

## Concave modulars.

By Hidegoro NAKANO.

(Received Nov. 12, 1952)

We have defined and discussed modulars on semi-ordered linear space in a book<sup>1)</sup>. Let  $R$  be a semi-ordered linear space and universally continuous, that is, for every system of positive elements  $a_\lambda \in R$  ( $\lambda \in A$ ) there exists  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} a_\lambda$ . A functional  $m(x)$  ( $x \in R$ ) is called a *modular* on  $R$ , if 1)  $0 \leq m(x) \leq +\infty$  for every  $x \in R$ , 2)  $m(\xi a) = 0$  for every  $\xi \geq 0$  implies  $a = 0$ , 3) for any  $a \in R$  we can find  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $m(\alpha a) < +\infty$ , 4) for each  $x \in R$ ,  $m(\xi x)$  is a convex function of  $\xi$ :  $m\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} x\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \{m(\alpha x) + m(\beta x)\}$ , 5)  $|x| \leq |y|$  implies  $m(x) \leq m(y)$ , 6)  $x \cap y = 0$  implies  $m(x + y) = m(x) + m(y)$ , 7)  $0 \leq x_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in A} x_0$  implies  $m(x_0) = \sup_{\lambda \in A} m(x_\lambda)$ .

In this paper we shall consider a functional  $m(x)$  ( $x \in R$ ) which satisfies instead of 4) the condition:  $m(\xi x)$  is a concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$ , i.e., we define a *concave modular*  $m(x)$  ( $x \in R$ ) by the postulates: 1)  $0 \leq m(x) < +\infty$ , 2)  $m(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ , 3)  $|x| \leq |y|$  implies  $m(x) \leq m(y)$ , 4)  $x \cap y = 0$  implies  $m(x + y) = m(x) + m(y)$ , 5)  $m(\xi x)$  is a concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$ :

$$m\left(\frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} x\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \{m(\lambda x) + m(\mu x)\} \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \geq 0,$$

6)  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} m(\xi x) = 0$ , 7)  $0 \leq x_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} x_0$ ,  $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} m(x_\nu) < +\infty$  implies the existence of an element  $x_0$  for which  $x_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} x_0$  and  $m(x_0) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(x_\nu)$ .

Concerning the concave modulars  $m(x)$  on  $R$ , we can prove

$$m(x + y) \leq m(x) + m(y) \quad \text{for every } x, y \in R.$$

Thus, every concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $R$  is a quasi-norm by which  $R$  is a Fréchet space.

For a concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $R$ , we can prove easily

$$\frac{m(\xi x)}{\xi} \leq \frac{m(\eta x)}{\eta} \quad \text{for } \xi > \eta > 0,$$

and hence there exists the limit

$$m_1(x) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(\xi x)}{\xi} \quad \text{for every } x \in R.$$

A concave modular  $m(x)$  is said to be of the *first kind*, if  $m_1(x)=0$  implies  $x=0$ , and of the *second kind*, if  $m_1(x)=0$  for every  $x \in R$ . With this definition,  $R$  may be divided in two normal manifolds  $F$  and  $S$  such that  $m(x)$  is of the first kind in  $F$  and of the second kind in  $S$ .

If  $m(x)$  is of the first kind in  $R$ , then  $m_1(x)$  is a norm on  $R$  and

$$m_1(x+y) = m_1(x) + m_1(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \geq 0.$$

By this norm  $m_1(x)$ ,  $R$  is complete, and hence a so-called generalized  $L_1$ -space, if and only if  $\sup_{m_1(x) \leq 1} m(x) < +\infty$ .

If  $m(x)$  is of the second kind on  $R$ , and  $R$  has no discrete element, then there is no bounded linear functional on  $R$  except for the identical zero 0.

Finally we shall consider the case where  $R$  is a discrete space with a basis  $a_\lambda \geq 0$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ), that is, every positive element  $x \in R$  may be represented uniquely in the form  $x = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \alpha_\lambda a_\lambda$ . In this case, the conjugate space of  $R$  is a generalized ( $m$ )-space, if and only if we can find  $\alpha_\lambda > 0$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) such that

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) > 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\xi \alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) = 0.$$

As applications, we consider the following modulars in the space of measurable functions:

$$m(\varphi) = \int_0^1 |\varphi(t)|^{p(t)} dt, \quad 0 < p(t) < 1 \text{ for } 0 < t < 1,$$

$$m(\varphi) = \int_0^1 \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{1 + \varphi(t)} dt,$$

and in the space of number sequences  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$

$$m(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{\nu}|^{p_{\nu}}, \quad 0 < p_{\nu} < 1 (\nu=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$m(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} \frac{|\xi_{\nu}|}{1+|\xi_{\nu}|}.$$

§ 1. Concave modulars.

