

## On the Goldbach problem in an algebraic number field II.

By Takayoshi MITSUI

(Received Aug. 18, 1959)

### § 4. Treatment of $I_s(\mu; \lambda)$ (I).

Let  $\lambda$  be a totally positive integer with sufficiently large norm  $N(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$  be the set of all prime numbers  $\omega$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 < \omega^{(q)} &\leq \lambda^{(q)} & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\omega^{(p)}| &\leq |\lambda^{(p)}| & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2). \end{aligned}$$

We shall define a trigonometrical sum

$$(4.2) \quad S(z; \lambda) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)} e^{2\pi i S(\omega z)},$$

where  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  is a point of  $E$ .

We know by (2.1) that  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  are written in the form

$$z_j = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \delta_k^{(j)} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

with real numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Taking  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  as variables, we consider an integral

$$(4.3) \quad I_s(\mu; \lambda) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \dots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} S(z; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu z)} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n,$$

where  $s$  is a positive rational integer,  $\mu$  is a totally positive integer and the domain of integration is given by the conditions

$$|x_j| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We see that  $I_s(\mu; \lambda)$  is equal to the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_s)$  of prime numbers which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_s, \\ \omega_j &\in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any totally positive unit  $\eta$  we have

$$I_s(\eta\mu; \eta\lambda) = I_s(\mu; \lambda).$$

On the other hand, by suitable choice of a totally positive unit  $\eta_0$  we have

$$c_1 N(\lambda)^{1/n} < |\lambda^{(j)} \eta_0^{(j)}| < c_2 N(\lambda)^{1/n} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Taking  $\lambda\eta_0$  instead of  $\lambda$ , we shall assume that  $\lambda$  in (4.2) satisfies the inequalities

$$c_1 N(\lambda)^{1/n} < |\lambda^{(j)}| < c_2 N(\lambda)^{1/n} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

If we put

$$N = \max(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r_1)}, |\lambda^{(r_1+1)}|, \dots, |\lambda^{(n)}|),$$

then  $N$  is sufficiently large and the inequalities

$$(4.4) \quad cN < |\lambda^{(j)}| \leq N \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

are satisfied.

Now we take positive constants  $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$  and  $\sigma_5$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad \sigma \geq 3, \quad \sigma_2 > \sigma_4, \quad \sigma_1 + \sigma \geq \sigma_4,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \min\left(\frac{\sigma_3}{n} - 1, \frac{\sigma_4}{n}, \sigma_1 - 1\right) \geq (4+n)\sigma + 3r + 8,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \min\left(\sigma_2, \frac{\sigma_5}{n}, \sigma_1 - 1\right) \geq (4+n)\sigma + 3r + 12 + \sigma_3 \quad (r = r_1 + r_2 - 1).$$

(We can easily find such constants.) Putting

$$(4.8) \quad H = \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}}, \quad T = (\log N)^{\sigma_1},$$

we consider the Farey division of  $E$  with respect to  $(H, T)$ . In this and following paragraphs we shall always use the notations  $H$  and  $T$  in the meaning of (4.8).

We shall now define a division of  $E$ , which is slightly different from the Farey division with respect to  $(H, T)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of numbers  $r$  of  $K$  such that  $(r^{(1)}, r^{(2)}, \dots, r^{(n)}) \in E$  and  $r \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  with  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq T^n$ . For every  $r \in \Gamma$  we define a domain  $B_r \subset E$  as follows:

$$(4.9) \quad B_r = \left\{ z \in E, |z_j - r_1^{(j)}| \leq \frac{T^{n-1}}{H} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \right. \\ \left. \text{for any } r_1 \equiv r \pmod{\mathfrak{d}^{-1}} \right\}$$

and put  $B^0 = E - \bigcup_{r \in \Gamma} B_r$ .

Let  $E_r$  be a domain defined by (2.4). If  $z \in E_r$ , then we have

$$|z_j - r_0^{(j)}| \leq \frac{T^{n-1}}{HN(\mathfrak{a})} \leq \frac{T^{n-1}}{H} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

for a certain  $r_0$  such that  $r_0 \equiv r \pmod{\mathfrak{d}^{-1}}$ . Hence we have

$$B^0 \subset E^0, \quad B_r \supset E_r \quad (r \in \Gamma).$$

Moreover, we shall prove that

$$(4.10) \quad B_{r_1} \cap B_{r_2} = \emptyset \quad (r_1, r_2 \in \Gamma, r_1 \neq r_2).$$

If  $B_{r_1} \cap B_{r_2} \neq \emptyset$ , then there would exist a point  $z \in B_{r_1} \cap B_{r_2}$  and, choosing

suitably  $r_1^0$  and  $r_2^0$  such that  $r_1^0 \equiv r_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{d}^{-1}}$  and  $r_2^0 \equiv r_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{d}^{-1}}$ , we have

$$(4.11) \quad |r_1^{0(j)} - r_2^{0(j)}| \leq |z_j - r_1^{0(j)}| + |z_j - r_2^{0(j)}| \leq \frac{2T^{n-1}}{H} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Let  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  be the denominators of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  respectively, then  $r_1^0 - r_2^0 \in (\mathfrak{d}a_1a_2)^{-1}$ . Since  $r_1^0 \neq r_2^0$ , (4.11) would give

$$\frac{2^n T^{n(n-1)}}{H^n} \geq |N(r_1^0 - r_2^0)| \geq \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d}a_1a_2)} \geq \frac{1}{DT^{2n}}.$$

But this inequality is not true for sufficiently large  $N$  and so (4.10) is proved.

In the integral of (4.3) we shall change the variables of integration  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  into  $X_1(z), X_2(z), \dots, X_n(z)$ . Then we have

$$(4.12) \quad I_s(\mu; \lambda) = 2^{r_1} \sqrt{D} \int_{\mathfrak{B}} \dots \int S(z; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu_2)} dx(z),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{x(z); (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in E\}$$

and we write

$$dx(z) = dX_1(z) dX_2(z) \dots dX_n(z).$$

Now we define subdomains of  $\mathfrak{B}$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{B}_r = \{x(z); (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in B_r\} \quad (r \in \Gamma)$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^0 = \mathfrak{B} - \bigcup_{r \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{B}_r.$$

Then we see that

$$\mathfrak{B}_{r_1} \cap \mathfrak{B}_{r_2} = \emptyset \quad (r_1, r_2 \in \Gamma, r_1 \neq r_2)$$

and we write

$$(4.13) \quad I_s(\mu; \lambda) = 2^{r_1} \sqrt{D} \left\{ \int_{\mathfrak{B}^0} \dots \int + \sum_{r \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_r} \dots \int \right\} S(z; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu_2)} dx(z).$$

In the following paragraphs § 5 and § 6, we shall estimate the trigonometrical sum  $S(z; \lambda)$  on  $\mathfrak{B}^0$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_r$  ( $r \in \Gamma$ ) respectively.

### § 5. Estimation of $S(z; \lambda)$ (I).

In this paragraph we assume that  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  belongs to  $E^0$  which is defined by the Farey division with respect to  $(H, T)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  be the set of all integers  $\nu$  of  $K$  which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\frac{N}{(\log N)^\sigma} < \nu^{(q)} \leq \lambda^{(q)} \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1),$$

$$\frac{N}{(\log N)^\sigma} < |\nu^{(p)}| \leq |\lambda^{(p)}| \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2).$$

Since  $N$  is sufficiently large, we see from (4.4) that  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  is not empty.

Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the product of all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq N^{n/2}$ , then an integer  $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0$  which is prime to  $\mathfrak{N}$  must be a prime number. Therefore, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{M}_0} e^{2\pi i S(\omega_2)} = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ (\nu, \mathfrak{N})=1}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)},$$

where the left-hand side is a sum taken over all prime numbers  $\omega$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  and the right-hand side is a sum taken over integers  $\nu$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  such that  $(\nu, \mathfrak{N})=1$ .

