Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan

Vol. 2, Nos. 3-4, March, 1951.

## On the Differential Forms of the First Kind on Algebraic Varieties II.

## Shoji Koizumi.

(Received Oct. 30, 1950)

We shall give some supplementary remarks to my previous paper on the same subject<sup>1)</sup>. As in Weil's definition, we shall call differential forms to be of the first kind on a Variety U, when they are finite at every simple Point on every Variety birationally equivalent to U. This definition is equivalent to my previous one in [K], if U has a birationally equivalent model which is a complete Variety without singularities.

1. We shall prove the following theorem as an extension of the theorem 2 in [K].

THEOREM 1. Let  $\omega$  be a differential form of the first kind of degreer on a Product-Variety  $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{V}$ , then we have the following expression

 $\omega = \sum \sigma_i \tau_i$ 

where  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\tau_i$  are, respectively, differential forms of the first kind on U, V, of degree  $d_i$ ,  $r-d_i$ . Moreover, if  $\omega$ , U and V have a common field k of definition which is perfect,  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\tau_i$  are defined over k.

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\omega$ , U and V are defined over a perfect field k. Let P and Q be independent generic Points over k, of U and V, respectively. If (t) and (u) are respectively, sets of uniformizing parameters at P and Q, on U and V, then

$$\omega = \sum_{(i,j)} z_{i_1,\dots,i_s}; j_1,\dots,i_{r-s} dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s} du_{j_1} \cdots du_{j_{r-s}}$$
$$= \sum_j \left( \sum_i z_{(i,j)} dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s} \right) du_{j_1} \cdots du_{j_{r-s}}$$

where  $z_{i_1}, ..., i_s$ ;  $j_1, ..., j_{r-s}$  are contained in  $k(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$  and (i, j) means  $i_1, ..., i_s$ ;  $j_1, ..., j_{r-s}$ . If we consider  $\sum_i z_{(i,j)} dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s}$  as defined on U over the field  $k(\mathbf{Q})$ , they are of the first kind.

<sup>1)</sup> Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan Vol. 1, No. 3, 1949. This note will be denoted by [K], and we shall use the same terminologies and notations as in [K].

## S. KOIZUMI.

*\*2

Therefore from the theorem 4 in  $[K]^2$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i} z_{(i,j)} dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s} = \sum u_{(j)k} \sigma_k$$

where  $u_{(j)k}$  are contained in k(Q), and  $\sigma_k$  are the differential forms of the first kind on U defined over k. So it follows

$$\omega = \sum \sigma_i \tau_i$$

where  $\sigma_i$  are as above and  $\tau_i$  are the differential forms on V over k. We shall denote  $dt_{i_1} \cdots dt_{i_s}$  by  $T_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$ ), and may take the following base  $\{\sigma_{i,j}\}$  of the vector space over k spanned by  $\{\sigma_i\}$ 

where  $(u_{i,1}^i, u_{i,2}^i, \dots, u_{i,a_i}^i)$  are contained in  $k(\mathbf{P})$  and are linearly independent over k. Then  $\omega$  has the following expression

$$\omega = \sigma_0 \tau_0 + \sigma_{1,1} \tau_{1,1} + \dots + \sigma_{1,a_1} \tau_{1,a_1} + \dots + \sigma_{i,1} \tau_{i,1} + \dots + \sigma_{i,a_i} \tau_{i,a_i} + \dots$$
  
=  $u_0 \tau_0 \pm (u_{1,1}^1 \tau_{1,1} + \dots + u_{1,a_1}^1 \tau_{1,a_1}) T_1 \pm \dots$   
 $\pm (u_{1,1}^i \tau_{1,1} + \dots + u_{i,1}^i \tau_{i,1} + \dots + u_{i,a_i}^i \tau_{i,a_i}) T_i + \dots$ 

Since the differential forms  $u_{i,1}^i \tau_{1,1} + \cdots + u_{i,1}^i \tau_{i,1} + \cdots + u_{i,a_i}^i \tau_{i,a_i}$  on V are of the first kind, by the proposition 10 in [K], we may show by the mathematical induction that  $\tau_{i,j}$  are of the first kind. q. e. d.

268

<sup>2)</sup> It is not necessary for this theorem for U to have a complete model without singularities, which is birationally equivalent to U over k. From the theory of normal variaties we may easily deduce that the theorem holds true if k in perfect.

2. Next, we consider an extension of the theorem 2 in [K]. We begin with the following definition.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $\omega$  be a differential form on a Variety U.  $\omega$ and U are defined over a field k and P is a generic Point of U over k. We say that  $\omega$  has the property (F) at a point P' on U, if  $\omega$  has the following expression

$$\omega = \sum u_i dv_i,$$

where  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are contained in the specialization ring of P' in k(P)

It is evident that the property (F) at P' is equivalent to the finiteness at P', when P' is a simple Point on U.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\omega$  be a differential form on a complete Variety U. If  $\omega$  has the property (F) everywhere on U,  $\omega$  is of the first kind.

**PROOF.** Let  $V^n$  be a birationally equivalent variety over k to U, and P be a simple (n-1)-dimensional point over k on V. If Q on U is a birationally corresponding Point to P, the specialization ring of P includes that of Q. Therefore if  $\omega$  has the property (F) at Q on U, it has the same property at P on V. This proves the proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. Let V be a simple Subvariety of U and P be a point on V. If a differential form  $\omega$  on U is finite on V, it induces a differential form  $\omega'$  on V. Moreover,, if  $\omega$  has the property (F) at P on U,  $\omega'$  has also the same property at P on V.

*PROOF.* The first assertion follows from the proposition 6 in [K]. Let k be a common field of definition for U, V and  $\omega$ , and  $\overline{P}$ ,  $\overline{Q}$  be, respectively, the generic Points of U, V over k. Every element in the specialization ring of P in  $k(\overline{P})$  has a uniquely determined specialization over  $\overline{P} \rightarrow \overline{Q}$  with respect to k, and that specialization is contained in the specialization ring of P in  $k(\overline{Q})$ . This proves the proposition.

From these two propositions we can obtain at once the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let U be a complete Variety without singularities, and V be a simple Subvariety of U. If a differential form  $\omega$  on U is of the first kind, it induces a differential form  $\omega'$  on V of the first kind.

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University.

269