The suspension order of the real even dimensional projective space

By

Juno Mukai[∗]

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to prove the truth of the conjecture in [12]: The suspension order of the real even dimensional projective space coincides with its stable order determined by Toda [21] (see Silberbush and Ucci [19]). We obtain the assertion by proving that the suspension order of the real 6-projective space is 8.

1. Introduction

In this paper all spaces, maps and homotopies are based. For a space X , we denote by ΣX a suspension of X and by ι_X the identity class of X. The order
of $\iota_{\Sigma X} \in [\Sigma X, \Sigma X]$ is called the suspension order ([21]) or the characteristic
[4] of X. The order of $\Sigma^{\infty} \iota_X \in \{X, X\}$ is calle of $\iota_{\Sigma X} \in [\Sigma X, \Sigma X]$ is called the suspension order ([21]) or the characteristic [4] of X. The order of $\Sigma^{\infty} \iota_X \in \{X, X\}$ is called the stable order ([21]) of X. Let P^n be the real *n*-dimensional projective space. Adams [1, Theorem 7.4] number of integers i satisfying $1 \le i \le n$ and $i \equiv 0, 1, 2$ or 4 mod 8. Toda [21, Corollary 3 to Theorem 4.3 determined the stable order of P^{2n} equal to $2^{\phi(2n)}$. The purpose of the present paper is to show the following.

Theorem 1.1. *The suspension order of* P^6 *is* 8*.*

As an application of the theorem, we conclude that the suspension order of P^{2n} coincides with its stable order (see [12, Appendix]). In other words, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.2. *The suspension order of* P^{2n} *is* $2^{\phi(2n)}$ *.*

For a space X and its subspace A, let us denote by $i_{A,X}: A \to X$ the inclusion map and by $p_{X,A}: X \to X/A$ the map pinching A to one point. We set $P_k^n = P^n/P^{k-1}$, $i_{k,n} = i_{P^k,P^n}$ and $p_{n,k} = p_{P^n,P^k}$ for $k \leq n$. We set $\iota_n = \iota_{S^n}$. We denote by $\gamma_n : S^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$ the covering map.

²⁰⁰⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification(s)*. Primary 55P05, 55Q15; Secondary 55Q52 Received March 31, 2003

^{*}Partially supported by Akio Satō, Shinshu University 101501 and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 15540067 (c), (2)), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

By abuse of notation, the same notation is often used for a mapping and its homotopy class.

Since $\pi_n(P^{n+1})=0$, we have

$$
(1.1) \t\t\t\t\t i_{n,n+1} \circ \gamma_n = 0.
$$

From the cell structure of $Pⁿ$, we obtain

(1.2)
$$
p_{n,n-1} \circ \gamma_n = (1 + (-1)^{n-1}) \iota_n.
$$

Let $\eta_n \in \pi_{n+1}(S^n)$ for $n \geq 2$ be the Hopf map and $\eta_n^2 = \eta_n \circ \eta_{n+1} \in$ $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$. We recall from [20] that

$$
\pi_3(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}{\{\eta_2\}}, \quad \pi_{n+1}(S^n) = \mathbb{Z}_2{\{\eta_n\}}
$$
 $(n \ge 3)$

and

$$
\pi_{n+2}(S^n) = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\eta_n^2\} \qquad (n \ge 2).
$$

Here, for example, the notation $\pi_{n+1}(S^n) = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\eta_n\}$ indicates that $\pi_{n+1}(S^n)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 and generated by η_n .

We set $M^{n} = \sum_{n=2}^{n-2} P^{2}$, $i_{n} = \sum_{n=2}^{n-2} i_{1,2}$ and $p_{n} = \sum_{n=2}^{n-2} p_{2,1}$. Let $\tilde{\eta}_{2} \in \pi_{4}(M^{3})$ be an element satisfying $p_3\tilde{\eta}_2 = \eta_3$ ([15, Lemma 4.1]) and set

$$
\tilde{\eta}_n = \Sigma^{n-2} \tilde{\eta}_2 \qquad (n \ge 2).
$$

Let $\bar{\eta}_3 \in [M^5, S^3]$ be an extension of η_3 and set

$$
\bar{\eta}_n = \Sigma^{n-3} \bar{\eta}_3 \qquad (n \ge 3).
$$

We obtain $\pi_3(M^3) = \mathbb{Z}_4\{i_3\eta_2\}$ and

$$
\pi_n(M^n) = \mathbb{Z}_2\{i_n \eta_{n-1}\} \qquad (n \ge 4).
$$

We recall an important relation $([3], [21])$

(1.3)
$$
2\iota_{M^n} = i_n \eta_{n-1} p_n \qquad (n \ge 3).
$$

We also obtain $\pi_{n+2}(M^{n+1}) = \mathbb{Z}_4{\{\tilde{\eta}_n\}},$

(1.4)
$$
2\tilde{\eta}_n = i_{n+1}\eta_n^2 \qquad (n \ge 2),
$$

 $[M^{n+2}, S^n] = \mathbb{Z}_4 {\{\bar{\eta}_n\}}$ and

(1.5)
$$
2\bar{\eta}_n = \eta_n^2 p_{n+2} \qquad (n \ge 3).
$$

Making use of the cofiber sequence

$$
(*)_n \t S^n \xrightarrow{2t_n} S^n \xrightarrow{i_{n+1}} M^{n+1} \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} S^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

and by the groups $\pi_k(M^n)$ for $k = n, n + 1$, we get that (see [16, Lemma 1.5] $(i))$

$$
(1.6) \qquad [M^{n+1}, M^n] = \mathbb{Z}_2\{i_n\bar{\eta}_{n-1}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\eta}_{n-1}p_{n+1}\} \qquad (n \ge 4).
$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following.

