The image of cycle map of the classifying space of the exceptional group F_4

By

Nobuaki YAGITA

Abstract

The image of the cycle map $CH^*(BF_4)_{(3)} \to H^*(BF_4)_{(3)}$ is studied by using BP^* -theory and the motivic cohomology.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over the complex number field \mathbb{C} . Let $CH^*(X)$ be its Chow ring and $BP^*(X)$ the Brown-Peterson cohomology localized at a prime p. Totaro [To1] defined the modified cycle map

$$\bar{cl}: CH^n(X)_{(p)} \to (BP^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^{2i}$$

such that its composition with the Thom map $(BP^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^{2n} \to H^{2n}(X)_{(p)}$ is the usual cycle map $cl: CH^n(X)_{(p)} \to H^{2n}(X)_{(p)}$. Totaro conjectures that the above map \bar{cl} is isomorphic for any X = BG where G is a linear algebraic group (e.g. finite group) over \mathbb{C} . While BG itself is not a smooth variety, it is a colimit of smooth varieties and we can define $CH^*(BG)$ naturally ([To1, 2]).

Totaro computed the Chow rings of classifying spaces of abelian groups and symmetric groups in [To1, 2], and he and Pandharipande [To2, P] determined the Chow rings of BO(n), BSO(2n+1) and BSO(4). For these cases the cycle maps \bar{cl} are of course isomorphisms. Vezzosi [Ve] has shown that \bar{cl} is epimorphic for $X = BPGL_3(\mathbb{C})$, p = 3. Surjectivity of \bar{cl} are also shown in [Ya] for the cases $X = BG_2, BSpin(7), BD_8$; the dihedral group of order 8 for p = 2, and Bp_+^{1+2} ; the extraspecial *p*-group of order p^3 and exponent *p* for odd primes.

In this paper, we consider the case that G is an algebraic group which corresponds the exceptional Lie group F_4 and p = 3. The mod 3 ordinary cohomology $H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$ and its cohomology operations are completely determined by Toda [Toda]. The *BP*-theory $BP^*(BF_4)$ is computed by Kono-Yagita in [K-Y]. Using these results we get new information of the cycle map \bar{cl} , while our results are incomplete. The cohomology $H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$ is generated by x_i of degree i = 4, 8, 9, 20, 21, 25, 26, 36 and 48.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification(s). Primary 55P35, 57T25; Secondary 55R35, 57T05 Received April 26, 2003

Revised September 30, 2003

Proposition 1.1. Let $X = BF_4$ and p = 3. If $x_8^2 \in \text{Im}(cl)$, then \bar{cl} is epic.

2. mod 3 cohomology and *BP*-theory

We recall $H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$ and $BP^*(BF_4)$ which are used in the preceding section. The mod 3 cohomology is completely determined by Toda.

Theorem 2.1 ([Toda]). The cohomology $H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$ is (additively) isomorphic to

 $\mathbb{Z}/3[x_{36}, x_{48}] \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/3[x_4, x_8] \otimes \{1, x_{20}, x_{20}^2\} + \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}] \otimes \Lambda(x_9) \otimes \{1, x_{20}, x_{21}, x_{25}\}),$

where the above two terms have the intersection $\{1, x_{20}\}$.

Remark. Toda also determines the multiplicative structure. See [Toda] for detail multiplicative relations ,e.g., $x_{21}x_8 + x_{20}x_9 = 0$.

This theorem is proved by use of the fiber bundle

$$(2.1) \qquad \qquad \Pi \longrightarrow BSpin(9) \longrightarrow BF_4,$$

where $\Pi = F_4/Spin(9)$ is the Cayley plane. Let T be the maximal torus of $Spin(9) \subset F_4$, and W(G) the Weyl group of G. Let $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4]$. It is well-known that

$$H^*(BSpin(9); \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/3)^{W(Spin(9))} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4],$$

where p_i is the Pontrjagin class of degree 4*i*, which is the *i*-th elementary symmetric function of variables u_i^2 . The Weyl group $W(F_4)$ is generated by W(Spin(9)) and by $R(u_i) = u_i - (u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4)/2$. The invariant ring for F_4 is also computed by Toda

