On the local property of the absolute summability $|C, \alpha|$ for Fourier series.

By Mineo KIYOHARA¹⁾

(Received Oct. 1, 1957)

1. V. A. Magarik [4] has generalized Wiener's theorem²⁾ on the absolute convergence of Fourier series to the absolute summability $|C, \alpha|$. His assertion is as follows:

Let f(x) be Lebesgue integrable in the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$ and periodic with period 2π . If at every point y on the closed interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ there are a function $g_y(x)$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that (i) $g_y(x) = f(x)$ for $|x-y| < \delta$, and (ii) both the Fourier series of $g_y(x)$ and its conjugate series are absolutely summable $[C, \alpha]$, then the Fourier series of f(x) is absolutely summable $[C, \alpha]$, where $\alpha \ge 0$.

For the case $\alpha=1$, W.C. Randels [5] proved this proposition without the condition on the absolute summability |C,1| for the conjugate series.

In the present note, we shall show that the condition on the absolute summability for the conjugate series is also superfluous for the general case; that is, the following theorem will be established.

Theorem. Let f(x) be Lebesgue integrable in the interval $(-\pi,\pi)$ and periodic with period 2π . If at every point y on the closed interval $[-\pi,\pi]$ there are a function $g_y(x)$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that (i) $g_y(x) = f(x)$ for $|x-y| < \delta$ and (ii) the Fourier series of $g_y(x)$ is absolutely summable $|C,\alpha|$, then the Fourier series of f(x) is absolutely summable $|C,\alpha|$, where $\alpha \ge 0$.

2. The case for $\alpha > 1$ of our theorem follows immediately from the known theorem of L. S. Bosanquet [1]:

The absolute summability $|C,\alpha|$, $\alpha > 1$, for Fourier series of a Lebesgue integrable function with period 2π at a point $x=x_0$ depends only on the behaviour of the generating function in the neighbourhood of the point x_0 .

On the other hand, L.S. Bosanquet and H. Kestelman [2] proved that the mentioned result of L.S. Bosanquet does not hold for $\alpha=1$.

Thus, it is the case $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ in which we are interested. However, it

¹⁾ The author wishes to thank Dr. S. Yano for his valuable advice during the preparation of this paper.

²⁾ A. Zygmund [6], p. 140.

³⁾ For the definition of absolute summability $|C, \alpha|$, see below.

will be sufficient to prove our theorem only for $0 < \alpha < 1$, because the cases for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ were already proved by N. Wiener and W.C. Randels respectively.

We must now make some general remarks about the absolute summability $|C, \alpha|$.

Let α be any real number and put

$$A_n^{\alpha} = {\binom{\alpha+n}{n}} = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+n)}{n!} \qquad (n>0)$$

(1)

$$A_0^{\alpha}=1$$
.

Then, it is well known⁴⁾ that if $\alpha \neq -1$, -2,...

(2)
$$A_n^{\alpha} \cong \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}.$$

For any given series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ and any $n \ge 0$ we write

(3)
$$\sigma_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha} x_k.$$

The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ is said to be absolutely summable $[C, \alpha]$, if the series

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^{\alpha} - \sigma_{n-1}^{\alpha}|$$

is convergent.

We shall need the next lemmas which are due to M. E. Kogbetliantz [3].

Lemma 1. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ is absolutely summable $|C, \alpha|$ if and only if the series

(5)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} x_k \right|$$

is convergent, where $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \cdots$.

Lemma 2. If the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ is absolutely summable $[C, \alpha]$, then the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x_n|}{(n+1)^{\alpha}}$$

is convergent, where $\alpha \geq 0$.

In order to apply this definition to Fourier series in the exponential form we put

⁴⁾ A. Zygmund [6], p. 42.

$$x_n = (c_n e^{inx} + c_{-n} e^{-inx}).$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that if a Fourier series is absolutely summable $|C, \alpha|$ over any interval (a, b), then the series

(8)
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|c_n|}{(|n|+1)^{\alpha}}$$

is convergent, where c_n 's are the Fourier coefficients and $\alpha \ge 0$.