Theorem 1.3. $2[M^7, \Sigma P^n] = 0$ *for* $n > 3$ *.*

We note that P_3^6 is identified with the mapping cone of $i_4\bar{\eta}_3$:

$$
P_3^6 = M^4 \cup_{i_4 \bar{\eta}_3} CM^5.
$$

We set $i' = i_{P_3^4, P_3^6}$ and $p' = p_{P_3^6, P_3^4}$. We consider an element $(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3 \in$ $[M⁵, \Sigma P⁴]$. By [18, Lemma 5.2], we know a relation

$$
(\Sigma i_{2,4})\tilde{\eta}_2\eta_4 = 0 \in \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbf{P}^4).
$$

So, by (1.4) and (1.5),

$$
\begin{aligned} (\Sigma i_{1,4}) \eta_2 \bar{\eta}_3 \circ i_5 \bar{\eta}_4 &= (\Sigma i_{1,4}) \eta_2^2 \bar{\eta}_4 = (\Sigma i_{2,4}) \tilde{\eta}_2 \circ 2\iota_4 \circ \bar{\eta}_4 \\ &= (\Sigma i_{2,4}) \tilde{\eta}_2 \eta_4^2 p_6 = 0 \in [M^6, \Sigma \mathbf{P}^4], \end{aligned}
$$

and hence $(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3$ is extendible to $(\overline{\Sigma i_{1,4}})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3 \in [\Sigma P_3^6, \Sigma P^4]$. By (1.3) and $(1.6),$

$$
i_4\bar{\eta}_3 \circ i_5\eta_4 p_5 = 2(i_4\bar{\eta}_3) = 0 \in [M^5, M^4].
$$

Therefore there exists a coextenstion $\widetilde{i_5\eta_4p_5} \in [M^6, P_3^6]$ of $i_5\eta_4p_5$ satisfying $p'_*i_5\widetilde{\eta_4p_5} = i_6\eta_5p_6$. The Toda bracket

$$
\{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5p_6\}_1 \subset [M^7, \Sigma P^4]
$$

is represented by the composition $-\overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ \Sigma \widetilde{i_5\eta_4\rho_5}$ ([20, Proposition 1.7]) as shown in the commutative diagram $(\xi = (\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3)$:

The key to proving Theorem 1.1 is to find out the following.

Theorem 1.4.

(i) $4\iota_{\Sigma P^6} \equiv (\Sigma i_{4,6}) \overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4}) \eta_2 \bar{\eta}_3} \circ \Sigma p_{6,2} \bmod{[M^7, \Sigma P^6]} \circ \Sigma p_{6,4}$. (ii) $\{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5p_6\}_1$ *consists of a single element and* $2(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3$ $= \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5p_6\}_1 \circ \Sigma p' \in [\Sigma P_3^6, \Sigma P_4^4].$ (iii) $(\Sigma \gamma_5)\eta_6 p_7 = (\Sigma i_{4,5}) \circ \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5 p_6\}_1 \in [M^7, \Sigma P^5].$

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and (1.1).

We use the composition methods in [20] arranged for suspended real projective spaces. And we are based on the result in [18]. The exact sequence of James [10, Theorem 2.1] is used to determine the group structure of $\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2)$ (Lemma 3.1 (ii)). To prove Theorem 1.3, it is essential to find out the triviality of some element of $[M^7, \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2]$ (3.3) by considering the Whitehead product $[\iota_{M^4}, 2\iota_{M^4}].$

2. Recollection of known results

Let ν' be a generator of the 2-primary component $\pi_6^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ of $\pi_6(S^3)$. We need the following facts [20]:

(2.1)
$$
\pm \nu' = \bar{\eta}_3 \tilde{\eta}_4,
$$

$$
2\nu' = \eta_3^3, \ \eta_3 \nu_4 = \nu' \eta_6,
$$

$$
\pi_7^4 = \mathbb{Z}\{\nu_4\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \{\Sigma \nu'\}
$$

and

 $\pm[\iota_4, \iota_4] = 2\nu_4 - \Sigma \nu'.$

We also recall from [13], [14], [15], [16] that

(2.2)
\n
$$
\pi_7(M^5) = \mathbb{Z}_4\{i_5\nu_4\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\eta}_4\eta_6\},
$$
\n
$$
\pi_6(M^4) = \mathbb{Z}_4\{\delta\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\eta}_3\eta_5\},
$$
\n
$$
2\delta = i_4\nu',
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma\delta = 2(i_5\nu_4) \in \pi_7(M^5),
$$
\n
$$
\pi_7(M^4) = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\delta\eta_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\eta}_3\eta_5^2\}
$$

and

(2.3)
$$
[\iota_{M^4}, i_4] = \delta p_6.
$$

Making use of the cofiber sequence $(*)_k$ for $k = 5, 6$, by the group structures $\pi_k(M^5)(k=6,7)$, $\pi_k^3(k=5,6)$, $\pi_k(M^4)(5 \le k \le 7)$ and by (1.4), (2.2), (1.3), we obtain the following (see [16, Lemma 1.5 (iii)]).

Lemma 2.1. (i) $[M^7, M^5] = \mathbb{Z}_2\{i_5\eta_4\bar{\eta}_5\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\eta}_4\eta_6p_7\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{i_5\nu_4p_7\}.$ (ii) $[M^6, S^3] = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{\eta_3 \bar{\eta}_4\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{\nu' p_6\}.$ (iii) $[M^6, M^4] = \mathbb{Z}_2\{i_4\eta_3\bar{\eta}_4\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\eta}_3\eta_5p_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\delta p_6\}.$ (iv) $[M^7, M^4] = \mathbb{Z}_4 \{\tilde{\eta}_3 \bar{\eta}_5\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{\tilde{\imath}_4 \nu\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{\delta \eta_6 p_7\},$ where $2 \tilde{\eta}_3 \bar{\eta}_5 = \tilde{\eta}_3 \eta_5^2 p_7$ and $i_4\nu'$ *is an extension of* $i_4\nu'$.

