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1. Let $D$ be an arbitrary connected domain and $C$ be its boundary. Let $E$ be a closed set of capacity ${ }^{1)}$ zero, included in $C$ and $z_{0}$ be a point in $E$. Suppose that $W=f(z)$ is a single-valued function meromorphic in $D$. We associate with $z_{0}$ three cluster sets $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}, S_{z_{0}}^{(C)}$ and $S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ as follows: $S_{2_{0}}^{(D)}$ is the set of all values $\alpha$ such that $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{\nu}\right)=\alpha$ with a sequence $\left\{z_{\nu}\right\}$ of points tending to $z_{0}$ inside $D . S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ is the intersection $\cap M_{r}$, where $M_{r}$ denotes the closure of the union $\underset{z^{\prime}}{\cup} S_{z^{\prime}}^{(D)}$ for all $z^{\prime}$ belonging to the common part of $C-E$ and $U\left(z_{0}, r\right):\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r$. In the particular case when $E$ consists of a single point $z_{0}$, we denote $S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ by $S_{z_{0}}^{(C)}$ for the sake of simplicity. Obviously $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}$ and $S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ are closed sets such that $S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)} \subset$ $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}$ - and $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}$ is always non-empty while $S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ becomes empty if and only if there exists a positive number $r$ such that $C-E$ and $U\left(z_{0}, r\right)$ have no point in common.

Concerning the cluster sets $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}, S_{z_{0}}^{(C)}$ and $S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ the following theorems are known :

Theorem I. (Iversen-Beurling-Kunugi) ${ }^{2)} B\left(S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}\right) \subset S_{z_{0}}^{(C)}$, where $B\left(S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}\right)$ denotes the boundary of $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}$, or, what is the same, $\Omega=S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}-S_{z_{0}}^{(C)}$ is an open set.

Theorem II. (Beurling-Kunugi) ${ }^{3)}$ Suppose that $\Omega=S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}-S_{z_{0}}^{(C)}$ is not empty and denote by $\Omega_{n}$ any connected component of $\Omega$. Then $w=f(z)$ takes every value, with two possible exceptions, belonging to $\Omega_{n}$ infinitely often in any neighbourhood of $z_{0}$.

Theorem. I* (Tsuji) ${ }^{4)} B\left(S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}\right) \subset S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$, that is, $\Omega=S_{x_{0}}^{(D)}-S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ is an open set.

Theorem II*. (Kametani-Tsuji) ${ }^{5)}$ Suppose that $\Omega=S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}-S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ is not empty. Then $w=f(z)$ takes every value, except a possible set of w-values of capacity zero, belonging to $\Omega$ infinitely often in any neighbourhood of $z_{0}$.

Evidently Theorem I* is a complete extension of Theorem I. It seems however that there exists a large gap between Theorem II and Theorem II*. The object of the present note is to show that under the assumption that $D$ is simply connected, Theorem II* can be written in the form of Theorem II.

Namely, the writer proposes to prove the following
Theorem 1. Suppose that $D$ is simply connected and $\Omega=S_{x_{0}}^{(D)}-S_{x_{0}}^{*(C)}$ is not empty. Let $\Omega_{n}$ be any connected component of $\Omega$. Then, $w=f(z)$ takes every value, with two possible exceptions, belonging to $\Omega_{n}$ infinitely often in any neighbourhood of $z_{0}$.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\Omega_{n}$ does not contain $v=\infty$. Suppose, contrary to the assertion, that there are three exceptional values $v_{0}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ in $\Omega_{n}$. Then, there exists a positive number $r_{1}$ such that

