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## 0. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $A$ is a commutative ring with non-zero identity, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is a sequence of elements of $A$ of length $s>0, \mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $A$ and $M$ is an $A$-module. We use $\mathbf{N}$ (respectively $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ ) to denote the set of positive (respectively non-negative) integers. For each $i(1 \leq i \leq s)$, let $\mathfrak{q}_{i}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)$, $\mathfrak{q}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{0}=(0)$. If there is no confusion, the associated graded ring $\quad G_{\mathrm{q}}(A)=\oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{q}^{n} / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1}$ and the associated graded module $G_{\mathbf{q}}(M)=$ $\oplus_{n \geq 0} q^{n} M / q^{n+1} M$ are denoted by $G$ and $G(M)$ respectively. We put $h_{i}=$ $x_{i} \operatorname{modq}{ }^{2}(1 \leq i \leq s)$, the initial forms of $x_{i}$ 's in $G$.

The concept of a $d$-sequence is given by Huneke (see [5]) and it plays an important role in the theory of Buchsbaum modules and in the theory of Blow up algebra, e.g. Ress Algebra. The sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ of elements of $A$ is called a $d$-sequence on $M$ if, for each $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$, the equality

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} A x_{j}\right) M:_{M} x_{i+1} x_{k}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} A x_{j}\right) M:_{M} x_{k}
$$

holds for all $k \geq i+1$ (this is actually a slight weakening of Huneke's definition); it is an unconditioned strong $d$-sequence (u.s. $d$-sequence) on $M$ if $x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, x_{s}^{\alpha_{s}}$ is a $d$-sequence in any order for all positive integers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$.

It is well known that if $A$ is local, $M$ is finitely generated and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is a system of parameters for $M$, then $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$ if and only if the natural homomorphism $H_{i}(\mathfrak{q}, M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^{i}(M)$ is surjective for all $i<s$. Although these natural homomorphisms do provide a satisfactory characterization of u.s. $d$-sequence, they have the disadvantage that their underlying ring is local and the ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ is a parameter ideal of $M$.

In [7], for a sequence $x=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ of elements of $A$, we established the canonical homomorphisms $\bar{\Psi}_{x, M}^{\bullet}$ between the homology modules of the Koszul complex $K_{\bullet}(x, M)$ and the homology modules of a complex $C(\mathscr{A}(x), M)$ of $A$-modules which involves modules of generalized fractions derived from $M$ and the sequence $x$. Then we showed that these canonical homomorphisms do provide
useful criteria for u.s. $d$-sequences without any restriction on $A$ and $M$. The purpose of this paper is to show that our criteria for u.s. $d$-sequences is good help when we treat the u.s. $d$-sequences in relation with associated graded modules. Indeed we shall prove, among other things, the following two theorems.

Theorem A. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an u.s. d-sequence on $M$, then it is an unconditioned q -filter regular sequence on $M$ and the sequence $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ constitute an u.s.dsequence on $G_{\mathrm{q}}(M)$. Moreover if $A$ is Noetherian and $M$ is finitely generated, the converse is also true.

The proof of Theorem A is divided in two parts. The proof of the first part of the theorem is given in 2.3, while the second part of the theorem is a consequence of 2.4. It is shown, in 2.5, that the result [3, 2.12] of Goto and Yamagishi can be deduced from Theorem $A$.

Theorem B. For an ideal a of a Noetherian ring A, a finitely generated $A$ module $M$ and a positive integer $s$, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $H_{a}^{j}(M)$ is finitely generated for all $j<s$,
(ii) There is an a-filter regular sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ on $M$ such that $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ is an unconditioned I-filter regular sequence on $G_{\mathrm{q}}(M)$ and $H_{I}^{j}\left(G_{\mathrm{q}}(M)\right)$ is finitely generated $G$-module for all $j<s$, where $I=\sum_{i=1}^{s} h_{i} G_{\mathbf{q}}(A)$.