Let  $R$  be a continuous semi-ordered linear space. A functional  $m(x)$  ( $x \in R$ ) on  $R$  is said to be a *concave modular* on  $R$ , if

- 1)  $0 \leq m(x) < +\infty$  for every  $x \in R$ ,
- 2)  $m(x)=0$  implies  $x=0$ ,
- 3)  $|x| \leq |y|$  implies  $m(x) \leq m(y)$ ,
- 4)  $x \cap y = 0$  implies  $m(x+y) = m(x) + m(y)$ ,
- 5)  $m(\xi x)$  is a concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$ :

$$m\left(\frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} x\right) \geq \frac{m(\lambda x) + m(\mu x)}{2} \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \geq 0,$$

- 6)  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} m(\xi x) = 0$ ,
- 7)  $0 \leq x_{\nu} \uparrow_{\nu-1}^{\infty}, \sup_{\nu \geq 1} m(x_{\nu}) < +\infty$  implies the existence of  $x_0$  such that

$$x_{\nu} \uparrow_{\nu-1} x_0, \quad m(x_0) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(x_{\nu}).$$

On account of the postulate 3),  $m(\xi x)$  is a non-decreasing function of  $\xi \geq 0$ , and  $m(0)=0$  by 4). Thus we can conclude easily from 5), 6) that  $m(\xi x)$  is a continuous concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$  and

- (1)  $m((\lambda \xi + \mu \eta)x) \geq \lambda m(\xi x) + \mu m(\eta x)$  for  $\lambda + \mu = 1; \lambda, \mu, \xi, \eta \geq 0$ .  
Putting  $\eta=0$  in (1), we obtain

$$(2) \quad \frac{m(\lambda x)}{\lambda} \geq \frac{m(\mu x)}{\mu} \quad \text{for } 0 < \lambda < \mu.$$

As  $m(\xi x)$  is a continuous concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{m((\lambda + \xi)x) - m(\xi x)}{\lambda} \leq \frac{m((\mu + \eta)x) - m(\eta x)}{\mu}$$

for  $\xi > \eta \geq 0, \lambda, \mu > 0$ . Especially, putting  $\eta=0, \lambda = \mu > 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{m((\lambda + \xi)x) - m(\xi x)}{\lambda} \leq \frac{m(\lambda x)}{\lambda}.$$

Thus we have

$$(3) \quad m((\lambda + \mu)x) \leq m(\lambda x) + m(\mu x) \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \geq 0.$$

THEOREM 1.1  $[p_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu=1}^\infty 0$  implies  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_\nu]x) = 0$  for every  $x \in R$ .

PROOF. If  $[p_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu=1}^\infty 0$ , then we have  $1 - [p_\nu] \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty 1$ , and hence by 7)

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m((1 - [p_\nu])a) = m(a) \quad \text{for every } a \geq 0.$$

As  $m(x) = m(|x|)$  by 3) and  $m((1 - [p_\nu])a) + m([p_\nu]a) = m(a)$  by 4), we conclude hence  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_\nu]x) = 0$  for every  $x \in R$ .

In the sequel, we assume that a concave modular  $m(x)$  is defined on  $R$ .

THEOREM 1.2.  $R$  is superuniversally continuous and totally continuous.

PROOF. For an orthogonal system  $a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) and a positive element  $a$ , we have by 3) and 4)

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} m([a_{\lambda_\nu}]a) = m\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} [a_{\lambda_\nu}]a\right) \leq m(a)$$

for every finite number of elements  $\lambda_\nu \in \Lambda$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa$ ), and hence we have  $m([a_\lambda]a) = 0$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  up to at most countable  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus we have  $[a_\lambda]a = 0$  except for at most countable  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Therefore  $R$  is superuniversally continuous by MSLS<sup>1)</sup> Theorem 13.2.

If  $[p] \geq [p_{\nu, \mu}] \downarrow_{\mu=1}^\infty 0$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ), then we have by Theorem 1.1

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_{\nu, \mu}]p) = 0 \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Thus we can find  $\mu_{\nu, \rho} \uparrow_{\rho=1}^\infty + \infty$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} m([p_{\nu, \mu_{\nu, \rho}}]p) \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \quad (\rho = 1, 2, \dots).$$

For such  $\mu_{\nu, \rho}$ , putting  $[p_\rho] = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [p_{\nu, \mu_{\nu, \rho}}]$ , we see easily by 3), 4)

$$m([p_\rho]p) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} m([p_{\nu, \mu_\nu, \rho}]p) \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$$

and  $[p_\rho] \downarrow_{\rho=1}^{\infty}$ . Putting  $[p_0] = \bigcap_{\rho=1}^{\infty} [p_\rho]$ , we have obviously by 3)

$$m([p_0]p) \leq m([p_\rho]p) \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \quad \text{for every } \rho=1, 2, \dots,$$

and hence  $m([p_0]p)=0$ . This relation yields by 2)  $[p_0]p=0$ , and consequently  $[p_0]=0$ , because  $[p_0] \leq [p]$ . Therefore  $R$  is totally continuous by MSLS Theorem 14.1.

**THEOREM 1.3.**  *$R$  is totally unbounded.*

**PROOF.** If  $a = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu$ ,  $a_\nu \cap a_\mu = 0$  for  $\nu \neq \mu$ , then we have by 4), 7)

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty.$$

Thus there exists a sequence  $1 \leq \alpha_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} +\infty$  such that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu m(a_\nu) < +\infty$ . As  $m(\alpha_\nu a_\nu) \leq \alpha_\nu m(a_\nu)$  by (2), we obtain by 4)

$$m\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \alpha_\nu a_\nu\right) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} m(\alpha_\nu a_\nu) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu m(a_\nu)$$

for every  $\kappa=1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu a_\nu$  is convergent by 7).

