

The Jacobian Problem for singular surfaces

By

Rajendra Vasant GURJAR and Masayoshi MIYANISHI*

Abstract

We consider normal affine surfaces X with étale endomorphisms. It is proved that if X has at least one singular point which is not a quotient singular point then such an endomorphism is an isomorphism.

1. Introduction

In this paper we will consider the Jacobian Problem for normal affine surfaces. Let X be an irreducible normal affine surface defined over \mathbb{C} . For notational simplicity, let X_u (“upper” X) and X_ℓ (“lower” X) be two copies of X . Let $\varphi : X_u \rightarrow X_\ell$ be an étale morphism. The Generalized Jacobian Problem (GJP, for short) asks if φ is a finite morphism. In this generality the answer is negative [7, §4, Example 3]. For some positive results we refer the reader to [7, 6], where the surface X is assumed to be smooth. We do not know if GJP is true for a singular quotient \mathbb{C}^2/G , where G is a finite group of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . The authors feel that proving GJP for this case will be an important step for proving the classical Jacobian Problem when $X = \mathbb{C}^2$.

In this paper we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *With the above notation, let \tilde{X} be the normalization of X_ℓ in the function field of X_u . Then $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is a disjoint union of irreducible curves C_i , each of which is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 . Further, each singularity of \tilde{X} which is not contained in X_u is a cyclic quotient singularity. Any irreducible component of $\tilde{X} - X_u$ contains at most one such singular point.*

Our proof of this result uses the classification theory of non-complete algebraic surfaces developed by S. Iitaka, Y. Kawamata, T. Fujita, M. Miyanishi, T. Sugie, S. Tsunoda and others.

The next result is our main motivation for this paper.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s). 14R25.

Received February 6, 2008

*Research was supported partially by Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (C) 18540058, JSPS.

Corollary 2. *Let X be an affine normal surface which has at least one singularity which is not a quotient singularity. Then any étale map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism.*

Acknowledgement. This work was done while the second author was visiting Tata Institute of Fundamental Research for which he would like to express deep appreciation.

2. Preliminaries

We will only consider complex algebraic varieties. For any variety Z let $\chi(Z)$ denote the topological Euler-Poincaré characteristic of Z . For a smooth quasi-projective variety Z , let $\kappa(Z)$ denote the logarithmic Kodaira dimension as defined by S. Iitaka [4].

Let \mathbb{A}^1 denote the affine line as an algebraic variety. Similarly, let \mathbb{C}^* (or \mathbb{A}^{1*}) denote the algebraic curve $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{\text{one point}\}$. A morphism $f : Z \rightarrow B$ from a normal affine surface to a smooth algebraic curve is called an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration if a general fiber of f is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 . Similarly, a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration and a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration is defined.

A simple normal crossing divisor on a smooth algebraic surface will be called an SNC divisor. An embedding $Z \subset V$ of a normal quasi-projective surface Z into a normal projective surface V is called an *SNC completion* if V is smooth outside Z and $V - Z$ is an SNC divisor. A smooth irreducible rational curve with self-intersection $-n$ on a smooth algebraic surface will be called a $(-n)$ -curve. An irreducible component C of an SNC divisor Δ is called an *extraneous (-1) -curve* if C meets at most two other irreducible components of Δ .

For an SNC divisor we use the terms like “twig”, branch point, etc, from [2]. For a normal variety Z , let $\text{Sing } Z$ denote the singular locus of Z and let Z^0 denote $Z - \text{Sing } Z$.

Let (V, p) be a germ of a normal surface singularity. We say that (V, p) is a *quasi-rational* singularity if the exceptional divisor in a suitable resolution of singularities of (V, p) is a tree of smooth rational curves.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We begin with the following useful result which is an approximation to the main result we want to prove.

Proposition 3. *$\tilde{X} - X_u$ is a disjoint union of contractible irreducible curves and any singularity of \tilde{X} not contained in X_u is quasi-rational.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{V}$ be an SNC completion such that $\tilde{\Delta} := \tilde{V} - \tilde{X}$ is an SNC divisor and \tilde{V} is smooth outside \tilde{X} . Let \tilde{N} be a nice tubular neighborhood of $\tilde{\Delta}$ in \tilde{X} . Then $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a strong deformation retract of \tilde{N} . Hence $H_1(\tilde{N}, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $H_1(\tilde{\Delta}, \mathbb{R})$. We consider a good compactification $X_\ell \subset V$ as above and a tubular neighborhood N of $\Delta = V - X_\ell$. The properness of $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$

implies that there is a continuous proper map with finite fibers $\tilde{N} \rightarrow N$. The following result can be proved using either transfer homomorphism in the theory of finite transformation groups or triangulation of complex analytic varieties [3].

