabla G_{x}$ globally in terms of $n, \Lambda D^{2}, D^{n} / V$. See [7] .

## 6. $\quad L^{p_{-}}$estimate for the Laplace operator

Let us show Calderon-Zygmund type inequality for $G_{x}$ in this section. We first fix some notations. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be vector bundles over $M$ with norms. We use the same symbol| - for the norms on $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. For a section $s$ of $E_{1}$ or $E_{2}$, we denote by $\mu(s ; a)$ the volume of the subset $\{x \in M:|s(x)|>a\}$. Notice that

$$
\mu(s ; a) \leq a^{-q} \int_{|s|>a}|s|^{q} d \mu \leq \frac{\|s\|_{q}^{q}}{\mathrm{a}^{q}} .
$$

We denote by $L^{q}\left(E_{1}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} . L^{q}\left(E_{2}\right)\right)$ the space of the sections whose $L^{q}$-norm is
finite.
Let us introduce the following basic interpolation theorem which is repeatedly used in this section. For the proof, see [5, Theorem 9.8] .

Theorem 6.1 (Marcinkiewicz's interpolation inequality). Let $A$ be a linear operator from $L^{q_{1}}\left(E_{1}\right) \cap L^{q_{2}}\left(E_{1}\right)$ to $L^{q_{1}}\left(E_{2}\right) \cap L^{q_{2}}\left(E_{2}\right)$ with $1 \leq q_{1}<q_{2}$ $<\infty$ satisfying

$$
\mu(A s ; a) \leq \frac{C_{1}\|s\|_{q_{1}}^{q_{1}}}{a^{q_{1}}} \text { and } \quad \mu(A s ; a) \leq \frac{C_{2}\|s\|_{q_{2}}^{q_{2}}}{a^{q_{2}}}
$$

for some constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Then $A$ can be extended to a linear bounded operator on $L^{q}\left(E_{1}\right)$ for $q_{1}<q<q_{2}$ and

$$
\|A s\|_{q} \leq 2\left\{\frac{q}{q-q_{1}}+\frac{q}{q_{2}-q}\right\}^{1 / q} C_{1}^{\eta} C_{2}^{1-\eta}\|s\|_{q}
$$

for $\eta=q_{1}\left(q_{2}-q\right) / q\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)$.
For a function $f$ on $M$, we put

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

By Green's formula, we have

$$
\chi_{\lambda}(y) \varphi(y)=\int_{M} H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) \Delta \varphi(x) d \mu(x)-\int_{M} \Delta H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) \varphi(x) d \mu(x)
$$

for any smooth function $\varphi$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} f(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) \varphi(x) d \mu(x)= & \int_{M} u(x) \Delta \varphi(x) d \mu(x) \\
& -\int_{M}\left\{\int_{M} \Delta H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) f(y) d \mu(y)\right\} \varphi(x) d \mu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \chi_{\lambda}(x)=\Delta u(x)-\int_{M} \Delta H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) f(y) d \mu(y) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first show the following proposition.
Proposition 6.2 Let $1<q \leq p$ and

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} H_{\nu}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

There exists a constant $C$, depending only on $q, n, p, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D / i_{0}$, such that

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{q} \leq C\|f\|_{q} .
$$

Proof. We carry out the proof in nine steps. We always calculate in the the
coordinate $F_{\lambda}$ and denote the metric tensor by $g_{i j}$ and the Christoffel symbols by $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$. Notice that there holds $\nabla_{i j}^{2} u=\partial_{i j}^{2} \mu-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \partial_{k} u$.

Step 1. First we prove this proposition for $q=2$. By Weitzenböck's formula, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+(n-1) \Lambda\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}
$$

From (6.1) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
|\Delta u(x)|^{2} \leq 2|f(x)|^{2}+2\left\{\int_{M}\left|\Delta H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right| d \mu(y)\right\}\left\{\int_{M}\left|\Delta H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \| f(y)\right|^{2} d \mu(y)\right\}
$$