Recalling MSLS Theorem 19.7, we obtain by Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Every bounded linear functional on  $R$  is universally continuous.*

## § 2. Spectral theory.

As  $m([p]a)=0$  implies by 2)  $[p]a=0$ , and  $[p_\nu] \uparrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [p]$  implies by (7)  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_\nu]a) = m([p]a)$ , we see by MSLS Theorem 36.1 that, putting

$$\omega(\xi, a, p) = \lim_{[p] \rightarrow p} \frac{m(\xi[p]a)}{m([p]a)} \quad (\xi \geq 0, p \in U_{[a]}),$$

we obtain a continuous function  $\omega(\xi, a, p)$  on  $U_{[a]}$ , and

$$m(\xi[p]a) = \int_{[p]} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) m(d\nu a)$$

$\omega(\xi, a, \nu)$  is called the *spectrum* by  $m$ .  $\omega(\xi, a, \nu)$  is obviously a non-decreasing concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$ . Recalling (2), (3) in §1, we see easily by definition

- (1)  $\omega(1, a, \nu) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $\frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \omega(\eta, a, \nu)$  for  $\xi \geq \eta > 0$ ,
- (3)  $\omega(\xi + \eta, a, \nu) \leq \omega(\xi, a, \nu) + \omega(\eta, a, \nu)$ .

As  $\omega(\xi, a, \nu)$  is a non-decreasing concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$  and  $[p_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu \rightarrow 1} 0$  implies by Theorem 1.1  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_\nu] a) = 0$ , we see easily that we can find an open set  $A \subset U_{[a]}$  such that  $A$  is dense in  $U_{[a]}$ , and  $\omega(\xi, a, \nu)$  is a finite continuous function of  $\xi \geq 0$  for every  $\nu \in A$ . For two positive elements  $a, b \in R$ , we can find an open set  $B$  being dense in  $U_{[a]}$  such that the relative spectrum  $\left(\frac{b}{a}, \nu\right)$  is finite and continuous in  $B$ . For an arbitrary  $\nu_0 \in AB$ , if  $\left(\frac{b}{a}, \nu_0\right) < \lambda$ , then we can find a projector  $[p]$  such that  $\nu_0 \in U_{[p]} \subset AB$  and  $\left(\frac{b}{a}, \nu\right) < \lambda$  for every  $\nu \in U_{[p]}$ . This relation yields  $[p]b \leq \lambda [p]a$ , and hence we obtain by the postulate 3)  $m([p]b) \leq m(\lambda [p]a)$ . From this relation we can conclude

$$\lim_{[p] \rightarrow \nu_0} \frac{m([p]b)}{m([p]a)} \leq \omega(\lambda, a, \nu_0).$$

As  $\omega(\xi, a, \nu_0)$  is a continuous function of  $\xi \geq 0$ , and  $\lambda > \left(\frac{b}{a}, \nu_0\right)$  may be arbitrary, we obtain hence

$$\lim_{[p] \rightarrow \nu_0} \frac{m([p]b)}{m([p]a)} \leq \omega\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}, \nu_0\right), a, \nu_0\right).$$

We can prove likewise

$$\lim_{[p] \rightarrow \nu_0} \frac{m([p]b)}{m([p]a)} \geq \omega\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}, \nu_0\right), a, \nu_0\right)$$

considering an arbitrary positive number  $\lambda < \left(\frac{b}{a}, p_0\right)$ . Therefore we have

$$\lim_{[p] \rightarrow p_0} \frac{m([p]b)}{m([p]a)} = \omega\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}, p\right), a, p\right) \quad \text{for every } p \in AB.$$

As  $AB$  also is dense in  $U_{[a]}$ , we conclude hence by MSLS Theorem 36.1

$$(4) \quad m([a]b) = \int_{[a]} \omega\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}, p\right), a, p\right) m(d p a)$$

for every two positive elements  $a, b \in R$ .

For two arbitrary elements  $a, b \in R$ , putting  $c = |a| + |b|$ , we have by the formulas (3) and (4)

$$\begin{aligned} m(a+b) &\leq m(|a| + |b|) \\ &= \int_{[c]} \omega\left(\left(\frac{|a| + |b|}{c}, p\right), c, p\right) m(d p c) \\ &\leq \int_{[c]} \omega\left(\left(\frac{a}{c}, p\right), c, p\right) m(d p c) + \int_{[c]} \omega\left(\left(\frac{b}{c}, p\right), c, p\right) m(d p c) \\ &= m(a) + m(b), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(5) \quad m(a+b) \leq m(a) + m(b).$$

Thus we see that  $m(x)$  ( $x \in R$ ) is a quasi-norm on  $R$ .

