

## A note on homotopy normality of $H$ -spaces

By

Osamu NISHIMURA

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be an  $H$ -space,  $G$  a homotopy associative  $H$ -space, and  $f: X \rightarrow G$  an  $H$ -map. Let  $\gamma: G \wedge G \rightarrow G$  be the commutator map. (As is well known,  $G$  is group-like.) Recall that  $f$  is called to be homotopy normal (in the sense of James) if there exists a map  $\lambda: G \wedge X \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ \lambda$  is homotopic to  $\gamma \circ (1 \wedge f)$ . (See James [2].)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & X \\
 & & & \nearrow \lambda & \downarrow f \\
 G \wedge X & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge f} & G \wedge G & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G
 \end{array}$$

Localizing spaces and maps concerned at a prime  $p$ , we may also consider mod  $p$  homotopy normality.

The concept of (mod  $p$ ) homotopy normality is closely related to that of Samelson products of homotopy groups. In fact, if  $f: X \rightarrow G$  is (mod  $p$ ) homotopy normal, then all Samelson products (localized at  $p$ ) from  $\pi_k(G) \times f_*(\pi_l(X)) \subset \pi_k(G) \times \pi_l(G)$  to  $\pi_{k+l}(G)$  lie in  $f_*(\pi_{k+l}(X))$ . In [4], Kono and the author studied mod  $p$  homotopy normality by using the mod  $p$  homology map of the adjoint action on the space of loops, and showed that in many cases for  $G$  a compact, 1-connected, simple, exceptional Lie group which has integral  $p$ -torsion and  $H$  a Lie subgroup of  $G$ , the natural inclusion  $i: H \hookrightarrow G$  is not mod  $p$  homotopy normal.

In this paper, we give closer examination for mod  $p$  homotopy normality of an  $H$ -map  $f: X \rightarrow G$  restricting ourselves to the comparatively manageable case that  $p = 3$  and  $G = F_4$  where  $F_4$  is the compact, connected, simple, exceptional Lie group of rank 4. We show the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a mod 3  $H$ -space. If  $f: X \rightarrow F_4$  is a mod 3 homotopy normal  $H$ -map and  $H^{19}(X; \mathbb{F}_3)$  consists of decomposable elements, then  $f^*: H^*(F_4; \mathbb{F}_3) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_3)$  is trivial or monomorphic.*

Here, note that the inclusion of the unit group  $* \hookrightarrow F_4$  and the identity map  $1_{F_4}: F_4 \rightarrow F_4$  satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 and their mod 3 cohomology maps are trivial and monomorphic respectively.

It is easy to determine  $\gamma^*: H^*(F_4; \mathbb{F}_3) \rightarrow H^*(F_4 \wedge F_4; \mathbb{F}_3)$  and then, it is easy to see that if  $f: X \rightarrow F_4$  is a mod 3 homotopy normal  $H$ -map and  $f^*$  is neither trivial nor monomorphic, then (there exists an indecomposable element in  $H^{19}(X; \mathbb{F}_3)$  by Theorem 1.1 and)  $\text{Im } f^*$  is isomorphic to one of the following exterior algebras where  $|z_j| = j$ :

- (1.1)  $\wedge (z_{11}),$
- (1.2)  $\wedge (z_{11}, z_{15}),$
- (1.3)  $\wedge (z_3, z_{11}),$
- (1.4)  $\wedge (z_3, z_{11}, z_{15}),$
- (1.5)  $\wedge (z_3, z_7, z_{11}, z_{15}).$