3. Let us proceed to the proof of Theorem. We may suppose $0 < \alpha < 1$ as it was remarked in the above section.

By the Heine-Borel covering theorem and the hypothesis of our theorem there exist a finite number of overlapping intervals $(\delta_i, \delta_{i'})$ covering $(-\pi, \pi)$ and functions $g_i(x)$ such that the Fourier series of $g_i(x)$ is absolutely summable $|C, \alpha|$ and $g_i(x) = f(x)$ on $(\delta_i, \delta_{i'})$. These intervals may be chosen so that $\delta_i < \delta_{i-1}' < \delta_{i+1} < \delta_{i'}$.

The functions $h_i(x)$ are now defined by

$$h_i(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} A_i(x-\delta_i)^3 + B_i(x-\delta_i)^2 \,, & \delta_i \leq x < \delta_{i-1}{}' \,, \ & \delta_{i-1}{}' \leq x < \delta_{i+1} \,, \ & \delta_{i-1}{}' \leq x < \delta_{i+1} \,, \ & \delta_{i+1} \leq x < \delta_{i}{}' \,, \ & 0 \,, & x < \delta_i \,\, ext{or} \,\, \delta_i{}' \leq x \,, \ & h_i(x+2\pi) \,, \end{array}
ight.$$

where A_i , B_i are defined by the relations

(9)
$$3A_{i}(\delta_{i-1}' - \delta_{i}) + 2B_{i} = 0,$$

$$A_{i}(\delta_{i-1}' - \delta_{i})^{3} + B_{i}(\delta_{i-1}' - \delta_{i})^{2} = 1.$$

The second relation of (9) implies that $h_i(x)$ is continuous, and by the first relation we see that

$$h_{i}'(\delta_{i}) = h_{i}'(\delta_{i-1}') = h_{i}'(\delta_{i+1}) = h_{i}'(\delta_{i}) = 0$$
,

so that $h_i'(x)$ is absolutely continuous and h''(x) is of bounded variation. Therefore the Fourier coefficients of $h_i(x)$ are

$$c_{n}(h_{i}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h_{i}(x) e^{-inx} dx$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{h_{i}''(x)}{n^{2}} e^{-inx} dx$$

$$= O(n^{-3}).$$
(10)

It is also clear that

$$\sum h_i(x) = 1$$

and

(11)
$$f(x) = \sum_{i} g_i(x)h_i(x).$$

The Fourier coefficients of $g_i(x)h_i(x)$ will be given by

$$(12) c_n(g_i \cdot h_i) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m(h_i) c_{n-m}(g_i)$$

where the series on the right hand side is convergent since $h_i(x)$ is of bounded variation. For convenience we put

$$c_n(g_i \cdot h_i) = c_n$$
, $c_n(h_i) = b_n$, $c_n(g_i) = a_n$.

Then from Lemma 1 and (11) we have to consider

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} (c_{k} e^{ikx} + c_{-k} e^{-ikx}) \right|$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \left| k \left| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} c_{k} e^{ikx} \right| \right|$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \left| k \left| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{m} a_{k-m} e^{ikx} \right| \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| b_{m} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \right| \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \left| k \left| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{ikx} \right| \right|.$$

Now let us put

$$\rho(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^{n} |k| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{ikx} \right|.$$

If m > 0, we have

$$\rho(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n |k| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{ikx} \right] + \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n |k| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{ikx} \right]$$

(14)
$$=I_1+I_2$$
, say.

Since a_m , being Fourier coefficients of g_i , is bounded, we get

(15)
$$I_{1} = \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} (a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} + a_{-k-m} e^{i(-k-m)x}) \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} (|a_{k-m}| + |a_{-k-m}|) \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\alpha+1}} (n+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{1-\alpha}} \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\alpha+1}} (n+1)(n+1)^{\alpha} \right\}$$

$$= O(m).$$

Proceeding to the estimation of the sum I_2 , we divide its inner sum into four parts:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=-n}^{n} \left| k \right| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} \\ &= \sum_{k=-n}^{n+m} \left| k \right| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} + \sum_{k=-n+m}^{n+m} \left| k-m \right| A_{n-|k-m|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} \\ &+ \sum_{k=-n+m}^{n+m} \left| k-m \right| (A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} - A_{n-|k-m|}^{\alpha-1}) a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} \\ &+ \sum_{k=-n+m}^{n+m} (\left| k \right| - \left| k-m \right|) A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4, \qquad \text{say,} \end{split}$$