The smash product of P^2 with itself has the following cell structure:

$$
\mathbf{P}^2 \wedge \mathbf{P}^2 = M^3 \cup_{2\iota_{M^3}} CM^3,
$$

where we take $M^3 = P^2 \wedge S^1$ and $2\iota_{M^3} = \iota_{P^2} \wedge 2\iota_1$. So, by (1.3), it turns to the form:

$$
P^2 \wedge P^2 = (M^3 \vee S^3) \cup_{f'_1 i_3 \eta_2 + 2f'_2} e^4,
$$

where $f'_1: M^3 \to M^3 \vee S^3$ and $f'_2: S^3 \to M^3 \vee S^3$ be the embeddings to the first and second spaces, respectively. We set $i' = i_{M^3 \vee S^3, P^2 \wedge P^2}$, $p' = p_{P^2 \wedge P^2, M^3 \vee S^3}$ and $p'' = p_{P^2 \wedge P^2, M^3}$. Then we can take $i' f_1' = \iota_{P^2} \wedge i_2$. Since $p'' \circ i' f_2' = i_4$, $i' f_2'$ is a coextension of i₃. We set $\tilde{i}_3 = i' f'_2$, $\tilde{i}_n = \sum^{n-3} \tilde{i}_3(n \ge 3)$, $f_k = \sum f'_k(k = 1, 2)$, $i = \Sigma i'$ and $p = \Sigma p'$. By [17, Lemma 2.4],

$$
\pi_3(P^2\wedge P^2)=\mathbb{Z}_8\{\tilde{\imath}_3\}
$$

and

$$
\pi_n(\Sigma^{n-3}(\mathbf{P}^2 \wedge \mathbf{P}^2)) = \mathbb{Z}_4\{\tilde{\imath}_n\} \qquad (n \ge 4),
$$

where

(2.4)
$$
2\tilde{\imath}_n = (\Sigma^{n-3} (i_2 \wedge i_2)) \eta_{n-1} \qquad (n \ge 3).
$$

Let us recall that $P³$ is homeomorphic to the 3-rd rotation group. Let $h : \Sigma P^3 \wedge P^3 \to \Sigma P^3$ be the Hopf construction induced from the multiplication of the topological group P^3 . We know the following ([5], [11]).

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a direct sum decomposition for a space* X:

$$
[\Sigma^2 X, \Sigma \mathbf{P}^3] = h_*[\Sigma^2 X, \Sigma \mathbf{P}^3 \wedge \mathbf{P}^3] \oplus \Sigma[\Sigma X, \mathbf{P}^3].
$$

The following is [18, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 2.3.
$$
\Sigma P^3 \wedge P^3 = ((\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2) \cup_{i\nu'} e^7) \vee M^6 \vee M^6
$$
, where $i = i_3 \wedge i_2$.

Let $h_0 = h |_{(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2) \cup_{i,j,k} e^{\tau}}, h' = h |_{\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2}, h'' = h |_{M^4}$ and $h''' = h |_{S^3}$ be the restrictions of h , respectively. By [18, Lemma 2.3 (i)],

(2.5)
$$
h''' = (\Sigma i_{1,3}) \eta_2 \in \pi_3(\Sigma \mathbf{P}^3)
$$

and

$$
h'\tilde{\imath}_4 = \pm (\Sigma i_{2,3})\tilde{\eta}_2 \in \pi_4(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^3).
$$

By [18, Lemma 5.2, (6.3)],

(2.6)
$$
\Sigma \gamma_4 = (\Sigma i_{3,4}) h'' \tilde{\eta}_3 \in \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbf{P}^4)
$$

and

(2.7)
$$
4\iota_{\Sigma P^4} = (\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2 \bar{\eta}_3 \Sigma p_{4,2}.
$$

3. Proof of the fact that $2[M^7, \Sigma P^3]=0$

First we show the following.

Lemma 3.1.

(i) $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}_2\{(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \tilde{\eta}_3 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\iota}_4 \eta_4 \} \text{ and } \Sigma^n : \pi_5(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2)$ $\rightarrow \pi_{n+5}(\Sigma^{n+1}P^2 \wedge P^2)$ *is an isomorphism for* $n \geq 1$ *.* (ii) $\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}_4 \{ (\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \delta \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ (\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \tilde{\eta}_3 \eta_5 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ \tilde{\iota}_4 \eta_4^2 \} \oplus$

 $\mathbb{Z}_2\{[i_3 \wedge i_2, \tilde{i}_4]\}, \text{ where } 2((\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)\delta) = (i_3 \wedge i_2)\nu'.$

Proof. (i) is easily obtained (see [18, \S 4]).

The relation in (ii) is obtained from (2.2) . We consider the homotopy exact sequence of a pair $(\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2, M^4 \vee S^4)$:

$$
\pi_7(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2, M^4 \vee S^4) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_6(M^4 \vee S^4) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2, M^4 \vee S^4) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots.
$$

By Blakers-Massey [7], $\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2, M^4 \vee S^4) \cong \pi_6(S^5)$. The generator of the relative homotopy group is denoted by $\hat{\eta}_4$, satisfying $p_*\hat{\eta}_4 = \eta_5$. We have $\pi_5(M^4 \vee S^4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. So, by (1.4),

$$
\partial \hat{\eta}_4 = (f_1 i_4 \eta_3 + 2 f_2) \circ \eta_4 = f_1 i_4 \eta_3^2 = 2 f_1 \tilde{\eta}_3 \neq 0.
$$

Hence $i_* : \pi_6(M^4 \vee S^4) \to \pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^2 \wedge \mathrm{P}^2)$ is an epimorphism.