## 1. Notations and preparatory results

We say that a sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ of elements of $A$ is an $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$ if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s} \in \mathfrak{a}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A x_{j}\right) M:_{M} x_{i}\right) /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A x_{j}\right) M\right) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, s$, where $V(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the set of prime ideals containing $\mathfrak{a}$. When such property holds in any order, we will say that the sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ form an unconditioned $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$. The concept of an $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$ is a generalization of the one of a filter regular sequence which has been studied in [9], [12], [13] and has led to some interesting results. Note that both concepts coincide if $A$ is local, $M$ is finitely generated, and $\mathfrak{a}$ is the maximal ideal of $A$. Also note that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is a poor $M$-sequence $[15, \S 2]$ if and only if it is an $A$-filter regular sequence on $M$. $D$-sequences are closely related to filter regular sequences. It is easy to see that if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is a $d$-sequence on $M$, then it is an $\sum_{i=1}^{s} A x_{i}$-filter regular sequence on $M$. For the converse, we have the following
1.1. Remarks. Consider the special case in which $A$ is Noetherian and $M$ is finitely generated.
(i) By slight modification in the arguments of [13, 2.1], one can show that if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an a-filter regular sequence on $M$, then, for each $k>0$, there exists
an ascending sequence of integers $k \leq r_{1} \leq \cdots \leq r_{s}$ such that $x_{1}^{r_{1}}, \ldots, x_{s}^{r_{s}}$ is a $d$-sequence on $M$.
(ii) $[3,6.12]$ Let $A$ be local with maximal ideal $m$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ be an unconditioned m -filter regular sequence on $M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ form an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$;
(b) $x_{j+1} H_{\mathrm{m}}^{i}\left(M / \mathfrak{q}_{j} M\right)=0$ for every $0 \leq i+j<s$.

Now we recall some facts about $d$-sequences which are needed for the proof of the main results in this paper. The reader is referred to $[4,5.1 .1]$ and $[3,1.3,1.6$ and 1.9(2)] for their proofs.
1.2. Proposition. (i) $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ form a d-sequence on $M$ if and only if the equality

$$
\left[\mathfrak{q}_{i-1} M: M \quad x_{i}\right] \cap \mathfrak{q} M=\mathfrak{q}_{i-1} M
$$

holds for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.
(ii) if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ form a $d$-sequence on $M$, then the equalities

$$
\mathfrak{q}_{i-1} M \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n} M=\mathfrak{q}_{i} \mathfrak{q}^{n-1} M \quad \text { and } \quad x_{1}^{m} M \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n} M=x_{1}^{m} \mathfrak{q}^{n-m} M
$$

hold for every $1 \leq i \leq s, m>0$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.
(iii) $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ form a d-sequence on $G_{\mathfrak{9}}(M)$ if and only if the equality

$$
\left[\mathfrak{q}_{i-1} \mathfrak{q}^{n} M+\mathfrak{q}^{n+2} M:_{M} x_{i}\right] \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n} M=\mathfrak{q}_{i-1} \mathfrak{q}^{n-1} M+\mathfrak{q}^{n+1} M
$$

holds for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n>0$.
(iv) If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ form $a$-sequence on $M$, then the equality

$$
\left[\mathfrak{q}_{i} M: M \quad x_{i+1}\right] \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n} M=\mathfrak{q}_{i} \mathfrak{q}^{n-1} M
$$

holds for every $0 \leq i \leq s$ and $n>0$, where $x_{s+1}=1$.
For a system of elements $x=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ of $A$, let $K_{\bullet}(x, M)$ and $H_{*}(x, M)$ denote the Koszul complex generated by $x$ over $M$ and the homology module of the Koszul complex, respectively. When discussing the Koszul complex, we shall use the notation of [8]. In particular, we shall abbreviate $K_{p}(x, M)$ to $K_{p}(M)$ when no confusion is possible. Also, in this paper, we shall use the concept of a modules of generalized fractions introduced in [11]. The notations and terminology concerning triangular subset of $A^{n}$ (for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) and modules of generalized fractions will be the same as that used in [7, §2]. In particular, $C(\mathscr{A}(x), M)$ denotes the associated complex of modules of generalized fractions derived from $x$ and $M$.

In [7, §2], we established the homomorphism $\bar{\Psi}_{x, M}^{p}$ between the Koszul homology module $H_{s-p}(x, M)$ and the $p$-th homology module of the complex $C(\mathscr{A}(x), M)$. Let us recall briefly the construction of these morphisms and review the main result of $[7, \S 2]$ which play a significant role in the proof of the main results of this paper.