As  $\omega(\xi, a, p)$  is a non-decreasing concave function of  $\xi \geq 0$ , we have

$$\omega(\lambda\xi + \mu\eta, a, p) \geq \lambda\omega(\xi, a, p) + \mu\omega(\eta, a, p)$$

for  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ ;  $\lambda, \mu, \xi, \eta \geq 0$ . Thus for two positive elements  $a, b \in R$ , putting  $c = a + b$ , we have by (4) for  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ ;  $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda a + \mu b) &= \int_{[c]} \omega\left(\left(\frac{\lambda a + \mu b}{c}, p\right), c, p\right) m(d p c) \\ &\geq \lambda m(a) + \mu m(b), \end{aligned}$$

that is, for  $a, b \geq 0, \lambda + \mu = 1, \lambda, \mu \geq 0$

$$(6) \quad m(\lambda a + \mu b) \geq \lambda m(a) + \mu m(b).$$

THEOREM 2.1.  $\lim_{\mu, \nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\mu - a_\nu) = 0$  implies  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu - a) = 0$  for some  $a \in R$ , that is,  $m(x)$  ( $x \in R$ ) is complete as a quasi-norm.

PROOF. If  $\lim_{\mu, \nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\mu - a_\nu) = 0$ , then we can find a subsequence  $\mu_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$m(a_{\mu_\nu} - a_{\mu_{\nu+1}}) \leq \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we see easily by (5) and the postulate 7) that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |a_{\mu_\nu} - a_{\mu_{\nu+1}}|$  is convergent, and for every  $\rho = 1, 2, \dots$

$$m\left(\sum_{\nu=\rho}^{\infty} |a_{\mu_\nu} - a_{\mu_{\nu+1}}|\right) \leq \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\nu} = \frac{1}{2^{\rho-1}}.$$

Thus, putting  $a = a_{\mu_1} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (a_{\mu_{\nu+1}} - a_{\mu_\nu})$ , we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a - a_{\mu_\nu}) \leq \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\sum_{\nu=\rho}^{\infty} |a_{\mu_\nu} - a_{\mu_{\nu+1}}|\right) = 0,$$

and hence we conclude further by (4) and the assumption

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a - a_\nu) = 0.$$

A linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$  is said to be *modular bounded* by  $m$ , if we can find a positive number  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\sup_{m(x) \leq \epsilon} |\varphi(x)| < +\infty.$$

With this definition we have

THEOREM 2.2. A linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$  is modular bounded, if and only if  $\varphi$  is bounded.

PROOF. If  $\varphi$  is modular bounded, then we have by definition

$$\sup_{m(x) \leq \epsilon} |\varphi(x)| < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \epsilon > 0.$$



For any  $a \geq 0$ , we can find by 6)  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $m(\lambda a) < \varepsilon$ , and we have obviously

$$\sup_{0 \leq x \leq a} |\varphi(x)| = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup_{0 \leq x \leq \lambda a} |\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup_{m(x) \leq \varepsilon} |\varphi(x)| < +\infty.$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is bounded.

If  $\varphi$  is positive but not modular bounded, then we can find  $a_\nu \geq 0$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $m(a_\nu) \leq \frac{1}{2^\nu}$ ,  $\varphi(a_\nu) \geq 2^\nu$  for every  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ . Then we see easily by (4) and 7) that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu$  is convergent, but we have

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu\right) \geq \varphi(a_\nu) \geq 2^\nu \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots),$$

contradicting  $\varphi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu\right) < +\infty$ . Thus, if  $\varphi$  is bounded, then  $\varphi$  is modular bounded.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \omega(\lambda, a, \nu_0) = 0$  and  $\nu_0$  is not an isolated point, then for every bounded linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$  we have*

$$\lim_{[p] \rightarrow \nu_0} \frac{\varphi([p]a)}{m([p]a)} = 0.$$

**PROOF.** If  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \omega(\lambda, a, \nu_0) = 0$ , then we can find a sequence of positive numbers  $\lambda_\nu$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \omega(\lambda_\nu, a, \nu_0) < \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots).$$

If  $\nu_0$  is not an isolated point, then we can find a sequence of projectors  $[p_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 0$  such that  $\nu_0 \in U_{[p_\nu]}$  for every  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \omega(\lambda_\nu, a, p) < \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad \text{for every } p \in U_{[p_\nu]},$$

because  $R$  is superuniversally continuous by Theorem 1.2. For a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$ , if

$$\overline{\lim}_{[p] \rightarrow p_0} \frac{\varphi([p]a)}{m([p]a)} > \epsilon > 0,$$

then we can find  $[q_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu-1} 0$  such that  $[q_\nu] \leq [p_\nu]$ ,  $m([q_\nu]a) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_\nu}$ , and

$$\frac{\varphi([q_\nu]a)}{m([q_\nu]a)} > \epsilon \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, putting

$$\alpha_\nu = \frac{1}{m([q_\nu]a)} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

we have  $\alpha_\nu \geq \lambda_\nu$ , and hence

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_\nu} \omega(\alpha_\nu, a, p) \leq \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad \text{for every } p \in U_{[q_\nu]},$$

because  $\frac{1}{\alpha_\nu} \omega(\alpha_\nu, a, p) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \omega(\lambda_\nu, a, p)$  by (2). Thus we have by (4)

$$\begin{aligned} m(\alpha_\nu [q_\nu] a) &= \int_{[q_\nu]} \omega(\alpha_\nu, a, p) m(d p a) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_\nu}{2^\nu} \int_{[q_\nu]} m(d p a) = \frac{\alpha_\nu}{2^\nu} m([q_\nu] a) = \frac{1}{2^\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we see by (5) and 7) that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu [q_\nu] a$  is convergent. But we have