where we set

(16)
$$A_k^{\alpha-1} = 0$$
 if $k = -1, -2, \cdots$

Then we have

(17)
$$I_{2} = \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} |J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} (|J_{1}| + |J_{2}| + |J_{3}| + |J_{4}|)$$

$$= K_{1} + K_{2} + K_{3} + K_{4}, \quad \text{say.}$$

The estimation of K_1 will go as follows:

$$\begin{split} K_1 &= \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^{n+m} |k| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} | \right. \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n} k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} |a_{-k-m}| \\ &= O\{ \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n} k(n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} |a_{-k-m}| \} \\ &= O\{ \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n} k(n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} |a_{-k-m}| \} \\ &= O\{ \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} n \sum_{k=0}^{m} (m+1-k)^{\alpha-1} |a_{-(k+n)}| \} \\ &= O\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m} (m+1-k)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-(k+n)}|}{n^{\alpha}} \} \\ &= O\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m} (m+1-k)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-(k+n)}|}{(k+n)^{\alpha}} (\frac{k+n}{n})^{\alpha} \} . \end{split}$$

Since $k \le 2m < n$, $\left(\frac{k+n}{n}\right)^{\alpha}$ does not exceed a constant not depending on k, m and n. Therefore

$$K_{1} = O\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m} (m+1-k)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=2m+k+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-n}|}{n^{\alpha}}\right\}$$

$$= O\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m} (m+1-k)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-n}|}{n^{\alpha}}\right\}$$

$$= O\left\{m_{i}^{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-n}|}{n^{\alpha}}\right\}.$$

The absolute summability $|C, \alpha|$ for Fourier series of $g_i(x)$ gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-n}|}{n^{\alpha}} < \infty$$

by (8). Hence we get

$$(18) K_1 = O(m^{\alpha}).$$

The sum K_2 will be easily estimated by using the assumption of $g_i(x)$ and Lemma 1:

$$K_{2} = \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n+m}^{n+m} |k-m| A_{n-|k-m|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} \right|$$

$$= \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^{n} |k| A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k} e^{ikx} \right|$$

$$= O(1).$$
(19)

To estimate the sum K_3 , its inner sum J_3 will be further divided into two parts:

$$\begin{split} J_{3} &= \sum_{k=-n+m}^{n+m} |k-m| \left(A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} - A_{n-|k-m|}^{\alpha-1}\right) a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} |k-n| \left(A_{n-|k-n+m|}^{\alpha-1} - A_{n-|k-n|}^{\alpha-1}\right) a_{k-n} e^{i(k-n)x} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} = L_{1} + L_{2}, \quad \text{say}. \end{split}$$

Then we have

(20)
$$K_3 \leq \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} |L_1| + \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} |L_2|.$$

We shall first estimate the first sum on the right hand side of this inequality:

$$\sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} |L_1| \leq \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |k-n| |A_{n-|k-n+m|}^{\alpha-1} - A_{n-|k-n|}^{\alpha-1} ||a_{k-n}||$$

$$= \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (n-k) |A_{k+m}^{\alpha-1} - A_k^{\alpha-1}| |a_{k-n}| +$$

$$+\sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\omega+1}} \sum_{k=n-m+1}^{n} (n-k) |A_{2n-m-k}^{\omega-1} - A_k^{\omega-1}| |a_{k-n}|$$

$$= M_1 + M_2, \quad \text{say.}$$

Since

(21)
$$|A_{k+m}^{\alpha-1} - A_k^{\alpha-1}| = |\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A_{k+1+j}^{\alpha-2}| = O\left(\frac{m}{(k+1)^{2-\alpha}}\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{split} M_1 &= O\Big\{ \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (n-k) \frac{m}{(k+1)^{2-\alpha}} |a_{k-n}| \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2-\alpha}} \sum_{n=k+m}^{\infty} \frac{(n-k)}{n^{\alpha+1}} |a_{k-n}| \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2-\alpha}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n)^{\alpha+1} |a_{-m-n}|}{(k+m+n)^{\alpha+1} (m+n)^{\alpha}} \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2-\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-n}|}{n^{\alpha}} \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-n}|}{n^{\alpha}} \Big\} \,. \end{split}$$