Write the associated complex $C(\mathscr{A}(x), M)$ as

$$
0 \xrightarrow{e_{x, M}^{-1}} M \xrightarrow{e_{x, M}^{0}} U(x)_{1}^{-1} M \xrightarrow{e_{x, M}^{j}} \cdots \longrightarrow U(x)_{i}^{-i} M \xrightarrow{e_{x, M}^{i}} U(x)_{i+1}^{-i-1} M \longrightarrow \cdots .
$$

For each integer $p$ with $0 \leq p \leq s$, we define

$$
\Psi_{x, M}^{p}: K_{s-p}(M) \longrightarrow U(x)_{p}^{-p} M
$$

as follows. $\quad \Psi_{x, M}^{0}$ is the identity map, $\Psi_{x, M}^{s}(b)=\frac{b}{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)}$ for all $b \in M$ and, for each $1 \leq p \leq s-1, \Psi_{x, M}^{p}$ is defined by the rule

$$
\Psi_{x, M}^{p}\left(b e_{i_{1} \cdots i_{s-p}}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{b}{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)} & \text { if }\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-p}\right)=(p+1, \ldots, s) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $b \in M$. It is easily seen that, for all $0 \leq p \leq s, \Psi_{x, M}^{p}$ is an $A$-homomorphism and that the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \xrightarrow{e_{x, M}^{-1}} M \quad \xrightarrow{e_{x, M}^{0}} U(x)_{1}^{-1} M \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow U(x)_{s-1}^{-s+1} M \xrightarrow{e_{x, M}^{s-1}} U(x)_{s}^{-s} M
\end{aligned}
$$

is commutative. Therefore, for all $0 \leq p \leq s-1, \quad \Psi_{x, M}^{p}$ induces an $A$ homomorphism $H_{s-p}(x, M) \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{p-1}}$ which is denoted by $\bar{\Psi}_{x, M}^{p}$.
1.3. Theorem. [7, 2.4]. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$;
(ii) For any permutation $\sigma$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, the canonical homomorphism

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{\sigma(x), M}^{p}: H_{s-p}(\sigma(x), M) \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{\sigma(x), M}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{\sigma(x), M}^{p-1}}
$$

is surjective for all $p$ with $0 \leq p \leq s-1$, where $\sigma(x):=x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(s)}$.
For an ideal $\mathbf{b}$ of $A$ and $b \in A$, we shall denote the submodule

$$
\left\{m \in M: b^{r} m \in \mathrm{~b} M \text { for some } r \in \mathbf{N}_{0}\right\}
$$

of $M$ by $\mathrm{b} M:_{M}\langle b\rangle$. Assume that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ form an unconditioned $a$-filter regular sequence on $M$ and that $x_{s}$ is a non-zero-divisor on $M$. Then, by using the fact that $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A x_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\right) M:_{M}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A x_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\right) M:_{M}\left\langle x_{s}\right\rangle$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1} \in \mathbf{N}$, we may apply the same arguments as in the proof [7, 2.3] to obtain, for each $0 \leq i \leq s$, the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow U(x)_{i}^{-i} M \xrightarrow{x_{s}} U(x)_{i}^{-i} M \longrightarrow U(x)_{i}^{-i}\left(M / x_{s} M\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $U(x)_{i}^{-i} M \longrightarrow U(x)_{i}^{-i}\left(M / x_{s} M\right)$ is the natural homomorphism. Put $\bar{M}=M / x_{s} M$. Then the above exact sequence induces the exact sequence of complexes

$$
0 \longrightarrow C(\mathscr{A}(x), M) \xrightarrow{x_{s}} C(\mathscr{A}(x), M) \longrightarrow C(\mathscr{A}(x), \bar{M}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