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu [q_\nu] a\right) \geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu \epsilon m([q_\nu] a) = +\infty,$$

contradicting  $\varphi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu [q_\nu] a\right) < +\infty$ . Therefore we obtain

$$\lim_{[p] \rightarrow p_0} \frac{\varphi([p]a)}{m([p]a)} = 0.$$

### § 3. The first kind concave modulars.

By virtue of the formula §1 (2), we can put

$$m_1(x) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{m(\xi x)}{\xi},$$

and we obtain a functional  $m_1(x)$  on  $R$ . This functional  $m_1(x)$  will be called the *limit modular* of a concave modular  $m(x)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For the limit modular  $m_1(x)$ ,  $\{x : m_1(x)=0\}$  is a normal manifold of  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** Putting  $S=\{x : m_1(x)=0\}$ , we see easily by definition that  $S$  is a semi-normal manifold of  $R$ . If

$$[p_\nu] \uparrow_{\nu-1} [a], [p_\nu] a \in S \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots),$$

then we have  $a \in S$ . Because, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , recalling Theorem 1.1, we can find by assumption  $\nu$  such that

$$m([a] - [p_\nu]) a < \epsilon,$$

and for such  $\nu$  we can find further by assumption  $\xi > 1$  such that

$$\frac{m(\xi [p_\nu] a)}{\xi} < \epsilon.$$

Then we have by the postulate 4) and the formula (2) in § 1

$$\frac{m(\xi a)}{\xi} = \frac{m(\xi ([a] - [p_\nu]) a)}{\xi} + \frac{m(\xi [p_\nu] a)}{\xi} < 2\epsilon.$$

Therefore we obtain  $a \in S$ . If  $0 \leq a_\nu \uparrow_{\nu-1} a$ ,  $a_\nu \in S$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ), then we have by MSLS Theorems 6.2 and 6.19

$$[a_\nu] \uparrow_{\nu-1} [a], [(\mu a_\nu - a)^+] \uparrow_{\mu-1} [a_\nu], \\ 0 \leq [(\mu a_\nu - a)^+] a \leq \mu a_\nu \in S.$$

Thus we have  $[a_\nu] a \in S$  for every  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ , and hence  $a \in S$ , as proved just above. As  $R$  is superuniversally continuous by Theorem 1.2, we see easily hence that  $0 \leq a_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a$ ,  $a_\lambda \in S$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) implies  $a \in S$ . Therefore  $S$  is by MSLS Theorem 4.9 a normal manifold of  $R$ .

A concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $R$  is said to be of the *first kind*, if,  $m_1(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \neq 0$ , and  $m(x)$  is said to be of the *second kind*, if  $m_1(x)=0$  for every  $x \in R$ . With this definition, we have obviously by Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *For a concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $R$ , we can divide  $R$  uniquely in two orthogonal normal manifolds  $F$  and  $S$ , such that*

$m(x)$  is of the first kind in  $F$  and of the second kind in  $S$ .

Now we suppose that  $m(x)$  is the first kind concave modular on  $R$ . The limit modular  $m_1(x)$  satisfies obviously by definition

$$m_1(\lambda x) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{m(\xi \lambda x)}{\xi} = |\lambda| m_1(x)$$

and  $x \cap y = 0$  implies  $m_1(x+y) = m_1(x) + m_1(y)$ . Therefore the limit modular  $m_1(x)$  is a linear modular on  $R$ . (c.f., MSLS § 41).

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If a concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $R$  is of the first kind, then the limit modular  $m_1(x)$  of  $m(x)$  is a linear modular on  $R$ . This linear modular  $m_1(x)$  is monotone complete, if and only if*

$$\sup_{m_1(x) \leq 1} m(x) < +\infty.$$

**PROOF.** We suppose firstly  $\alpha = \sup_{m_1(x) \leq 1} m(x) < +\infty$ . If

$$0 \leq a_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty, \sup_{\nu=1} m_1(a_\nu) = \beta < +\infty,$$

then we have obviously

$$m_1\left(\frac{1}{\beta} a_\nu\right) = \frac{1}{\beta} m_1(a_\nu) \leq 1 \quad \text{for every } \nu = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and hence by assumption  $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} m\left(\frac{1}{\beta} a_\nu\right) \leq \alpha$ . Thus we can find by the postulate 7) in § 1  $a \in R$  such that  $a_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty a$ . Therefore  $m_1(x)$  is monotone complete by definition.