$$
f(z) \neq w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}
$$

in the common part of $D$ and $U\left(z_{0}, r_{1}\right):\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r_{1}$. Inside $\Omega_{n}$ we draw a simple closed regular analytic curve $\Gamma$ which surrounds $w_{0}, w_{1}$ and passes through $v_{2}$, and whose interior consists only of interior points of $\Omega_{n}$. By hypothesis, we can select a positive number $r\left(<r_{1}\right)$, arbitrarily small, such that, $K$ denoting the circle $\left|z-z_{0}\right|=r, K \cap(C-E) \neq 0$ and the closure $M_{r}$ of the union $\cup_{z \prime} S_{z^{\prime}}^{(D)}$ for all $z^{\prime}$ belonging to the common part of $C-E$ and $\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leqq r$ lies outside $\Gamma$. Now, by an extension of Iversen's theorem ${ }^{6)}$, either $w_{0}$ is an asymptotic value of $v=f(z)$ at $z_{0}$ or there exists a sequence of points $z_{n}^{\prime}$ in $E$ tending to $z_{0}$ such that $w_{0}$ is an asymptotic value at each $z^{\prime}{ }_{n}$. Consequently it is possible to find a point $z^{\prime}{ }_{0}$ (distinct from $z_{0}$ or not) belonging to $E \cap U\left(z_{0}, r\right)$ súch that $w_{0}$ is an asymptotic value of $w=f(z)$ at $z_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $A$ be the asymptotic path with the asymptotic value $w_{0}$ at $z_{0}^{\prime}$. We may assume that the image of $\Lambda$ by $v=f(z)$ is a curve lying completely in the interior of $\Gamma$. Consider the set $D_{r}$ of points $z$ inside the intersection of $D$ and $U\left(z_{0}, r\right)$ such that $z v=f(z)$ lies in the interior of $\Gamma$. Then the open set $D_{r}$ consists of at most an enumerable number of connected components. We shall denote by $\Delta$ the component which contains the asymptotic path 1 . It is easily seen that the boundary of $\Delta$ consists of a finite number of arcs of the circle $K$, a finite or an enumerable number of analytic contours inside $D$ and a closed subset $E_{0}$ of $E$. Further it should be noticed that $\Delta$ is simply connected. For, any connected component of the intersection $D \cap U\left(z_{0}, r\right)$ is simply connected, as by hypothesis $D$ is simply connected, and the frontier of $\Delta$ contains no closed analytic contour, since every analytic contour of $\Delta$ is transformed by $w=f(z)$ into a curve lying on the simple closed curve $\Gamma$ passing through an exceptional value $z v_{2}$.

Here we apply Evans' theorem ${ }^{7}$ on the logarithmic potential, to find that there exists a distribution of positive mass $d \mu(a)$ entirely on $E_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\int_{E_{0}} \log \left|\frac{1}{z-a}\right| d \mu(a), \int_{F_{0}} d \mu(a)=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is harmonic outside $E_{0}$, excluding $z=\infty$, and has boundary value $+\infty$ at any point of $E_{0}$. Let $v(z)$ be its conjugate harmonic function and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\chi(z)=e^{u(z)+i v(z)}=\rho(z) e^{i_{V}(z)} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the sake of convenience, we shall call the function $\zeta=\chi(z)$ " Evans' function." Let $C_{\lambda}$ be the niveau curve $\rho(z)=$ const. $=\lambda(0<\lambda<+\infty)$. Then $C_{\lambda}$ consists of a finite number of simple closed curves surrounding $E_{0}$. Let us use the niveau curve $C_{\lambda}: \rho(z)=\lambda$ and $v$-line $v(z)=$ const. $=\theta$ in the same manner as the circle $|z|=\lambda$ and the ray $\arg z=\theta$ in the theory of meromorphic functions for $|z|<+\infty$. Further, Evans' function has the important property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{\lambda}} d v(z)=\int_{C_{\lambda}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d s=2 \pi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d s$ is the arc length of $C_{\lambda}$ and $u$ is the inner normal of $C_{\lambda}$. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be a fixed positive number such that for $\lambda_{0} \leqq \lambda$ all the niveau curves $C_{\lambda}$ intersect the asymptotic path $\Lambda$. For $\lambda_{0} \leqq \lambda$, let $\theta_{\lambda}$ denote the common part of the niveau curve $C_{\lambda}$ and the domain $\Delta ; \theta_{\lambda}$ consists only of a finite number of cross-cuts and does not contain any loop-cut, as $\Delta$ is simply connected. Denote $\Delta(\lambda)$ the common part of $\Delta$ and the domain exterior to $C_{\lambda}$. It is clear that the open set $\Delta(\lambda)$ consists of a finite number of simply connected components. Let $A(\lambda)$ denote the area of the Riemannian image of the open set $\Delta(i)$ by the function $z=f(z)$ and let $L(\lambda)$ denote the total length of the image of the curve $\theta_{\lambda}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(\lambda)=\left.\iiint_{\Delta(\lambda)}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d \sigma(d \sigma: \text { the area element on the z-plane }) \\
& L(\lambda)=\iint_{\Theta_{\lambda}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right||d z|
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A(\lambda)=+\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(5) $\quad \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(\lambda)}{S(\lambda)}=0 \quad$ where $S(\lambda)=\frac{A(\lambda)}{\text { area of the interior of } \Gamma}$.