From the estimate of $\Delta h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)$, we can estimate the integrals $\int_{M}\left|\Delta H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right| d \mu(y)$ and $\int_{M}\left|\Delta H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right| d \mu(x)$ with some constant $C_{1}$ that depends only on $n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$. Hence we have

$$
\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\left(1+C_{1}^{2}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nabla u(x)| & \leq \int_{M}\left|\nabla H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right||f(y)| d \mu(y) \\
& \leq\left\{\int_{M}\left|\nabla H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right| d \mu(y)\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{M}\left|\nabla H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right||f(y)|^{2} d \mu(y)\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate of $\partial h_{\zeta}^{\hat{\lambda}}(\xi)$ implies that there is a constant $C_{2}$, depending only on $n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$, such that

$$
\int_{M}\left|\nabla H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right| d \mu(y) \leq C_{2} D ; \quad \int_{M}\left|\nabla H_{y}^{\lambda}(x)\right| d \mu(x) \leq C_{2} D .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{2}^{2} D^{2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\left(1+C_{1}^{2}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2}+(n-1) C_{2}^{2} \Lambda D^{2}\|f\|_{2}^{2} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. We denote by $S^{2} T^{*} M$ the bundle of symmetric bilinear forms. We apply Theorem 6.1 to the operator $f \mapsto \nabla^{2} u$. By the result of Step 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\nabla^{2} u ; a\right) \leq \frac{\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}} \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. In Steps 3 and 4, we will prove that there is a constant $C$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D / i_{0}$, and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\nabla^{2} u ; a\right) \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{1}}{a} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any function $f \in L^{1}(M)$.

For simplicity, we denote the volume of a subset $S \subset M$ by $|S|$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\left|B_{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|} \int_{B x\left(t_{0}\right)}|f| d \mu & \leq \frac{V}{\left|B_{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|} \frac{\|f\|_{1}}{V} \\
& \leq \frac{r D^{n}}{t_{0}^{n}} \frac{\|f\|_{1}}{V}=\frac{c\|f\|_{1}}{V} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant that depends only on $n, p, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D / i_{0}$. Here we have used Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison theorem, which says that for $0<r$ $<R$ we have

$$
\frac{\left|B_{x}(R)\right|}{\left|B_{x}(r)\right|} \leq \frac{\gamma R^{n}}{r^{n}} .
$$

Notice that we may assume $\|f\|_{1} \leq a V / c$. Otherwise, (6.4) is valid because $\mu\left(\nabla^{2} u ; a\right) \leq V \leq c\|f\|_{1} / a$. Hence

$$
\frac{1}{\left|B_{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{x}\left(t_{0}\right)}|f| d \mu \leq a
$$

for any $x \in M$.
Set $E_{0}=\{x \in M:|f(x)| \leq a\}$ and define a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by $t_{k}=2^{-k} t_{0}$. For $k \leq 1$, we put

$$
\widetilde{E}_{k}=\left\{x \in E: \frac{1}{\left|B_{x}\left(t_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{x}\left(t_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu>a\right\}
$$

and $E=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{E}_{k}$. Then the set $M \backslash\left(E \cup E_{0}\right)$ has measure 0 , because

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|B_{x}\left(t_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{x}\left(t_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu=|f(x)|
$$

for a.e. $x \in M$.
We now define a family of subsets $\left\{E_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ inductively by $E_{1}=\widetilde{E}_{1}$ and $E_{k}$ $=\widetilde{E}_{k} \backslash \widetilde{E}_{k-1}$ for $k>1$. Notice that for $x$ contained in the closure of $E_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\left|B_{x}\left(t_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{x}\left(t_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu \geq a  \tag{6.6}\\
& \frac{1}{\left|B_{x}\left(2 t_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{x}\left(2 t_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu \leq a .
\end{align*}
$$