which, in turn, yields the exact complex

$$
\begin{align*}
\cdots & \longrightarrow H^{i}(C(\mathscr{A}(x), M)) \xrightarrow{x_{s}} H^{i}(C(\mathscr{A}(x), M)) \\
& \longrightarrow H^{i}(C(\mathscr{A}(x), \bar{M})) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{i}} H^{i+1}(C(\mathscr{A}(x), M)) \longrightarrow \cdots . \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Throughout the paper, we shall appeal to such exact complexes without further comments.
1.4. Remark. In this note we shall employ the notion of graded modules. For an integer $n$ and a graded module $X$, we define $X(n)$ as the module $X$ whose grading is given by $[X(n)]_{m}=X_{n+m}$. Also it should be observed that, if $X$ is a graded module over a graded commutative ring $R$ (with identity) and $U$ is a triangular subset of $R^{n}(n \in \mathbf{N})$ composed of homogeneous elements, then $U^{-n} X$ has graded structure as $R$-module which is such that, for a homogeneous element $x \in X$ and $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in U$, the degree of the fraction $\frac{x}{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)}$ is $\operatorname{deg} x-$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg} u_{i}$. Hence, for a chain of graded triangular subsets $\mathscr{U}$ on $R$, every homology module of the complex $C(\mathscr{U}, X)$ has graded structure as $R$-module (see [1]). When discussing such complexes, we shall use the above mentioned grading.

## 2. Proof of the main results

It was shown in [12, Appendix 2(i)] that whenever $A$ is local (Noetherian) with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}, M$ is finitely generated and $s$ is a positive integer, then there exists an $m$-filter regular sequence on $M$ of length $s$. The following proposition establishes a similar result for unconditioned filter regular sequences.
2.1. Proposition. Suppose that $A$ is Noetherian and that $M$ is finitely generated. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ is an unconditioned $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$, then there exists an element $x_{r+1} \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, x_{r+1}$ is an unconditioned $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$.

Proof. If $r=0$, then choose $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{a} \backslash \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M) \backslash V(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p}$ arbitrary. So suppose that $r \geq 1$. Set

$$
S:=\left\{\mathfrak{p}: \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(M /\left(\sum_{i \in I} A x_{i}\right) M\right), I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, r\}\right\}
$$

and let $x_{r+1} \in \mathfrak{a} \backslash \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in S \backslash V(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p}$. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r+1}$ be any permutation of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r+1}$ and suppose that $y_{l}=x_{r+1}$ for some $1 \leq l \leq r+1$. To complete the proof,
it is now sufficient to show that, for each $i=1, \ldots, r+1, y_{i} \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in$ $\operatorname{Ass}\left(M /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A y_{j}\right) M\right) \backslash V(\mathfrak{a})$. To do this assume contrary. Then there exist an integer $i$, with $l+1 \leq i \leq r+1$, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(M /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A y_{j}\right) M\right) \backslash V(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $y_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$. It is easy to see that $y_{1}, \ldots, \check{y}_{l}, \ldots, y_{i}, y_{l}$ is an $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$, where the character with " means that it is deleted. Now, by slight modification in the arguments of $[9,2.2]$, one can show that $\frac{y_{1}}{1}, \ldots, \frac{\check{y}_{l}}{1}, \ldots, \frac{y_{i}}{1}, \frac{y_{l}}{1} \in \mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$-sequence. Hence, by $\left[8\right.$, p. 127] $, \frac{y_{1}}{1}, \ldots, \frac{y_{l}}{1}, \ldots, \frac{y_{i}}{1}$ forms an $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ sequence too. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \notin \operatorname{Ass}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}} / \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} y_{j} M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, which is impossible by the choice of $\mathfrak{p}$.
2.2. Lemma. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ be an u.s.d-sequence on $M$. Then, for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
0:_{G_{q}(M)} h_{1}^{\alpha}=\left(0:_{M} x_{1}\right)(0) .
$$

Proof. Let $g \in 0:_{G(M)} h_{1}^{\alpha}$ be a homogeneous element of degree $n(\geq 0)$. Choose an element $y$ of $\mathfrak{q}^{n} M$ such that $g=y \bmod \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} M$ in $[G(M)]_{n}$. Then $x_{1}^{\alpha} y \in x_{1}^{\alpha} M \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n+\alpha+1} M$. Hence, by $1.2\left(\right.$ ii), $x_{1}^{\alpha} y \in x_{1}^{\alpha} q^{n+1} M$. Therefore, it is the case that $y=u \bmod \mathfrak{q} M$ for some $u \in 0: M x_{1}^{\alpha}$ if $n=0$, but $y \in \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} M$ if $n>0$. Hence the inclusion $\subseteq$ holds. As the opposite inclusion is trivially true, the result follows.

