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## 1. Introduction

Kono-Mimura [9] and Toda [13] determine $H^{*}\left(E_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$ as a Hopf algebra over $\mathscr{A}_{3}$ the mod 3 Steenrod algebra. Kono [7] determines $H^{*}\left(\operatorname{Ad} E_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$ as a Hopf algebra over $\mathscr{A}_{3}$ and simultaneously gives a new method to determine $H^{*}\left(E_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$ as a Hopf algebra over $\mathscr{A}_{3}$. It is, however, very difficult to determine $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(x_{17}\right)$ where $x_{17}$ is the generator of degree 17 in $H^{*}\left(E_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$. (For a Hopf algebra, the reduced coproduct map is denoted by $\bar{\mu}^{*}$ in this paper.) In fact, a direct method is not found until now.

The main purpose of this paper is to give a direct method of the determination of $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(x_{17}\right)$. At the same time, $H^{*}\left(\tilde{E}_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$ is determined as a Hopf algebra over $\mathscr{A}_{3}$ where $\tilde{E}_{6}$ is the 3 -connective cover over $E_{6}$. For our purpose, in $\S 2$, we shall define five maps (which we call in this paper the adjoint maps) and state their properties. It should be emphasized that among these maps, what bring us improvement essentially are

$$
\hat{\text { ad }: ~} A d E_{6} \lambda E_{6} \rightarrow E_{6}
$$

and

$$
\text { ad : } A d E_{6} \lambda \tilde{E}_{6} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{6} .
$$

In §3, we shall determine $H^{*}\left(\operatorname{AdE} E_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$ as a Hopf algebra over $\mathscr{A}_{3}$ by a slightly different way from that of Kono [7]. In $\S 4$, we shall determine $H^{*}\left(E_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$ as a Hopf algebra over $\mathscr{A}_{3}$. In $\S 5$, the last section, we shall prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. As a Hopf algebra over $\mathscr{A}_{3}, H^{*}\left(\tilde{E}_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)$ is given as follows. As an algebra,

$$
H^{*}\left(\tilde{E}_{6} ; \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{3}\left[\tilde{y}_{18}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(\tilde{x}_{9}, \tilde{x}_{11}, \tilde{x}_{15}, \tilde{x}_{17}, \tilde{y}_{19}, \tilde{y}_{23}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{x}_{k}=\operatorname{deg} \tilde{y}_{k}=k$. The coproducts are given by $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\tilde{y}_{18}\right)=\tilde{x}_{9} \otimes \tilde{x}_{9}$ and $\bar{\mu}^{*}(z)=0\left(z=\tilde{x}_{k}, \tilde{y}_{19}, \tilde{y}_{23}\right)$. The cohomology operations are given by

| $z$ | $\tilde{x}_{9}$ | $\tilde{x}_{11}$ | $\tilde{x}_{15}$ | $\tilde{x}_{17}$ | $\tilde{y}_{18}$ | $\tilde{y}_{19}$ | $\tilde{y}_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta z$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\tilde{y}_{19}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\wp^{1} z$ | 0 | $\tilde{x}_{15}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\tilde{y}_{23}$ | 0 |

and by $\wp^{9} \tilde{y}_{18}=\tilde{y}_{18}^{3}, \wp^{\wp^{j}} \tilde{y}_{18}=0(j \neq 2)$ and $\wp^{3 j} z=0\left(z=\tilde{x}_{k}, \tilde{y}_{19}, \tilde{y}_{23} ; j \geq 1\right)$.
Throughout this paper, we use $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ as the coefficient ring of homology and cohomology unless mentioned.
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## 2. Adjoint maps

Let $p: \tilde{E}_{6} \rightarrow E_{6}$ be the covering projection and $w: E_{6} \rightarrow A d E_{6}$ the natural projection. We define five maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ad : } A d E_{6} \lambda A d E_{6} \rightarrow A d E_{6}, \\
& \text { ad : } E_{6} \lambda E_{6} \rightarrow E_{6}, \\
& \text { ad : } A d E_{6} \lambda E_{6} \rightarrow E_{6}, \\
& \text { ad : } \tilde{E}_{6} \lambda \tilde{E}_{6} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{6}, \\
& \text { ad }: A d E_{6} \lambda \tilde{E}_{6} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

as follows where $A \lambda B$ denotes the half smash product $(A \times B) /(A \times\{e\})$ for based spaces $A$ and $B$.