We can choose a finite subset $N_{1}$ of the closure of $E_{1}$ such that the geodesic balls $\left\{B_{x}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\}_{x \in N_{1}}$ are mutually disjoint and the geodesic balls $\left\{B_{x}\left(2 t_{1}\right)\right\}_{x \in N_{1}}$ cover the closure of $E_{1}$. Inductively we choose a finite subset $N_{k}$ of the closure of $E_{k} \backslash \bigcup_{\substack{k=1 \\ j=1}}^{\substack{U_{x \in N_{j}} B_{x} \\\left(2 t_{j}\right.}}$ ) such that the geodesic balls $\left\{B_{x}\left(t_{k}\right)\right\}_{x \in N_{k}}$ are mutually disjoint and the geodesic balls $\left\{B_{x}\left(2 t_{k}\right)\right\}_{x \in N_{k}}$ cover the closure of $E_{k} \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{k-1}$ $U_{x \in N,} B_{x}\left(2 t_{j}\right)$. In this way, we obtain a set of pairs $\left\{\left(x_{k}, \rho_{k}\right): x_{k} \in M, \rho_{k}>0\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ $=\left\{\left(x, t_{j}\right): x \in N_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ such that the geodesic balls $\left\{B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ cover
$E$ and the geodesic balls $\left\{B_{x_{k}}\left(\rho_{k}\right)\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ are mutually disjoint. Moreover, (6.6) implies that

$$
\frac{1}{\left|B_{x_{k}}\left(\rho_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B x_{k}\left(\rho_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu \geq a
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\left|B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B x_{k}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu \leq a .
$$

We define a family of mutually disjoint subsets $\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ inductively by

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{1}=B_{x_{1}}\left(2 \rho_{1}\right) \backslash \underset{j \geq 2}{\cup} B_{x j}\left(\rho_{j}\right) ; \\
D_{k}=B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right) \backslash\left[\left(\underset{1 \leq i \leq k-1}{\cup} D_{i}\right) \cup\left(\underset{j>k}{\cup} B_{x j}\left(\rho_{j}\right)\right)\right] \quad \text { for } k>1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Obviously $B_{x_{k}}\left(\rho_{k}\right) \subset D_{k} \subset B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)$ and $\cup_{k \geq 1} D_{k}=\cup_{k \geq 1} B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right) \supset E$. From Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left|D_{k}\right|} \int_{D_{k}}|f| d \mu & \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{x_{k}}\left(\rho_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B x_{k}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{2^{n} \gamma}{\left|B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B x_{k}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu \\
& \leq 2^{n} \gamma a
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
a \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{x_{k}}\left(\rho_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{B x_{k}\left(\rho_{k}\right)}|f| d \mu \leq \frac{4^{n} \gamma}{\left|B_{x_{k}}\left(4 \rho_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{D_{k}}|f| d \mu .
$$

Therefore the volume of the subset $U_{k \geq 1} B_{x_{k}}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)$ is equal to or less than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 1}\left|B_{x_{k}}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{16^{n} \gamma}{a} \int_{D_{k}}|f| d \mu \leq \frac{4^{n} \gamma\|f\|_{1}}{a} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the defining function $\phi_{k}$ of $D_{k}$, we decompose $f$ as follows:

$$
f=f_{0}+\sum_{k \geq 1} f_{k},
$$

where

$$
f_{k}=\phi_{k} f-\frac{\phi_{k}}{\left|D_{k}\right|} \int_{D_{k}} f d \mu
$$

Then the function $f_{0}$ satisfies $\left|f_{0}\right| \leq 2^{n} \gamma a$ for a.e. $x \in M$ and $\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{1}$. The functions $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfy $\int_{M} f_{k} d \mu=0$.

Step 4. Set $u_{k}(x)=\int_{M} H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) f_{k}(y) d \mu(y)$ for $k \geq 0$. From the result of Step 1, we have

$$
\mu\left(\nabla^{2} u_{0} ; a / 2\right) \leq \frac{4\left\|\nabla^{2} u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}} \leq \frac{C\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \frac{C\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{1}}{a^{2}} \leq \frac{2^{n} \gamma C\|f\|_{1}}{a} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.
Next we analyze $u_{k}$ for $k \geq 1$. Recall that the support of $\chi_{\lambda}$ is contained in $F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ and $H_{\nu}^{\lambda} \equiv 0$ outside $F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(2 t_{0}\right)\right)$. If $D_{k} \cap F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_{x_{k}}\left(t_{0}\right) \cap B_{F_{\lambda}(0)}\left(2 t_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Since $8 t_{0} \leq r_{0}$, we have

$$
D_{k} \subset B_{x_{k}}\left(t_{0}\right) \subset B_{F_{\lambda}(0)}\left(4 t_{0}\right) \subset F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
F_{\lambda}^{-1}\left(D_{k}\right) \subset F_{\lambda}^{-1}\left(B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Therefore we can analyze $u_{k}$ in the $p$-harmonic coordinate $F_{\lambda}$, i.e.,

$$
u_{k}(\xi)=\int_{\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right| \leq 4 \rho_{k}} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \chi_{\lambda}(\zeta) f_{k}(\zeta) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\zeta)} d \zeta .
$$