Since $\pi_7(M^4 \times S^4, M^4 \vee S^4) \cong \pi_7(M^8), M^4 \times S^4 = (M^4 \vee S^4) \cup_{[f_1, f_2]} CM^7$ and $[f_1, f_2] \circ i_7 = [f_1 i_4, f_2]$, we obtain and $[f_1, f_2] \circ i_7 = [f_1 i_4, f_2]$, we obtain
 $\pi_6(M^4 \vee S^4) = \mathbb{Z}_4 \{ f_1 \delta \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ f_1 \tilde{\eta}_3 \eta_5 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ f_2 \eta_4^2 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [f_1 i_4, f_2] \}.$

By [10, Theorem 2.1], $\pi_7(\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2, M^4 \vee S^4) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ \hat{\eta}_$

$$
\pi_6(M^4 \vee S^4) = \mathbb{Z}_4\{f_1\delta\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{f_1\tilde{\eta}_3\eta_5\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{f_2\eta_4^2\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[f_1i_4, f_2]\}.
$$

ω is the characteristic map of the 5-cell of $\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2$, [,] stands for the relative $\pi_6(M^4 \vee S^4) = \mathbb{Z}_4 \{f_1 \delta\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{f_1 \tilde{\eta}_3 \eta_5\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{f_2 \eta_4^2\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$
By [10, Theorem 2.1], $\pi_7(\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2, M^4 \vee S^4) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{\hat{\eta}_4^2\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{\omega \text{ is the characteristic map of the 5-cell of } \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2, [,] \text{ stand}$
Whitehead η_4^2 is an element satisfying $p_*\eta_4^2 = \eta_5^2$. We have $\begin{array}{c} \text{By} \\ \omega \text{ is} \\ \text{Whi} \\ \partial(\hat{\eta_4^2}) \end{array}$ η_4^2 = $f_1 i_4 \eta_3^3 = 0$. By [8] and the fact that $[\eta_3, \iota_3] = 0$, we see that

$$
\partial[\omega, f_1i_4] = -[f_1i_4\eta_3 + 2f_2, f_1i_4] = (f_1i_4)[\eta_3, i_3] + 2[f_2, f_1i_4] = 0.
$$

This leads to (ii), completing the proof.

By use of [2, Theorem 2.4] and [6, Proposition II. 3.2], we obtain the following (see [15, Remark, p. 273]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\alpha \in [\Sigma A, X], \beta \in [\Sigma B, X], \delta \in [D, A]$ *and* $\varepsilon \in [E, B]$, *where* A, B, D, E *are polyhedra and* X *is a space. Then*

$$
[\alpha \circ \Sigma \delta, \beta \circ \Sigma \varepsilon] = [\alpha, \beta] \circ \Sigma (\delta \wedge \varepsilon).
$$

Next we show the following.

Lemma 3.3. $2[M^7, \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2] = 0$.

 \Box

Proof. Since $[\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2, \tilde{\iota}_4] \circ \Sigma((i_2 \wedge \iota_1) \wedge \iota_3) = [i_3 \wedge i_2, \tilde{\iota}_4]$ by Lemma 3.2, $[\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2, \tilde{i}_4]$ is an extension of $[i_3 \wedge i_2, \tilde{i}_4]$. By use of $(*)_6$ and by Lemma 3.1 (ii), we obtain

$$
[M^7, \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2] = \{ (\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \overline{i_4 \nu'}, (\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \tilde{\eta}_3 \bar{\eta}_5, \tilde{i}_4 \eta_4 \bar{\eta}_5, [\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2, \tilde{i}_4] \} + \pi_7 (\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2) \circ p_7.
$$

We have $2(\eta_4\bar{\eta}_5)=0$ and $2\bar{i}_4\nu'=0$ by Lemma 2.1 (iv). By the relation $2i_2=0$,

$$
2[\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2, \tilde{\iota}_4] = [\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2, \tilde{\iota}_4] \circ \Sigma((i_2 \wedge 2\iota_1) \wedge \iota_3) = 0
$$

and

$$
2((\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)\tilde{\eta}_3\bar{\eta}_5) = 0.
$$

Hence, by the relation $2p_7 = 0$, the assertion follows.

We examine the Whitehead product $[\iota_{M^4}, 2\iota_{M^4}]$. By (1.3), Lemma 3.2, (2.3) and by the fact that

$$
\iota_{P^2} \wedge \eta_2 = i_4 \bar{\eta}_3 + \tilde{\eta}_3 p_5 \in [M^5, M^4],
$$

we get the following in $[\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3, M^4]$:

$$
[\iota_{M^4}, 2\iota_{M^4}] = [\iota_{M^4}, i_4\eta_3 p_4] = [\iota_{M^4}, i_4] \circ \Sigma(\iota_{M^3} \wedge (\eta_2 p_3))
$$

=
$$
[\iota_{M^4}, i_4] \circ \Sigma(\iota_{M^3} \wedge \eta_2) \circ \Sigma(\iota_{M^3} \wedge p_3)
$$

=
$$
\delta \eta_6 \circ p_7 \circ \Sigma(\iota_{M^3} \wedge p_3).
$$

Since

(3.1)
$$
p_7 \circ \Sigma(\iota_{M^3} \wedge p_3) = \Sigma(p_3 \wedge p_3) \in [\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3, S^7],
$$

we obtain

(3.2)
$$
[\iota_{M^4}, 2\iota_{M^4}] = \delta \eta_6 \circ \Sigma (p_3 \wedge p_3) \in [\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3, M^4].
$$

Now we show the following.

Lemma 3.4. $2[M^7, (\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2) \cup_{i\nu'} e^7] = 0.$

Proof. By (3.2) and the fact that $2(\iota_M \wedge i_2) = 0$,

$$
(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \delta \eta_6 \circ \Sigma(p_3 \wedge p_3) = [\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2, 2(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)]
$$

= 0 \in [\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3, \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2].