We define ad by $\overline{\operatorname{ad}}(x, y)=x y x^{-1}$. Similarly we define ad by $\operatorname{ad}(x, y)=$ $x y x^{-1}$. For the definition of ad, we can define ad as the map which makes the following diagram commute:


We define ad as the map which makes the following diagram commute up to homotopy:


Note that ad certainly exists and is unique up to homotopy since $\tilde{E}_{6} \lambda \tilde{E}_{6}$ is 3-connected. Similarly, we define ad as the map which makes the following diagram commute up to homotopy:


Also ad exists and is unique up to homotopy since $\operatorname{AdE} E_{6} \lambda \tilde{E}_{6}$ is 3-connected.
In connection with these maps, note that there are the following two homotopy-commutative diagrams which we need later:


The homotopy-commutativity of the first one is showed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \circ \text { ad } \circ\{(w \circ p) \lambda 1\} & \simeq \hat{\operatorname{ad}} \circ(1 \lambda p) \circ\{(w \circ p) \lambda 1\} \\
& =\hat{\operatorname{ad}} \circ(w \lambda 1) \circ(p \lambda p) \\
& =\operatorname{ad} \circ(p \lambda p)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the uniqueness of the homotopy class of ad. The other is similar.
The following proposition is partly due to Kono-Kozima [8] and Hamanaka [5] and is proved in the same manner. Let $\beta_{*}$ and $\wp_{*}^{1}$ be the dual maps of $\beta$ and $\wp^{1}$ respectively. Denote $a * a^{\prime}=f_{*}\left(a \otimes a^{\prime}\right)$ for $f=\mathrm{ad}, \mathrm{ad}, \hat{\mathrm{ad}}$, ad or ad.

Proposition 2.1. (i) $a * a^{\prime}$ is primitive if $a^{\prime}$ is primitive.
(ii) $\beta_{*}\left(a * a^{\prime}\right)=\left(\beta_{*} a\right) * a^{\prime}+(-1)^{|a|} a *\left(\beta_{*} a^{\prime}\right)$.
(iii) $\wp_{*}^{1}\left(a * a^{\prime}\right)=\left(\wp_{*}^{1} a\right) * a^{\prime}+a *\left(\wp_{*}^{1} a^{\prime}\right)$.
(iv) $\left(a a^{\prime}\right) * a^{\prime \prime}=a *\left(a^{\prime} * a^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(v) Let * mean $\overline{\mathrm{ad}}_{*}$, ad $_{*}$ or $\tilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{*}$. If $a$ is primitive, then $a * a^{\prime}=a a^{\prime}+$ $(-1)^{|a|\left|a^{\prime}\right|+1} a^{\prime} a$.
3. $A d E_{6}$

According to Araki [2], as an algebra

$$
H^{*}\left(A d E_{6}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{3}\left[\bar{x}_{2}, \bar{x}_{8}\right] /\left(\bar{x}_{2}^{9}, \bar{x}_{8}^{3}\right) \otimes \Lambda\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{3}, \bar{x}_{7}, \bar{x}_{9}, \bar{x}_{11}, \bar{x}_{15}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} \bar{x}_{k}=k, \beta \bar{x}_{1}=\bar{x}_{2}, \wp^{1} \bar{x}_{3}=\bar{x}_{7}$ and $\beta \bar{x}_{7}=\bar{x}_{8}$. We shall determine the Hopf algebra structure of $H^{*}\left(A d E_{6}\right)$.

It is clear that $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)=0(k=1,2)$.
Kono [7] shows that we may put

$$
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right)=\bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}
$$

and hence

$$
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)=\bar{x}_{2}^{3} \otimes \bar{x}_{k-6} \quad(k=7,8)
$$

using a inclusion $i: S U(6) \hookrightarrow E_{6}$ such that $i_{*}: \pi_{3}(S U(6)) \cong \pi_{3}\left(E_{6}\right)$. Note that $i$ induces $\bar{i}: S U(6) / \mathbf{Z}_{3} \rightarrow A d E_{6}$. Moreover according to Baum-Browder [3],

$$
H^{*}\left(S U(6) / \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{3}\left[\bar{\xi}_{2}\right] /\left(\bar{\xi}_{2}^{3}\right) \otimes \Lambda\left(\bar{\xi}_{1}, \bar{\xi}_{3}, \bar{\xi}_{7}, \bar{\xi}_{9}, \bar{\xi}_{11}\right)
$$