Next, we show that the result [3, 2.10] of Goto and Yamagishi is quickely derived from our criteria 1.3 for u.s. $d$-sequences.
2.3. Theorem. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ be an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$. Then $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ form an u.s.d-sequence on $G_{q}(M)$.

Proof. Let $I=\sum_{i=1}^{s} h_{i} G$. It follows from 1.2 (ii) (iii) (iv) that every permutation of $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ is a $d$-sequence on $G(M)$. Hence, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0:_{G(M)} h_{i}=0:_{G(M)} I \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $h=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$. In order to prove the result, it suffices, in view of 1.3, to show that $\bar{\Psi}_{h, G(M)}^{p}$ is surjective for all integer $p$ with $0 \leq p \leq s-1$. We prove this by induction on $p$. By (1) it is clear that the canonical homomorphism $\bar{\Psi}_{h, G(M)}^{0}: H_{s}(I, G(M)) \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{0}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{-1}}$ is surjective. Let $p$ be an integer with $1 \leq p \leq s-1$ and suppose that the result has been proved for $p-1$. Set $\tilde{G}:=G(M) /\left(0:_{G(M)} h_{s}\right)$. In view of (1), it is easy to see that $U(h)_{p}^{-p}\left(0:_{G(M)} h_{s}\right)$ $=0$ for all $p \geq 1$. Therefore the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(0:_{G(M)} h_{s}\right) \longrightarrow G(M) \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

yields an exact complex similar to (*) which in turn implies that $\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{p-1}} \cong$ $\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, \tilde{G}}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, \tilde{G}}^{p-1}}$ for all $p \geq 1$. On the other hand, it follows from (1) that, the Koszul homology module $H_{s-p}\left(h, 0:_{G(M)} h_{s}\right)$ is a direct sum of copies of $0:_{G(M)} h_{s}$ for all $p=1, \ldots, s$. Now, using the elementrary fact on the Koszul complex together with $1.2(\mathrm{i})$, we may deduce that the map $H_{s-p}\left(h, 0:_{G(M)} h_{s}\right) \longrightarrow H_{s-p}(h, G(M))$ is injective for all $p=1, \ldots, s$. Therefore, for all $p=1, \ldots, s-1$, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
H_{s-p}(h, G(M)) & \longrightarrow \\
\left.\right|_{h, G(M)} & H_{s-p}(h, \tilde{G}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\frac{\bar{\psi}_{h,}^{p}}{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{p}} & \\
\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{p-1} & \\
& \\
\operatorname{im} e_{h, \tilde{G}}^{p-1}
\end{array}
$$

in which the upper row is exact and the lower row is the natural isomorphism. Hence we may assume, without loss of generality, that $h_{s}$ is a non-zero-divisor on $G(M)$. Put $A^{\prime}=A / x_{s}^{2} A, M^{\prime}=M / x_{s}^{2} M, \mathfrak{q}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{q} A^{\prime}$ and $G\left(M^{\prime}\right)=G_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Then, using the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow G(M)(-2) \xrightarrow{h_{s}^{2}} G(M) \longrightarrow G\left(M^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

we obtain, for all integer $p$, the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
H_{s-(p-1)}\left(h, G\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H_{s-p}(h, G(M)(-2)) \xrightarrow{h_{s}^{2}} H_{s-p}(h, G(M)) \\
\|_{h, G\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{p-1} \\
\|_{h, G(M)(-2)}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{p-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{p-2}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)(-2)}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)(-2)}^{p-1}} \quad \xrightarrow{h_{s}^{2}} \quad \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which the rows are exact and, by inductive hypothesis, the map $\bar{\Psi}_{h, G\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{p-1}$ is surjective. Therefore in order to complete the inductive step it is enough to show that $h_{s}^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)(-2)}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)(-2)}^{p-1}}\right)=0$. Now, let $Y \in \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)(-2)}^{p}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)(-2)}^{p-1}}=0$. Then, by employing a method of proof which is similar to that used in [14, 2.3(ii)], there exists $t \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $h_{s}^{t} Y=0$. If $t \geq 2$, then, using the above diagram, there exists $Z \in H_{s-p}(h, G(M)(-2))$ such that $\bar{\Psi}_{h, G(M)(-2)}^{p}(Z)=h_{s}^{t-2} Y$; which implies that $h_{s}^{t-1} Y=0$, since $h_{s} Z=0$. Now, one can repeat the same arguments to achieve that $h_{s}^{2} Y=0$ as required.