So, by making use of the cofiber sequence

$$
M^6 \stackrel{\iota_{M^4}\wedge i_3}{\longrightarrow} \Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3 \stackrel{\iota_{M^4}\wedge p_3}{\longrightarrow} M^7 \stackrel{2\iota_{M^7}}{\longrightarrow} M^7 \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

 \Box

762 *Juno Mukai*

and by (3.1), we obtain $(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \delta \eta_6 p_7 \in 2[M^7, \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2]$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3,

(3.3)
$$
(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \delta \eta_6 p_7 = 0 \in [M^7, \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2].
$$

We set $B = (\Sigma \mathbb{P}^2 \wedge \mathbb{P}^2) \cup_{i\nu'} e^7$ and $r = i_{\Sigma \mathbb{P}^2 \wedge \mathbb{P}^2, B}$. From the homotopy exact sequence of a pair $(B, \Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2)$ and by Lemma 3.1 (ii), we obtain

$$
\pi_6(B) = \{ r(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \delta, r(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2) \tilde{\eta}_3 \eta_5, r\tilde{\iota}_4 \eta_4^2, r[i_3 \wedge i_2, \tilde{\iota}_4] \} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4.
$$

So, by use of $(*)_6$, we obtain

$$
[M^7, B] = \{ \overline{r(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)\delta}, r(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)\tilde{\eta}_3\bar{\eta}_5, r\tilde{\iota}_4\eta_4\bar{\eta}_5, r[\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2, \tilde{\iota}_4] \} + \pi_7(B) \circ p_7,
$$

and hence, $2[M^7, B] = \{2\overline{r(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)\delta}\}$. By (1.3) and (3.3),

$$
2\overline{r(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)\delta} = r(\iota_{M^3} \wedge i_2)\delta\eta_6 p_7 = 0.
$$

This leads to the assertion, completing the proof.

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

Since $r \circ (i_3 \wedge i_2)\nu' = 0$ and $h''' \nu' = h_0 \circ r(i_3 \wedge i_2)\nu'$, we obtain

(3.4)
$$
(\Sigma i_{1,3})\eta_2 \nu' = h''' \nu' = 0 \in \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbf{P}^3).
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^3 \wedge \mathrm{P}^3) \cong \pi_6(B) \oplus \pi_6(M^6) \oplus \pi_6(M^6).
$$

Since $2\pi_6(B)=2\pi_6(M^6)=0$, we get that $2\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^3 \wedge \mathrm{P}^3) = 0$. We have $2\Sigma \pi_5(P^3) = 0$. So, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.5.
$$
2\pi_6(\Sigma P^3) = 0.
$$

We show the following.

Lemma 3.6. $(\Sigma i_{3,n})_* : \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbf{P}^3) \to \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbf{P}^n)$ *is an epimorphism for* $n = 4, n \ge 6$ *and* $\pi_6(\Sigma P^5) = \mathbb{Z}\{\Sigma\gamma_5\} \oplus (\Sigma i_{3,5})_*\pi_6(\Sigma P^3)$ *.*

Proof. In the homotopy exact sequence of a pair $(\Sigma P^4, \Sigma P^3)$, the connecting homomorphism $\partial : \pi_6(\Sigma P^4, \Sigma P^3) \to \pi_5(\Sigma P^3)$ is a monomorphism by [18, Theorem 5.3] and its proof. Hence $(\Sigma i_{3,4})_* : \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{P}^3) \to \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{P}^4)$ is an epimorphism.

By making use of the homotopy exact sequence of a pair $(\Sigma P^5, \Sigma P^4)$ and by (1.2), we conclude that

$$
\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^5) = \mathbb{Z}\{\Sigma\gamma_5\} \oplus (\Sigma i_{4,5})_* \pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^4).
$$

Obviously $(\Sigma i_{5,n})_* : \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{P}^5) \to \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{P}^n)$ for $n \geq 6$ is an epimorphism. This completes the proof. completes the proof.

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3*.*

By Lemma 3.5, any element $\alpha \in \pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^3)$ is extendible to $\bar{\alpha} \in [M^7, \Sigma \mathrm{P}^3]$. So, by use of $(*)_6$ and by Lemma 3.6, we obatin

$$
[M^7, \Sigma P^n] = \{ (\Sigma i_{3,n}) \bar{\alpha} \} + \pi_7 (\Sigma P^n) \circ p_7 \ (\alpha \in \pi_6 (\Sigma P^3)).
$$

Therefore it suffices to prove that $2[M^7, \Sigma P^3] = 0$. By [7], $[CM^7, M^7; B \times$ $(M^6, B \vee M^6] \cong [M^8, B \wedge M^6]$ $(B = (\Sigma P^2 \wedge P^2) \cup_{i \nu'} e^7)$. So, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{split} [M^7,\Sigma\textbf{P}^3\wedge\textbf{P}^3] \\ &\cong [M^7,B]\oplus [M^7,M^6]\oplus [M^7,M^6]\oplus [M^8,B\wedge M^6]\oplus [M^8,B\wedge M^6]. \end{split}
$$

By Lemma 3.4, $2[M^7, B] = 0$. By $(1.6), 2[M^7, M^6] = 0$. Since $[M^8, B \wedge$ $M^{6} \cong [M^{8}, M^{9}] \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we obtain $2[M^{8}, B \wedge M^{6}] = 0$. By Lemma 2.1 (ii), $[M^6, P^3] \cong [M^6, S^3] \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$. This shows that $2\Sigma[M^6, P^3] = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $2[M^7, \Sigma P^3] = 0$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete. \Box

We obtain the following.

Corollary 3.7. $\pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{P}^n) \circ \eta_6 p_7 = 0$ *for* $n \geq 3$, $n \neq 5$ *and* $\pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{P}^5) \circ$ $\eta_6 p_7 = \{(\Sigma \gamma_5) \eta_6 p_7\}.$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^n)$ for $n \geq 3$ be a nontrivial element except for Σ γ₅. Then, by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, the order of α is 2, and hence α is extendible to $\bar{\alpha} \in [M^7, \Sigma P^n]$. Thus, by (1.3) and Theorem 1.3, $\alpha \circ (\eta_6 p_7) =$ $2\bar{\alpha} \in 2[M^7, \Sigma P^n] = 0$. This completes the proof. \Box

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

First of all we show the following.