Here we have put $\xi=F_{\lambda}^{-1}(x), \xi_{k}=F_{\lambda}^{-1}\left(x_{k}\right)$, and $\zeta=F_{\lambda}^{-1}(y)$. Recall that $g_{i j}$ satisfies $4^{-1} \delta_{i j} \leq g_{i j} \leq 4 \delta_{i j}$ as symmetric bilinear forms in the coordinate $F_{\lambda}$. If $x \notin B_{x_{k}}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)$, then $\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| \geq 8 \rho_{k}$ and $|\xi-\zeta| \geq 4 \rho_{k}$. Hence there exists a constant $C=C(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M \backslash x_{k}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)} \mid & \nabla^{2} u_{k}(x) \mid d \mu(x) \\
\leq & C\left[\int_{\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| \geq 8 \rho_{k}}\left|\partial_{i j}^{2} u_{k}(\xi)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| \geq 8 \rho_{k}}\left|\Gamma_{i j}^{l}(\xi) \partial_{l} u_{k}(\xi)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi\right] . \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In the first integral of the right hand side, we can interchange the order of integration and differentiation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{i j}^{2} u_{k}(\xi) & =\int_{\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right| \leq 4 \rho_{k}} \partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\xi) \chi_{\lambda}(\zeta) f_{k}(\zeta) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\zeta)} d \zeta \\
& =\int_{\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right| \leq 4 \rho_{k}}\left\{\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) \chi_{\lambda}(\zeta)-\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\xi_{k}}^{\lambda}(\xi) \chi_{\lambda}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right\} f_{k}(\zeta) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\zeta)} d \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality holds because $\int_{M} f_{k} d \mu=0$. From Lemma 3.1 and (2.2), we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \partial^{2} h_{\hat{\zeta}}^{\lambda}(\xi) \chi_{\lambda}(\zeta) & -\partial^{2} h_{\hat{\xi}_{k}}^{\lambda}(\xi) \chi_{\lambda}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \mid \\
& \leq C\left\{r_{0}^{-\alpha}\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right|^{-n}+\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right|\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right|^{-n-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$. Since $\sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} \leq 2^{n}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| \geq 8 \rho_{k}}\left|\partial^{2} u_{k}(\xi)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & 2^{n} C \int_{8 \rho_{k} \leq|\xi-\xi k| \leq r_{0}}\left\{\left(\frac{4 \rho_{k}}{r_{0}}\right)^{\alpha}\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right|^{-n}+4 \rho_{k}\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right|^{-n-1}\right\} d \xi \\
& \times \int_{\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right| \leq 4 \rho_{k}}\left|f_{k}(\zeta)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\zeta)} d \zeta \\
\leq & 2^{n} C \omega \int_{8 \rho_{k}}^{r_{0}}\left\{\left(\frac{4 \rho_{k}}{r_{0}}\right)^{\alpha} r^{-1}+4 \rho_{k} r^{-2}\right\} d r \cdot\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{1} \\
= & 2^{n} C \omega\left\{\left(\frac{4 \rho_{k}}{r_{0}}\right)^{\alpha} \log \frac{r_{0}}{8 \rho_{k}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{1} \\
\leq & 2^{n} C \omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{\alpha} \alpha e}+1\right)\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{1 .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As to the second integral, we use the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)}\left|\partial_{l} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right|^{p /(p-1)} \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{2 r_{0}} r^{-(n-1) /(p-1)} d r \\
&= \frac{C(p-1)}{p-n}\left(2 r_{0}\right)^{(p-n) /(p-1)} \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Gamma_{i j}^{l}\right\|_{p, r_{0}} \leq C\|\partial g\|_{p, r_{0}} \leq C r_{0}^{(n-p) / p} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C(n)$. These inequalities imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| \geq 8 \rho_{k}}\left|\Gamma_{i j}^{l}(\xi) \partial_{l u_{k}}(\xi)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \int_{\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right| \leq 4 \rho_{k}}\left\{\int_{\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| \geq 8 \rho_{k}}\left|\Gamma_{i j}^{l}(\xi) \partial_{l} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi\right\} \\
& \quad \times\left|f_{k}(\zeta)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\zeta)} d \zeta \\
& \leq \\
& \quad\left|\left|\Gamma_{i j}^{l}\right|_{p, r_{0}} \int_{\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right| \leq 4 \rho_{k}}\left\{\int_{B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)}\left|\partial_{i} h \hat{\zeta}(\xi)\right|^{p /(p-1)} \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi\right\}^{(p-1) / p}\right. \\
& \quad \times\left|f_{k}(\zeta)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\zeta)} d \zeta \\
& \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C=C(n)$. Thus we can find a constant $C$, depending only on $n, p, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D^{n} / V$, such that