To prove these, we use Evans' function

$$
\zeta=\chi(z)=c^{u(z)+i v(z)}, \quad(0 \leqq v(z)<2 \pi) .
$$

By putting

$$
W(\zeta) \equiv f[z(\zeta)]
$$

we have

$$
A(\lambda)-A\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \int_{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}}\left|W^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \lambda d \lambda d \theta, \quad\left(\zeta=\lambda e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}$ denotes the image of $\theta_{\lambda}$ on the circle $|\zeta|=\lambda$ transformed by $\xi=\chi(z) \quad(0 \leqq v(z)<2 \pi)$, and

$$
L(\lambda)=\int_{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}}\left|W^{\prime}(\zeta)\right| \lambda d \theta
$$

Denote by $\eta>0$ the distance of $\Gamma$ from the image of $\Lambda$. Then a geometrical consideration gives
(6)

$$
L(\lambda) \geqq 2 \eta \text { for } \lambda_{0} \leqq \lambda<+\infty .
$$

Applying Schwarz's inequality

$$
[L(\lambda)]^{2} \leqq \int_{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}} \lambda d \theta \int_{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}}\left|W^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \lambda d \theta=\lambda \theta(\lambda) \int_{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}}\left|W^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \lambda d \theta
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{[L(\lambda)]^{2}}{\lambda \theta(\lambda)} \leqq \int_{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}}\left|W^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \lambda d \theta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \eta^{2}}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda} \leqq \int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \int_{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}}\left|W^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \lambda d \lambda d \theta=A(\lambda)-A\left(\lambda_{0}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\lambda)=\int_{\theta_{\lambda}} d v(z) \leq \int_{C_{\lambda}} d v(z)=2 \pi \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(8) gives (4) when $\lambda$ tends to infinity. Next we obtain from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \lambda}{\lambda \theta(\lambda)} \leqq \frac{d A(\lambda)}{[L(\lambda)]^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, on denoting by $M_{\lambda}$ the set of all $\lambda$ such that

$$
L(\lambda) \geqq A(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}, \quad(\varepsilon>0),
$$

we see, by (9), that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{M_{\lambda}} \mathrm{d} \log \lambda \leqq \int_{M_{\lambda}} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda \theta(\lambda)} \leqq \int_{M_{\lambda}} \frac{d A(\lambda)}{\left[A(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right]^{2}} \leqq \int^{\infty} \frac{d t}{t^{1+2 \varepsilon}}<+\infty,
$$

whence $L(\lambda)<A(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_{\text {for }}}$ all $\lambda$ not belonging to a set $M_{\lambda}$ where $\int_{{ }_{\prime \lambda}} \mathrm{d} \log$ $\lambda<+\infty$. Thus (5) holds good.

If $\lambda_{0} \leqq \lambda$, the open set $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\lambda)$ consists of a cert in numbei of simply connected components which we will denote by

$$
\Delta^{(1)}(\lambda), \Delta^{(2)}(\lambda), \ldots \ldots \ldots, \Delta^{(m)}(\lambda),
$$

