

On the P -extension of topology.

By Seiya SASAO

(Received Feb. 10, 1958)

Let (X, \mathfrak{T}) be a topological space with the underlying point set X and the topology \mathfrak{T} . For a subset S of X , (S, \mathfrak{T}) will mean the subspace of (X, \mathfrak{T}) with the topology induced by \mathfrak{T} . A property of topological spaces such as compact, connected, etc., will be generally denoted by P (especially the property of being compact will be denoted by K). If we have to consider spaces X, Y, \dots , we shall denote with $\Sigma_P^X, \Sigma_P^Y, \dots$, the families of all the subspaces of X, Y, \dots respectively, having the property P . We denote with \mathfrak{T}_P the topology of X defined as follows: A subset A of X is closed for \mathfrak{T}_P if and only if $A \cap S$ is closed in (S, \mathfrak{T}) for every $S \in \Sigma_P^X$. Such topology was considered by D.E. Cohen [2] who called it *weak topology* for Σ_P^X . In case $P=K$ the topology \mathfrak{T}_P was called *k-extension* of \mathfrak{T} by J.L. Kelley. In fact \mathfrak{T}_P is always an extension of \mathfrak{T} , i.e. a topology weaker than \mathfrak{T} . We shall call \mathfrak{T}_P the *P -extension* of \mathfrak{T} . It was proved in [3] that $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}_K \times \mathfrak{T}'_K) = (X \times Y, (\mathfrak{T}_K \times \mathfrak{T}'_K)_K)$ if (Y, \mathfrak{T}'_K) is locally compact and compact sets in $(X, \mathfrak{T}_K), (Y, \mathfrak{T}_K)$ are regular. In [3] a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}_K$ is obtained. We shall obtain analogous results to these ones for the P -extension in the following lines.

PROPOSITION 1. \mathfrak{T}_P is the weakest topology among all topologies of X which induce the same topology as \mathfrak{T} on each $S, S \in \Sigma_P^X$.

PROOF. We shall prove that (S, \mathfrak{T}) is homeomorphic to (S, \mathfrak{T}_P) by the identity map for $S \in \Sigma_P^X$. Clearly the identity map is continuous. Now let K be a closed subset of (S, \mathfrak{T}_P) , then $K = S \cap K'$ where K' is closed in (X, \mathfrak{T}_P) . Since $S \in \Sigma_P^X, S \cap K'$ is closed in (S, \mathfrak{T}) . Thus the identity map is a homeomorphism. Let \mathfrak{T}' be any topology on X such that $(S, \mathfrak{T}') = (S, \mathfrak{T})$ for every $S \in \Sigma_P^X$. If K is closed for \mathfrak{T}' , $K \cap S$ is closed in (S, \mathfrak{T}') , hence $K \cap S$ is closed in (S, \mathfrak{T}) .

REMARK. It is clear from the above proof that a subspace of (X, \mathfrak{T}) having the property P is also a subspace of (X, \mathfrak{T}_P) having the property P , therefore $(X, \mathfrak{T}_P) = (X, (\mathfrak{T}_P)_P)$.

We shall call (X, \mathfrak{T}) a *semi local P -space*, if for each point x of X there exists $S \in \Sigma_P^X$ which contains a nbd of x . Then we obtain

PROPOSITION 2. If (X, \mathfrak{T}) is a semi local P -space, then $\mathfrak{T}_P = \mathfrak{T}$.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that every closed subset K of (X, \mathfrak{T}_P) is

also closed in (X, \mathfrak{T}) . For $x \in X$, there exist a nbd $U(x)$ of x and an element of Σ_P^X , such that $U(x) \subset S$. If $x \notin K$, since $S \cap K$ is clearly closed in (S, \mathfrak{T}) , there exists a nbd $V(x)$ of x in (X, \mathfrak{T}) such that $V(x) \cap S \cap K = \emptyset$. Now put $W(x) = V(x) \cap U(x)$, then $W(x)$ is a nbd of x in (X, \mathfrak{T}) and $W(x) \cap K = V(x) \cap U(x) \cap K = \emptyset$. Thus K is closed in (X, \mathfrak{T}) . This completes the proof.