If  $\sup_{m_1(x) \leq 1} m(x) = +\infty$ , then we can find  $a_\nu \geq 0$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$m_1(a_\nu) \leq 1, \quad m(a_\nu) \geq 2^\nu \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

For such  $a_\nu \in R$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ), as  $m_1(x)$  is a linear modular, we have by MSLS Theorem 36.9 for every  $\kappa = 1, 2, \dots$

$$m_1\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{2^\nu} a_\nu\right) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{2^\nu} m_1(a_\nu) \leq 1,$$

but  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\nu} a_\nu$  is not convergent, because we have by § 2 (6)

$$m\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{2^\nu} a_\nu\right) \geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{2^\nu} m(a_\nu) \geq \kappa \quad \text{for every } \kappa = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Therefore  $m_1(x)$  is not monotone complete.

§ 4. The second kind concave modulars.

In this §, we shall consider the second kind concave modulars.

THEOREM 4.1. *If a concave modular  $m(x)$  is of the second kind, then for any  $a \in R$  we can find an open set  $A$  of the proper space of  $R$  such that  $A$  is dense in  $U_{[a]}$  and*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \nu \in A.$$

PROOF. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \nu : \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) \geq \epsilon \right\} = \bigcap_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \nu : \frac{1}{\nu} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

is obviously a closed set. Furthermore this closed set is nowhere dense. Because, if there is a projector  $[p]$  such that  $0 \neq [p] \leq [a]$  and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) \geq \epsilon \quad \text{for every } \nu \in U_{[p]},$$

then we have for every  $\xi > 0$

$$\frac{m(\xi [p] a)}{\xi} = \int_{[p] \xi} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) m(d\nu a) \geq \epsilon m([p] a),$$

contradicting  $m_1([p] a) = 0$ . As

$$\left\{ \nu : \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) \neq 0 \right\} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \nu : \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) \geq \frac{1}{\nu} \right\},$$

we see by MSLS Theorem 14.5 that

$$\left\{ \nu : \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, \nu) \neq 0 \right\}$$

is nowhere dense, because  $R$  is totally continuous and superuniversally continuous by Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 4.2. *If a concave modular  $m(x)$  is of the second kind,*

and  $R$  has no discrete element, then there is no bounded linear functional on  $R$  up to 0.

PROOF. Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on  $R$ . As  $\varphi$  is by Theorem 1.4 universally continuous, the characteristic set of  $\varphi$  is open by MSLS Theorem 22.5. Thus, if  $\varphi \neq 0$ , then we can find a positive element  $a \neq 0$ , such that  $\varphi(x) = 0, x \geq 0$ , implies  $[a]x = 0$ . For such  $a$ , we can find by Theorem 4.1 a positive element  $p \neq 0$ , such that  $[p] \leq [a]$  and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\xi} \omega(\xi, a, p) = 0 \quad \text{for every } p \in U_{[p]}.$$

Then, for every  $p \in U_{[p]}$  we have by Theorem 2.3

$$\lim_{[p] \rightarrow p} \frac{\varphi([p]a)}{m([p]a)} = 0,$$

because  $R$  has no discrete element, and hence the proper space of  $R$  has no isolated point. Thus, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , corresponding to every  $p \in U_{[p]}$ , we can find a normal manifold  $P_p$  such that  $U_{[P_p]} \ni p$  and

$$\varphi([p]a) \leq \varepsilon m([p]a) \quad \text{for } p \in U_{[P_p]} \subset U_{[p]}.$$

As  $U_{[p]}$  is compact, we can find a finite number of points  $p_\nu \in U_{[p]}$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa$ ) such that

$$U_{[p]} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} U_{[P_{p_\nu}]}.$$

For such  $[P_{p_\nu}]$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa$ ) we can find obviously projection operators  $[P_\nu]$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa$ ) such that

$$[p] = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} [P_\nu], \quad [P_\nu] \leq [P_{p_\nu}], \quad [P_\nu][P_\mu] = 0 \text{ for } \nu \neq \mu.$$

Then we have

$$\varphi([p]a) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \varphi([P_\nu]a) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \varepsilon m([P_\nu]a) = \varepsilon m([p]a).$$

As  $\varepsilon > 0$  may be arbitrary, we obtain hence  $\varphi([p]a) = 0$ , contradicting  $[a][p]a = [p]a \neq 0$ . Therefore we have  $\varphi = 0$ .

§ 5. Discrete spaces.

Let  $R$  be now a discrete space, and  $a_\lambda \geq 0$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) a *discrete basis* of  $R$ , that is, every positive element  $a \in R$  may be represented uniquely as  $a = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \alpha_\lambda a_\lambda$ . For a concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $R$ , we make use of the notation

$$\omega_\lambda = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} m(\xi a_\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

**THEOREM 5.1.** *For any bounded linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$  we can find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\omega_\lambda \leq \varepsilon$  implies  $\varphi(a_\lambda) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** For a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$ , if there is a sequence of elements  $\lambda_\nu \in \Lambda$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$\alpha_{\lambda_\nu} = \varphi(a_{\lambda_\nu}) \neq 0, \quad \omega_{\lambda_\nu} \leq \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then we have

$$m\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{\lambda_\nu}} a_{\lambda_\nu}\right) \leq \omega_{\lambda_\nu} \leq \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and hence by the formula § 2 (4)

$$m\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\lambda_\nu}} a_{\lambda_\nu}\right) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{2^\nu} \leq 1.$$

Thus  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\lambda_\nu}} a_{\lambda_\nu}$  is convergent by the postulate 7) in § 1, but we have

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\lambda_\nu}} a_{\lambda_\nu}\right) \geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\lambda_\nu}} \varphi(a_{\lambda_\nu}) = +\infty.$$

Therefore we obtain our assertion.