Lemma 4. *Let V, W be normal complex analytic varieties and let $f : V \rightarrow W$ be a finite complex analytic map. Then the induced homomorphisms $H_i(V, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_i(W, \mathbb{R})$ are surjective for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.*

Using this we see that $H_1(\tilde{N}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_1(N, \mathbb{R})$ is surjective. The rank of $H_1(\tilde{N}, \mathbb{R})$ is equal to $\sum 2\tilde{g}_i + \tilde{p}$, where $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2, \dots$ are the genera of irreducible components of $\tilde{V} - \tilde{X}$ and \tilde{p} is the number of independent loops in the dual graph of $\tilde{\Delta}$. Let g_1, g_2, \dots be the genera of irreducible components of $V - X_\ell$ and p the number of loops in the dual graph of $V - X_\ell$. Since $X_u \subset \tilde{V}$, we have $\sum g_i \geq \sum \tilde{g}_j$ and $p \geq \tilde{p}$. Now by the above lemma $\sum 2\tilde{g}_j + \tilde{p} \geq \sum 2g_i + p$. This easily implies that $\tilde{p} = p$ and $\sum g_i = \sum \tilde{g}_j$. It follows that $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is a disjoint union of irreducible contractible curves and any singularities of \tilde{X} which is not contained in X_u is quasi-rational. This completes the proof of Proposition 3. \square

We will divide the proof of Theorem 1 according to the value of $\bar{\kappa}(X^0)$.

First we will show that X_u is naturally a Zariski-open subset of \tilde{X} . Since \tilde{X} is the normalization of X_ℓ in the function field of X_u there is an induced birational morphism $X_u \rightarrow \tilde{X}$. The fibers of this morphism are clearly finite. Hence by Zariski's Main Theorem X_u embeds in \tilde{X} as a Zariski-open subset via this morphism.

Next, we will dispose off the easy case when $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) = 2$.

Since the morphism $X_u \rightarrow X_\ell$ is étale, we get an induced morphism $X_u^0 \rightarrow X_\ell^0$. If $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) = 2$, then by a basic result due to Iitaka [4, Theorems 1, 2], the morphism $X_u^0 \rightarrow X_\ell^0$ is an isomorphism. Hence in this case $\varphi : X_u \rightarrow X_\ell$ is also an isomorphism.

From now onwards we will assume that $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) < 2$.

Case 1. Suppose $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) = -\infty$.

We have $X_u^0 \subset \tilde{X}^0$.

Case 1.1. Suppose that X_u^0 admits an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration $\pi : X_u^0 \rightarrow C$. Since \tilde{X} is affine, π extends to an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$, where $\tilde{C} \supset C$ as a Zariski-open subset. In [8, Chapter I, §6], it is proved that $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is a disjoint union of irreducible curves isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 and \tilde{X} has at worst cyclic quotient singularities. Further, any irreducible component of $\tilde{X} - X_u$ contains at most one singular point of \tilde{X} .

Case 1.2. Suppose that X_u^0 does not have an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration. In this case by a result of Miyanishi-Tsunoda [9, Chapter 3, Theorem 2.5.4] X_u contains

\mathbb{C}^2/G as a Zariski-open subset, where G is a finite group acting linearly on \mathbb{C}^2 . There exists a natural \mathbb{C}^* -fibration $\pi : (\mathbb{C}^2/G)^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The closure of any fiber of π passes through the singular point of \mathbb{C}^2/G . It follows that any such closure is closed in \tilde{X} , so that π extends to a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} - \text{Sing } \mathbb{C}^2/G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let F be the closure of a general fiber of π . Then $\tilde{\pi}|_{\tilde{X}-F}$ is a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration to \mathbb{A}^1 . By Proposition 3 we see that $\tilde{X} - X_u$ cannot have a \mathbb{C}^* or a union of two \mathbb{A}^1 's meeting transversally in one point as a connected component. The result [10, Lemma 2.9] describes the possible singular fibers of a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration on a normal affine surface with at most quotient singularities. In order to use this result, we first remark that the proof of Lemma 2.7 in [6] shows that every singular point of \tilde{X} which lies outside X_u is a quotient singular point. Now by the result in [10] every singular point of \tilde{X} , other than $\text{Sing } \mathbb{C}^2/G$, is a cyclic quotient singular point. Further, since X_u contains \mathbb{C}^2/G as a Zariski-open subset and the divisor at infinity for \mathbb{C}^2/G is a tree of \mathbb{P}^1 's, we conclude again using [10, Lemma 2.9] that $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is a disjoint union of \mathbb{A}^1 's. Finally, again by the result in [10], we see that any irreducible component of $\tilde{X} - X_u$ contains at most one singular point of \tilde{X} . This completes the proof of Theorem 1 when $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) = -\infty$.