Lemma 4.1. (i) $\Sigma[M^6, M^4] = \mathbb{Z}_2\{i_5\eta_4\bar{\eta}_5\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\eta}_4\eta_6p_7\}.$ (ii) $\Sigma[M^6, M^3] = \mathbb{Z}_4 \{ \tilde{\eta}_3 \bar{\eta}_5 \}.$

Proof. By the fact that $\Sigma(\delta p_6) = \Sigma[\iota_{M^4}, i_4] = 0$ (2.3), (i) is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 (iii).

We know that $\tilde{\eta}_3 \bar{\eta}_5 \in \Sigma[M^6, M^3]$. We consider the Hopf homomorphism $H : [M^7, M^4] \to [M^7, \Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3]$. By Lemma 3.1 (i),

$$
\pi_7(\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3) = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\imath}_6\eta_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{(\iota_{M^4} \wedge i_3)\tilde{\eta}_5\}.
$$

So, by use of $(*)_6$ combining with the fact that $\pi_6(\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3) = \mathbb{Z}_4\{\tilde{\imath}_6\}$ and $2\tilde{i}_6 = (i_4 \wedge i_3)\eta_5$ (2.4), we obtain

$$
[M^7,\Sigma M^3\wedge M^3]=\mathbb{Z}_2\{(i_4\wedge i_3)\bar{\eta}_5\}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2\{\tilde{\iota}_6\eta_6p_7\}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2\{(i_{M^4}\wedge i_3)\tilde{\eta}_5p_7\}.
$$

By [14, Proposition 14],

$$
H(\delta) = \pm \tilde{\imath}_6,
$$

and so $H(\delta \eta_6 p_7)=\tilde{\imath}_6 \eta_6 p_7$.

By use of a generalized version [9] of [20, Proposition 2.2] and by the fact that $H(\nu') = \eta_5$ [20, (5.3)] for $H : \pi_6^3 \to \pi_6^5$, we obtain

$$
H(\overline{\iota_4\nu'})\circ i_7 = H(i_4\nu') = (i_4 \wedge i_3)\eta_5 = (i_4 \wedge i_3)\overline{\eta}_5 \circ i_7 \in \pi_6(\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3).
$$

Hence, by use of $(*)_6$, we obtain

$$
H(\overline{\imath_4\nu'}) \equiv (i_4 \wedge i_3)\overline{\eta}_5 \bmod \pi_7(\Sigma M^3 \wedge M^3) \circ p_7 = {\tilde{\imath}_6\eta_6 p_7, (\iota_{M^4} \wedge i_3)\overline{\eta}_5 p_7}.
$$

Thus (ii) follows from the fact that $H \circ \Sigma = 0$. This completes the proof. \Box

For the cell complex $P_3^6 = M^4 \cup_{i_4 \bar{\eta}_3} CM^5$, we set

$$
i' = i_{M^4, P_3^6}
$$
, $i'' = i' \circ i_4$, $p' = p_{P_3^6, M^4}$ and $p'' = p_6 \circ p'.$

Let $\widetilde{i_5\eta_4p_5}$ be a coextension of $i_5\eta_4p_5=2\iota_M$. It is taken as a representative of the Toda bracket

$$
\{i', i_4\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\eta_4p_5\} \subset [M^6, P_3^6].
$$

Then, by the properties of Toda brackets and by the fact that

$$
\{2\iota_5, p_5, i_5\} \ni \iota_5 \mod 2\iota_5,
$$

we see that

$$
2i5\eta_4 p_5 \in \{i', i_4\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\eta_4 p_5\} \circ i_6\eta_5 p_6
$$

= $i' \circ \{i_4\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\eta_4 p_5, i_5\} \circ \eta_5 p_6$
 $\supset i' \circ \{2\tilde{\eta}_3, p_5, i_5\} \circ \eta_5 p_6$
 $\supset i'\tilde{\eta}_3 \circ \{2\iota_5, p_5, i_5\} \circ \eta_5 p_6$
 $\supset i'\tilde{\eta}_3 \eta_5 p_6 \mod i'_*[M^6, M^4] \circ 2\iota_{M^6} + [M^6, P_3^6] \circ 2\iota_{M^6} \circ 2\iota_{M^6}.$

By (1.3) and Lemma 2.1 (iii), the indeterminacy $i'_{*}[M^{6}, M^{4}] \circ 2\iota_{M^{6}} + [M^{6}, P_{3}^{6}] \circ$ $2\iota_{M^6} \circ 2\iota_{M^6}$ is trivial. That is,

(4.1)
$$
\widetilde{2i_5\eta_4p_5} = i'\widetilde{\eta}_3\eta_5p_6 \in [M^6, \Sigma\mathbf{P}_3^6].
$$

Since $p_4 \circ i_4 \bar{\eta}_3 = 0$, there exists an extension $\bar{p}_4 \in [P_3^6, S^4]$ of p_4 . We show the following.

Lemma 4.2. $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_3 \equiv \pm \widetilde{\Sigma i_5 \eta_4 p_5} \Sigma p' \bmod (\Sigma i'') \nu_4 \Sigma p''$.