$$
\int_{M \backslash B x_{k}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)}\left|\nabla^{2} u_{k}\right| d \mu \leq C| | f_{k} \|_{1 .} .
$$

Hence the volume of the subset $\left\{x \in M \backslash \cup_{k \geq 1} B_{x_{k}}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right):\left|\nabla^{2}\left(u-u_{0}\right)(x)\right| \geq a / 2\right\}$ is equal to or less than

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{a} \int_{M \backslash \cup_{k \geq 1} B x_{k}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)}\left|\nabla^{2}\left(u-u_{0}\right)\right| d \mu & \leq \frac{2}{a} \sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{M \backslash B x_{k}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)}\left|\nabla^{2} u_{k}\right| d \mu \\
& \leq \frac{2 C}{a} \sum_{k \geq 1}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq \frac{2 C}{a}\left\|f-f_{0}\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq \frac{4 C}{a}\|f\|_{1} . \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (6.7) and (6.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\nabla^{2}\left(u-u_{0}\right) ; a / 2\right) \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{1}}{a} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}, D^{n} / V\right)$. Now (6.4) follows from (6.8), (6.13), and

$$
\mu\left(\nabla^{2} u ; a\right) \leq \mu\left(\nabla^{2}\left(u-u_{0}\right) ; a / 2\right)+\mu\left(\nabla^{2} u_{0} ; a / 2\right) .
$$

Step 5. From (6.3) and (6.4), we obtain Proposition 6.2 for the case $1<q<2$ by applying Marcinkiewicz's interpolation inequality.

Step 6. In the case $2<q \leq p$, we need to consider the adjoint operator. Let $b$ be a section of symmetric 2-tensor $S^{2} T M$ and define a function $\nabla^{* 2} b$ in the sense of distribution, that is, it satisfies

$$
\int_{M} \phi \nabla^{* 2} b d \mu=\int_{M} \nabla_{i j}^{2} \phi b^{i j} d \mu
$$

for any smooth funtion $\phi$ on $M$. Let $2 \leq q<p$ and set $q^{\prime}=q /(q-1), p^{\prime}=p /$ ( $p-1$ ). We define a function $v$ by

$$
v(x)=\int_{M} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) \chi_{\lambda}(x) \nabla^{* 2} b(y) d \mu(y) .
$$

Then for the function $v(x)=\int_{M} H_{y}^{\lambda}(x) \chi_{\lambda}(y) f(y) d \mu(y)$, we see that

$$
\int_{M} \nabla_{i j}^{2} u b^{i j} d \mu=\int_{M} f v d \mu .
$$

By duality, it suffices to show the existence of a constant $C=C\left(n, p, q, \Lambda D^{2}\right.$, $D / i_{0}$ ) satisfying

$$
\|v\|_{q^{\prime}} \leq C\|b\|_{q^{\prime}} .
$$

Notice that, from (6.2) and by duality, we already have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{2} \leq C\|b\|_{2} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$.