Using Möbius function  $\mu(\mathfrak{a})$  for ideals, we can write the right-hand side of (5.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ (\nu, \mathfrak{N})=1}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} \sum_{\mathfrak{a} | (\nu, \mathfrak{N})} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{N}} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} | (\nu) \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{N}} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{a}}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, putting for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$

$$I(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{a}}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad S(z; \lambda) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{N} \\ N\mathfrak{a} \leq N^n}} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) I(\mathfrak{a}) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{N} \\ N\mathfrak{a} \leq N^n, \mu(\mathfrak{a})=1}} I(\mathfrak{a}) - \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{N} \\ N\mathfrak{a} \leq N^n, \mu(\mathfrak{a})=-1}} I(\mathfrak{a}) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall consider the first sum in the right-hand side of (5.2). We put

$$S_0 = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{N} \\ N\mathfrak{a} \leq N^n, \mu(\mathfrak{a})=1}} I(\mathfrak{a})$$

and

$$\tau = \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}}$$

and divide  $S_0$  into three parts:

$$S_0 = \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq (\log N)^{\sigma_1}} + \sum_{(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < N\mathfrak{a} \leq \tau} + \sum_{\tau < N\mathfrak{a} \leq N^n} = S_1 + S_2 + S_3,$$

where  $\sigma_3$  and  $\sigma_4$  are the constants defined in the previous paragraph.

We shall estimate these sums  $S_j$  ( $j=1, 2, 3$ ) one after another.

(i) Estimation of  $S_1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be an ideal class of  $K$ . We define a sum

$$S(\mathfrak{G}) = \sum_{\substack{N\mathfrak{a} \leq (\log N)^{\sigma_1} \\ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{G}}} |I(\mathfrak{a})|,$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}$  runs through all ideals belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$  with  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq (\log N)^\sigma$ . Then we have

$$(5.3) \quad |S_1| \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{C}} S(\mathfrak{C}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{C}$  runs through all ideal classes of  $K$ . Therefore it suffices to estimate  $S(\mathfrak{C})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  be an ideal belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then each ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the product of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  and a certain number  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_0^{-1}$ , that is,

$$(5.4) \quad \mathfrak{a} = \alpha \mathfrak{a}_0 \quad (\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_0^{-1}).$$

Moreover, we may assume that  $\alpha$  in (5.4) satisfies the inequalities

$$c_0 \leq |\alpha^{(j)}| \leq cN(\mathfrak{a})^{1/n} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  such that

$$|\rho_j^{(k)}| \leq c \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

then  $\alpha\rho_1, \alpha\rho_2, \dots, \alpha\rho_n$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{a} = \alpha\mathfrak{a}_0$  satisfying the inequalities

$$|\alpha^{(k)}\rho_j^{(k)}| \leq cN(\mathfrak{a})^{1/n} \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$I(\mathfrak{a}) \ll N^{n-1} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (N, \|S(\alpha\rho_j z)\|^{-1})$$

so that

$$(5.5) \quad S(\mathfrak{C}) \ll N^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_0^{-1} \\ c_0 \leq |\alpha| \leq c(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}}} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (N, \|S(\alpha\rho_j z)\|^{-1}),$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through all elements of  $\mathfrak{a}_0^{-1}$  such that

$$c_0 \leq |\alpha^{(j)}| \leq c(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

If we put  $V = c_1(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}$  for a suitable positive number  $c_1$ , then the inequality (3.61) holds, on account of the inequality  $\sigma_2 > \sigma_4$  in (4.5). Therefore, we can apply Theorem 3.2 to the estimation of the sum in the right-hand side of (5.5) and, putting

$$V = c_1(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}, \quad U = N, \quad c = 1$$

in (3.63), we obtain

$$S(\mathfrak{C}) \ll N^n (\log N)^{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1 - 1 + \sigma_1/n}} + \frac{\log N}{N} \right),$$

whence follows

$$S(\mathfrak{C}) \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}$$

on account of (4.5) and (4.6).

Thus we have

$$S_1 \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}.$$

(ii) Estimation of  $S_2$ .

We define two sets of ideals as follows:

$$M_1 = \left\{ \mathfrak{a}; (\log N)^{\sigma_1 - n\sigma_1} \leq N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} \right\},$$

$$M_2 = \left\{ \mathfrak{a}; \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{R}, \mu(\mathfrak{a}) = 1, (\log N)^{\sigma_1} < N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$S_2 = \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \in M_2} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{b}}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} = \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \in M_2} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{b}} \in M_1}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)}.$$

This is a sum of the type treated in Theorem 3.5. Therefore, putting

$$N_0 = \frac{N}{(\log N)^\sigma}, \quad U_2^* = \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}}, \quad V_1^* = (\log N)^{\sigma_1}, \quad V_2^* = \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}}, \quad c = 1$$

in (3.97) and noting that the condition (3.66) is satisfied, we obtain

$$S_2 \ll N^n (\log N)^{\frac{n\sigma}{4} + \frac{2r}{4} + 2} \times \left( \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}} + \frac{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}}{N} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1-1}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\frac{\sigma_1}{n}-1}} \right)^{1/4},$$

whence follows

$$S_2 \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}$$

on account of (4.6) and (4.7).

(iii) Estimation of  $S_3$ .

We shall put

$$A = \left\{ \mathfrak{a}; \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{R}, \mu(\mathfrak{a}) = 1, \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} < N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq N^n \right\},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in A} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{a}}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} \\ (5.6) \quad &= \sum_{1 \leq N\mathfrak{a} \leq (\log N)^{\sigma_1}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}} \in A}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $A_j$  the set of the ideals of  $A$  which are divisible by exact  $j$  prime divisors whose norms exceed  $(\log N)^{\sigma_1}$  with  $\sigma_1$  in (4.7) and divide the inner sum in the last term of (5.6) as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}} \in A}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} = \sum_j \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}} \in A_j}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)}.$$

The range of the indices  $j$  of  $A_j$  is given as follows:

$$0 \leq j \leq \log N.$$

Let  $\nu$  be an integer of  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  such that  $(\nu)/\mathfrak{a} \in A_0$  with  $1 \leq N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq (\log N)^{\sigma_1}$ . If this  $(\nu)/\mathfrak{a}$  has  $k$  prime divisors, then

$$(\log N)^{k\sigma_1} \geq \tau$$

so that

$$(5.7) \quad k \geq \frac{\log N}{2\sigma_1 \log \log N}.$$

If we denote by  $\tau(\mathfrak{b})$  the number of the divisors of ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ , then (5.7) gives

$$\tau\left(\frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) = 2^k > N^{\frac{1}{4\sigma_1 \log \log N}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}} \in A_0}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu z)} \right| \cdot N^{\frac{1}{4\sigma_1 \log \log N}} \leq \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}} \in A_0}} \tau\left(\frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{a}}} \tau\left(\frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{a}}} \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \mid \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}}} 1 = \sum_{1 \leq N\mathfrak{b} \leq \frac{N^n}{N\mathfrak{a}}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}}} 1 \\ & \ll \frac{N^n}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \sum_{N\mathfrak{b} \leq \frac{N^n}{N\mathfrak{a}}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \ll \frac{N^n}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \log N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}} \in A_0}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu z)} \ll \frac{N^n}{N(\mathfrak{a})} (\log N)^{-\sigma_1}.$$

Now we put

$$T_k(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}} \in A_k}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu z)} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Moreover we define a set

$$A_l^* = \{\mathfrak{a}; \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{M}, \mu(\mathfrak{a}) = -1, N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq N^n, \mathfrak{a} \text{ is divisible by exact } l \text{ prime divisors whose norms exceed } (\log N)^{\sigma_1}\}$$

and a sum

$$(5.9) \quad T_k^*(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < N\mathfrak{p} \leq N^{n/2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}} \in A_{k-1}^*}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu z)} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

where outer sum is taken over all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq N^{n/2}$  and the inner sum is taken over all integers  $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0$  such that  $(\nu)/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p} \in A_{k-1}^*$ .