(2.2)
$$\begin{aligned} H^*(BT;\mathbb{Z}/3)^{W(F_4)} &\cong \mathbb{Z}/3[p_1,\bar{p}_2,\bar{p}_5,\bar{p}_9,\bar{p}_{12}]/(r_{15}) \subset \mathbb{Z}/3[p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4], \\ \text{where} \quad \bar{p}_2 = p_2 - p_1^2, \quad \bar{p}_5 = p_4p_1 + p_3\bar{p}_2, \quad \bar{p}_9 \equiv p_3^3 \mod(I), \\ \bar{p}_{12} &\equiv p_4^3 \mod(I), \quad r_{15} \equiv \bar{p}_5^3 \mod(I) \quad \text{with } I = Ideal(p_1,\bar{p}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let us write the inclusion $i: T \subset F_4$. The above elements correspond even degree generators (except for x_{26})

(2.3)
$$i^*(x_4) = p_1, \ i^*(x_8) = \bar{p}_2, \ i^*(x_{20}) = \bar{p}_5, \ i^*(x_{36}) = \bar{p}_9, \ i^*(x_{48}) = \bar{p}_{12}.$$

By using this fact, reduced power operations are also given by

(2.4)
$$P^{1}(x_{4}) = -x_{8} + x_{4}^{2}, P^{1}(x_{8}) = x_{8}x_{4}, P^{1}(x_{20}) = 0,$$

 $P^{3}(x_{4}) = 0, P^{3}(x_{8}) = x_{20} - x_{8}^{2}x_{4}, P^{3}(x_{20}) = x_{20}(-x_{8} + x_{4}^{2})x_{4},$
 $P^{3}x_{36} \equiv x_{48} \mod(x_{4}, x_{8}),$ and so on.

The other generators are defined by using the spectral sequence induced from the fibering (2.1)

$$E_2^{*,*} = H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3) \otimes H^*(\Pi; \mathbb{Z}/3) \Longrightarrow H^*(BSpin(9); \mathbb{Z}/3).$$

Let $H^*(\Pi; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[w]/(w^3)$ with |w| = 8. Then odd dimensional generators and x_{26} are given by

$$d_9(w) = x_9, \ d_{17}(x_4w^2) = x_{21}, \ d_{17}(x_8w^2) = x_{25}, \ d_{17}(x_9w^2) = x_{26}.$$

We also know the cohomology operations

(2.5)
$$\beta(x_i) = x_{i+1} \ (i = 8, 20, 25), \qquad P^1(x_{21}) = x_{25}, \quad P^3(x_9) = x_{21}.$$

Now we consider the $BP^*(-)$ -theory. Let us write its coefficient ring by $BP^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots]$ with $|v_i| = -2(p^i - 1)$.

Theorem 2.2 ([K-Y]). The BP-cohomology $BP^*(BF_4)$ has a filtration whose graded ring is

$$grBP^*(BF_4) \cong D \otimes (BP^*\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus BP^* \otimes E \oplus BP^*/(3, v_1, v_2)[x_{26}]\{x_{26}\}),$$

where $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_{36}, x_{48}]$ and $E = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_4, x_8]\{ab|a, b \in \{x_4, x_8, x_{20}\}\}.$

This theorem is proved by use of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2 = H^*(BF_4) \otimes BP^* \Longrightarrow BP^*(BF_4).$$

There is no higher 3-torsion in $H^*(BF_4)$. Hence the integral cohomology can be written as

$$H^*(BF_4)_{(3)} \cong D \otimes (Z_{(3)}\{1, x_4\} \oplus E \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}]\{x_{26}, x_{21}, x_9, x_9x_{21}\}).$$

The first nonzero differential is $d_{2p-1} = v_1 \otimes Q_1$. From (2.4) and (2.5), we have $Q_1(x_4) = x_9$, $Q_1(x_{21}) = x_{26}$. Here we note that x_4 is torsion free but x_9 is 3-torsion. Hence we get

$$E_{2p}^{*,*} \cong D \otimes (BP^*\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus BP^* \otimes E \oplus BP^*/(3, v_1)[x_{26}]\{x_{26}, x_9\}).$$

Since all odd dimensional elements are just v_1 -torsion, it is proved that the next nonzero differential is $d_{2p^2-1} = v_2 \otimes Q_2$. We also know $Q_2x_9 = x_{26}$ from (2.5). Therefore we get

$$E_{2n^2}^{*,*} \cong D \otimes (BP^*\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus BP^* \otimes E \oplus BP^*/(3, v_1, v_2)[x_{26}]\{x_{26}\}).$$

Since this algebra is generated by even dimensional elements, it is also the E_{∞} -term of the spectral sequence. Thus we get the theorem.