By the example (1) of [3, 1.12] we know that $x_{i}$ 's do not necessarily form an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$ even though the $h_{i}$ 's form an u.s. $d$-sequence on $G(M)$. In the following theorem we discuss about this fact.
2.4. Theorem. Suppose that $A$ is Noetherian and that $M$ is finitely generated. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ be an unconditioned $\mathfrak{q}$-filter regular sequence on $M$ such that $h_{1}^{t}, \ldots, h_{s}^{t}$ forms an u.s.d-sequence on $G_{q}(M)$ for some $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{s}^{l}$ forms an u.s.dsequence on $M$ for $l=s t-s+1$.

Proof. Let $l=s t-s+1$ and let $x^{l}=x_{1}^{l}, \ldots, x_{s}^{l}$. In view of 1.3 , we have to show that $\bar{\Psi}_{x^{\prime}, M}^{p}$ is surjective for all integer $p$ with $0 \leq p \leq s-1$. To do this, first we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(0:_{M} x_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} A x_{j}^{\prime}\right) M=0 \quad \text { for every } 1 \leq i \leq s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r \in\left(0:_{M} x_{i}^{l}\right) \cap\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} A x_{j}^{l}\right) M$ for some $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $g$ be a homogenous element of degree $l$ of $G_{\mathbf{q}}(M)$ such that $g=r \bmod \mathfrak{q}^{l+1} M$ in $\left[G_{q}(M)\right]_{l}$. So $g \in$ $0: G_{q}(M) h_{i}^{l}$. As $h_{i}^{t}$ is a $d$-sequence on $G_{q}(M)$ and $l \geq t$ we have that $g \in 0: G_{q}(M) h_{i}^{t}$. Also it is easy to see that $g \in\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} G_{\mathrm{q}}(A) h_{j}^{l}\right) G_{q}(M)$. Hence, by 1.2 (i), $g=0$; i.e. $r \in \mathfrak{q}^{l+1} M$. Now, one can repeat the same arguments to achieve that $r \in \mathfrak{q}^{\beta} M$ for all $\beta \geq l$. On the other hand, by 1.1 (i), there exist $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x_{1}^{n_{1}}, \ldots, x_{s}^{n_{s}}$ is a $d$-sequence on $M$. Therefore $r \in\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} A x_{j}^{n_{j}}\right) M$; hence, by 1.2 (i), we have $r=0$ and the claim follows.

Now, let $1 \leq i \leq s$ and let $r \in 0:_{M} x_{i}^{\alpha l}$ for some integer $\alpha$ with $\alpha \geq 2$. Then, by (2), $r \in 0:_{M} x_{i}^{l}$. Therefore $0:_{M} x_{i}^{\alpha l}=0:_{M} x_{i}^{l}$. Hence, using the assumption that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ form an unconditioned $\mathfrak{q}$-filter regular sequence on $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0:_{M}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} A x_{j}^{l}\right)=0:_{M} x_{i}^{\alpha l} \quad \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, s \quad \text { and } \alpha \in \mathbf{N} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the canonical homomorphism