where $m=m(\lambda), m \geq 1$ depends on $\lambda$. Denote by $\mathscr{D}^{(i)}(\lambda)$ the Riemnnnian image of $\Delta^{(i)}(\lambda)$ transformed by $z=f(z)$ in a one-one manner, where $i=$ 1,2, $\qquad$ ,$m$. If we denote by $\Phi_{0}$ the domain obtained by excluding two points $\tau v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ from the interior of $I$, then, by hypothesis, $\Phi^{(i)}(\lambda)(i=$ $1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, m)$ is a finite covering surface of the basic surface $\Phi_{0}$. By Ahlfors' principal theorem on covering surfaces ${ }^{8) \text {, we have }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(i)} \leqq h L^{(i)} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, m), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{(i)}$ denotes the average number of sheets of $\Phi^{(i)}(\lambda)$, i. e., $S^{(i)}$ denotes the ratio between the area of $\Phi^{(i)}(\lambda)$ and the area of $\Phi_{0}$ and $L^{(i)}$ the length of the boundary of $\Phi^{(t)}(\lambda)$ relative to $\Phi_{0}, h$ being a constant dependent only upon $\Phi_{0}$. From (10)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} S^{(i)} \leqq h \sum_{i=1}^{m} L^{(i)},
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\lambda) \leqq h\left(L(\lambda)+L_{0}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{0}$ denotes the total length of the image of arcs of $K$ included in the boundary of $\Delta$. Accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(\lambda)}{S(\lambda)} \geqq \frac{1}{h}>0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that (12) contradicts (5), which proves our theorem.
Remark. In our proof of Theorem 1, the assumption that $\Delta$ is simply connected plays an important rôle.
3. Consider a particular case that $z=f(z)$ is regular in the common part of the simply connected domain $D$ and a certain neighbourhood $U\left(z_{0}\right)$ of $z_{0}$; that is, $f(z) \neq \infty$ in $D \cap U\left(z_{0}\right)$. Under an additional condition
we want to show that $z=f(z)$ takes every finite value, save one possible exceptional value, belonging to $\Omega_{n}$ in any neighbourhood of $z_{0}$. Suppose, namely, that there are two finite exceptional values $\tau v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ witl in $\Omega_{n}$, and let $\Gamma$ be any closed simple regular analytic curve, in $\Omega_{n}$, which surrourds $\tau v_{n}$ and $v_{1}$ and whose irteior consists only of $\mathbf{i}$ telior points of $\Omega_{n}$. Let $\Delta$ be the domain defined in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then, we easily see that $\Delta$ is also simply connected. If $\Delta$ were not simply connected, the boundary of $\Delta$ would contrin at least one closed analytic contour $q$ such that $q$ be a loop-cut of $D$. Accordingly, $\tau=f(z)$ would take inside $q$ a value lyirg ot tside the simple closed curve $\Gamma$, while $\tau=f(s)$ be regular both inside $q$ and on $q$ and the image of $q$ by $w=f(z)$ would lie oin $\Gamma$. Repeating the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, we would arive at a contraciction. Thus we have

Theorem 2. Suppose that $D$ is simply connected, $\Omega=S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}-S_{z_{0}}^{*(c)}$ is not empty, and furtluer $f(s)$ is regular in the common part of $D$ and a certain neighibourhood $U\left(z_{0}\right)$ of $z_{0}$. Let $\Omega_{n}$ be any connicted componcnt of $\Omega$. Then, $w=f(z)$ takes cucry finite value, with one possible exception, bclonging to $\Omega_{n}$ infinitcly often in any neighbourlood of $z_{0}$.

As an immediate consequence, we see that under the same condition as in Theorem 2, for any connected component $\Omega_{n}$ which does not contain $z=\infty, z=f(z)$ takes every value, with one possible exception, belonging to $\Omega_{n}$ infinitely often near $z_{0}$. Thus we obtain the following

Theorem 3. Suppose thai $D$ is simply connected, $\Omega=S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}-S_{z_{0}}^{*(C)}$ is not empty, and further that $f(z)$ is regular and bounded in the common part of $D$ and a cirtain ncighbourhood $U\left(z_{0}\right)$ (or that $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}$ docs not coincide vivith the whole zu-plane). Let $\Omega_{n}$ be any connected component of $\Omega$. Then $w=f(s)$ takes cwery vaiue, zuth one possible exccption, belonging to $\Omega_{n}$ infinitcly often in any neighbourhood of $z_{0}$.

As another immediate consequence of Theorem 2, we get, by using a linear transformation,

Theorem 4. Under the same condition as in Thcorcm 1, if there are two exceptional values $\tau v_{0}, \tau \psi_{1}\left(z v_{0} \neq v_{1}\right)$ belonging to the same componint $\Omega_{n}, w=$ $f(z)$ takes every zu-value other than $w_{0}$ and $z v_{1}$ infinitely often in any noighbourlacod of $z_{0}$ and so $S_{z_{0}}^{(D)}$ coincides with the whole w-plane.
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