Proof. By use of the canonical bijection

$$
[C\mathcal{P}_3^6, \mathcal{P}_3^6; \Sigma\mathcal{P}_3^6, M^5] \cong [\Sigma\mathcal{P}_3^6, M^7] = \{\Sigma p'\},
$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$
[\Sigma {\rm P}^6_3,M^5] \stackrel{(\Sigma i')_*}{\longrightarrow} [\Sigma {\rm P}^6_3,\Sigma {\rm P}^6_3] \stackrel{(\Sigma p')_*}{\longrightarrow} [\Sigma {\rm P}^6_3,M^7] \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Since $(\Sigma p')_*(2\iota_{\Sigma P_3^6} - \Sigma \widetilde{\iota_{5}\eta_4 p_5} \Sigma p') = 0$, we get that

$$
2\iota_{\Sigma {\rm P}^6_3} - \widetilde{2\iota_5\eta_4 p_5}\Sigma p'\in (\Sigma i')_* [\Sigma {\rm P}^6_3,M^5].
$$

Making use of the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence starting with $i_5 \overline{\eta}_4 : M^6 \to M^5$:

$$
[M^6,M^5] \stackrel{(i_5\bar{\eta}_4)^*}{\longleftarrow} [M^5,M^5] \stackrel{(\Sigma i')^*}{\longleftarrow} [\Sigma P_3^6,M^5] \stackrel{(\Sigma p')^*}{\longleftarrow} [M^7,M^5] \stackrel{(i_6\bar{\eta}_5)^*}{\longleftarrow} [M^6,M^5],
$$

together with (1.3) and Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain

$$
[\Sigma P_3^6, M^5] = \{ i_5 \eta_4 \Sigma \bar{p}_4, \tilde{\eta}_4 \eta_6 \Sigma p'', i_5 \nu_4 \Sigma p'' \} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3.
$$

So, by the fact that $i' \circ i_4 \eta_3 = i' \circ i_4 \bar{\eta}_3 \circ i_5 = 0$ and by (4.1), we obtain

$$
(\Sigma i')_*[\Sigma P_3^6, M^5] = \{2\Sigma \widetilde{\imath_{5}\eta_4 p_5} \Sigma p', (\Sigma i'') \nu_4 \Sigma p''\}.
$$

This leads to the relation, completing the proof.

In fact we can show the following.

Remark 4.3. S_3^6 , ΣP_3^6] = Z₈{ $\iota_{\Sigma P_3^6}$ } \oplus Z₂{ $(\Sigma i'')\nu_4 \Sigma p''$ }.

Now we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4*.* We consider the exact sequence $(i = \sum i_{4,6}, p = \sum p_{6,4})$

$$
[\Sigma P^4, \Sigma P^6] \xleftarrow{i^*} [\Sigma P^6, \Sigma P^6] \xleftarrow{p^*} [M^7, \Sigma P^6].
$$

By use of the commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathbf{P}^4 & \xrightarrow{i_{4,6}} & \mathbf{P}^6 \\
\downarrow p_{4,2} & & \downarrow p_{6,2} \\
M^4 & \xrightarrow{i'} & \mathbf{P}_3^6\n\end{array}
$$

and by (2.7),

$$
\overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ \Sigma p_{6,2} \circ \Sigma i_{4,6} = (\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3 \Sigma p_{4,2} = 4\iota_{\Sigma P^4}.
$$

So, by the relation

$$
\Sigma i_{4,6} \circ 4\iota_{\Sigma P^4} = 4\iota_{\Sigma P^6} \circ \Sigma i_{4,6} \in [\Sigma P^4, \Sigma P^6],
$$

 \Box

766 *Juno Mukai*

we have Theorem 1.4 (i).

By Lemma 4.2, we see that

$$
2(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3 = \overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ 2\iota_{\Sigma P_3^6}
$$

$$
\equiv \pm \overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ \Sigma i_5 \eta_4 p_5 \Sigma p'
$$

$$
\text{mod } \overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ (\Sigma i'')\nu_4 \Sigma p''.
$$

By the relation $\eta_3 \nu_4 = \nu' \eta_6$ and (3.4),

$$
(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3 \circ (\Sigma i'')\nu_4 = (\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\eta_3\nu_4 = (\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\nu'\eta_6 = 0 \in \pi_7(\Sigma P^4).
$$

By (4.1) , (2.1) and (3.4) ,

$$
2(\overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ \Sigma \widetilde{i_5\eta_4 p_5}) = \overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ (\Sigma i')\widetilde{\eta}_4 \eta_6 p_7
$$

= $(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2 \nu' \eta_6 p_7 = 0 \in [M^7, \Sigma P^4].$

Hence we conclude that

$$
2(\overline{\Sigma i_{1,4}}) \overline{\eta_2} \overline{\eta_3} = \overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4}}) \overline{\eta_2} \overline{\eta_3} \circ \Sigma \widetilde{i_{1,4}} \overline{\eta_4} \widetilde{p_5} \Sigma p' \in [\Sigma P_3^6, \Sigma P^4].
$$

By [20, Proposition 1.7], we obtain

$$
\overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ \Sigma \widetilde{i_{1,4}}\widetilde{\eta_2\eta_4\rho_5} \in \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5p_6\}_1
$$

mod $(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3 \circ \Sigma[M^6, M^4] + [M^7, \Sigma P^4] \circ i_7\eta_6p_7.$

By (1.3) and Theorem 1.3, $[M^7, \Sigma P^4] \circ i_7 \eta_6 p_7 = 2[M^7, \Sigma P^4] = 0$. By Lemma 4.1 (i), (2.1), (3.4) and by the relation $(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2^3 = 0$, we obtain

$$
(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3 \circ \Sigma[M^6, M^4] = \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2^3\bar{\eta}_5, (\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\nu'\eta_6 p_7\} = 0.
$$

Hence the indeterminacy is trivial and we get that

$$
\overline{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3} \circ \Sigma \widetilde{i_{5}\eta_4 p_5} = \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5 p_6\}_1 \in [M^7, \Sigma \mathbf{P}^4].
$$

This leads to Theorem 1.4 (ii).