Next we shall consider the case where  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \omega_\lambda > 0$ . In this case, we can find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\omega_\lambda > \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Then we can find  $\alpha_\lambda > 0$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) such that

$$m(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

For an arbitrary positive element  $x \in R$ , we can find uniquely  $\xi_\lambda \geq 0$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) such that

$$x = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xi_\lambda a_\lambda, \quad m(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\xi_\lambda a_\lambda) < +\infty.$$

Here we have naturally  $\xi_\lambda = 0$  except for at most countable  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Furthermore we have  $m(\xi_\lambda a_\lambda) \leq \varepsilon$  except for a finite number of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . For every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  subject to  $m(\xi_\lambda a_\lambda) \leq \varepsilon$ , we have obviously  $\xi_\lambda \leq \alpha_\lambda$ , and hence by the formula § 1 (2)

$$\frac{\xi_\lambda}{\alpha_\lambda} \varepsilon \leq m(\xi_\lambda a_\lambda).$$

Therefore we conclude  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{\xi_\lambda}{\alpha_\lambda} < +\infty$ . Thus putting

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{\xi_\lambda}{\alpha_\lambda} \quad \text{for every positive } x = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xi_\lambda a_\lambda,$$

we obtain a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$ . This linear functional  $\varphi$  is complete in  $R$ , that is,  $\varphi(x) = 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , implies obviously  $x = 0$ . Thus we can state

**THEOREM 5.2.** *If  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \omega_\lambda > 0$ , then  $R$  is regular. (c.f. MSLS § 19)*

For a system of positive numbers  $\alpha_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if

$$m(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

then, putting

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{\xi_\lambda}{\alpha_\lambda} \quad \text{for every positive } x = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xi_\lambda a_\lambda,$$

we obtain a complete positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$ , as proved just above. Furthermore, if

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} m(\xi \alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) = 0,$$

then for every positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $R$  we have  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \psi(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) < +\infty$ . Because, if there is a sequence  $\lambda_\nu \in \Lambda$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that



$\psi(\alpha_{\lambda_\nu} a_{\lambda_\nu}) \geq \nu$ , then we have by assumption

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\frac{1}{\nu} \alpha_{\lambda_\nu} a_{\lambda_\nu}\right) \leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m\left(\frac{1}{\nu} \alpha_\lambda a_\lambda\right) = 0,$$

and hence we can find a subsequence  $\lambda_{\nu_\mu}$  ( $\mu=1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} m\left(\frac{1}{\nu_\mu} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}}\right) < +\infty.$$

For such  $\lambda_{\nu_\mu}$ , we see easily by § 2 (5) and the postulate 7) in § 1 that

$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_\mu} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}}$  is convergent, but we have

$$\psi\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_\mu} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}}\right) \geq \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_\mu} \psi(\alpha_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}}) = +\infty,$$

contradicting  $\psi\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_\mu} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu_\mu}}\right) < +\infty$ . Thus we have  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \psi(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) < +\infty$ ,

and hence, putting

$$\gamma = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \psi(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda),$$

we have  $\psi \leq \gamma\varphi$ . Therefore the conjugate space  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$  is bounded, because  $\bar{R} \ni \varphi$  by Theorem 1.4.

Conversely, if there is a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $R$ , such that for any positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $R$  we can find  $\gamma > 0$  for which  $\psi \leq \gamma\varphi$ , then we have naturally  $\varphi(a_\lambda) > 0$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and hence, putting

$$\alpha_\lambda = \frac{1}{\varphi(a_\lambda)} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda),$$

we have

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) > 0.$$

Because, if  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\alpha_\lambda a_\lambda) = 0$ , then we can find a sequence  $\lambda_\nu \in \Lambda$  ( $\nu=1,$

$2, \dots$ ) such that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} m(\alpha_{\lambda_\nu} a_{\lambda_\nu}) < +\infty$ , and hence  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\lambda_\nu} a_{\lambda_\nu}$  is convergent,

but

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu}}\right) \geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu}} \varphi(a_{\lambda_{\nu}}) = +\infty,$$

contradicting  $\varphi\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu}}\right) < +\infty$ . Furthermore we have

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} m(\xi \alpha_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}) = 0.$$

Because, if there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} m(\xi \alpha_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}) > \delta,$$

then we can find a sequence  $\lambda_{\nu} \in \Lambda$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$m\left(\frac{1}{\nu^3} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu}}\right) > \delta \quad \text{for every } \nu=1, 2, \dots.$$

For such  $\lambda_{\nu} \in \Lambda$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ), if

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} m(\xi_{\nu} \alpha_{\lambda_{\nu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu}}) < +\infty, \quad \xi_{\nu} \geq 0 \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots),$$

then we have  $\xi_{\nu} \leq \frac{1}{\nu^3}$  except for a finite number of  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ , and

hence  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu \xi_{\nu} < +\infty$ . Thus, putting