Step 7. We define a function $w$ by

$$
w(x)=v(x)+\int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(y) \partial_{l} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) \chi_{\lambda}(x) b^{i j}(y) d \mu(y)
$$

By Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|w(x)-v(x)| & \leq\left\{\int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\left|\Gamma_{i j}^{l}(y)\right|^{p} d \mu(y)\right\}^{1 / p} \\
& \times\left\{\int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\left|\partial_{l} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y)\right|^{p /(p-1)} d \mu(y)\right\}^{\dot{1 / q-1 / p}} \\
& \times\left\{\int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\left|\partial_{l} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y)\right|^{p /(p-1)}\left|b^{i j}(y)\right|^{q^{\prime}} d \mu(y)\right\}^{1 / q^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (6.10), (6.11), and the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)} & \left\{\int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\left|\partial_{l} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y)\right|^{p /(p-1)} d \mu(x)\right\}\left|b^{i j}(y)\right|^{q^{\prime}} d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C r_{0}^{(p-n) /(p-1)} \int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\left|b^{i j}(y)\right|^{q^{\prime}} d \mu(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}|w(x)-v(x)|^{q^{\prime}} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq C \int_{F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right) \mid}\left|b^{i j}(y)\right|^{q^{\prime}} d \mu(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w-v\|_{q^{\prime}} \leq C\|b\|_{q^{\prime}} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C(n, p, q)$.
Step 8. In Steps 8 and 9 , let us show that, if $1<q^{\prime} \leq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{q^{\prime}} \leq C\|b\|_{q^{\prime}} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, q, \Lambda D^{2}, D / i_{0}\right)$.
From (6.14) and (6.15), it follows that there is a constant $C=C(n, p, q$, $\Lambda D^{2}$ ) such that

$$
\|w\|_{2} \leq\|v\|_{2}+\|w-v\|_{2} \leq C\|b\|_{2}
$$

and hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(w ; a) \leq \frac{C\|b\|_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 9. In view of Theorem 6.1, it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(w ; a) \leq \frac{C\|b\|_{1}}{a} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, q, \Lambda D^{2}, D / i_{0}\right)$. We shall decompose $b$ as in Step 3.

We may assume $\int_{M}|b| d \mu \leq a V / c$ for the same constant $c$ of (6.5). We can construct a set of triplets

$$
\left\{\left(x_{k}, \rho_{k}, D_{k}\right): x_{k} \in D_{k} \subset M, 0<\rho_{k} \leq t_{0} / 2\right\}_{k \geq 1}
$$

satisfying the following properties:
(1) $\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a family of mutually disjoint measurable sets such that $D_{k} \subset$ $B_{x_{k}}\left(2 \rho_{k}\right)$.
(2) $|b| \leq a$ for a.e. $x \in M \backslash \cup_{k \geq 1} D_{k}$.
(3) $\frac{1}{\left|D_{k}\right|} \int_{D_{k}}|b| d \mu \leq 2^{n} \gamma a$.
(4) The volume of the subset $U_{k \geq 1} B_{x_{k}}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)$ is equal to or less than $4^{n} \gamma\|b\|_{1} / a$.
If $F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(2 t_{0}\right)\right)$ intersects with $D_{k}$ then $B_{F_{\lambda}(0)}\left(4 t_{0}\right) \cap B_{x_{k}}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Since $12 t_{0}$ $=r_{0}$, we have

$$
D_{k} \subset B_{x_{k}}\left(t_{0}\right) \subset B_{F_{\lambda}(0)}\left(6 t_{0}\right) \subset F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Using the coordinate $F_{\lambda}$, we express $b$ as $b^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}$ by functions $b^{i j}$ on $F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)$. We define sections $\bar{b}_{k}=\bar{b}_{k}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}$ of $\left.S^{2} T M\right|_{D_{k}}$ by setting

$$
\bar{b}_{k}^{i j}=\frac{1}{\left|D_{k}\right|} \int_{D_{k}} b^{i j} d \mu
$$

For $x, y \in F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)$, the norms of the fibers $S^{2} T_{x} M, S^{2} T_{y} M$ satisfy $|\cdot|_{x} \leq 16$ $|\cdot|_{y \text {. Therefore, we verify }}$

$$
\left|\bar{b}_{k}\right| \leq \frac{16}{\left|D_{k}\right|} \int_{D_{k}}|b| d \mu
$$