We shall divide the inner sum in (5.9) into two parts, by the condition  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^2$  or  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}$ . Then we have the following estimations;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < Np \leq N^{n/2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{ap} \in A_{k-1}^*, \nu \in ap^2}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{a} \in A_k}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} \sum_{\substack{p \mid (\nu)/a \\ (\log N)^{\sigma_1} < Np \leq N^{n/2}}} 1 = kT_k(a)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < Np \leq N^{n/2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{ap} \in A_{k-1}^*, \nu \in ap^2}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < Np \leq N^{n/2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \nu \in ap^2}} 1 \ll \sum_{(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < Np \leq N^{n/2}} \left(1 + \frac{N^n}{N(ap^2)}\right) \\
&\ll N^{n/2} + \frac{N^n}{N(a)} \sum_{(\log N)^{\sigma_1} < Np} \frac{1}{N(p)^2} \ll \frac{N^n}{N(a)} (\log N)^{-\sigma_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$T_k^*(a) = kT_k(a) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{N(a)} (\log N)^{-\sigma_1}\right).$$

From this result and (5.8) follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{a} \in A}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)} &= \sum_{1 \leq k} \frac{1}{k} T_k^*(a) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{N(a)} (\log N)^{-\sigma_1}\right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{N^n}{N(a)} (\log N)^{-\sigma_1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log N} \frac{1}{k}\right) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq k} \frac{1}{k} T_k^*(a) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{N(a)} (\log N)^{1-\sigma_1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting this result in (5.6), we have

$$(5.10) \quad S_3 = \sum_{1 \leq Na \leq (\log N)^{\sigma_1}} \sum_{1 \leq k} \frac{1}{k} T_k^*(a) + O(N^n (\log N)^{2-\sigma_1}).$$

Now we put

$$M = \{p; (\log N)^{\sigma_1} < Np \leq N^{n/2}\},$$

then

$$T_k^*(a) = \sum_{p \in M} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ \frac{(\nu)}{ap} \in A_{k-1}^*}} e^{2\pi i S(\nu_2)}.$$

which is of the same type as was treated in Theorem 3.5. Therefore, putting

$$N_0 = \frac{N}{(\log N)^\sigma}, \quad U_2^* = N^n, \quad V_1^* = (\log N)^{\sigma_1}, \quad V_2^* = N^{n/2}, \quad c = a$$

in (3.97), we have



$$\begin{aligned}
T_k^*(a) &\ll \frac{N^n}{N(a)^{3/4}} (\log N)^{\frac{n\sigma}{4} + \frac{3r}{4} + 2} \\
&\times \left( \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}} + \frac{(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}}{N} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1-1}} + \frac{(\log N)^{1+\sigma_1/n}}{N^{1/2}} \right)^{1/4} \\
&\ll \frac{N^n}{N(a)^{3/4}} (\log N)^{\frac{n\sigma}{4} + \frac{3r}{4} + 2} \left( \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1-1}} \right)^{1/4}
\end{aligned}$$

and, putting then this result in (5.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_3 &\ll N^n (\log N)^{\frac{\sigma_1}{4} + \frac{n\sigma}{4} + \frac{3r}{4} + 3} \left( \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1/n}} + \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1-1}} \right)^{1/4} \\
&+ \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1-2}},
\end{aligned}$$

whence follows

$$S_3 \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}$$

on account of (4.6) and (4.7).

Thus we finally obtain

$$S_0 \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}.$$

In the similar way, we can estimate the second sum in the right-hand side of (5.2).

Thus we have

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $S(z; \lambda)$  be the trigonometrical sum defined in §4. If  $z$  belongs to  $E^0$ , then we have*

$$S(z; \lambda) \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}$$

with  $\sigma \geq 3$ .

## § 6. Estimation of $S(z; \lambda)$ (II).

We quote from [3] the prime number theorem in the slightly simple form:

**LEMMA 6.1.** *Let  $a$  be an ideal and  $\rho$  be a totally positive integer prime to  $a$ . Let  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n$  be positive numbers such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{p'} &= N_p & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\
N_j &\leq N_k^a & (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n)
\end{aligned}$$

with a large constant  $a$ . Moreover we take  $r_2$  positive numbers  $\vartheta_p$  ( $r_1 + 1 \leq p \leq r_1 + r_2$ ) such that  $0 < \vartheta_p \leq 1$  ( $p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2$ ).

We denote by  $\pi(a, \rho; N, \vartheta) = \pi(a, \rho; N_1, \dots, N_n; \vartheta_{r_1+1}, \dots, \vartheta_{r_1+r_2})$  the number of prime numbers  $\omega$  which satisfy the following conditions

$$\omega \equiv \rho \pmod{a},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < \omega^{(q)} &\leq N_q & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
|\omega^{(p)}| &\leq N_p & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\
0 \leq \arg \omega^{(p)} &< 2\pi\vartheta_p & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.1) \quad \pi(\mathfrak{a}, \rho; N, \vartheta) &= \frac{w \prod_p \vartheta_p}{2^{r_1} h R \varphi(\mathfrak{a})} \int \cdots \int \prod_{j=2}^{N_j^{e_j}} \frac{dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{r+1}}{\log(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{r+1})} \\
&\quad + O(N_1 N_2 \cdots N_n e^{-c\sqrt{\log(N_1 N_2 \cdots N_n)}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $h$  is the class number of  $K$ ,  $R$  is the regulator of  $K$ ,  $w$  is the number of the roots of unity in  $K$ ,  $\varphi(\mathfrak{a})$  is Euler's function for ideals and the domain of integration is defined as follows:

$$2 \leq t_j \leq N_j^{e_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, r_1 + r_2)$$

with  $e_j = 1$  ( $j \leq r_1$ ),  $= 2$  ( $j \geq r_1 + 1$ ),  $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$  and the notation  $\prod_p$  means a product over  $p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2$ .

If  $N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq (\log(N_1 \cdots N_n))^A$  for a positive constant  $A$ , then the constants in the error term are independent of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

From now on, we shall use the notations  $h, R, w$  and  $\prod_p$  in the meaning of Lemma 6.1.

**THEOREM 6.1.** Let  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  be a point belonging to  $B_r$  which is defined by (4.9) with  $r \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ . We can choose a suitable number  $r_0$  such that  $r_0 \equiv r \pmod{\mathfrak{b}^{-1}}$  and

$$|z_j - r_0^{(j)}| \leq \frac{T^{n-1}}{H} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We shall put  $y_j = z_j - r_0^{(j)}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.2) \quad S(z; \lambda) &= \frac{w \mu(\mathfrak{a})}{2^{r_1} h R \varphi(\mathfrak{a})} \int \cdots \int \prod_p d\theta_p \int \prod_{j=2}^{N_j^{e_j}} \int \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{y})}}{\log(t_1 \cdots t_{r+1})} dt_1 \cdots dt_r \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{a-b+1}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the domain of the integration is given by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \theta_p &\leq 1 & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\
N^{e_j/2} &\leq t_j \leq |\lambda^{(j)}|^{e_j} & (j = 1, 2, \dots, r_1 + r_2)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $e_j = 1$  ( $j \leq r_1$ ),  $= 2$  ( $j > r_1$ ). In the integrand, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{t}_q &= t_q & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
\tilde{t}_p &= \sqrt{t_p} e^{2\pi i \theta_p} \\
\tilde{t}_{p'} &= \sqrt{t_p} e^{-2\pi i \theta_p} & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $b = (n-1)\sigma_2 + \sigma_1$  and we can take  $a$  sufficiently large.

PROOF. We shall divide the sum  $S(z; \lambda)$  into two parts;

$$S(z; \lambda) = \sum_{\substack{(\omega, a)=1 \\ \omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)}} + \sum_{\substack{(\omega, a) \neq 1 \\ \omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)}} = S_1 + S_2.$$

First we have

$$|S_2| \leq \sum_{\substack{|\omega| \leq N \\ (\omega) | a}} 1,$$

where the sum is taken over all prime numbers  $\omega$  such that  $(\omega) | a$  and  $|\omega^{(j)}| \leq N$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Denoting by  $\sum_{(\omega)}$  a sum taken over all prime principal ideals dividing  $a$ , we have