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{\alpha_{\lambda_{\nu}}} \xi_{\lambda_{\nu}}, \quad \text{for every positive } x = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xi_{\lambda} a_{\lambda},$$

we obtain a positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $R$  for which  $\psi(\alpha_{\lambda_{\nu}} a_{\lambda_{\nu}}) = \nu$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ), contradicting  $\psi \leq \gamma \varphi$  for some positive number  $\gamma$ . Therefore we can state

**THEOREM 5.3.** *The conjugate space  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$  is bounded as a semi-ordered linear space, if and only if we can find  $\alpha_{\lambda} > 0$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) such that*

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\alpha_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}) > 0, \quad \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} m(\xi \alpha_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}) = 0,$$

and then, putting

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \frac{1}{\alpha_\lambda} \xi_\lambda \quad \text{for every positive } x = \bigcup_{\lambda \in A} \xi_\lambda a_\lambda,$$

we obtain a positive linear functional  $\varphi \in \bar{R}$  by which  $\bar{R}$  is bounded.

### § 6. Examples.

Let  $p(t)$  be a measurable function on the interval  $(0, 1)$  such that  $0 < p(t) < 1$ . Denoting by  $L_{p(t)}$  the totality of measurable functions  $\varphi(t)$  for which

$$\int_0^1 |\varphi(t)|^{p(t)} dt < +\infty,$$

we see easily that  $L_{p(t)}$  is a continuous semi-ordered linear space, defining  $\varphi \geq \psi$  to mean  $\varphi(t) \geq \psi(t)$  in  $(0, 1)$  up to a zero measure set. Putting

$$m(\varphi) = \int_0^1 |\varphi(t)|^{p(t)} dt \quad \text{for } \varphi \in L_{p(t)},$$

we see easily that  $m(\varphi)$  is a concave modular of the second kind on  $L_{p(t)}$ . Therefore we conclude by Theorem 4.2 that there is no bounded functional on  $L_{p(t)}$  except for 0. This result was proved first by M. M. Day and G. Sirvint independently in the special case where  $p(t)$  is a constant.<sup>2)</sup>

The totality of measurable functions on the interval  $(0, 1)$  is denoted by  $(S)$ .  $(S)$  is obviously a continuous semi-ordered linear space in the usual sense. Putting

$$m(\varphi) = \int_0^1 \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{1 + |\varphi(t)|} dt \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in (S),$$

we obtain a concave modular of the second kind. Thus we see by Theorem 4.2 that there is no bounded linear functional on  $(S)$  except for 0.<sup>3)</sup>

For a sequence of positive numbers  $p_\nu < 1$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ), denoting by  $l(p_1, p_2, \dots)$  the totality of  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  for which

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{\nu}|^{p_{\nu}} < +\infty,$$

we obtain a continuous semi-ordered linear space  $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots)$  which is obviously a discrete space. Putting

$$m(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{\nu}|^{p_{\nu}} \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots),$$

we obtain a concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots)$ . Every bounded linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots)$  is continuous by Theorem 1.4, and hence represented uniquely in the form

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\nu} \xi_{\nu} \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots).$$

As  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{\nu}|^{p_{\nu}} < +\infty$  implies  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{\nu}| < +\infty$ , putting

$$\varphi_0(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_{\nu} \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots),$$

we obtain a positive linear functional  $\varphi_0$  on  $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots)$ .

If  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} p_{\nu} > 0$ , then we can find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $p_{\nu} > \epsilon$  for every  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ , and hence

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\nu \geq 1} |\xi|^{p_{\nu}} \leq \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} |\xi|^{\epsilon} = 0.$$

Thus we conclude by Theorem 5.3 that every bounded linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots)$  is represented in the form

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\nu} \xi_{\nu}, \quad \sup_{\nu \geq 1} |\alpha_{\nu}| < +\infty,$$

for  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2, \dots)$ .

The totality of sequences  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  is denoted by  $(s)$ .  $(s)$  is obviously a continuous semi-ordered linear space. Putting

$$m(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi_{\nu}|}{2^{\nu}(1+|\xi_{\nu}|)} \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots),$$

we obtain a concave modular  $m(x)$  on  $(s)$ . For this concave modular  $m(x)$  we have obviously

$$\omega_\nu = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\xi|}{2^\nu(1+|\xi|)} = \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots).$$

Thus we see by Theorem 5.1 that every bounded linear functional  $\varphi$  on (s) may be represented in the form

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \alpha_\nu \xi_\nu \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$$

for a finite number of real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\kappa$ .

Hokkaido University.

### References.

- 1) H. Nakano: *Modulated semi-ordered linear spaces*, Tokyo Math. Book Series I (1950). This book will be denoted by MSLS in this paper.
- 2) M. M. Day: The space  $L^p$  with  $0 < p < 1$ , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 46 (1940), 816-823. G. Sirvint: Espace des fonctionnels linéaires, *Com. Rend. URSS.*, 26 (1940), 123-126.
- 3) C.f. 2).