and

$$
\int_{D_{k}}\left|\bar{b}_{k}\right| d \mu \leq 16 \int_{D_{k}}|b| d \mu .
$$

Using the defining function $\phi_{k}$ of $D_{k}$, we now decompose $b$ into $b_{0}+\Sigma_{k \geq 1} b_{k}$ by setting

$$
b_{k}=\phi_{k}\left(b-\bar{b}_{k}\right) \quad \text { for } k \geq 1
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{1} \leq 16\|b\|_{1} ; \quad\left|b_{0}\right| \leq 2^{n+4} \gamma a \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in M \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
v_{k}(x)=\int_{M} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) \chi_{\lambda}(x) \nabla^{* 2} b_{k}(y) d \mu(y)
$$

and

$$
w_{k}(x)=v_{k}(x)+\int_{M} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(y) \partial_{l} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) \chi_{\lambda}(x) b_{k}^{i j}(y) d \mu(y)
$$

where $b_{k}^{i j}$ is the local expression of $b_{k}$ in the coordinate $F_{\lambda}$. From (6.14), we
have

$$
\mu\left(w_{0} ; a / 2\right) \leq \frac{4\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}} \leq \frac{C\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}} \leq \frac{C\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{1}}{a^{2}} .
$$

Then it follows from (6.19) that

$$
\mu\left(w_{0} ; a / 2\right) \leq \frac{C\|b\|_{1}}{a}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$. For $x \notin B_{x_{k}}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{k}(x) & =\int_{M} \nabla_{i j}^{2} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) b_{k}^{i j}(y) d \mu(y)+\int_{M} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(y) \partial_{l} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) \chi_{\lambda}(x) b_{k}^{i j}(y) d \mu(y) . \\
& =\int_{M} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \partial_{i j}^{2} H_{x}^{\lambda}(y) b_{k}^{i j}(y) d \mu(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

because the singularity of $H_{x}$ lies outside the support of $b_{k}$. Notice that both the domain of integral and the support of $v_{k}$ are subdomains of $F_{\lambda}\left(B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)$. By putting $F_{\lambda}(\zeta)=x, F_{\lambda}(\xi)=y$, and $F_{\lambda}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=x_{k}$, we have

$$
v_{k}(\zeta)=\int_{\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| \leq 4 \rho_{k}} \chi_{\lambda}(\zeta) \partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi) b_{k}^{i j}(\xi) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{|\xi-\xi k| \leq 4 \rho_{k}} b_{k}^{i j}(\xi) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi=0
$$

we obtain

$$
\left|w_{k}(\zeta)\right| \leq C \int_{B_{0}\left(4 \rho_{k}\right)}\left|\left\{\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)-\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right\} b_{k}^{i j}(\xi)\right| d \xi
$$

for some constant $C=C(n)$. From Lemma 3.1, we see that there exists a constant $C=C(n, p)$ such that

$$
\left|\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}(\xi)-\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right| \leq C\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right|^{-n-1}\left|\xi-\xi_{k}\right| .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|w_{k}(\xi)\right| & \leq \int_{|\xi-\xi k| \leq 4 \rho_{k}}\left|\partial_{i j}^{2} h \zeta(\xi)-\partial_{i j}^{2} h_{\zeta}^{\lambda}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \| b_{k}^{i j}(\xi)\right| \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\xi)} d \xi \\
& \leq C \rho_{k}\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right|^{-n-1}| | b_{k} \|_{1,}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|\zeta-\xi k|}\left|28 \rho_{k}\right| w_{k}(\xi) \mid \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g_{i j}(\zeta)} d \zeta \\
& \quad \leq C \rho_{k} \int_{\left|\left|-\xi_{k}\right| 28 \rho_{k}\right.}\left|\zeta-\xi_{k}\right|^{-n-1} d \zeta \cdot\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{1} \\
& \quad \leq C \omega \rho_{k} \int_{8 \rho_{k}}^{2 r_{0}} r^{-2} d r \cdot\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{C \omega}{8}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{1} .
$$