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{x^{\prime}, M}^{0}: H_{s}\left(x^{l}, M\right) \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x^{\prime}, M}^{0}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x^{\prime}, M}^{-1}}
$$

is surjective. Next, consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}\right) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow\left(M /\left(0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

to deduce the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \longrightarrow H_{p}\left(x^{l}, 0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}\right) \longrightarrow H_{p}\left(x^{l}, M\right) \\
& \longrightarrow H_{p}\left(x^{l}, M /\left(0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H_{p-1}\left(x^{l}, 0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows, in view of (3), that $H_{p}\left(x^{l}, 0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}\right)$ is a direct sum of some copies of $0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}$ for all $p=0,1, \ldots, s$. Therefore, using (2), it is easy to see that the map

$$
H_{p}\left(x^{l}, 0:_{M} x_{s}^{l}\right) \longrightarrow H_{p}\left(x^{l}, M\right)
$$

is injective. So, for all $1 \leq p \leq s-1$, we have the commutative diagram

in which the upper row is exact and the lower row is the natural isomorphism. Therefore we may assume, without loss of generality, that $x_{s}$ is non-zero-divisor on $M$. Now, by the same arguments as in the proof of 2.3 we can complete the proof.

As we mentioned in the introduction, Theorem A is an immediate consequence of 2.3 and 2.4. Let us now indicate how the result [3, 2.12] of Goto and Yamagishi can be deduced from Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.
2.5. Consequence. Consider the special case in which $A$ is Noetherian, $M$ is finitely generated and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of $A$. Then, using 1.2 (iii), it is straightforward to see that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ forms an unconditioned $\mathfrak{q}$ filter regular sequence on $M$ if $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $G_{q}(M)$. Hence, in view of 2.3 and 2.4 , the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$;
(ii) $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $G_{q}(M)$.
2.6. Remark. Suppose that $A$ is Noetherian and $M$ is finitely generated. Then the existance of u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$ in a are closely related to the finiteness properties of $H_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}(M)$. In fact if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$, then, in view of $[14,2.4],[7,2.4]$ and [2, Lemma 3], it is easy to see that $H_{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}^{i}(M)$ is finite for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. If, in addition, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is an $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$ then, by [7, 1.3(ii)], $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M)$ is finite for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof of Theorem B. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By [6, Theorem], there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that every $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$ of length $s$ is an $\mathfrak{a}^{k}$-weak $M$-sequence. Now suppose that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an unconditioned $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$ in $\mathfrak{a}^{k}$. (Note that the existence of such a sequence is guaranteed by 2.1.) Then $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $M$. Hence, by $2.3, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $G(M)$. Thus, for $0 \leq i \leq s-1, H_{I}^{i}(G(M))$ is finitely generated, as required.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) First of all, using [6, Theorem], we may deduce that $h_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, h_{s}^{\alpha}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $G(M)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence, by $2.4, x_{1}^{\beta}, \ldots, x_{s}^{\beta}$ is an u.s. $d$ sequence on $M$ for some $\beta \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, by our assumption, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an $\mathfrak{a}$-filter regular sequence on $M$. Therefore, by $2.6, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j}(M)$ is finitely generated for all $0 \leq j \leq s-1$.
2.7. Corollary. [10, 4.2]. Suppose that $A$ is local with maximal ideal $m$ and that $M$ is finitely generated of dimension $s(>0)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $H_{\mathrm{m}}^{i}(M)$ is finitely generated for all $0 \leq i \leq s-1$;
(ii) There is a system of parameters $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ for $M$ such that $H_{m^{*}}^{i}\left(G_{q}(M)\right)$ is finitely generated for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$, where $\mathfrak{q}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A x_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{*}$ is the unique graded maximal ideal of $G_{\mathrm{q}}(A)$.

Proof. In view of Theorem $B((\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{ii}))$ it is enough to prove the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). To do this, note that, by the assumption, $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ is a system of parameters for $G_{\mathrm{q}}(M)$ and that, since $H_{\mathrm{m}}^{i} .\left(G_{\mathrm{q}}(M)\right)$ is finitely generated for all $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$, there exists $t \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $h_{1}^{t}, \ldots, h_{s}^{t}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $G_{\mathrm{q}}(M)$. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}$ be any permutation of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$. Then, by applying 1.2 , it is easy to check that the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} y_{j}^{t} \mathfrak{q}^{n} M+\mathfrak{q}^{n+t+1} M:_{M} y_{i}^{t}\right] \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n} M=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} y_{j}^{t} \mathfrak{q}^{n-t} M+\mathfrak{q}^{n+1} M \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n \geq s t-s-1$. Since the sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of $A$, we can deduce from (4) that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is an unconditioned $\mathfrak{q}$-filter regular sequence on $M$. Now the assertion follows from the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Theorem $B$.