3. Cohomology operations and representations

We will study elements in $BP^*(BF_4) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ which are represented by Chern classes or their reduced power operations. At first we know the following fact.

Nobuaki Yagita

Lemma 3.1. Let $RP \subset A_3^*$ be the subalgebra generated by reduced power operations. Then the ring $E/3 \subset H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$ is generated as an RP-algebra by x_4^2, x_8^2 and x_4^3 .

Proof. Recall $E = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_4, x_8]\{ab|a, b \in \{x_4, x_8, x_{20}\}\}$. Hence it is generated as a ring by ab or abc with $a, b \in \{x_4, x_8, x_{20}\}$ and $c \in \{x_4, x_8\}$. Using (2.4), we easily get the following diagram of reduced powers actions.

Here $a \xrightarrow{P^i} b$ means

$$P^i(a) = \pm b \mod(UL),$$

where UL is the subalgebra of E generated by elements which appeared upper or left side than the position of b. For example,

$$x_4^3 \xrightarrow{P^3} x_8^3$$
 follows from $P^3(x_4^3) = -x_8^3 + x_4^6$.

In the diagram, all elements of form ab or abc appeared. Hence we get the lemma.

Next we consider the Chern classes of complex representations. The representation ring of Spin(8) and F_4 are give by ([Yo, page 281])

$$R(Spin(8)) \cong R(T)^{W(Spin(8))} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c, d] \subset \mathbb{Z}[z_1, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_4, z_4^{-1}] = R(T),$$

$$R(F_4) \cong R(T)^{W(F_4)} = \mathbb{Z}[a + b + c, ab + bc + ca, abc, d] \subset R(Spin(8)),$$
where $a = \sum_{1 \le i \le 4} z_i^2 + z_i^{-2}, \ b = \sum_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 = 1} z_1^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2} z_3^{\epsilon_3} z_4^{\epsilon_4},$

$$c = \sum_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 = -1} z_1^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2} z_3^{\epsilon_3} z_4^{\epsilon_4},$$

$$d = 4 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 4} z_i^{2\epsilon_i} z_j^{2\epsilon_j} \quad \text{with } \epsilon_k = 1 \text{ or } -1.$$

Let us write $H^*(BT) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4]$. Then the total Chern class of representations is given by

$$1 + c_1 + c_2 + \dots = c(\sum z_1^{a_1} z_2^{a_2} z_3^{a_3} z_4^{a_4}) = \prod(1 + a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 u_3 + a_4 u_4).$$

Lemma 3.2. We can take the generator $x_{36} \in H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$ by a Chern class.

Proof. Recall $x_{36} \equiv p_3^3 \mod(Ideal(p_1, \bar{p}_2))$. We consider the restriction to the 3-dimensional torus $(S^1)^3 = T_3$ with $H^*(BT_3; \mathbb{Z}/3) = \mathbb{Z}/3[u_1, u_2, u_3]$. Let us write $c(d)|T_3 = c(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. Since p_i is the elementary symmetric function of the variables u_i^2 , if we take $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = u$ and $u_4 = 0$, then

$$p_1 = 0$$
, $p_2 = 0$, $p_3 = u^6$ in $\mathbb{Z}/3[u]$.

Hence we have $c(p_1, p_2, p_3)_{\{u_i=u, 1 \le i \le 3\}} = c(0, 0, u^6)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/3[u]$.

The restriction of the representation d to T_3 is

$$d|T_3 = 4 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 3} z_i^{2\epsilon_i} z_j^{2\epsilon_j} + 2 \sum_{1 \le i \le 3} z_i^{2\epsilon_i}.$$

Hence letting $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = z$, we have

$$d|T_3 = 4 + 3(z^4 + z^{-4} + 2) + 2 \times 3(z^2 + z^{-2}).$$

Thus letting $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = u$, we get

$$c(d)|T_3 = ((1+4u)(1-4u))^3((1+2u)(1-2u))^6 = 1 - u^{18} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/3[u].$$

Therefore we get $c(d)_{19}|T_3 \equiv -p_3^3 \mod(p_1, \bar{p}_2)$. This means that x_{36} can be represented by a Chern class.

Lemma 3.3. In $H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$, x_4^3 , x_4x_8 are represented by Chern classes but x_4^2 , x_8^2 are not.