**Theorem 1.2.** *All these cases are realizable with  $f$ 's being loop maps.*

The study of this paper is inspired by that of the papers [5], [6] written by Kudou and Yagita. They showed that if  $f: X \rightarrow F_4$  is a mod 3 homotopy normal  $H$ -map and there exist no primitive elements in  $H^{19}(X; \mathbb{F}_3)$  (this hypothesis is weaker than that in Theorem 1.1, see Milnor-Moore [7]), then one of the following holds: (i)  $f^*$  is trivial, (ii)  $f^*$  is monomorphic, (iii)  $\text{Im } f^*$  is as (1.3) (in other words,  $\text{Im } f^* \cong H^*(G_2; \mathbb{F}_3)$ , see Mimura [8]), (iv)  $\text{Im } f^*$  is as (1.5) (in other words,  $\text{Im } f^* \cong H^*(Spin(9); \mathbb{F}_3)$ , also see [8]). Here,  $G_2$  is the compact, connected, simple, exceptional Lie group of rank 2. Also they asked whether or not the natural inclusions  $G_2 \hookrightarrow F_4$  and  $Spin(9) \hookrightarrow F_4$ , of which the mod 3 cohomology maps are epimorphic, are mod 3 homotopy normal. Since  $H^{19}(G_2; \mathbb{F}_3)$  and  $H^{19}(Spin(9); \mathbb{F}_3)$  are trivial, Theorem 1.1 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.1.** *The natural inclusions  $Spin(9) \hookrightarrow F_4$  and  $G_2 \hookrightarrow F_4$  are not mod 3 homotopy normal.*

This was first proved in [4].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the mod 3 cohomology map  $\tilde{\gamma}^*$  where  $\tilde{\gamma}: F_4 \wedge F_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4$  is a lift of  $\gamma$  to  $\tilde{F}_4$ , the 3-connective cover of  $F_4$ . In Section 3, we study the mod 3 cohomology map  $\acute{\gamma}^*$  where  $\acute{\gamma}: F_4 \wedge F_4 \rightarrow \acute{F}_4$  is a lift of  $\gamma$  to  $\acute{F}_4$ , the homotopy fiber of a representative of the homotopy class corresponding to the generator  $x_8 \in H^8(F_4; \mathbb{F}_3) \cong [F_4, K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8)]$ . In Section 4, We use the results in Section 2 and Section 3 to prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.2.

All spaces and maps are localized at 3. Homology and cohomology are mod 3 unless otherwise stated. Let  $IH^*(-)$  denote the module which consists of the positive degree elements of  $H^*(-)$ . Let  $DH^*(-) = IH^*(-) \cdot IH^*(-)$ , the decomposable module. Let  $QH^*(-) = IH^*(-)/DH^*(-)$ , the indecomposable module. If  $X$  is an  $H$ -space, then  $QH^*(X)$  is dual to  $PH_*(X)$ , the module

which consists of the primitive elements of  $H_*(X)$ . The subscript integer of an element of a graded module designates the degree.

The author expresses gratitude to Professor Akira Kono for his helpful advices and suggestions.

**2. A lift of the commutator map to the 3-connective cover**

First we fix the notation and recall the data concerning  $F_4$ . Let  $\mu: F_4 \times F_4 \rightarrow F_4$ ,  $\iota: F_4 \rightarrow F_4$ , and  $\Delta: F_4 \rightarrow F_4 \times F_4$  denote the multiplication, the inverse, and the diagonal map of  $F_4$  respectively. Also let  $q: F_4 \times F_4 \rightarrow F_4 \wedge F_4$  and  $T: F_4 \wedge F_4 \rightarrow F_4 \wedge F_4$  denote the natural projection and the switching map respectively.

Recall that  $H^*(F_4) = \mathbb{F}_3[x_8]/(x_8^3) \otimes \wedge(x_3, x_7, x_{11}, x_{15})$ . The cohomology operations in  $H^*(F_4)$  are given by  $\phi^1 x_3 = x_7, \beta x_7 = x_8, \phi^1 x_{11} = x_{15}$ , and others. Let  $\bar{\mu}^*$  be the reduced coproduct of  $H^*(F_4)$ :  $\bar{\mu}^*(x) = \mu^*(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$  for  $x \in H^*(F_4)$ . The coalgebra structure of  $H^*(F_4)$  is given by  $\bar{\mu}^*(x_j) = 0$  for  $j = 3, 7, 8$  and  $\bar{\mu}^*(x_j) = x_8 \otimes x_{j-8}$  for  $j = 11, 15$ . (For the detail of the above, see Mimura [8].)

Recall that the commutator map  $\gamma: F_4 \wedge F_4 \rightarrow F_4$  is given by

$$\gamma \circ q = \mu \circ (\mu \times 1) \circ (\mu \times 1 \times 1) \circ (1 \times 1 \times \iota \times \iota) \circ (1 \times T \times 1) \circ (\Delta \times \Delta).$$

By the usual computation, we can easily show that  $\gamma^*(x_j) = 0$  for  $j = 3, 7, 8$  and  $\gamma^*(x_j) = x_8 \otimes x_{j-8} - x_{j-8} \otimes x_8$  for  $j = 11, 15$ .