Case 2. $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) = 1$.

In this case, by the basic structure theorem due to Kawamata [8, Chapter II], there is a morphism $\rho : X^0 \rightarrow C$ which is a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration induced by the linear system $|n(D + K_V)|$ with $n \gg 0$, where V is an SNC-completion of X_u^0 and $D = V - X_u^0$.

Since $\varphi : X_u \rightarrow X_\ell$ is unramified, φ induces $\varphi^0 : X_u^0 \rightarrow X_\ell^0$. It is proved in [6, Lemma 2.4] that φ^0 maps fibers of ρ to fibers of ρ . This implies that there exists an endomorphism $\alpha : C \rightarrow C$ such that $\alpha \cdot \rho = \rho \cdot \varphi^0$ and α is an étale endomorphism. Then α is not an automorphism only if $C \cong \mathbb{C}^*$.

We first assume that α is an automorphism. The normalization morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X_\ell$ induces $\tilde{\varphi}^0 : \tilde{X}^0 - S \rightarrow X_\ell^0 - S^0$, where S, S^0 are finite sets and $\tilde{\varphi}^0$ is a finite map; a smooth point of \tilde{X}^0 may be mapped to a singular point of X_ℓ . Note that $\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{X}^0) = \bar{\kappa}(\tilde{X}^0 - S) = \bar{\kappa}(X^0)$. Hence there exists a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{X}^0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|_{X_u^0} = \rho$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}|_{\tilde{X}^0 - S} : \tilde{X}^0 - S \rightarrow X_\ell^0 - S^0$ induces a finite morphism $\tilde{\alpha} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}|_C = \alpha$. Then $C = \tilde{C}$ because α is an automorphism and hence $\tilde{\alpha}$ is birational.

Case 2.1. Suppose that the \mathbb{C}^* -fibration $\tilde{\rho}$ on \tilde{X}^0 extends to a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration $\tilde{p} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$. Since $C = \tilde{C}$, every connected component of $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is contained in a fiber of \tilde{p} . By Proposition 3, $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is a disjoint union of contractible irreducible curves. Hence using [10, Lemma 2.9] we conclude that $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is a disjoint union of \mathbb{A}^1 's, \tilde{X} has at most cyclic singular points and each irreducible component of $\tilde{X} - X_u$ contains at most one such singular point.

Case 2.2. Suppose that the \mathbb{C}^* -fibration $\tilde{\rho}$ on \tilde{X}^0 does not extend to a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration on \tilde{X} . Then the closures of fibers of $\tilde{\rho}$ in \tilde{X} have a base point O .

Namely, the closures of the fibers of $\tilde{\rho}$ form a linear pencil with a base point O . Since $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X_\ell$ maps the fibers of the \mathbb{C}^* -fibration $\tilde{\rho}$ to fibers of the \mathbb{C}^* -fibration ρ on X_ℓ^0 , the base point O lies on X_u . Hence the complement $\tilde{X} - X_u$ is contained in a union of fibers of a \mathbb{C}^* -fibration, which is obtained by eliminating the base points centered at O . The rest of the argument is the same as in Case 2.1.

The proof when α is not an automorphism is very similar.

Case 3. $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) = 0$.

The proof in this case is more involved.

First we recall some results of T. Fujita [2, §6]. Let Z be a smooth quasi-projective (irreducible) surface with $\bar{\kappa}(Z) = 0$. Let $Z \subset W$ be an SNC completion such that $\Delta := W - Z$ does not contain any extraneous (-1) -curves for Δ . We say that (W, Δ) is an *NC-minimal* completion of Z if in the Zariski-Fujita decomposition $K_W + \Delta \approx P + N$, $N = \text{Bk}^*(\Delta)$. Since $\bar{\kappa}(Z) = 0$, for some integer n , nP is an integral divisor which is numerically trivial.

Assume now that (W, Δ) is an NC-minimal completion of Z . Let $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots$ be the connected components of Δ . Fujita has shown that each Δ_i is one of the following:

(1) A minimal resolution of a quotient singular point.

(2) A tree of \mathbb{P}^1 's with exactly 2 branch points such that the branch points are connected by a (possibly empty) linear chain of \mathbb{P}^1 's and each branch point meets exactly two other (-2) -curves.

(3) A simple loop of \mathbb{P}^1 's.