Proof. Recall $i^*(x_4) = p_1$ and $i^*(x_8) = p_2 - p_1^2$. We consider the restriction to $T_2 = (S^1)^2$ with $H^*(BT_2; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[u_1, u_2]$. Moreover we consider the representation with $z_i^3 = 1$, that is $R(T)/(z_i^3 = 1)$ because we only consider mod(3) cohomology. Let us write $w_i = z_i + z_i^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a|T_2 &= z_1^2 + z_1^{-2} + z_2^2 + z_2^{-2} + 4 = w_1 + w_2 + 4, \\ b|T_2 &= c|T_2 = 2 \sum_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = \pm 1} z_1^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2} = 2w_1 w_2, \\ d|T_2 &= 4 + \sum_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = \pm 1} z_1^{2\epsilon_i} z_j^{2\epsilon_j} + 4(z_1^2 + z_1^{-2} + z_2^2 + z_2^{-2}) + 4 \\ &= 8 + w_1 w_2 + 4(w_1 + w_2). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notations, let us write $A = c(w_1 + w_2)$ and $B = c(w_1w_2)$. Then it is immediate that

$$A = (1 - u_1^2)(1 - u_2^2) = 1 - p_1 + p_2,$$

$$B = (1 - (u_1 + u_2)^2)(1 - (u_1 - u_2)^2) = 1 + p_1 + p_1^2 - p_2.$$

Nobuaki Yagita

Now we compute the total Chern class of representations of F_4 .

$$\begin{aligned} c(d)|T_2 &= A^4B, \qquad c(a+b+c)|T_2 &= c(w_1+w_2+4+4w_1w_2) = AB^4, \\ c(ab+ac+cb)|T_2 &= c(4(w_1+w_2+4)w_1w_2+4w_1^2w_2^2) \\ &= c(4(7w_1w_2+4(w_1+w_2)+4)) = A^{16}B^{28}, \\ c(abc)|T_2 &= c(4(w_1+w_2+4)w_1^2w_2^2) \\ &= c(4(10w_1w_2+16(w_1+w_2)+24)) = A^{64}B^{40}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $w_i^2 = w_i + 2$ and $w_i^3 = 3w_i + 2$.

All above Chern classes have the form for some C

$$ABC^{3} = (1 - p_{1} + p_{2})(1 + p_{1} + p_{1}^{2} - p_{2})C^{3}$$

= $(1 + (-p_{1}^{3} - p_{1}p_{2}) + (p_{1}^{2}p_{2} - p_{2}^{2}))C^{3}.$

Thus the Chern class c_8 is represented by only one generator

$$p_1^2 p_2 - p_2^2 = i^* (-x_8^2 - x_4^2 x_8).$$

For the Chern class c_6 , we get two cases

$$p_1^3 - p_1 p_2 = i^*(-x_4 x_8), \qquad -p_1 p_2 = i^*(-x_4^3 - x_4 x_8).$$

The first (resp. second) case comes from the case $C^3 = (1 - p_1^3 + \cdots)$ (resp. $C^3 = (1 + p_1^3 + \cdots))$, e.g. $C = A^3$ (resp. $C = B^3$).

Lemma 3.4. The element $3x_4 \in H^*(BF_4)_{(3)}$ is represented by a Chern class.

Proof. Consider the restriction to $S^1 = T_1$ with $H^*(BT_1)_{(3)}$. The restriction of the representation d is $d|T_1 = 16 + 6(z_1^2 + z_1^{-2})$. Hence the total Chern class is

$$c(d)|T_1 = (1 - 4u^2)^6 = 1 - 24u^2 + \cdots$$

This means that the element $3x_4$ is represented by a Chern class in $H^*(BF_4)_{(3)}$.