As in Step 4, we can estimate the volume of the subset

$$
\left\{x \in M \backslash \bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_{x_{k}}\left(16 \rho_{k}\right):\left|w-w_{0}(x)\right| \geq a / 2\right\}
$$

from above by the quantity $C\|b\|_{1} / a$ for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}\right)$. Then (6.18) follows. Thus we obtain (6.16) by applying Theorem 6.1 again. This completes the proof of Proposition 6.2.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 6.2.
Corollary 6.3. Let $1<q \leq p$. For a function $f$ on $M$, define a function by

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} H_{y}(x) f(y) d \mu(y) .
$$

Then there is a constant $C$, depending only on $n, p, q, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D / i_{0}$, such that

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{q} \leq C\|f\|_{q}
$$

Thus we can estimate the constant that appears in the $L^{p}$-estimate for the Laplace operator in terms of the diameter, the injectivity radius, and the lower bound of the Ricci tensor.

Theorem 6.4. Let $q>1$ and $f$ be a function on $M$. Define a function $u$ by

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} G_{y}(x) f(y) d \mu(y) .
$$

Then there is a constant $C$, depending only on $n, q, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D / i_{0}$, such that

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{q} \leq C\|f\|_{q} .
$$

In particular, by putting $f=\Delta u$, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{q} \leq C\|\Delta u\|_{q} .
$$

Proof. Choose $p$ such that $p>n$ and $p \geq q$. On account of Corollaries 4.3 and 6.3 , we have only to show that, for the function $u$ defined by

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} R_{y}(x) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

there is a constant $C=C\left(n, p, q, \Lambda D^{2}, D / i_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{q} \leq C\|f\|_{q} .
$$

Set $\alpha=1-n / p$ and $\beta=\alpha / 2$. In every $p$-harmonic coordinate $F: B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right) \rightarrow M$, by the elliptic regularity theorem (Theorem 1.2), we have

$$
r_{0}^{2}\left\|\partial^{2} R_{x}\right\|_{\infty, r_{0} / 2} \leq C\left\{\left\|R_{x}\right\|_{\infty, r_{0}}+r_{0}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}-\frac{1}{V}\right\|_{\infty, r_{0}}+r_{0}^{2+\beta}\left[\Gamma_{x}^{N+1}\right]_{\beta, r_{0}}\right\}
$$

for some $C=C(n, p)$. Hence, by Proposition 4.4 and (5.6), we obtain

$$
\left\|\partial^{2} R_{x}\right\|_{\infty, r_{0} / 2} \leq C r_{0}^{-n}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}, D^{n} / V\right)$. Recall that $i_{0}^{n} / V$ is estimated from above in terms of $n$ (cf. [4]). Therefore we can estimate the $L^{p}$-norm of $\nabla_{i j}^{2} R_{x}=\nabla_{i j}^{2} R_{x}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \partial_{k} R_{x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{2} R_{x}\right\|_{p} \leq C i_{0}^{n(1-p) / p} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending only on $n, p, \Lambda D^{2}$, and $D / i_{0}$. Notice that the ratio $i_{0} / r_{0}$ depends only on $n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$. Since $R_{x}$ is of $C^{2}$-class, we have

$$
\nabla^{2} u(y)=\int_{M} \nabla^{2} R_{x}(y) f(x) d \mu(x)
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$
\left|\nabla^{2} u(y)\right|^{q} \leq V^{q-1} \int_{M}\left|\nabla^{2} R_{x}(y)\right|^{q}|f(x)|^{q} d \mu(x)
$$

Integrating this in $y$ and using (6.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{q}^{q} & \leq V^{q-1} \int_{M}\left\|\nabla^{2} R_{x}\right\|_{q}^{q}|f(x)|^{q} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq V^{q(p-1) / p} \int_{M}\left\|\nabla^{2} R_{x}\right\|_{p}^{q}|f(x)|^{q} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq C\left(V / D^{n}\right)^{q(p-1) / p}\left(D / i_{0}\right)^{n q(p-1) / p}\|f\|_{q}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C=C\left(n, p, \Lambda D^{2}, D / i_{0}\right)$. This shows the theorem because $V / D^{n}$ is estimated from above by $n, p$, and $\Lambda D^{2}$.
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