For the sum M_2 , we have

$$\begin{split} M_2 &= \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=n-m+1}^{n} (n-k) |A_{2n-m-k}^{\alpha-1} - A_k^{\alpha-1}| |a_{k-n}| \\ &= \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (m-k) |A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} - A_{n-m+k}^{\alpha-1}| |a_{-m+k}| \\ &= O\Big\{ \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (m-k) \frac{1}{(n-m+k)^{1-\alpha}} |a_{-m+k}| \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(m-k+1)^{\alpha+1} |a_{-m+k}|}{(n-m+k)^{1-\alpha} (m-k+1)^{\alpha}} \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ m^{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1} (n-m)^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-k}|}{k^{\alpha}} \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ m^{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{(n-m)^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-k}|}{k^{\alpha}} \Big\} \\ &= O\Big\{ m^{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{-k}|}{k^{\alpha}} \Big\} \,. \end{split}$$

By the assumption of $g_i(x)$ and (8) we have

$$M_1=O(m)$$
,
 $M_2=O(m^{\alpha})=O(m)$.

Hence we get

(22)
$$\sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{n+1}} |L_1| = O(m).$$

Similarly

(23)
$$\sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} |L_2| = O(m).$$

Thus (20), (22) and (23) give

$$(24) K_3 = O(m).$$

Finally, let us estimate the sum K_4 . Taking into account that

$$\begin{split} |J_{4}| &= |\sum_{k=-n+m}^{n+m} (|k| - |k-m|) A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} a_{k-m} e^{i(k-m)x}| \\ &\leq m \sum_{k=-n+m}^{n+m} A_{n-|k|}^{\alpha-1} |a_{k-m}| \\ &= m \sum_{k=-n}^{n} A_{n-|m+k|}^{\alpha-1} |a_{k}| \\ &= m \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} A_{n-(m+k)}^{\alpha-1} |a_{k}| + m \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{n-|m-k|}^{\alpha-1} |a_{-k}| \\ &= N_{1} + N_{2}, \quad \text{say,} \end{split}$$

we obtain

(25)
$$K_{4} \leq \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} |N_{1}| + \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+1}} |N_{2}|.$$

For the first sum on the right hand side of (25) we have

$$\sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} |N_1| = O\left\{ m \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (n-m-k+1)^{\alpha-1} |a_k| \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| \sum_{n=m+k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\alpha+1}} \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{(m+k)^{\alpha}} \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{k^{\alpha}} \right\}.$$
(26)

Similarly

(27)
$$\sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} |N_2| = O\left\{m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{k^{\alpha}}\right\}.$$

But by the assumption the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{k^{\omega}}$ converges. Hence from (25), (26) and (27) we get

(28)
$$K_4 = O(m)$$
.

Combining the above estimations (17), (18), (19), (24) and, (28) we obtain

$$(29) I_2 = O(m).$$

Therefore, if m > 0, we have

$$\rho(m) = O(m)$$

by (14), (15) and (29).

A similar result for $m \le 0$ can be proved in exactly the same manner, and therefore by (10)

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_m| \rho(m) = O\left\{\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} |m|^{-3} |m|\right\}$$
$$= O\left\{\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} |m|^{-2}\right\} < \infty,$$

so that by (13)

(31)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} (c_k e^{ikx} + c_{-k} e^{-ikx}) \right| < \infty.$$

From this and Lemma 1 it follows that the Fourier series of $h_i(x)g_i(x)$ is absolutely summable $|C, \alpha|$, and so by (11) f(x), being the sum of a finite number of functions having Fourier series which are absolutely summable $|C, \alpha|$, must also have a Fourier series which is absolutely summable $|C, \alpha|$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$.

This completes the proof of our theorem.

Mathematical Institute,
Tokyo Metropolitan University.

References

- [1] L.S. Bosanquet, The absolute Cesàro summability of Fourier series, Proc. London Math. Soc., 11 (1936), pp. 517-528.
- [2] L.S. Bosanquet and H. Kestelman, The absolute convergence of series of integrals, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) 45 (1939), pp. 88-97.
- [3] M.E. Kogbetliantz, Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques, Bull. Sci. Math., (2) 49 (1925), pp. 234-256.
- [4] V. A. Magarik, On the summability $|C, \alpha|$ of Fourier series, Moskv. Gos. Univ. Uc. Zap. 181, Mat., 8 (1956), pp. 183-196. (in Russian).
- [5] W.C. Randels, On the absolute summability of Fourier Series, Duke Math. J., 7 (1940), pp. 204-207.
- [6] A. Zygmund, Trigonometrical series, Warszawa, (1935).