as an algebra where $\operatorname{deg} \bar{\xi}_{k}=k$ and $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{\xi}_{k}\right)=\bar{\xi}_{2} \otimes \bar{\xi}_{k-2}(k=3,9)$.
Next, we shall determine $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{9}\right)$. According to Kono [7], we can choose $\bar{x}_{9}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{9}\right)= & \alpha_{1} \bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{7}+\alpha_{2} \bar{x}_{2}^{3} \otimes \bar{x}_{3}  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\alpha_{1} \bar{x}_{2}^{4} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}+\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{8} \otimes \bar{x}_{1} \quad\left(\alpha_{k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we shall show that we may put $\alpha_{1}=1$. According to Borel [4], as an algebra

$$
H^{*}\left(E_{6}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{3}\left[x_{8}\right] /\left(x_{8}^{3}\right) \otimes \Lambda\left(x_{3}, x_{7}, x_{9}, x_{11}, x_{15}, x_{17}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} x_{k}=k, \wp^{1} x_{3}=x_{7}, \beta x_{7}=x_{8}$ and $w^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)=x_{k}(k=3,7,8,9,11,15) . \quad$ By Kudo's transgression theorem [11], we have as an algebra

$$
H^{*}\left(\tilde{E}_{6}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{3}\left[\tilde{y}_{18}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(\tilde{x}_{9}, \tilde{x}_{11}, \tilde{x}_{15}, \tilde{x}_{17}, \tilde{y}_{19}, \tilde{y}_{23}\right)
$$

where $\quad \operatorname{deg} \tilde{x}_{k}=\operatorname{deg} \tilde{y}_{k}=k, \quad \beta \tilde{y}_{18}=\tilde{y}_{19}, \quad \wp^{1} \tilde{y}_{19}=\tilde{y}_{23} \quad$ and $\quad p^{*}\left(x_{k}\right)=\tilde{x}_{k}$ $(k=9,11,15,17)$. Let $\tilde{S U}(6)$ be the 3 -connective cover over $S U(6)$. Then, we have as an algebra

$$
H^{*}(\tilde{S U}(6))=\mathbf{Z}_{3}\left[\tilde{\zeta}_{18}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(\tilde{\xi}_{5}, \tilde{\xi}_{9}, \tilde{\xi}_{11}, \tilde{\zeta}_{7}, \tilde{\zeta}_{19}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{\xi}_{k}=\operatorname{deg} \tilde{\zeta}_{k}=k$. Furthermore, according to Nishimura [12], we can choose $\tilde{\zeta}_{18}$ such that $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\tilde{\zeta}_{18}\right)=\tilde{\xi}_{9} \otimes \tilde{\xi}_{9}$.

Note that $i$ induces $\tilde{i}: \tilde{S U}(6) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{6}$. We can easily check that we can choose $\tilde{y}_{18}$ such that $\tilde{i}^{*}\left(\tilde{y}_{18}\right)=\tilde{\zeta}_{18}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\tilde{y}_{18}\right)=\tilde{x}_{9} \otimes \tilde{x}_{9} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{i}^{*}\left(\tilde{x}_{9}\right)=\tilde{i}^{*} \circ p^{*} \circ w^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{9}\right)= \pm \tilde{\xi}_{9} \neq 0$. Consider the following homotopycommutative diagram:


Since $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{\xi}_{9}\right)=\bar{\xi}_{2} \otimes \bar{\xi}_{7}$, we may put $\alpha_{1}=1$.
To determine $\alpha_{2}$, we need to compute $\overline{\mathrm{ad}}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{9}\right)$ and dualize it to homology. By (3.1), we have

$$
\overline{\operatorname{ad}}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{9}\right)=1 \otimes \bar{x}_{9}+\bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{7}+\alpha_{2} \bar{x}_{2}^{3} \otimes \bar{x}_{3}+(\text { OTHER TERMS })
$$