Let  $x_3^{\mathbb{Z}} \in H^3(F_4; \mathbb{Z})$  be the integral class of  $x_3$ , which is also regarded as an element in  $[F_4, K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)]$  through the identification  $H^3(F_4; \mathbb{Z}) \cong [F_4, K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)]$ . Let  $\tilde{F}_4$  be the homotopy fiber of a representative of the homotopy class  $x_3^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , which is the 3-connective cover of  $F_4$ , and  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow F_4$  the projection. Recall that the cohomology class  $x_3^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is universally transgressive so that  $\tilde{F}_4$  is a loop space with the classifying space  $B\tilde{F}_4$ , the 4-connective cover of  $BF_4$ . Let  $\tilde{\mu}: \tilde{F}_4 \times \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4$ ,  $\tilde{\iota}: \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4$ , and  $\tilde{\Delta}: \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4 \times \tilde{F}_4$  denote the multiplication, the inverse, and the diagonal map of  $\tilde{F}_4$  respectively. Recall that by the Serre spectral sequence of  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} F_4$ , we have  $H^*(\tilde{F}_4) = \mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{y}_{18}] \otimes \wedge(\tilde{x}_{11}, \tilde{x}_{15}, \tilde{y}_{19}, \tilde{y}_{23})$  where  $\tilde{x}_j = \tilde{\pi}^*(x_j)$ . Then  $\gamma$  can be lifted to  $\tilde{\gamma}: F_4 \wedge F_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4$ .

Let  $J$  be the ideal of  $H^*(F_4 \wedge F_4)$  generated by  $IH^*(F_4) \otimes DH^*(F_4)$  and  $DH^*(F_4) \otimes IH^*(F_4)$ . (Here we think of the identification  $IH^*(F_4 \wedge F_4) \cong IH^*(F_4) \otimes IH^*(F_4)$ .) It is clear that  $\tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_{19})$  is a linear combination of  $x_8 \otimes x_{11}$  and  $x_{11} \otimes x_8 \pmod J$ . We can easily see that

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\gamma} \circ T \simeq \tilde{\iota} \circ \tilde{\gamma}: F_4 \wedge F_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4.$$

Also we can easily see that  $\tilde{\iota}^*(\tilde{y}_{19}) = -\tilde{y}_{19}$  and that  $T^*(J) \subset J$ . It follows from these that if we put

$$\tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_{19}) \equiv \alpha x_8 \otimes x_{11} + \alpha' x_{11} \otimes x_8 \pmod J$$

where  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_3$  and apply the mod 3 cohomology maps of (2.1) to  $\tilde{y}_{19}$ , we have

$$\alpha x_{11} \otimes x_8 + \alpha' x_8 \otimes x_{11} \equiv -\alpha x_8 \otimes x_{11} - \alpha' x_{11} \otimes x_8 \pmod{J}$$

and hence we have  $\alpha' = -\alpha$ . Thus, we may put

$$\tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_{19}) \equiv \alpha(x_8 \otimes x_{11} - x_{11} \otimes x_8) \pmod{J}.$$

Let  $a_j \in PH_*(F_4)$ ,  $\tilde{a}_j \in PH_*(\tilde{F}_4)$ , and  $\tilde{b}_j \in PH_*(\tilde{F}_4)$  be the dual elements of  $\{x_j\} \in QH^*(F_4)$ ,  $\{\tilde{x}_j\} \in QH^*(\tilde{F}_4)$ , and  $\{\tilde{y}_j\} \in QH^*(\tilde{F}_4)$  respectively. By [9] and by considering the natural inclusion  $F_4 \hookrightarrow E_6$  (or by [10] and by considering the homology suspension  $\sigma: H_*(\Omega\tilde{F}_4) \rightarrow H_*(\tilde{F}_4)$ ), we can choose  $\tilde{y}_{19}$  so that  $\tilde{\text{ad}}_*(a_8 \otimes \tilde{a}_{11}) = \tilde{b}_{19}$  where  $\tilde{\text{ad}}: F_4 \times \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4$  covers the adjoint action  $\text{ad}: F_4 \times F_4 \rightarrow F_4$ . (See Kono-Kozima [3] and Hamanaka-Hara [1].) We can easily see that

$$\tilde{\gamma} \circ q \circ (1 \times \tilde{\pi}) \simeq \tilde{\mu} \circ (\tilde{\text{ad}} \times \tilde{i}) \circ (1 \times \tilde{\Delta}): F_4 \times \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4.$$