The following theorem clarify the structure of the homology modules of the complex $C(\mathscr{A}(h), G(M))$ of $G(A)$-modules which involves modules of generalized fractions derived from $G(M)$ and the u.s. $d$-sequence $h:=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ on $G(M)$. It follows from this theorem in conjunction with $[14,2.4]$ that if $A$ is Noetherian, then $i$-th local cohomology module $H_{\mathrm{q}}^{i}(M)(i)$ and $H_{Q}^{i}(G(M))$, where $Q=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{d} h_{i} G$, are isomorphic. Thus, under Noetherian hypothesis on $A$, the next theorem provide an alternative proof of [3, 4.2].
2.8. Theorem. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ be an u.s.d-sequence on $M$. Then

$$
\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{i-1}} \cong \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{i-1}}(i)
$$

for all $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$.
Proof. We prove this by induction on $s$. If $s=1$, by 2.2 , we have noting to do any more. So, suppose, inductively, that $s>1$ and that the result has been proved for smaller values of $s$. In order to prove the assertion for $s$ we use induction on $i$. By 2.2, it is trivial in case $i=0$, i.e. $\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{0}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{-1}} \cong \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M}^{0}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{-1}}(0)$. Now, suppose that $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ and that the result holds for smaller values of $i$. Put $\bar{M}=M /\left(0:_{M} x_{s}\right)$ and $\bar{G}=G_{\mathrm{q}}(\bar{M})$. Consider the exact sequences

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(0:_{M} x_{s}\right) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \bar{M} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(0:_{G(M)} h_{s}\right) \longrightarrow G(M) \longrightarrow \bar{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and apply 2.3 to obtain

$$
\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{i-1}} \cong \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, \bar{M}}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, \bar{M}}^{i-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{i-1}} \cong \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, \bar{G}}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, \bar{G}}^{i-1}}
$$

for all $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{s}$ (respectively $h_{s}$ ) is a non-zero-divisor on $M$ (respectively $G(M)$ ). Let $A^{\prime}=A / x_{s} A$, $\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{q} A^{\prime}, M^{\prime}=M / x_{s} M$ and $G\left(M^{\prime}\right)=G_{q^{\prime}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Consider the exact sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow G(M)(-1) \xrightarrow{h_{s}} G(M) \longrightarrow G\left(M^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_{s}} M \longrightarrow M^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by $2.3, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ is an u.s. $d$-sequence on $G(M)$, we have that $h_{s} \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i-1}}=0$ for all $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$. Now, from (5), we obtain the induced exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{i-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, \boldsymbol{G}(M)}^{i-2}} \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, \boldsymbol{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{i-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, \boldsymbol{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{i-2}} \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i-1}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

which in turn yields, by applying inductive hypothesis on the module $G\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, $\left[\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i-1}}\right]_{n}=0$ for all $n \neq-i+1$. Similarly, from (6), we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M}^{i-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{i-2}} \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M^{\prime}}^{i-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M^{\prime}}^{i-2}} \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{i-1}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Now, using inductive hypothesis, we may obtain a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
0 \longrightarrow\left[\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)}^{i-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)}^{i-2}}\right]_{-i+1} & \longrightarrow\left[\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{i-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G\left(M^{\prime}\right)}^{i-2}}\right]_{-i+1} & \longrightarrow\left[\frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{h, G(M)(-1)}^{i-1}}\right]_{-i+1} & \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 \longrightarrow \frac{\prod_{\varphi^{\prime}}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{i-2}} & \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M^{\prime}}^{i-1}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M^{\prime}}^{i-2}} & \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{ker} e_{x, M}^{i}}{\operatorname{im} e_{x, M}^{i-1}} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows in which $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ are isomorphisms. Moreover the diagram is commutative because the injections are naturally induced by $M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$. We are therefore able to complete the inductive step; and the result follows by induction.

Note that, although the proof of the above theorem relies on the ideas of Schenzel's proof of $[10,4.1]$, but his theorem is a particular case of ours.
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