4. Cycle maps

For an algebraic variety X over \mathbb{C} , Suslin-Voevodsky constructed the motivic cohomology $H^{*,*}(X)([Vo2])$. This cohomology has the properties that if X is smooth, then $H^{m,n}(X) \cong 0$ for m > 2n, and $H^{2n,n}(X) \cong CH^n(X)$ the classical Chow ring of algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence. There is the natural map (realization map)

$$t^{m,n}_{\mathbb{C}}: H^{m,n}(X) \to H^m(X)$$

186

such that $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{2m,m}$ is the usual cycle map $cl: CH^m(X) \to H^{2m}(X)$. V. Voevodsky defines ([Vo1, 2]) the cohomology (reduced and Bockstein) operations

$$\beta : H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^{m+1,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

$$P^{i} : H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^{m+2(p-1)i,n+(p-1)i}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

$$Q_{i} : H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^{m+2p^{i}-1,n+p^{i}-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

such that they commute with realization map $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{*,*}$. In particular mod p Chow ring $CH^*(X)/p$ is closed under the reduced power operations. We also know that ([H-K])

$$H^{*,*}(BGL_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[c_1,\ldots,c_n] \otimes H^{*,*}(pt) \quad \text{with } \deg(c_i) = (2i,i).$$

Hence the Chow ring of BG also has Chern classes. Here $H^{*,*}(pt)$ is something complicated but the mod p cohomology is just $H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$ with $\tau \in H^{0,1}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ ([Vo2], [Ya]).

By extending Quillen's [Q] arguments, Levine and Morel defined the algebraic cobordism theory $\Omega^*(-)$ as the universal theory in theories having transfers and Chern classes [L-M1, 2] (We say that $h^*(X)$ is a theory having transfers and Chern classes if this theory satisfies the axioms A1 to A4 in [L-M 1]). Given a theory $h^*(-)$ having transfers and Chern classes, the universality induces the existence of the natural map

$$\rho_h: \Omega^*(-) \to h^*(-).$$

The theories $H^{2*,*}(X) = \bigoplus_n H^{2n,n}(X) \cong CH^*(X)$, $MGL^{2*,*}(X) = \bigoplus_n MGL^{2n,n}(X)$ (motivic cobordism theory defined by V. Vedodsky) and $MU^*(X)$ are typical examples of theories having transfers and Chern classes. In particular, Levine and Morel proves that

$$\rho_{MU}: \Omega^{2n}(pt) \cong MU^{2n}(pt), \qquad \rho_{CH} \otimes_{\Omega^*} \mathbb{Z}: (\Omega^*(X) \otimes_{\Omega^*} \mathbb{Z})^{2n} \cong CH^n(X).$$

Hence the Totaro's cycle map \overline{cl} is represented as

$$\rho_{MU} \otimes_{\Omega^*} \mathbb{Z} \circ (\rho_{CH} \otimes_{\Omega^*} \mathbb{Z})^{-1} : CH^n(X) \to (\Omega^*(X) \otimes_{\Omega^*} \mathbb{Z})^{2n} \to (MU^*(X) \otimes_{MU^*} \mathbb{Z})^{2n}.$$

Moreover they conjecture that ρ_{MGL} are always isomorphisms.

Let $K^0(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of algebraic vector bundles over X. Let $\tilde{K}(1)^*(X)$ be the integral K-theory, that is, $\tilde{K}(1)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}]$. Then they showed that

$$\widetilde{K}(1)^* \otimes K^0(X) \cong \Omega^*(X) \otimes_{\Omega^*} \widetilde{K}(1)^*.$$

Remark. Hopkins and Morel announced the existence of Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences for generalized motivic theories. Then we have $MGL^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU^*} \mathbb{Z} \cong CH^*(X)$ and $\tilde{K}(1)^* \otimes K^0(X) \cong MGL^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*$. Hence we can also prove all our results below using $MGL^{2*,*}(-)$ instead of $\Omega^*(X)$. **Lemma 4.1.** The element x_4^2 is in the image of the cycle map cl.

Proof. Merkurjev showed ([To2]) that the Grothendieck group $K^0(BG)$ of algebraic vector bundles is isomorphic to the usual K-theory K(BG). We also recall the Conner-Floyd relation

$$\Omega^*(BG)_{(p)} \otimes_{\Omega^*_{(p)}} \tilde{K}(1)^* \cong \tilde{K}(1)^* \otimes K^0(BG)$$
$$\cong \tilde{K}(1)^*(BG) \cong BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*.$$

Let $x = [x_4^2] \in BP^*(BF_4)$. Since $0 \neq x \in BP^*(BF_4) \otimes_{BP^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*$, there is an element x' in $\Omega^*(BF_4)_{(3)}$ such that

$$\rho_{BP}(x') = v_1^s x \quad \text{for } s \ge 0.$$

Take the smallest s. Then x' is an $MU^*_{(3)}$ -module generator and $x' \neq 0 \in \Omega(BF_4)^* \otimes_{\Omega^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cong CH^*(BF_4)_{(3)}$.