Applying the mod 3 homology maps of these to  $a_8 \otimes \tilde{a}_{11}$ , we have from the left hand side  $\tilde{\gamma}_*(a_8 \otimes a_{11})$  and from the right hand side

$$\tilde{\mu}_* \circ (\tilde{\text{ad}}_* \circ \tilde{i}_*)(a_8 \otimes \tilde{a}_{11} \otimes 1 + a_8 \otimes 1 \otimes \tilde{a}_{11}) = \tilde{\mu}_*(\tilde{b}_{19} \otimes 1) = \tilde{b}_{19}.$$

(Recall that  $\tilde{\text{ad}}_*(a_8 \otimes 1) = 0$  by the general property of the adjoint action.) Thus, we have  $\tilde{\gamma}_*(a_8 \otimes a_{11}) = \tilde{b}_{19}$ . Taking the pairing of this with  $\tilde{y}_{19}$ , we have from the left hand side

$$\langle \tilde{y}_{19}, \tilde{\gamma}_*(a_8 \otimes a_{11}) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_{19}), a_8 \otimes a_{11} \rangle = \langle \alpha(x_8 \otimes x_{11} - x_{11} \otimes x_8), a_8 \otimes a_{11} \rangle = \alpha$$

and from the right hand side  $\langle \tilde{y}_{19}, \tilde{b}_{19} \rangle = 1$ . (Note that the pairing of  $a_8 \otimes a_{11}$  with an element of  $J$  vanishes.) Thus, we have  $\alpha = 1$  and hence we have

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_{19}) \equiv x_8 \otimes x_{11} - x_{11} \otimes x_8 \pmod{J}.$$

We use this result later.

**Remark 1.** Making more efforts, we can determine  $\tilde{\gamma}^*: H^*(\tilde{F}_4) \rightarrow H^*(F_4 \wedge F_4)$  completely. In fact, we have  $\tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{x}_j) = \gamma^*(x_j)$ , and in the same way as above, we can determine  $\tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_j) \pmod{J}$ . Then, by algebraic computation based on the property of the commutator map, we can determine  $\tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_j)$  without mod  $J$ . We omit the detail.

### 3. A lift of the commutator map to another space

Recall that we have the cohomology class  $x_8 \in H^8(F_4)$ , which is also regarded as an element in  $[F_4, K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8)]$  through the identification  $H^8(F_4) \cong [F_4, K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8)]$ . Let  $\tilde{F}_4$  be the homotopy fiber of a representative of the homotopy class  $x_8$  and  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow F_4$  the projection. Let  $u_3 \in H^3(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))$  be the fundamental class. Then we have  $\beta_{\varphi^1} u_3 \in H^8(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)) \cong [K(\mathbb{Z}, 3), K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8)]$

and  $\beta\varphi^1u_3 \circ x_3^{\mathbb{Z}} = \beta\varphi^1x_3 = x_8$  where we regard the elements as the appropriate homotopy classes. Also note that the cohomology class  $x_8$  as well as  $x_3^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is universally transgressive. Thus, we have the following homotopy commutative diagram of loop spaces and loop maps. (Representatives of  $x_3^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $x_8$ , and  $\beta\varphi^1u_3$  are denoted by the same symbols respectively, and the maps  $\pi$ ,  $\tilde{h}$ , and  $\acute{h}$  are defined in an obvious way.)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathbb{C}P^\infty & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \tilde{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & F_4 & \xrightarrow{x_3^{\mathbb{Z}}} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \\
 \downarrow * & & \downarrow \pi & & \parallel & & \downarrow \beta\varphi^1u_3 \\
 K(\mathbb{F}_3, 7) & \xrightarrow{\acute{h}} & \acute{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{\acute{\pi}} & F_4 & \xrightarrow{x_8} & K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8) \\
 \parallel & & \uparrow \acute{h} & & \uparrow * & & \parallel \\
 K(\mathbb{F}_3, 7) & \xlongequal{\quad} & K(\mathbb{F}_3, 7) & \xrightarrow{*} & * & \xrightarrow{*} & K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8)
 \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal arrows form homotopy fiber sequences.