Let $\bar{a}_{k}$ be the dual element of $\bar{x}_{k}$ and $\bar{a}_{6}$ be that of $\bar{x}_{2}^{3}$ with respect to the monomial basis. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_{9} & =\bar{a}_{2} * \bar{a}_{7} \\
& =\alpha_{2} \bar{a}_{6} * \bar{a}_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a Hopf space $G$, put $P_{*}(G)=\left\{a \in H_{*}(G), a\right.$ is primitive $\}$. Applying $\wp_{*}^{1}$ for $\bar{a}_{6} * \bar{a}_{7} \in P_{13}\left(A d E_{6}\right)=0$, we have $\bar{a}_{2} * \bar{a}_{7}+\bar{a}_{6} * \bar{a}_{3}=0$. Hence we have $\bar{a}_{9}=$ $\bar{a}_{2} * \bar{a}_{7}=-\bar{a}_{6} * \bar{a}_{3}$ and thus $\alpha_{2}=-1$. Accordingly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{9}\right)= & \bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{7}-\bar{x}_{2}^{3} \otimes \bar{x}_{3} \\
& +\bar{x}_{2}^{4} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}+\bar{x}_{8} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we shall determine $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{11}\right)$. According to Kono [7], we can choose $\bar{x}_{11}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{11}\right)= & \alpha^{\prime}\left(\bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{9}-\bar{x}_{2}^{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{7}-\bar{x}_{2}^{4} \otimes \bar{x}_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{x}_{8} \otimes \bar{x}_{3}-\bar{x}_{2}^{5} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}+\bar{x}_{2} \bar{x}_{8} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}\right) \quad\left(\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\overline{\operatorname{ad}}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{11}\right)=1 \otimes \bar{x}_{11}+\alpha^{\prime} \bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{9}+(\text { OTHER TERMS })
$$

and

$$
\bar{a}_{11}=\alpha^{\prime} \bar{a}_{2} * \bar{a}_{9}
$$

To determine $\alpha^{\prime}$, it suffices to show the following lemma. Let $\tilde{a}_{k}, \tilde{b}_{k}$ be the dual elements of $\tilde{x}_{k}, \tilde{y}_{k}$ respectively with respect to the monomial basis.

Lemma 3.1. We can choose $\tilde{a}_{11}$ such that $\tilde{a}_{11}=\bar{a}_{2} * \tilde{a}_{9}$ and $\tilde{b}_{18}=\bar{a}_{7} * \tilde{a}_{11}$.
It follows that $\bar{a}_{11}=\bar{a}_{2} * \bar{a}_{9}$ by (2.1) and the above lemma. Thus $\alpha^{\prime}=1$ and hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{11}\right)= & \bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{9}-\bar{x}_{2}^{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{7}-\bar{x}_{2}^{4} \otimes \bar{x}_{3} \\
& +\bar{x}_{8} \otimes \bar{x}_{3}-\bar{x}_{2}^{5} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}+\bar{x}_{2} \bar{x}_{8} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of lemma 3.1. By (3.2) we have $\tilde{a d}^{*}\left(\tilde{y}_{18}\right)=1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{18}-\tilde{x}_{9} \otimes \tilde{x}_{9}$ and hence we get $\tilde{b}_{18}=-\tilde{a}_{9} * \tilde{a}_{9}$. Using (2.1), we obtain $\tilde{b}_{18}=-\bar{a}_{9} * \tilde{a}_{9}$. Substituting $\bar{a}_{9}=\bar{a}_{2} * \bar{a}_{7}=\bar{a}_{2} \bar{a}_{7}-\bar{a}_{7} \bar{a}_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{b}_{18} & =-\left(\bar{a}_{2} \bar{a}_{7}\right) * \tilde{a}_{9}+\left(\bar{a}_{7} \bar{a}_{2}\right) * \tilde{a}_{9} \\
& =\bar{a}_{7} *\left(\bar{a}_{2} * \tilde{a}_{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\bar{a}_{7} * \tilde{a}_{9} \in P_{16}\left(\tilde{E}_{6}\right)=0$. Accordingly we may put as desired.
Finally, we shall determine $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{15}\right)$. We can choose $\bar{a}_{15}$ such that $\wp_{*}^{1} \bar{a}_{15}=$ $\bar{a}_{11}$. In fact, we may put $\bar{a}_{15}=\bar{a}_{6} * \bar{a}_{9}$. Hence we have $\wp^{1} \bar{x}_{11}=\bar{x}_{15}$. We can determine $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{15}\right)$ by applying $\wp^{1}$ for $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{11}\right)$.