$$|S_2| \leq \sum_{(\omega)} \sum_{|\varepsilon \omega| \leq N} 1,$$

where the inner sum gives the number of units  $\varepsilon$  such that  $|\varepsilon^{(j)} \omega^{(j)}| \leq N$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Therefore, applying Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\ll (\log N)^r \sum_{p|a} 1 \ll (\log N)^r \log(N(a)+1) \\ (6.3) \quad &\ll (\log N)^{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall consider  $S_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Q}_1(\lambda)$  be the set of prime numbers  $\omega$  which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{N} &< \omega^{(q)} \leq \lambda^{(q)} & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ \sqrt{N} &< |\omega^{(p)}| \leq |\lambda^{(p)}| & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{\substack{(\omega, a)=1 \\ \omega \in \mathcal{Q}_1(\lambda)}} e^{2\pi i S(\omega z)} + O(N^{n-1/2}) \\ (6.4) \quad &= S_1' + O(N^{n-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\rho$  the elements of the complete system of residues mod  $a$  which are totally positive and prime to  $a$ . If the summation  $\sum_{\rho}$  is used for the sum over these  $\rho$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} S_1' &= \sum_{\rho} e^{2\pi i S(\rho \gamma)} \sum_{\substack{\omega \equiv \rho(a) \\ \omega \in \mathcal{Q}_1(\lambda)}} e^{2\pi i S(\omega y)} \\ (6.5) \quad &= \sum_{\rho} e^{2\pi i S(\rho \gamma)} S_{\rho}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall divide two intervals  $[\sqrt{N}, N]$  and  $[0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= \sqrt{N} < M_1 < M_2 < \dots < M_{l-1} < M_l = N, \\ \Theta_0 &= 0 < \Theta_1 < \Theta_2 < \dots < \Theta_{m-1} < \Theta_m = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.6) \quad & M_{j+1} - M_j \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^a} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1), \\
& \Theta_{j+1} - \Theta_j \ll \frac{1}{(\log N)^a} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1), \\
& l \ll (\log N)^a, \quad m \ll (\log N)^a
\end{aligned}$$

with  $a > b = (n-1)\sigma_2 + \sigma_1$ . Moreover we assume that each of the  $\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r_1)}, |\lambda^{(r_1+1)}|, \dots, |\lambda^{(r_1+r_2)}|$  is equal to one of  $M_0, \dots, M_l$ .

By these divisions of  $[\sqrt{N}, N]$  and  $[0, 1]$  the set  $\mathcal{Q}_1(\lambda)$  is divided into  $O((\log N)^{an})$  subsets each of which consists of the prime numbers  $\omega$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{i_{q-1}} &< \omega^{(q)} \leq M_{i_q} & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
M_{i_{p-1}} &< |\omega^{(p)}| \leq M_{i_p} & (p = r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
2\pi\Theta_{j_{p-1}} &< \arg \omega^{(p)} \leq 2\pi\Theta_{j_p}
\end{aligned}$$

We take one of these subsets and denote it by  $\mathcal{Q}(M; \Theta)$ . We shall write, for brevity, the conditions for  $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}(M; \Theta)$  as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
M_q' &< \omega^{(q)} \leq M_q & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
M_p' &< |\omega^{(p)}| \leq M_p & (p = r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
2\pi\Theta_p' &< \arg \omega^{(p)} \leq 2\pi\Theta_p
\end{aligned}$$

Now we write

$$(6.7) \quad S_\rho(y) = \sum_{M, \Theta} S_\rho(y; M, \Theta)$$

with

$$S_\rho(y; M, \Theta) = \sum_{\substack{\omega \equiv \rho(a) \\ \omega \in \mathcal{Q}(M, \Theta)}} e^{2\pi i S(\omega y)}.$$

The sum in (6.7) is taken over all possible  $M_{i_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, r_1+r_2$ ) and  $\Theta_{j_p}$  ( $p = r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2$ ).

We put

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_q &= M_q & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
\tilde{M}_p &= M_p e^{2\pi i \Theta_p} \\
\tilde{M}_{p'} &= M_p e^{-2\pi i \Theta_p} & (p = r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2),
\end{aligned}$$

then, noting that

$$|y_j| \leq \frac{(\log N)^b}{N} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and for  $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}(M; \Theta)$

$$\omega^{(j)} - \tilde{M}_j \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^a} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

we have

$$e^{2\pi i S(\omega y)} = e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{M} y)} + O((\log N)^{b-a}).$$

Therefore we have

$$S_\rho(y; M, \Theta) = (e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{M}y)} + O((\log N)^{b-a})) \sum_{\substack{\omega \equiv \rho(a) \\ \omega \in \Omega(M; \Theta)}} 1.$$

We now apply Lemma 6.1 to this last sum. Then we obtain

$$(6.8) \quad \sum_{\substack{\omega \equiv \rho(a) \\ \omega \in \Omega(M; \Theta)}} 1 = \frac{w}{2^r h R \varphi(a)} \prod_p (\Theta_p - \Theta_p') \int_{M_j'^{e_j}}^{M_j^{e_j}} \cdots \int_{M_j'^{e_j}}^{M_j^{e_j}} \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_{r+1}}{\log(t_1 \cdots t_{r+1})} \\ + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}),$$

where the domain of integration is given by the conditions

$$M_j'^{e_j} \leq t_j \leq M_j^{e_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, r+1).$$

Since  $N(a) \leq T^n = (\log N)^{n\sigma}$ , the constants in the error term in (6.8) are independent of  $a$ . Therefore, putting

$$J(M) = \int_{M_j'^{e_j}}^{M_j^{e_j}} \cdots \int_{M_j'^{e_j}}^{M_j^{e_j}} \frac{dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{r+1}}{\log(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{r+1})},$$

we have

$$(6.9) \quad S_\rho(y; M, \Theta) = \frac{w}{2^r h R \varphi(a)} \prod_p (\Theta_p - \Theta_p') J(M) e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{M}y)} \\ + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) + O\left(\frac{\prod_p (\Theta_p - \Theta_p') J(M)}{\varphi(a) (\log N)^{a-b}}\right) \\ = \frac{w}{2^r h R \varphi(a)} e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{M}y)} \int_{\Theta_p'}^{\Theta_p} \cdots \int_{\Theta_p'}^{\Theta_p} J(M) \prod_p d\theta_p \\ + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) + O\left(\frac{\prod_p (\Theta_p - \Theta_p') J(M)}{\varphi(a) (\log N)^{a-b}}\right).$$

Now we define  $\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2, \dots, \tilde{t}_n$  as in our Theorem and assume

$$M_j'^{e_j} \leq t_j \leq M_j^{e_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\ \Theta_p' \leq \theta_p \leq \Theta_p \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2),$$

then

$$S(\tilde{M}y) = S(\tilde{t}y) + O((\log N)^{b-a}),$$

which gives

$$S_\rho(y; M, \Theta) = \frac{w}{2^r h R \varphi(a)} \int_{\Theta_p'}^{\Theta_p} \cdots \int_{\Theta_p'}^{\Theta_p} \int_{M_j'^{e_j}}^{M_j^{e_j}} \cdots \int_{M_j'^{e_j}}^{M_j^{e_j}} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{t}y)}}{\log(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{r+1})} dt_1 \cdots dt_{r+1} \prod_p d\theta_p \\ + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) + O\left(\frac{\prod_p (\Theta_p - \Theta_p') J(M)}{\varphi(a) (\log N)^{a-b}}\right).$$

Therefore we have

$$S_\rho(y) = \frac{w}{2^{r_1} h R \varphi(a)} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_p \prod d\theta_p \int_{N^{e_j/2}}^{| \lambda^{(j)} |^{e_j}} \cdots \int \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{t}y)}}{\log(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{r+1})} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{r+1} \\ + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{\varphi(a)(\log N)^{a-b+1}}\right),$$

whence follows

$$(6.10) \quad S_1 = \frac{w\mu(a)}{2^{r_1} h R \varphi(a)} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_p \prod d\theta_p \int_{N^{e_j/2}}^{| \lambda^{(j)} |^{e_j}} \cdots \int \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{t}y)}}{\log(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{r+1})} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{r+1} \\ + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{a-b+1}}\right),$$

since

$$\sum_{\substack{\rho \bmod a \\ (\rho, a) = 1}} e^{2\pi i S(\gamma\rho)} = \mu(a).$$

Theorem 6.1 follows from (6.3) and (6.10).

### § 7. Treatment of $I_s(\mu; \lambda)$ (II).

Now we shall return to  $I_s(\mu; \lambda)$  defined in (4.3). From now on we assume that  $s \geq 3$ .