In general the converse of the Proposition 2 is not true but we can find a necessary and sufficient condition for $(X, \mathfrak{T}) = (X, \mathfrak{T}_P)$ in case P has the following property (A): A space consisting of only one point has the property P , and every continuous image of a space with the property P has also the property P . For the purpose we shall use the following notion. Let P and (X, \mathfrak{T}) be topological spaces and f a continuous map of P onto (X, \mathfrak{T}) . Then we shall call (X, \mathfrak{T}) the *identification of P by f* if and only if \mathfrak{T} is compatible with the identification topology by f . Then we obtain

PROPOSITION 3. *Let P have the property (A). We have $(X, \mathfrak{T}) = (X, \mathfrak{T}_P)$ if and only if (X, \mathfrak{T}) is an identification of a semi local P -space by a suitably defined map f of P onto (X, \mathfrak{T}) .*

PROOF. Sufficiency: Let f be the map of P onto (X, \mathfrak{T}) and let H be an open subset in (X, \mathfrak{T}_P) . Now if $f^{-1}(H) \ni p$ and $f(p) = x \in X$, then there exist a nbd $V(p)$ of p in P and $S \in \Sigma_P^P$ such that $V(p) \subset S$. Since $f(S) \in \Sigma_P^X$, $f(S) \cap H$ is open in $(f(S), \mathfrak{T})$ and $f(S) \cap H \ni x$, moreover there exist a nbd $U(x)$ of x in (X, \mathfrak{T}) such that $U(x) \cap f(S) \subset f(S) \cap H$ and a nbd $U(p)$ of p in P such that $f(U(p) \cap S) \subset U(x) \cap f(S) \subset f(S) \cap H$. On the other hand we have $f(U(p) \cap V(p)) \subset f(U(p) \cap S) \subset f(S) \cap H \subset H$. Thus H is open in (X, \mathfrak{T}) .

Necessity: We shall construct P and f for a given (X, \mathfrak{T}) as follows: P consists of all pairs (S, x) where $S \in \Sigma_P^X$, $x \in S$. For fixed S , the map $(S, x) \rightarrow x$ is to be a homeomorphism onto S (with the given topology), and the set $\{(S, x), x \in S\}$ for fixed S is to be both open and closed in P . This clearly defines a topology. Let $f: P \rightarrow X$ be the map $(S, x) \rightarrow x$. Then P is clearly a semi local P -space and it is easy to show that f is continuous and onto. If $(X, \mathfrak{T}) = (X, \mathfrak{T}_P)$, (X, \mathfrak{T}) is clearly the identification of P by f . This completes the proof.

Now we shall prove an analogous theorem to D. E. Cohen's one [2]. The property P is called *productive*, if the product space of two topological space with the property P has also the property P . Then

PROPOSITION 4. *If P is productive and satisfies (A) and furthermore (Y, \mathfrak{T}') is a Hausdorff, locally compact and semi local P -space, then $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}_P \times \mathfrak{T}'_P) = (X \times Y, (\mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')_P)$.*

PROOF. We shall consider another topology \mathfrak{T}^* on the point set $X \times Y$ which is defined as follows: A subset A of $X \times Y$ is closed for \mathfrak{T}^* if and only if $A \cap (S \times S')$ is closed in $(S \times S', \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')$ for every $S \in \Sigma_P^X$, $S' \in \Sigma_P^{Y'}$ and