Let  $u_j \in H^*(K(\mathbb{F}_3, j))$  be the fundamental classes for  $j = 7, 8$ . We can easily see that  $\varphi^3u_7$  is a permanent cycle in the Serre spectral sequence of  $K(\mathbb{F}_3, 7) \xrightarrow{\acute{h}} \acute{F}_4 \xrightarrow{\acute{\pi}} F_4$ . Hence we can take  $\acute{y}_{19} \in H^*(\acute{F}_4)$  so that  $\acute{h}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) = \varphi^3u_7$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $\acute{y}_{19}$  can be taken also to be transgressive and  $\tau(\acute{y}_{19}) = \varphi^3u_8$  in the Serre spectral sequence of  $\acute{F}_4 \xrightarrow{\acute{\pi}} F_4 \xrightarrow{x_8} K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8)$ . Here, note that  $\pi^*(\acute{y}_{19})$  is a scalar multiple of  $\tilde{y}_{19}$  and observe that  $\tilde{y}_{19}$  is transgressive and  $\tau(\tilde{y}_{19}) = \pm\beta\varphi^3\varphi^1u_3 = \pm\varphi^3\beta\varphi^1u_3 \neq 0$  in the Serre spectral sequence of  $\tilde{F}_4 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} F_4 \xrightarrow{x_3^{\mathbb{Z}}} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ . Hence  $\pi^*(\acute{y}_{19})$  is transgressive and  $\tau(\pi^*(\acute{y}_{19}))$  is a scalar multiple of  $\varphi^3\beta\varphi^1u_3$ . Moreover,  $\pi^*(\acute{y}_{19})$  is non-zero if and only if  $\tau(\pi^*(\acute{y}_{19}))$  is non-zero. Then,  $\tau(\pi^*(\acute{y}_{19}))$  is the image of  $\tau(\acute{y}_{19}) = \varphi^3u_8$  under the mod 3 cohomology map of  $\beta\varphi^1u_3: K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8)$ , and hence is  $\varphi^3\beta\varphi^1u_3 \neq 0$ . Thus, we have  $\pi^*(\acute{y}_{19}) = \pm\tilde{y}_{19}$ .

Put  $\acute{\gamma} = \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}: F_4 \wedge F_4 \rightarrow \acute{F}_4$ , which is a lift of  $\gamma$  to  $\acute{F}_4$ . By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \acute{\gamma}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) &= \tilde{\gamma}^* \circ \pi^*(\acute{y}_{19}) \\
 &= \pm\tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{y}_{19}) \\
 &\equiv \pm(x_8 \otimes x_{11} - x_{11} \otimes x_8) \pmod{J}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, for any map  $g: Y \rightarrow F_4$ , we have  $\varphi^1g^*(x_3) = g^*(x_7)$ ,  $\beta g^*(x_7) = g^*(x_8)$ , and  $\varphi^1g^*(x_{11}) = g^*(x_{15})$ . Thus,  $g^*(x_3) = 0$  implies  $g^*(x_7) = 0$ ,  $g^*(x_7) = 0$  implies  $g^*(x_8) = 0$ , and  $g^*(x_{11}) = 0$  implies  $g^*(x_{15}) = 0$ .

Next, let  $f: X \rightarrow F_4$  be a mod 3 homotopy normal  $H$ -map. We have a map  $\lambda: F_4 \wedge X \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ \lambda \simeq \gamma \circ (1 \wedge f): F_4 \wedge X \rightarrow F_4$ . For  $j = 11, 15$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^* \circ f^*(x_j) &= (1 \wedge f)^* \circ \gamma^*(x_j) \\ &= (1 \wedge f)^*(x_8 \otimes x_{j-8} - x_{j-8} \otimes x_8) \\ &= x_8 \otimes f^*(x_{j-8}) - x_{j-8} \otimes f^*(x_8). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f^*(x_j) = 0$  implies  $f^*(x_{j-8}) = 0$  for  $j = 11, 15$ . Hence we can see that  $f^*$  is trivial if and only if  $f^*(x_{11}) = 0$ . Moreover, considering the elementary theory of Hopf algebras (see Milnor-Moore [7]), we can see that  $f^*$  is monomorphic if and only if  $f^*(x_j) \neq 0$  for any  $j$  if and only if  $f^*(x_8) \neq 0$ .