Remark 3.2. Kono [7] determines $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)(k=9,11,15)$ using $\beta$-operation.
Thus we have determined the Hopf algebra structure of $H^{*}\left(A d E_{6}\right)$. Simultaneously, we can easily determine the cohomology operations in it.
4. $E_{6}$

We shall determine the Hopf algebra structure of $H^{*}\left(E_{6}\right)$. It is obvious that $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right)=0(k=3,7,8,9)$. According to $\S 3$, we have $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{8} \otimes x_{k-8}$ $(k=11,15)$. Hence we are left to determine $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(x_{17}\right)$.

According to Ishitoya-Kono-Toda [6], we can choose $x_{17}$ such that

$$
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(x_{17}\right)=\delta x_{8} \otimes x_{9} \quad\left(\delta \in \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{ad}^{*}\left(x_{17}\right)=1 \otimes x_{17}+\delta\left(x_{8} \otimes x_{9}-x_{9} \otimes x_{8}\right)
$$

and $a_{17}=\delta a_{8} * a_{9}$ where $a_{k}$ is the dual element of $x_{k}$ with respect to the monomial basis.

To determine $\delta$, we need the following.
Lemma 4.1. We can put $a_{17}=-\bar{a}_{6} * a_{11}$.
Proof. We may put $\tilde{b}_{19}=\bar{a}_{8} * \tilde{a}_{11}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{*}\left(\bar{a}_{8} * \tilde{a}_{11}\right) & =\left(\beta_{*} \bar{a}_{8}\right) * \tilde{a}_{11}+\bar{a}_{8} *\left(\beta_{*} \tilde{a}_{11}\right) \\
& =\bar{a}_{7} * \tilde{a}_{11} \\
& =\tilde{b}_{18} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can easily compute that

$$
\overline{\operatorname{ad}}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{8}\right)=1 \otimes \bar{x}_{8}+\bar{x}_{2}^{3} \otimes \bar{x}_{2}-\bar{x}_{2} \otimes \bar{x}_{2}^{3}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{a}_{8} & =-\bar{a}_{2} * \bar{a}_{6}  \tag{4.1}\\
& =\bar{a}_{6} \bar{a}_{2}-\bar{a}_{2} \bar{a}_{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{b}_{19} & =\left(\bar{a}_{6} \bar{a}_{2}-\bar{a}_{2} \bar{a}_{6}\right) * \tilde{a}_{11} \\
& =\bar{a}_{6} *\left(\bar{a}_{2} * \tilde{a}_{11}\right)-\bar{a}_{2} *\left(\bar{a}_{6} * \tilde{a}_{11}\right) \\
& =-\bar{a}_{2} *\left(\bar{a}_{6} * \tilde{a}_{11}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we may put $\tilde{a}_{17}=-\bar{a}_{6} * \tilde{a}_{11}$. By the definition of ad, we have $a_{17}=$ $-\bar{a}_{6} * a_{11}$.

Applying $\wp_{*}^{1}$ for $\bar{a}_{6} * a_{15} \in P_{21}\left(E_{6}\right)=0$, we have $\bar{a}_{2} * a_{15}+\bar{a}_{6} * a_{11}=0$ and hence we get $a_{17}=\bar{a}_{2} * a_{15}$. Substituting (4.1) for $\bar{a}_{8} * a_{9}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_{8} * a_{9} & =\bar{a}_{6} *\left(\bar{a}_{2} * a_{9}\right)-\bar{a}_{2} *\left(\bar{a}_{6} * a_{9}\right) \\
& =\bar{a}_{6} * a_{11}-\bar{a}_{2} * a_{15} \\
& =-a_{17}-a_{17} \\
& =a_{17} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of ad, we have $a_{8} * a_{9}=a_{17}$. Thus, we may put $\delta=1$ and hence we obtain

$$
\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(x_{17}\right)=x_{8} \otimes x_{9} .
$$

Besides we can easily determine the cohomology operations in $H^{*}\left(E_{6}\right)$.
5. $\tilde{E}_{6}$

In §3, we have $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\tilde{y}_{18}\right)=\tilde{x}_{9} \otimes \tilde{x}_{9}$. It is clear that $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\tilde{x}_{k}\right)=0$ $(k=9,11,15,17)$ and $\bar{\mu}^{*}\left(\tilde{y}_{k}\right)=0(k=19,23)$. Checking the cohomology operations is easy.
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