prove $\mathfrak{T}_P \times \mathfrak{T}'_P = \mathfrak{T}^* = (\mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')_P$. First we shall show $\mathfrak{T}^* = (\mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')_P$. Let H be an open subset of $(X \times Y, (\mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')_P)$ and $S \in \Sigma_P^X, S' \in \Sigma_P^Y$. Since $S \times S' \in \Sigma_P^{X \times Y}$ by the productivity of P , $H \cap (S \times S')$ is open in $(S \times S', \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')$, therefore H is open in $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}^*)$. Conversely let H be an open subset of $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}^*)$ and $T \in \Sigma_P^{X \times Y}$. If we denote the image of T by the natural projection onto each factor space X, Y with $P_X(T), P_Y(T)$ respectively, then $H \cap (P_X(T) \times P_Y(T))$ is open in $(P_X(T) \times P_Y(T), \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')$ by the definition of \mathfrak{T}^* and the property (A) of P . Now let (x_0, y_0) be any point of $H \cap T$, then there exist a nbd $U(x_0)$ of x_0 in (X, \mathfrak{T}) and a nbd $U(y_0)$ of y_0 in (Y, \mathfrak{T}') such that $H \cap (P_X(T) \times P_Y(T)) \supset (P_X(T) \cap U(x_0) \times P_Y(T) \cap U(y_0))$, therefore $H \cap T = H \cap T \cap (P_X(T) \times P_Y(T)) \supset T \cap (P_X(T) \cap U(x_0) \times P_Y(T) \cap U(y_0)) \ni (x_0, y_0)$. Since $T \cap (P_X(T) \cap U(x_0) \times P_Y(T) \cap U(y_0))$ is a nbd of (x_0, y_0) in $(T, \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')$, H is open in $(X \times Y, (\mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')_P)$.

Secondly we shall show $\mathfrak{T}_P \times \mathfrak{T}'_P = \mathfrak{T}^*$. Let H be an open subset of $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}_P \times \mathfrak{T}'_P)$ and $S \in \Sigma_P^X, S' \in \Sigma_P^Y$. If (x_0, y_0) is a point of $H \cap (S \times S')$, there exist a nbd $U(x_0)$ of x_0 in (X, \mathfrak{T}_P) and a nbd $U(y_0)$ of y_0 in (Y, \mathfrak{T}'_P) such that $H \supset (U(x_0) \times U(y_0))$. On the other hand $(x_0, y_0) \in (U(x_0) \cap S \times U(y_0) \cap S') \subset H \cap (S \times S')$ and $(U(x_0) \cap S \times U(y_0) \cap S')$ is open in $(S \times S', \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')$ by the definition of $\mathfrak{T}_P, \mathfrak{T}'_P$, hence H is open in $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}^*)$. Conversely let H be an open subset of $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}^*)$ and (x_0, y_0) be any point of H . If we put $(x_0 \times Y) \cap H = x_0 \times \tilde{H}$, \tilde{H} is open in (Y, \mathfrak{T}'_P) , because for every $S' \in \Sigma_P^Y$, we have $x_0 \times (\tilde{H} \cap S') = (x_0 \times \tilde{H}) \cap (x_0 \times S') = (x_0 \times Y) \cap H \cap (x_0 \times S') = H \cap (x_0 \times S')$, so that $x_0 \times \tilde{H} \cap x_0 \times S'$ is open in $((x_0 \times S'), \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')$. By the assumption on (Y, \mathfrak{T}') there exist a nbd $W(y_0)$ of y_0 in (Y, \mathfrak{T}') and $S' \in \Sigma_P^Y$ such that $\tilde{H} \supset \overline{W(y_0)}$ and $\overline{W(y_0)}$ is compact and $\overline{W(y_0)} \subset S'$. Now we put $H^* = \{x \mid x \times \overline{W(y_0)} \subset H, x \in X\}$, then for $S \in \Sigma_P^X$, $(H^* \cap S \times \overline{W(y_0)}) \subset H \cap (S \times S')$ and $H \cap (S \times S')$ is open in $(S \times S', \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T}')$. Since $\overline{W(y_0)}$ is compact, H^* is open in (X, \mathfrak{T}_P) and $H \supset H^* \times W(y_0) \ni (x_0, y_0)$, thus H is open in $(X \times Y, \mathfrak{T}_P \times \mathfrak{T}'_P)$. This completes the proof.

University of Tokyo.

References

- [1] J. L. Kelley, General topology, 1955.
- [2] D. E. Cohen, Spaces with weak topology, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 5 (1954), 77-80.
- [3] D. E. Cohen, Product and carrier theory, Proc. London Math. Soc., 7 (1957), 219-248.