Now, suppose that  $H^{19}(X) = DH^{19}(X)$  (in other words,  $QH^{19}(X) = 0$ ),  $f^*(x_{11}) \neq 0$ , and  $f^*(x_8) = 0$ . We show a contradiction. Since  $f^*(x_8) = 0$ , we have a lift  $\acute{f}: X \rightarrow \acute{F}_4$  of  $f$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \acute{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & F_4 & \xrightarrow{x_8} & K(\mathbb{F}_3, 8) \\ & \searrow f & \uparrow f & \nearrow * & \\ & & X & & \end{array}$$

Then, since we have two lifts  $\acute{f} \circ \lambda$  and  $\acute{\gamma} \circ (1 \wedge f)$  of  $f \circ \lambda \simeq \gamma \circ (1 \wedge f)$  to  $\acute{F}_4$ , there exists a map  $\eta: F_4 \wedge X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{F}_3, 7)$  such that  $\acute{\gamma} \circ (1 \wedge f) \simeq \acute{f} \circ \lambda + \acute{h} \circ \eta$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(\mathbb{F}_3, 7) & \xrightarrow{\acute{h}} & \acute{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & F_4 \\ & \searrow \eta & \uparrow \acute{f} & \nearrow f & \uparrow \gamma \\ & & X & & \\ & & \uparrow \lambda & \nearrow \acute{\gamma} & \\ F_4 \wedge X & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge f} & F_4 \wedge F_4 & & \end{array}$$

In particular, we have

$$(4.1) \quad (1 \wedge f)^* \circ \acute{\gamma}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) = \lambda^* \circ \acute{f}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) + \eta^* \circ \acute{h}^*(\acute{y}_{19}).$$

Let  $J'$  be the ideal of  $H^*(F_4 \wedge X)$  generated by  $IH^*(F_4) \otimes DH^*(X)$  and  $DH^*(F_4) \otimes IH^*(X)$ . Note that  $(1 \wedge f)^*(J) \subset J'$ . Hence by (3.1) and by  $f^*(x_8) = 0$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad (1 \wedge f)^* \circ \acute{\gamma}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) \equiv \pm(x_8 \otimes f^*(x_{11})) \pmod{J'}.$$

Also note that  $\lambda^*(DH^*(X)) \subset DH^*(F_4 \wedge X) \subset J'$ . Since  $\acute{f}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) \in H^{19}(X) = DH^{19}(X)$ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda^* \circ \acute{f}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) \in J'.$$

We may put  $\eta^*(u_7) = x_3 \otimes \zeta \in H^3(F_4) \otimes H^4(X) = H^7(F_4 \wedge X)$ . Since  $\acute{h}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) = \wp^3 u_7$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad \eta^* \circ \acute{h}^*(\acute{y}_{19}) = \wp^3 \eta^*(u_7) = \wp^3(x_3 \otimes \zeta) = x_7 \otimes \zeta^3 \in J'.$$

Thus by (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4), we have  $x_8 \otimes f^*(x_{11}) \in J'$ . However,  $f^*(x_{11}) \neq 0$  is primitive (recall that  $f$  is an  $H$ -map and that  $f^*(x_8) = 0$ ) and hence is indecomposable (see Milnor-Moore [7]). It follows that  $x_8 \otimes f^*(x_{11}) \notin J'$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**5. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

Let  $f: X \rightarrow F_4$  be a mod 3 homotopy normal  $H$ -map. Because of the argument in the first two paragraphs of Section 4, and of the elementary theory of Hopf algebras (see Milnor-Moore [7]), we can see that if  $f^*(x_{11}) \neq 0$  and  $f^*(x_8) = 0$ , then  $\text{Im } f^*$  is isomorphic to one of (1.1)–(1.5) where  $z_j = f^*(x_j) \neq 0$ . We exhibit an example for each case with  $f$  being a loop map.