Since $(\Sigma p_{5,4})(\Sigma \gamma_5)=2\iota_6$ by (1.2) , we obtain

$$
\Sigma \gamma_5 \in \{\Sigma i_{4,5}, \Sigma \gamma_4, 2\iota_5\}.
$$

By the properties of Toda brackets,

$$
(\Sigma \gamma_5)\eta_6 p_7 \in {\{\Sigma i_{4,5}, \Sigma \gamma_4, 2 \iota_5\}} \circ \eta_6 p_7 = \Sigma i_{4,5} \circ {\{\Sigma \gamma_4, 2 \iota_5, \eta_5 p_6\}}.
$$

The indeterminacy of $\Sigma i_{4,5} \circ {\Sigma \gamma_4, 2 \iota_5, \eta_5 p_6}$ is $(\Sigma i_{4,5} \circ \Sigma \gamma_4) \circ [M^7, S^5] + \Sigma i_{4,5} \circ$ $\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^4) \circ \eta_6 p_7 = 0$ by (1.1) and Corollary 3.7. Therefore

$$
(\Sigma \gamma_5)\eta_6 p_7 = \Sigma i_{4,5} \circ {\Sigma \gamma_4, 2\iota_5, \eta_5 p_6}.
$$

By the fact that $\Sigma[M^6, S^4]=[M^7, S^5],$

$$
\{\Sigma\gamma_4, 2\iota_5, \eta_5 p_6\} = \{\Sigma\gamma_4, 2\iota_5, \eta_5 p_6\}_1,
$$

and hence

(4.2)
$$
(\Sigma \gamma_5)\eta_6 p_7 = \Sigma i_{4,5} \circ {\Sigma \gamma_4, 2 \iota_5, \eta_5 p_6 }_1.
$$

By (2.6), (1.4), (2.5) and by the relation $i_4\eta_3^2 = i_4\bar{\eta}_3 \circ i_5\bar{\eta}_4 \circ i_6$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned} \{\Sigma\gamma_4, 2\iota_5, \eta_5 p_6\}_1 &\subset \{(\Sigma i_{3,4})h'', 2\tilde{\eta}_3, \eta_5 p_6\}_1 \\ &= \{(\Sigma i_{3,4})h'', i_4\eta_3^2, \eta_5 p_6\}_1 \\ &\supset \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5 p_6\}_1 \\ &\mod (\Sigma i_{3,4})h'' \circ \Sigma[M^6, M^3] + \pi_6(\Sigma P^4) \circ \eta_6 p_7. \end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary 3.7, $\pi_6(\Sigma \mathrm{P}^4) \circ \eta_6 p_7 = 0$. By Lemma 4.1 (ii) and (2.6),

$$
(\Sigma i_{3,4})h'' \circ \Sigma[M^6, M^3] = \{(\Sigma \gamma_4)\bar{\eta}_5\}.
$$

So we obtain

$$
\{\Sigma\gamma_4, 2\iota_5, \eta_5p_6\}_1 \equiv \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5p_6\}_1 \bmod (\Sigma\gamma_4)\bar{\eta}_5.
$$

Thus, by (1.1) and (4.2) , we obtain

$$
(\Sigma \gamma_5)\eta_6 p_7 = (\Sigma i_{4,5}) \circ \{(\Sigma i_{1,4})\eta_2\bar{\eta}_3, i_5\bar{\eta}_4, i_6\eta_5 p_6\}_1.
$$

This leads to Theorem 1.4 (iii), completing the proof of Theorem 1.4. \Box

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Morisugi and Iwase for the encouragements during the expedition to the conjecture. The author also wishes to thank Fred Cohen for the cordial hospitality during the stay at Rochester University on March, 2000.

> Department of Mathematical Sciences Faculty of Science, Shinshu University Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan e-mail: jmukai0@gipac.shinshu-u.ac.jp

References

- [1] J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 603–632.
- [2] M. Arkowitz, *The generalized Whitehead product*, Pacific. J. Math. **12** (1962), 7–23.

768 *Juno Mukai*

- [3] M. G. Barratt, *Track groups* (II), Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **5** (1955), 285–329.
- [4] , *Spaces of finite characteristic*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **11** (1960), 124–136.
- [5] M. G. Barratt, I. M. James and N. Stein, *Whitehead products and projective spaces*, J. Math. Mech. **9** (1960), 813–819.
- [6] H. J. Baues, *Commutator calculus and groups of homotopy classes*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note **50**, 1981.
- [7] A. L. Blakers and W. S. Massey, *The homotopy groups of a triad*. II, Ann. of Math. **55** (1952), 192–201.
- [8] , *Products in homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. **58** (1953), 295–324.
- [9] T. Inoue and J. Mukai, *A note on the Hopf homomorphism of a Toda bracket and its application*, to appear in Hiroshima Math. J. **33**-3 (2003).
- [10] I. M. James, *On the homotopy groups of certain pairs and triads*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **5** (1954), 260–270.
- [11] K. Morisugi, *Hopf constructions, Samelson products and suspension maps*, Contemp. Math. **239** (1999), 225–238.
- [12] J. Mukai, *A note on the Kahn-Priddy map*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **40** (1988), 53–63.
- [13] , *A remark on Toda's result about the suspension order of the stunted real projective space*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. A **42** (1988), 87–94.
- [14] , *On the attaching map in the Stiefel manifold of* 2*-frames*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **33** (1991), 177–188.
- [15] , *Note on existence of the unstable Adams map*, Kyushu J. Math. **49** (1995), 271–279.
- [16] , *Some homotopy groups of the double suspension of the real pro-* $\text{jective space } \mathbb{R}P^6$, Matemática Contemporânea **13** (1997), 235–249.
- [17] , *Generators of some homotopy groups of the mod* 2 *Moore space of dimension* 3 *or* 5, Kyushu J. Math. **55** (2001), 63–73.
- [18] , *Self-homotopy of a suspended real* 4*-projective space*, Contemp. Math. **274** (2001), 241–255.
- [19] P. Silberbush and J. Ucci, *On the suspension order of* $(\mathbb{R}P^{2m})^{[k]}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 1867–1872.
- [20] H. Toda, *Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **49**, Princeton, 1962.
- [21] $_____$, *Order of the identity class of a suspension space*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 300–325.