First we have, by Theorem 5.1,

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdots \int S(z; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu z)} dx(z) \\ \ll \frac{N^{n(s-2)}}{(\log N)^{\sigma(s-2)}} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \cdots \int |S(z; \lambda)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \\ = \frac{N^{n(s-2)}}{(\log N)^{\sigma(s-2)}} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega(\lambda)} 1 \ll \frac{N^{n(s-1)}}{(\log N)^{\sigma(s-2)+1}} \ll \frac{N^{n(s-1)}}{(\log N)^{s+1}}.$$

Now we put

$$(7.2) \quad W = \frac{2^{r_1} h R}{w}$$

and

$$J(y; \lambda) = \int_0^1 \cdots \int_p \prod d\theta_p \int_{N^{e_j/2}}^{| \lambda^{(j)} |^{e_j}} \cdots \int \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{t}y)}}{\log(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{r+1})} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{r+1}$$

which is the integral in (6.2). It is obvious that

$$J(y; \lambda) \ll \frac{N^n}{\log N}.$$

If  $z$  is a point of  $B_r$  with  $r \rightarrow a$ , then we have by Theorem 6.1.

$$S(z; \lambda)^s = \frac{\mu(a)^s}{W^s \varphi(a)^s} J(y; \lambda)^s + O\left(\frac{N^{ns}}{(\log N)^{a-b+s}}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.3) \quad & \int_{\mathfrak{B}_r} \dots \int S(z; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu z)} dx(z) \\
 &= \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^s}{W^s \varphi(\mathfrak{a})^s} e^{-2\pi i S(\mu r)} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_0} \dots \int J(y; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu y)} dx(y) \\
 &+ O\left(\frac{N^{n(s-1)}}{(\log N)^{a-b(n+1)+s}}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{B}_0 = \left\{ x(y); |y_j| \leq \frac{(\log N)^b}{N} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \right\}.$$

The error term of (7.3) follows from

$$\int_{\mathfrak{B}_r} \dots \int dx(z) \ll \frac{(\log N)^{bn}}{N^n}.$$

Summing up the both sides of (7.3) over all  $r \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.4) \quad & \sum_{r \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_r} \dots \int S(z; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu z)} dx(z) \\
 &= \frac{1}{W^s} \sum_{r \in \Gamma} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^s}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^s} e^{-2\pi i S(\mu r)} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_0} \dots \int J(y; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu y)} dx(y) \\
 &+ O(N^{n(s-1)} (\log N)^{-a+b(n+1)+2n\sigma_s-s}),
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{r \in \Gamma} 1 \ll \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq T^n} N(\mathfrak{a}) \ll T^{2n} = (\log N)^{2n\sigma_s}.$$

Therefore, putting

$$a = b(n+1) + 2n\sigma_s + 1,$$

$$R(\mu; \lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{B}_0} \dots \int J(y; \lambda)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu y)} dx(y)$$

and

$$G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu) = \sum_{\substack{r \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \\ r \bmod \mathfrak{b}^{-1}}} e^{-2\pi i S(\mu r)},$$

where  $r$  runs through a complete system of residues mod  $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}$  such that  $r \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ , we have by (7.1) and (7.4)

$$(7.5) \quad I_s(\mu; \lambda) = \frac{2^{r_1} \sqrt{D}}{W^s} R(\mu; \lambda) \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^s}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^s} G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu) + O\left(\frac{N^{n(s-1)}}{(\log N)^{s+1}}\right).$$

Now we shall prove

LEMMA 7.1. *We have*

$$(7.6) \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} \ll \frac{\log(N(\mathfrak{a})+1)}{N(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\log \frac{N(a)}{\varphi(a)} = - \sum_{\mathfrak{p} | a} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})} \right) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} | a} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})} + O(1),$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  runs through all prime divisors of  $a$ . We know, by the prime ideal theorem,

$$\pi_K(x) = \sum_{N\mathfrak{p} \leq x} 1 = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} + O(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}).$$

(See Landau [2]). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N\mathfrak{p} \leq x} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})} &= \sum_{m=1}^{[x]} \frac{\pi_K(m) - \pi_K(m-1)}{m} = \sum_{m=2}^{[x]-1} \pi_K(m) \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m+1} \right) + \frac{\pi_K([x])}{[x]} \\ &= \int_2^{[x]} \frac{\pi_K(u)}{u^2} du + O(1) = \int_2^x \left( \int_2^u \frac{dt}{\log t} \right) \frac{du}{u^2} + O(1) \\ &= \int_2^x \frac{dt}{t \log t} + O(1) = \log \log x + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} | a} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})} \leq \sum_{N\mathfrak{p} \leq Na} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})} \leq \log \log(Na+1) + c,$$

we have

$$\log \frac{N(a)}{\varphi(a)} \leq \log \log(Na+1) + c,$$

and obtain (7.6).

Now we put

$$(7.7) \quad \kappa = b(n+1) + 1$$

and define a set  $\mathfrak{D}(\lambda)$  of integers  $\nu$  of  $K$  which satisfy the following conditions:

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^{(q)} - \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} &< \nu^{(q)} \leq \lambda^{(q)} \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\lambda^{(p)} - \nu^{(p)}| &\leq \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)$ . Then we have

$$e^{-2\pi i S(\mu y)} = e^{-2\pi i S(\lambda y)} + O\left(\frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (|X_j(y)|)\right),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda, \lambda) - R(\mu, \lambda) &\ll \frac{N^{ns+1}}{(\log N)^{s+\kappa}} \int \cdots \int \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (|X_j(y)|) dx(y) \\ &\ll \frac{N^{ns+1}}{(\log N)^{s+\kappa}} \cdot \frac{(\log N)^{b(n+1)}}{N^{n+1}} = \frac{N^{n(s-1)}}{(\log N)^{s+1}} \end{aligned}$$

so that, by Lemma 7.1, we have



$$I_s(\mu; \lambda) = \frac{2^{r_1} \sqrt{D}}{W^s} R(\lambda, \lambda) \sum_{N\alpha \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(\alpha)^s}{\varphi(\alpha)^s} G(\alpha, \mu) + O\left(\frac{N^{n(s-1)}}{(\log N)^{s+1}}\right).$$

We shall sum up the both sides over all  $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)$ . Then we have

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} T(\lambda) &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)} I_s(\mu; \lambda) \\ &= \frac{2^{r_1} \sqrt{D}}{W^s} R(\lambda, \lambda) \sum_{N\alpha \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(\alpha)^s}{\varphi(\alpha)^s} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)} G(\alpha, \mu) + O\left(\frac{N^{ns}}{(\log N)^{s+n\kappa+1}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)} 1 = \frac{2^{r_1} \pi^{r_1} N^n}{\sqrt{D} (\log N)^{n\kappa}} + O\left(\frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{\kappa(n-1)}}\right)$$

on account of Lemma 3.2.

We shall consider a sum

$$S_\alpha = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)} G(\alpha, \mu) \quad (N(\alpha) \leq T^n).$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $G(\alpha, \mu) = 1$  for all  $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)$ .

Assume that  $\alpha \neq 0$  and take a number  $r \in \Gamma$  with  $r \rightarrow \alpha$ . If we put

$$I_r = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)} e^{-2\pi i S(\mu r)},$$

then, by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$I_r \ll \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{\kappa(n-1)}} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left( \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa}, \|S(\rho_j r)\|^{-1} \right),$$

where  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}$  such that

$$S(\rho_j \delta_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases} \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Since the assumption  $\alpha \neq 0$  means that not all  $\|S(\rho_j r)\|$  vanish, we have

$$S_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{r \rightarrow \alpha \\ r \bmod \mathfrak{b}^{-1}}} I_r \ll \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{\kappa(n-1)}} \sum_{\substack{r \bmod \mathfrak{b}^{-1} \\ r \rightarrow \alpha}} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\|S(\rho_j r)\|^{-1}).$$

We shall denote by  $L_\alpha$  the sum in this right-hand side.

Now we write

$$S(\rho_j r) = a_j + d_j$$

with rational integer  $a_j$  and  $-1/2 < d_j \leq 1/2$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and put

$$\vartheta = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \delta_j, \quad \zeta = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \delta_j.$$

Then  $r = \vartheta + \zeta$  and

$$L_a \ll \sum_{\substack{\gamma \rightarrow a \\ \gamma \bmod b^{-1}}} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left( \frac{1}{|X_j(\zeta)|} \right).$$

We take  $n$  rational integers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  and define a parallelotope  $B(g)$  in  $n$ -dimensional euclidean space as follows:

$$B(g) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n); \frac{1}{3(DN(a))^{1/n}} \left( g_j - \frac{1}{2} \right) < x_j \leq \frac{1}{3(DN(a))^{1/n}} \left( g_j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right. \\ \left. (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \right\}.$$

Since  $\zeta \in (ab)^{-1}$ , the number of  $\gamma$  in  $L_a$  such that  $x(\zeta) \in B(g)$  is at most one. Therefore we have

$$L_a \ll N(a)^{1/n} \sum_{\{g\} \neq \{0\}} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left( \frac{1}{|g_j|} \right),$$

where  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  in the sum run through all  $n$  rational integers for which  $B(g)$  contain the points  $x(\zeta)$  defined by  $\gamma$ .