(4) A tree of \mathbb{P}^1 's with a unique branch point which meets three linear trees defining cyclic quotient singular points at one of their end points. Further, the absolute values d_1, d_2, d_3 of the determinants of the three trees satisfy $\sum_i 1/d_i = 1$.

(5) A tree of five \mathbb{P}^1 's with a unique branch point which intersects the other four curves transversally in one point each, and such that the four curves are all (-2) -curves.

(6) A smooth elliptic curve.

Let $\tilde{X} - X_u = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots$ be the irreducible decomposition. By Proposition 3, each C_i is contractible and any singularity of \tilde{X} outside X_u is quasirational. Let V be an SNC completion of $\tilde{X} - \text{Sing } X_u$ obtained by resolving the singularities of X_u and embedding the resulting surface in an SNC completion V . In this process the singularities of \tilde{X} (and the curves C_i) not lying in X_u are untouched.

Now we assume the following condition (*).

(*) Either C_1 is not smooth or contains a singular point of \tilde{X} which is not a cyclic quotient singularity.

We will arrive at a contradiction to Fujita's list of NC-minimal completions.

Next we blow up finitely many points of V to obtain a surface \tilde{V} such that $\Delta_1 := \tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1, \Delta_2 := \tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2, \dots$ are SNC divisors, where \tilde{C}_i is the closure of the proper transform of C_i in \tilde{V} and E_i is the union of exceptional irreducible curves which arise by resolving singularities of \tilde{X} lying on C_i and singularities of C_i itself. Let D_∞ be the connected component of $\tilde{V} - \tilde{X}'$ which supports a *big* divisor, where \tilde{X}' is the Zariski-open subset of \tilde{V} obtained from \tilde{X} by blowing up points lying on all the C_i as above and singular points of X_u . We can assume that the only possible extraneous (-1) -curves of $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1$ is \tilde{C}_1 . Using $\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{X}^0) = 0$ we deduce easily that the dual graph of D_∞ is non-linear, even after contracting extraneous (-1) -curves one by one.

Case 3.1. Suppose that X_u^0 has an NC-minimal completion.

Now any irreducible component of D_∞ which is a (-1) -curve and which meets at most two other irreducible components of D_∞ arises while making the divisor $D_\infty \cup \tilde{C}_1$ a simple normal crossing divisor. Such an irreducible component meets \tilde{C}_1 and two other irreducible components of D_∞ .

We assume, as above, that C_1 satisfies (*). If $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1 \cup D_\infty$ has no extraneous (-1) -curve then it is easy to see that $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1 \cup D_\infty$ cannot be one of Fujita's list.

If C_2 also satisfies (*) then blowing down extraneous (-1) -curves in $\tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup D_\infty$ successively we get a divisor (taking union with the image of $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1 \cup D_\infty$) with at least three branch points. This will contradict Fujita's list. Hence only C_1 can satisfy (*). Assume that this is the case. We analyse $\tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup D_\infty, \tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3 \cup D_\infty, \dots$. Now either \tilde{C}_2 meets a twig of D_∞ transversally in one point or a non-twig irreducible component of D_∞ . After contracting extraneous (-1) -curves in $\tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup D_\infty$ successively as above we reach a new normal crossing divisor. We do this for each of $\tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3 \cup D_\infty, \dots$ before touching $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1 \cup D_\infty$. Let \tilde{D} be the image of $\tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup \tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3 \cup \dots \cup D_\infty$ after all the contractions are made.

We claim that $\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{V} - (F \cup \tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup D_\infty \cup \tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3 \cup \dots)) = 0$, where F is $(\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1) \cap \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\text{Sing } X_\ell)$ which might be an empty set and is disjoint from $\tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup D_\infty \cup \tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3 \cup \dots$. This follows from the facts that $\bar{\kappa}(X^0) = 0$, $X_u^0 \subset (\tilde{V} - (F \cup \tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup D_\infty \cup \tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3 \cup \dots))$ and there is an induced dominant morphism $\tilde{V} - (F \cup \tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2 \cup D_\infty \cup \tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3 \cup \dots) \rightarrow X_\ell^0$. Using this we infer that the divisor \tilde{D} still has at least one branch point.

Suppose now that \tilde{C}_1 meets exactly two other irreducible components of $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1 \cup D_\infty$ and it is a (-1) -curve. After contracting \tilde{C}_1 and subsequent extraneous (-1) -curves the image of $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1 \cup D_\infty$ still has at least two branch points. Let this new divisor which is the image of $\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1 \cup D_\infty$ be \tilde{D} . \tilde{D} has at least two branch points and if it has two branch points then it has to be of type (2) in Fujita's list of NC-minimal completions.