Suppose $s \ge 1$. Then $|x'| = |v_1^s x_4^2| \le 4$. But it is known from Totaro (Corollary 3.5 in [To2]) that

$$CH^i(BG)_{(p)} \cong (BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^{2i}$$
 if $i \le 2$.

This is a contradiction and s = 0. Hence we see that $\rho_{BP}(x') = x$ in $BP^*(BF_4)$ and so $cl(x') = x_4^2$ in $H^*(BF_4)_{(3)}$.

Remark. By arguments similar to the above proof, we can see that there is $x' \in \Omega^*(BF_4)$ such that

$$\rho_{BP}(x') = v_1^s[x_8^2] \qquad \text{for some } 0 \le s \le 2.$$

However it does not seem easy to prove s = 0.

Remark. We still know that $3x_4, x_4x_8$ and $x_4^2x_8 + x_8^2$ are in Im(cl). Hence $3x_8^2 \in \text{Im}(cl)$.

Lichtenbaum defined the cohomology $H_L^{*,*}(X;\mathbb{Z})$ by using the étale topology, while $H^{*,*}(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by using Nisnevich topology. There is a natural map $H^{m,n}(X) \to H_L^{m,n}(X)$. We say that the condition B(n,p) holds if

$$B(n,p) \quad : \quad H^{m,n}(X;\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong H^{m,n}_L(X;\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \qquad \text{for all } m \le n+1$$

and all smooth X. Merkurjev-Suslin [M-S] and Voevodsky proved that B(n, p) holds for $n \leq 2$ or p = 2 respectively. (Indeed, the Milnor conjecture is equivalent to hold B(n, p = 2)). M. Rost [R] proves that B(3, 3), B(4, 3) are correct.

Moreover Suslin-Voevodsky proves $H_L^{m,n}(X;\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H_{et}^{\otimes n}(X;\mu_p^{\otimes n})$. On the other hand, it is well known $H_{et}^m(X;\mu_p^{\otimes n}) \cong H_{et}^m(X;\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^m(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$.

Suppose that B(n, p) condition holds. Then we have isomorphisms

$$H^{n,n}(X;\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{n,n}_L(X;\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^n_{et}(X;\mu_p^{\otimes n}) \cong H^n(X;\mathbb{Z}/p).$$

The composition of these isomorphisms also represents the realization map $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}$.

Remark. Quite recently, V. Voevodsky announced the proof that B(n, p) hold for all $n \ge 0$ and all primes p ([Vo3]).

Here we recall some useful fact about multiplying τ . Write $H^i(X, H^j_{\mathbb{Z}/2})$ the Zarisky cohomology of X with the coefficient in presheaf $H^j_{et}(V; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ for open subset V of X. From the result of Voevodsky we have a long exact sequence (Lemma 2.4 in [Or-Vi-Vo])

$$H^{m,n-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{m,n}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{m-n}(X;H^n_{\mathbb{Z}/2}) \to H^{m+1,n-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2).$$

In particular we get

Lemma 4.2 (Lemma 2.4 in [Or-Vi-Vo]). Let X be smooth. Then τ : $H^{n,n-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{n,n}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is injective.

Remark. If B(n, p) condition is satisfied, then the facts similar to Lemma 4.2 hold for odd primes p. This is also explained by the Bloch-Ogus spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} \cong H^i(X; H^j_{\mathbb{Z}/2}) \Longrightarrow H^{i+j}_{et}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2),$$

where $E_2^{i,j} = 0$ unless $0 \le i \le j$ (Theorem 1.3 in [To3]). See also [Vo3].

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that B(3,p) holds. Let x be an element in $H^4(BG;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $px \in \text{Im}(cl)$. Then we can take $x' \in H^{4,3}(BG;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ with $t_{\mathbb{C}}(x') = x$.

Proof. Let $\{px\} = a \in H^{4,2}(BG) = CH^2(BG)$. We consider in the coefficient \mathbb{Z}/p^2 . Let τ_{p^2} be a \mathbb{Z}/p^2 -module generator of $H^{0,1}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$. Then $\tau_{p^2}^2 a = px \in H^{4,4}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$ defining $x \in H^{4,4}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ since so in the topological case. But the map $\tau : H^{4,3}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^{4,4}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is injective from Remark of Lemma 4.2. This means $\tau a = 0 \in H^{4,3}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Hence there is an element $x' \in H^{4,3}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$ so that $\tau_{p^2}a = px'$. We get $t_{\mathbb{C}}(x') = x$ since $\tau_{p^2}(px') = px$.