The range of  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  is roughly given by the conditions

$$g_j \ll N(a)^{1/n} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$L_a \ll N(a) \log N,$$

which gives

$$S_a \ll N(a) \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{\kappa(n-1)-1}}$$

and

$$\sum_{Na \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(a)^s}{\varphi(a)^s} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)} G(a, \mu) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\lambda)} 1 + O \left( \sum_{Na \leq T^n} \frac{N(a)}{\varphi(a)^s} \cdot \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{\kappa(n-1)-1}} \right) \\ = \frac{2^{r_s} \pi^{r_s} N^n}{\sqrt{D} (\log N)^{\kappa n}} + O \left( \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{\kappa(n-1)-1}} \right).$$

Putting this result in (7.9), we have

$$(7.10) \quad T(\lambda) = \frac{2^{2r_s} \pi^{r_s} N^n R(\lambda, \lambda)}{W^s (\log N)^{n\kappa}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}}{N} \right) \right) \\ + O \left( \frac{N^{ns}}{(\log N)^{n\kappa+s+1}} \right).$$

On the other hand, by the definitions of  $I_s(\mu; \lambda)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}(\lambda)$ , (4.3) and (7.8) respectively, we see that  $T(\lambda)$  is equal to the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_s)$  of prime numbers which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\lambda^{(q)} - \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} < \omega_1^{(q)} + \omega_2^{(q)} + \dots + \omega_s^{(q)} \leq \lambda^{(q)} \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1),$$

$$(C_\omega) \quad |\omega_1^{(p)} + \omega_2^{(p)} + \dots + \omega_s^{(p)} - \lambda^{(p)}| \leq \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} \quad (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2),$$

$$\omega_j \in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s).$$

In the following paragraph § 8, we shall reduce these conditions to that connected with integers.

### § 8. Some relations between prime numbers and integers.

We put

$$C_0 = \left( \frac{2^{r_1} \pi^{r_1} n W}{\sqrt{D}} \right)^{1/n}, \quad \kappa_0 = \kappa + 1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

with  $W$  and  $\kappa$  in (7.2) and (7.7) respectively, and

$$N_0 = \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa_0}},$$

$$Y = C_0 N_0 (\log N_0)^{1/n},$$

$$G = [(\log N)^{\kappa_0}],$$

where  $[x]$  means the integral part of real number  $x$ .

We take  $r_1+r_2=r+1$  positive rational integers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r+1}$  such that

$$(8.1) \quad 1 \leq g_j \leq c(\log N)^{\kappa+1} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, r_1+r_2)$$

and  $r_2$  positive rational integers  $h_p$  such that  $1 \leq h_p \leq G$  ( $p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2$ ).

Let  $n(N_0; g, h) = n(N_0; g_1, \dots, g_{r+1}, h_{r_1+1}, \dots, h_{r_1+r_2})$  be the number of integers  $\nu$  which satisfy the following conditions:

$$(g_q - 1)N_0 < \nu^{(q)} \leq g_q N_0 \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1),$$

$$(g_p - 1)N_0 < |\nu^{(p)}| \leq g_p N_0 \quad (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2),$$

$$\frac{2\pi}{G}(h_p - 1) < \arg \nu^{(p)} \leq \frac{2\pi}{G}h_p.$$

Then we have, by Lemma 3.2,

$$(8.2) \quad n(N_0; g, h) = \left( \frac{2\pi}{G} \right)^{r_1} \frac{N_0^{r_1}}{\sqrt{D}} \prod_{p=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_1} (2g_p - 1) \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0}} \right) \right),$$

where the constants in the error term are independent of  $g_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r+1$ ) and  $h_p$  ( $r_1+1 \leq p \leq r_1+r_2$ ).

In the following lines of this paragraph, we shall use symbols  $O$  and  $\ll$  when the constants in them are independent of  $g_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r+1$ ) and  $h_p$  ( $r_1+1 \leq p \leq r_1+r_2$ ).

Let  $\pi(Y; g, h) = \pi(Y; g_1, \dots, g_{r+1}, h_{r+1}, \dots, h_{r+1})$  be the number of prime numbers  $\omega$  which satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \omega^{(q)} &\leq g_q Y & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\omega^{(p)}| &\leq g_p Y & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\ \frac{2\pi}{G}(h_p - 1) &< \arg \omega^{(p)} \leq \frac{2\pi}{G} h_p \end{aligned}$$

then we have, by Lemma 6.1,

$$\pi(Y; g, h) = \frac{1}{WG^{r_1}} \int \cdots \int \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_{r+1}}{\log(t_1 \cdots t_{r+1})} + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}),$$

where the domain of integration is given by the conditions

$$2 \leq t_j \leq (g_j Y)^{e_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, r+1).$$

This integral can be easily estimated. Putting

$$\prod_p = \prod_{p=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2}, \quad \sum_j = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}, \quad \prod_j = \prod_{j=1}^{r+1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(Y; g, h) &= \frac{1}{WG^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{Y^n \prod_j g_j^{e_j}}{\log(Y^n \prod_j g_j^{e_j})} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) \\ (8.3) \quad &= \frac{1}{WG^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{Y^n \prod_j g_j^{e_j}}{\log(Y^n \prod_j g_j^{e_j})} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{WG^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{Y^n \prod_j g_j^{e_j}}{n \log Y} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j}{n \log Y} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g'_1, g'_2, \dots, g'_{r+1}$  be positive rational integers derived from  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r+1}$  by subtracting 1 from some of  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r+1}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(Y; g', h) &= \frac{1}{WG^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{Y^n \prod_j g_j'^{e_j}}{n \log Y} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j'}{n \log Y} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{WG^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{Y^n \prod_j g_j'^{e_j}}{n \log Y} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j}{n \log Y} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_j e_j \log g_j - \sum_j e_j \log g_j' \ll 1.$$

Therefore, if we denote by  $\pi^*(Y; g, h) = \pi^*(Y; g_1, \dots, g_{r+1}, h_{r+1}, \dots, h_{r+1})$  the number of the prime numbers  $\omega$  which satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_q-1)Y &< \omega^{(q)} \leq g_q Y & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
(g_p-1)Y &< |\omega^{(p)}| \leq g_p Y & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
\frac{2\pi}{G}(h_p-1) &< \arg \omega^{(p)} \leq \frac{2\pi}{G} h_p,
\end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^*(Y; g, h) &= \frac{1}{G^{r_1}} \prod_p (2g_p-1) \frac{Y^n}{nW \log Y} \\
(8.4) \quad &\times \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j}{n \log Y} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right) \right) \\
&= \left( \frac{2\pi}{G} \right)^{r_1} \frac{N_0^n}{\sqrt{D}} \prod_p (2g_p-1) \left( 1 - \frac{\log \log N}{n \log N} - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j}{n \log N} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the results (8.2) and (8.4), we see that the inequality

$$(8.5) \quad \pi^*(Y; g, h) \leq n(N_0; g, h)$$

is true for sufficiently large  $N$  and for any  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r+1}$  satisfying (8.1).

We shall denote by  $\bar{\pi}^*(Y; g, h)$  the set of the prime numbers belonging to  $\pi^*(Y; g, h)$ . Similarly we define a set  $\bar{n}(N_0; g, h)$ . Above inequality (8.5) shows that we can construct a mapping  $\phi = \phi(g, h)$

$$(8.6) \quad \phi: \bar{\pi}^*(Y; g, h) \rightarrow \bar{n}(N_0; g, h),$$

which always maps the different elements of  $\bar{\pi}^*(Y; g, h)$  into the different elements of  $\bar{n}(N_0; g, h)$ , that is,

$$(8.7) \quad \phi(\omega) \neq \phi(\omega_1) \quad (\text{if } \omega \neq \omega_1).$$

Moreover, we can easily prove that

$$(8.8) \quad \omega^{(j)} - \frac{Y}{N_0} \phi(\omega)^{(j)} \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

for  $\omega \in \bar{\pi}^*(Y; g, h)$ .