For (1.2) and (1.5), we have  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{F}_4 \rightarrow F_4$  and  $\hat{\pi}: \hat{F}_4 \rightarrow F_4$ , respectively, which are loop maps. These are homotopy normal since  $\gamma$  has a lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  to  $\tilde{F}_4$  and a lift  $\hat{\gamma}$  to  $\hat{F}_4$ . (See Kudou-Yagita [6].)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \tilde{F}_4 \\
 & \nearrow \tilde{\gamma} & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\
 F_4 \wedge \tilde{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \tilde{\pi}} & F_4 \wedge F_4 \xrightarrow{\gamma} F_4
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \hat{F}_4 \\
 & \nearrow \hat{\gamma} & \downarrow \hat{\pi} \\
 F_4 \wedge \hat{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \hat{\pi}} & F_4 \wedge F_4 \xrightarrow{\gamma} F_4
 \end{array}$$

We know that  $\tilde{\pi}^*(x_j) = 0$  for  $j = 3, 7, 8$  and that  $\tilde{\pi}^*(x_j) = \tilde{x}_j \neq 0$  for  $j = 11, 15$ . By definition, we have  $\hat{\pi}^*(x_8) = 0$  and by the Serre spectral sequence of  $K(\mathbb{F}_3, 7) \xrightarrow{h} \hat{F}_4 \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} F_4$ , we can easily see that  $\hat{\pi}^*(x_j) \neq 0$  for  $j = 3, 7, 11, 15$ . Thus,  $\text{Im } \tilde{\pi}^*$  is as (1.2) and  $\text{Im } \hat{\pi}^*$  is as (1.5).

For (1.1), let  $X_1$  be the homotopy fiber of a representative of the homotopy class  $\tilde{x}_{15} \in H^{15}(\tilde{F}_4) \cong [\tilde{F}_4, K(\mathbb{F}_3, 15)]$  and  $i_1: X_1 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4$  the projection. Put  $f_1 = \tilde{\pi} \circ i_1: X_1 \rightarrow F_4$ , which is a loop map. (Note that the cohomology class  $\tilde{x}_{15}$  is universally transgressive.) Since

$$(1 \wedge f_1)^* \circ \tilde{\gamma}^*(\tilde{x}_{15}) = (1 \wedge i_1)^* \circ (1 \wedge \tilde{\pi})^*(x_8 \otimes x_7 - x_7 \otimes x_8) = 0,$$

the map  $\tilde{\gamma} \circ (1 \wedge f_1): F_4 \wedge X_1 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_4$ , which is a lift of  $\gamma \circ (1 \wedge f_1): F_4 \wedge X_1 \rightarrow F_4$ , has a lift to  $X_1$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & X_1 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow i_1 & & \\
 & & & & \tilde{F}_4 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \\
 & & & & F_4 & & \\
 & & \nearrow \tilde{\gamma} & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & \searrow f_1 & \\
 F_4 \wedge X_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge i_1} & F_4 \wedge \tilde{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \tilde{\pi}} & F_4 \wedge F_4 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & F_4 \\
 & \searrow 1 \wedge f_1 & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$





## References

- [1] H. Hamanaka and S. Hara, *The mod 3 homology of the space of loops on the exceptional Lie groups and the adjoint action*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **37-3** (1997), 441–453.
- [2] I. M. James, *On the homotopy theory of the classical groups*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. **39** (1967) 39–44.
- [3] A. Kono and K. Kozima, *The adjoint action of a Lie group on the space of loops*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **45-3** (1993), 495–510.
- [4] A. Kono and O. Nishimura, *Homotopy normality of Lie groups and the adjoint action*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **43-3** (2003), 641–650.
- [5] K. Kudou and N. Yagita, *Modulo odd prime homotopy normality for  $H$ -spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38-4** (1998), 643–651.
- [6] ———, *Note on homotopy normality and the  $n$ -connected fiber space*, Kyushu J. Math. **55** (2001), 119–129.
- [7] J. Milnor and C. Moore, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 211–264.
- [8] M. Mimura, *Homotopy theory of Lie groups*, Handbook of algebraic topology, 951–991, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [9] O. Nishimura, *On the Hopf algebra structure of the mod 3 cohomology of the exceptional Lie group of type  $E_6$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **39-4** (1999), 697–704.
- [10] ———, *On the homology of the Kac-Moody groups and the cohomology of the 3-connective covers of Lie groups*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **42-1** (2002), 175–180.