From Lemma 3.4, we know that there is an element $x' \in H^{4,3}(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$ such that $t_{\mathbb{C}}(x') = x_4$. So there is an element

$$x'_{26} = Q_1 Q_2 x' \in H^{26,13}(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}/3)$$
 with $t_{\mathbb{C}}(x'_{26}) = x_{26}$.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. From the above arguments, $x_{26} \in \text{Im}(cl)$. From Lemma 3.2, x_{36} is represented by a Chern class. Since $CH^*(BG)$ has Chern classes, we get $x_{36} \in \text{Im}(cl)$. Since $P^3x_{36} = x_{48}$ and $CH^*(X)$ is closed under the reduced power operations, we get $x_{48} \in \text{Im}(cl)$ and so $D = \mathbb{Z}[x_{36}, x_{48}] \subset$ Im(cl). From Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we know $x_4^3, 3x_4 \in \text{Im}(cl)$, which are Chern classes. From Lemma 4.1, we also know $x_4^2 \in \text{Im}(cl)$.

Therefore from Lemma 3.1,

$$E = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_4, x_8]\{ab|a, b \in \{x_4, x_8, x_{20}\}\} \subset \operatorname{Im}(cl) \quad \text{if } x_8^2 \in \operatorname{Im}(cl).$$

Thus from Theorem 2.2, we know that \overline{cl} is epic if x_8^2 is in Im(cl).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF EDUCATION IBARAKI UNIVERSITY MITO, IBARAKI, JAPAN e-mail: yagita@mx.ibaraki.ac.jp

References

- [H-K] P. Hu and I. Kriz, Some remarks on real and algebraic cobordism, K-theory 22 (2001), 335–366.
- [L-M 1] M. Levine and F. Morel, Coborsime algébrique I, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 332 (2001), 723–728.
- [L-M 2] _____, Coborsime algébrique II, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 332 (2001), 815–820.
- [K-Y] A. Kono and N. Yagita, Brown-Peterson and ordinary cohomology theories of classifying spaces for compact Lie groups, Trans. of AMS. 339 (1993), 781–798.
- [Or-Vi-Vo] D. Orlov, A. Vishik and V. Voevodsky, An exact sequence for Milnor's K-theory with appications to quadratic forms, www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0454 (2000).
- [P] R. Pandharipande, Equivariant Chow rings of O(k), SO(2k+1), and SO(4), J. Reine Angew. Math. **496** (1998), 131–148.
- [Q] D. Quillen, Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations, Adv. Math. 7 (1971), 29–56.
- [R] M. Rost, *Chain lemma for splitting fields of symbols*, preprints (1997).
- [Toda] H. Toda, Cohomology mod 3 of the classifying space BF_4 of the exceptional group F_4 , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 97–115.
- [To1] B. Totaro, Torsion algebraic cycles and complex cobordism, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), 467–493.
- [To2] _____, The Chow ring of classifying spaces, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. "Algebraic K-theory" (1997: University of Washington, Seattle) 67 (1999), 248–281.
- [To3] _____, Non-injectivity of the map from the Witt group of a variety to the Witt group of the function field, www.math.unic.edu/Ktheory/0595 (2002).
- [Ve] G. Vezzosi, On the Chow ring of the classifying stack of $PGL_{3,C}$, J. Reine Angew. Math. **523** (2000), 1–54.

- [Vo1] V. Voevodsky. The Milnor conjecture, www.math.unic.edu/Ktheory/0170 (1996).
- [Vo2] V. Voevodsky (Noted by Weibel), Voevodosky's Seattle lectures: K-theory and motivic cohomology, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. "Algebraic K-theory" (1997: University of Washington, Seattle) 67 (1999), 283–303.
- [Vo3] V. Voevodsky, On motivic cohomology with \mathbb{Z}/l -coefficients, www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/639 (2003).
- [Ya] N. Yagita, Chow ring of classifying spaces of extraspecial p-groups, Contemporary Math. **293** (2002), 397–409.
- [Yo] I. Yokota, *Groups and representations (in Japanese)*, Shoukabou, 1973.