This in turn implies that \tilde{D} has the following form. \tilde{D} has a unique branch point and exactly three linear chains of \mathbb{P}^1 's meeting the branch point at one

of their end components and two of the chains consist of a single (-2) -curve. Further, \tilde{C}_1 meets the end component of the third linear chain away from the branch point.

Now \tilde{D} supports a big divisor since D_∞ does. Using the basic theory of Zariski-Fujita decomposition of $K_{\tilde{V}} + \tilde{D}$ it is easy to deduce that $\overline{\kappa}(\tilde{V} - \tilde{D}) = -\infty$, a contradiction [5, Theorem 1].

Remark 1. It is easy to see from Mumford's result on topology of normal singularities [11] that for a nice tubular neighborhood N of \tilde{D} the group $\pi_1(\partial N)$ is finite, i.e., the fundamental group at infinity for $\tilde{V} - \tilde{D}$ is finite. It is proved in [5, Theorem 1] that for any smooth affine surface with finite fundamental group at infinity the log Kodaira dimension is $-\infty$.

Hence even C_1 cannot satisfy (*). The proof of Case 3.1 is thus complete.

Case 3.2. Suppose that X_u^0 does not have an NC-minimal completion.

By [2, Lemma 6.20], \tilde{V} contains a (-1) -curve L which is *not* contained in $\tilde{V} - X_u^0$ and which meets $\tilde{V} - X_u^0$ in at most two points transversally. If $L \cap (\tilde{V} - X_u^0)$ has two points then L meets an end (irreducible) component of a connected component of $\tilde{V} - X_u^0$ which is the minimal resolution of a cyclic quotient singular point of X_u . Since \tilde{X} is affine we infer that $L \cap ((\tilde{C}_1 \cup E_1) \cup (\tilde{C}_2 \cup E_2) \cup (\tilde{C}_3 \cup E_3) \cup \dots) = \phi$. Thus either $L \cap X_u^0$ is \mathbb{A}^1 or the image of $L - \tilde{D}$ in X_u is a contractible curve. We contract such curves L successively and in finitely many steps reach an NC-minimal completion of an affine open subset of X_u^0 . In this process $(C_1 \cup E_1) \cup (C_2 \cup E_2) \dots$ is untouched. Finally we reach the situation of Case 1 and get a contradiction as in that case.

We have also proved that X_u^0 and \tilde{X}^0 have the same NC-minimal model. This completes the proof of the case $\overline{\kappa}(X^0) = 0$.

4. Proof of Corollary 2

Assume that X has a singular point p_0 which is not a quotient singular point. Since $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X_\ell$ is a finite morphism and each point of \tilde{X} which is not in X_u is either smooth or a cyclic quotient singular point, no point in $\tilde{X} - X_u$ maps to p_0 . Set $d = \deg \varphi$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(p_0)$ has d distinct points in X_u . Let N = number of singular points in X which are not quotient singularities. By the above argument X_u contains $d \cdot N$ points which are not quotient singular points. This implies that $d = 1$. Now the map $\varphi : X_u \rightarrow X_\ell$ is injective and hence by the theorem of Ax also surjective [1]. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
 TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH
 HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400 005
 INDIA
 e-mail: gurjar@math.tifr.res.in

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
 KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY
 2-1, GAKUEN, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337
 JAPAN
 e-mail: miyanisi@kwansei.ac.jp

References

- [1] J. Ax, *The elementary theory of finite fields*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968), 239–271.
- [2] T. Fujita, *Topology of noncomplete algebraic surfaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. **29**-3 (1982), 503–566.
- [3] B. Giesecke, *Simpliziale Zerlegung abzählbarer analytische Räume*, Math. Z. **83** (1964), 177–213.
- [4] S. Iitaka, *On logarithmic Kodaira dimension of algebraic varieties*, pp. 175–189, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977.
- [5] R. V. Gurjar and M. Miyanishi, *Affine surfaces with $\bar{\kappa} \leq 1$* , Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I, 99–124, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988.
- [6] ———, *On the Jacobian conjecture for \mathbf{Q} -homology planes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **516** (1999), 115–132.
- [7] M. Miyanishi, *Étale endomorphisms of algebraic varieties*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 345–364.
- [8] ———, *Non-complete algebraic surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **857**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1981.
- [9] ———, *Open algebraic surfaces*, CRM Monogr. Ser. **12**, A.M.S. 2000.
- [10] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie, *Homology planes with quotient singularities*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **31**-1 (1991), 1–26.
- [11] D. Mumford, *The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity*, Publ. Math. IHES. **9** (1961), 229–246.