Now we put

$$Z = C_0 N_0 (\log N_0)^{1/n} \left( 1 + a \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)$$

with  $a = (\kappa_0 + 1)/n$  and define a set of prime numbers  $\pi^*(Z; g, h)$  similarly to  $\pi^*(Y; g, h)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^*(Z; g, h) &= \prod_p (2g_p-1) \frac{Z^n}{G^{r_1} nW \log Z} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j}{n \log Z} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right) \right) \\
&= \left( \frac{2\pi}{G} \right)^{r_1} \frac{N_0^n}{\sqrt{D}} \prod_p (2g_p-1) \left( 1 + a \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^n \\
&\quad \times \left( 1 - \frac{\log \log N}{n \log N} - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j}{n \log N} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2\pi}{G}\right)^{r_1} \frac{N_0^n}{\sqrt{D}} \prod_p (2g_p - 1) \left(1 + \left(na - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} - \frac{\sum_j e_j \log g_j}{n \log N} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right).$$

Since

$$\sum_j e_j \log g_j \leq n\left(\kappa_0 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \log \log N + O(1),$$

we have, for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$(8.9) \quad \pi^*(Z; g, h) \geq \left(\frac{2\pi}{G}\right)^{r_1} \frac{N_0^n}{\sqrt{D}} \prod_p (2g_p - 1) \left(1 + \frac{\log \log N}{2 \log N}\right)$$

so that

$$(8.10) \quad \pi^*(Z; g, h) \geq n(N_0; g, h).$$

Therefore, we can also construct a mapping  $\psi = \psi(g, h)$

$$(8.11) \quad \psi: \quad \bar{n}(N_0; g, h) \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^*(Z; g, h)$$

such that

$$(8.12) \quad \psi(\nu) \neq \psi(\nu_1) \quad (\text{if } \nu \neq \nu_1)$$

Moreover, we can prove that

$$(8.13) \quad \psi(\nu)^{(j)} - \frac{Z}{N_0} \nu^{(j)} \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

for  $\nu \in \bar{n}(N_0; g, h)$ .

Now we define rational integers  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{r_1+1}$  and  $G_1', G_2', \dots, G_{r_1+1}'$  by the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} G_q Y &< \lambda^{(q)} \leq (G_q + 1)Y & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ G_q' Z &< \lambda^{(q)} \leq (G_q' + 1)Z \\ G_p Y &< |\lambda^{(p)}| \leq (G_p + 1)Y & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\ G_p' Z &< |\lambda^{(p)}| \leq (G_p' + 1)Z \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $G_j' \leq G_j \ll (\log N)^{\kappa+1}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{L}_1$  the set of all integers  $\nu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \nu^{(q)} &\leq (G_q + 1)N_0 & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\nu^{(p)}| &\leq (G_p + 1)N_0 & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2) \end{aligned}$$

and by  $\mathfrak{L}_2$  the set of all integers  $\nu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \nu^{(q)} &\leq G_q' N_0 & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\nu^{(p)}| &\leq G_p' N_0 & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2). \end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{L}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_2$  are divided into subsets as follows:

$$\mathfrak{L}_1 = \sum'_h \sum_{g_1=1}^{G_1+1} \cdots \sum_{g_{r+1}=1}^{G_{r+1}+1} \bar{n}(N_0; g, h),$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_2 = \sum'_h \sum_{g_1=1}^{G_1'} \cdots \sum_{g_{r+1}=1}^{G_{r+1}'} \bar{n}(N_0; g, h),$$

where we use the abbreviation:

$$\sum'_h = \sum_{h_{r_1+1}=1}^G \cdots \sum_{h_{r+1}=1}^G.$$

It is obvious that

$$\mathcal{Q}(\lambda) \subset \sum'_h \sum_{g_1=1}^{G_1+1} \cdots \sum_{g_{r+1}=1}^{G_{r+1}+1} \pi^*(Y; g, h)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}(\lambda) \supset \sum'_h \sum_{g_1=1}^{G_1'} \cdots \sum_{g_{r+1}=1}^{G_{r+1}'} \pi^*(Z; g, h).$$

Now we shall define a mapping  $\tilde{\phi}: \mathcal{Q}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_1$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\omega) = \phi(g, h)(\omega) \quad (\text{if } \omega \in \pi^*(Y; g, h)),$$

then, by (8.7) and (8.8), we see that

$$(8.14) \quad \tilde{\phi}(\omega) \neq \tilde{\phi}(\omega_1) \quad (\omega, \omega_1 \in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda), \omega \neq \omega_1),$$

$$(8.15) \quad \omega^{(j)} - \frac{Y}{N_0} \tilde{\phi}(\omega)^{(j)} \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda), j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Similarly we can define a mapping  $\tilde{\psi}: \mathfrak{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$  such that

$$(8.16) \quad \tilde{\psi}(\nu) \neq \tilde{\psi}(\nu_1) \quad (\nu, \nu_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_2, \nu \neq \nu_1),$$

$$(8.17) \quad \tilde{\psi}(\nu)^{(j)} - \frac{Z}{N_0} \nu^{(j)} \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (\nu \in \mathfrak{L}_2; j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Choosing a suitable positive constant  $B$ , we can write the conditions (8.15) and (8.17) as follows:

$$(8.15)' \quad \left| \omega^{(j)} - \frac{Y}{N_0} \tilde{\phi}(\omega)^{(j)} \right| \leq \frac{BN}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{Q}(\lambda); j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$(8.17)' \quad \left| \tilde{\psi}(\nu)^{(j)} - \frac{Z}{N_0} \nu^{(j)} \right| \leq \frac{BN}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (\nu \in \mathfrak{L}_2; j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Now we shall return to  $T(\lambda)$  in § 7, which is the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_s)$  of prime numbers satisfying the conditions  $(C_\omega)$ . We denote by  $\bar{T}(\lambda)$  the set of these  $s$ -tuples.

Let  $T_1$  be the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)$  of integers which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{(q)} - \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} - \frac{sBN}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1) \\
& < \frac{Y}{N_0} (\nu_1^{(q)} + \nu_2^{(q)} + \dots + \nu_s^{(q)}) \leq \lambda^{(q)} + \frac{sBN}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}}, \\
(C_1) \quad & \left| \frac{Y}{N_0} (\nu_1^{(p)} + \nu_2^{(p)} + \dots + \nu_s^{(p)}) - \lambda^{(p)} \right| \leq \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} + \frac{2sBN}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \\
& \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\
& 0 < \nu_j^{(q)} \leq \frac{N_0}{Y} \left( \lambda^{(q)} + (sB + C_0) \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
& |\nu_j^{(p)}| \leq \frac{N_0}{Y} \left( |\lambda^{(p)}| + \frac{C_0 N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) \\
& \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall denote by  $\bar{T}_1$  the set of these  $s$ -tuples.

If we take  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_s) \in \bar{T}(\lambda)$ , then we can prove without difficulty that  $(\check{\phi}(\omega_1), \check{\phi}(\omega_2), \dots, \check{\phi}(\omega_s)) \in \bar{T}_1$ . Moreover, if  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_s)$  and  $(\omega_1^0, \omega_2^0, \dots, \omega_s^0)$  are different elements of  $\bar{T}(\lambda)$ , then it follows from (8.14) that  $(\check{\phi}(\omega_1), \check{\phi}(\omega_2), \dots, \check{\phi}(\omega_s)) \neq (\check{\phi}(\omega_1^0), \check{\phi}(\omega_2^0), \dots, \check{\phi}(\omega_s^0))$ . Therefore we have

$$T_1 \geq T(\lambda).$$

Similarly, if we denote by  $T_2$  the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)$  of integers which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{(q)} - \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} + (sB + C_0) \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1) \\
& < \frac{Z}{N_0} (\nu_1^{(q)} + \nu_2^{(q)} + \dots + \nu_s^{(q)}) \leq \lambda^{(q)} - (sB + C_0) \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}}, \\
(C_2) \quad & \left| \lambda^{(p)} - \frac{Z}{N_0} (\nu_1^{(p)} + \nu_2^{(p)} + \dots + \nu_s^{(p)}) \right| \leq \frac{N}{(\log N)^\kappa} - 2(sB + C_0) \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \\
& \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\
& 0 < \nu_j^{(q)} \leq \frac{N_0}{Z} \left( \lambda^{(q)} - \frac{C_0 N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
& |\nu_j^{(p)}| \leq \frac{N_0}{Z} \left( |\lambda^{(p)}| - \frac{C_0 N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) \\
& \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s),
\end{aligned}$$

then we have an inequality

$$T(\lambda) \geq T_2.$$

Thus the estimation of  $T(\lambda)$  is reduced to that of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .



**§ 9. The number of the representations of a totally positive integer as the sums of  $s$  totally positive integers.**

Let  $M$  be a sufficiently large number and  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n$  be positive numbers such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 M < M_j < c_2 M & \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n), \\ M_{p'} = M_p & \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  be a point of  $E$  and define a sum

$$(9.1) \quad T(z; M) = \sum_{\nu} e^{2\pi i S(\nu z)},$$

where  $\nu$  runs through all integers such that

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 < \nu^{(q)} &\leq M_q & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\nu^{(p)}| &\leq M_p & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2). \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$a = \frac{n+1}{n+2}$$

and divide  $E$  into two parts  $D_0$  and  $D_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{z; z \in E, |z_j| \leq M^{-a} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)\}, \\ D_0 &= E - D_1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$T(z; M) \ll M^{n-1} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (M, \|S(\rho_j z)\|^{-1}),$$

where  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}$  such that

$$S(\rho_j \delta_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases} \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Now we write

$$z_j = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \delta_k^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

with real numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . If  $z \in D_0$ , there exists at least one  $x_l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n$ ) such that  $|x_l| \geq cM^{-a}$ . Therefore we have

$$(9.3) \quad T(z; M) \ll M^{n-1} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (M, |x_j|^{-1}) \ll M^{n-1+a}$$

for  $z \in D_0$ .

Now we assume that  $z \in D_1$ . We take an integer  $\nu$  satisfying the condition (9.2) and write

$$\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \rho_i.$$

with rational integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$ . We put

$$\xi_j = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \rho_i^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

with  $m_i < t_i \leq m_i + 1$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Then we have

$$S(\nu z) - S(\xi z) \ll \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (|z_j|) \ll M^{-a}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\pi i S(\nu z)} &= e^{2\pi i S(\xi z)} + O(M^{-a}) \\ &= \int_{m_i}^{m_i+1} \dots \int_{m_i}^{m_i+1} e^{2\pi i S(\xi z)} dt_1 \dots dt_n + O(M^{-a}), \end{aligned}$$

where the domain of integration is given as follows:

$$m_i \leq t_i \leq m_i + 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore we have

$$(9.4) \quad T(z; M) = \sum_{\{m\}} \int_{m_i}^{m_i+1} \dots \int_{m_i}^{m_i+1} e^{2\pi i S(\xi z)} dt_1 \dots dt_n + O(M^{n-a}),$$

where the sum is taken over all  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$  which are derived from integers  $\nu$  satisfying the condition (9.2). The number of these integers is  $O(M^n)$ , which gives the error term in the right-hand side of (9.4).

From now on we shall use abbreviations for the notations of products;

$$\prod_q = \prod_{q=1}^{r_1}, \quad \prod_p = \prod_{p=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2}, \quad \prod_j = \prod_{j=1}^{r_1+1}.$$

If we put

$$\begin{aligned} U_q &= \xi_q & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ U_p &= |\xi_p| & (p = r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\ \theta_p &= \arg \xi_p \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\frac{\partial(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)}{\partial(U_1, \dots, U_{r+1}, \theta_{r+1}, \dots, \theta_{r+1})} = \frac{2^{r_1}}{\sqrt{D}} \prod_p U_p$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T(z; M) &= \frac{2^{r_1}}{\sqrt{D}} \int \dots \int e^{2\pi i S(\xi z)} \prod_p U_p \prod_j dU_j \prod_p d\theta_p + O(M^{n-a}) \\ &= \frac{2^{r_1}}{\sqrt{D}} \prod_q \int_0^{M_q} e^{2\pi i z_q U} dU \prod_p \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_p \int_0^{M_p} e^{2\pi i (z_p \xi_p + z_{p'} \xi_{p'})} U_p dU_p \\ &\quad + O(M^{n-a}). \end{aligned}$$

We shall put

$$(9.5) \quad \phi(z; M) = \prod_q \int_0^{M_q} e^{2\pi i z_q U} dU \prod_p \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_p \int_0^{M_p} e^{2\pi i (z_p \xi_p + z_{p'} \xi_{p'})} U_p dU_p$$

and estimate it. It is obvious that

$$(9.6) \quad \int_0^{M_q} e^{2\pi i z_q U} dU \ll \min\left(M, \frac{1}{|z_q|}\right) \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1).$$

As for the integral in the second factor of the right-hand side of (9.5), putting

$$\varphi_p = \arg z_p \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2),$$

we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{M_p} e^{2\pi i (z_p \xi_p + z_{p'} \xi_{p'})} U_p dU_p d\theta_p = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{M_p} e^{4\pi i U |z_p| \cos(\theta + \varphi_p)} U dU d\theta.$$

By partial-integration we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^M e^{4\pi i U |z| \cos(\theta + \varphi)} U dU \\ &= M \int_0^M e^{4\pi i U |z| \cos(\theta + \varphi)} dU - \int_0^M \left\{ \int_0^U e^{4\pi i t |z| \cos(\theta + \varphi)} dt \right\} dU. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^U e^{4\pi i t |z| \cos(\theta + \varphi)} dt d\theta \ll \min\left(U, \frac{1}{|z|}\right)$$

(Siegel [6, (83)]), we obtain

$$(9.7) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{M_p} e^{4\pi i U |z_p| \cos(\theta + \varphi_p)} U dU d\theta \ll M \min\left(M, \frac{1}{|z_p|}\right) \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2).$$

If we put

$$F(z) = M^{r_1} \prod_{j=1}^{r_1+1} \min\left(M, \frac{1}{|z_j|}\right),$$

then it follows from (9.6) and (9.7) that

$$\phi(z; M) \ll F(z).$$

Now we take a rational integer  $s \geq 3$  and a totally positive integer  $\mu$  and define an integral

$$(9.8) \quad J_s(\mu; M) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \dots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} T(z; M)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu z)} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n.$$

We define two sets  $\mathfrak{D}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  in  $n$ -dimensional euclidean space as follows:

$$\mathfrak{D}_0 = \{x(z); z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in D_0\},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_1 = \{x(z); z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in D_1\}$$

and we divide the integral (9.8) into two parts:

$$(9.9) \quad J_s(\mu; M) = 2^{r_1} \sqrt{D} \left\{ \int_{\mathfrak{D}_0} \dots \int + \int_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \dots \int \right\} T(z; M)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu z)} dx(z).$$

As for the first integral in this right-hand side, we have by (9.3)

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}_0} \cdots \int T(z; M)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu_2)} dx(z) \\ \ll M^{(n-1+a)(s-2)} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \cdots \int |T(z; M)|^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n \ll M^{(n-1+a)(s-2)+n}.$$

If  $z \in D_1$ , then, using the estimation for  $T(z; M)^s$ ;

$$T(z; M)^s = \frac{2^{r_1 s}}{D^{s/2}} \phi(z; M)^s + O(M^{ns-a}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(\mu; M) &= \frac{2^{r_1(s+1)}}{D^{(s-1)/2}} \int_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \cdots \int \phi(z; M)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu_2)} dx(z) \\ &\quad + O(M^{ns-a}) \int_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \cdots \int dx(z) + O(M^{n(s-1)-(1-a)(s-2)}) \\ (9.10) \quad &= \frac{2^{r_1(s+1)}}{D^{(s-1)/2}} \int_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \cdots \int \phi(z; M)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu_2)} dx(z) \\ &\quad + O(M^{ns-a(1+n)}) + O(M^{n(s-1)-(1-a)(s-2)}), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \cdots \int dx(z) \ll M^{-na}.$$

Now we put

$$\begin{aligned} u_q &= z_q & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ u_p &= |z_p| & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2) \\ \varphi_p &= \arg z_p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_0 = \min((n+1)a-n, (a-1)(s-2)),$$

then we have from (9.10)

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(\mu; M) &= \frac{2^{r_1(s+1)}}{D^{(s-1)/2}} \int_{X_1} \cdots \int \phi(z; M)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu_2)} \prod_p u_p \prod_j du_j \prod_p d\varphi_p \\ &\quad + O(M^{n(s-1)-a_0}), \end{aligned}$$

where the domain of integration is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1: \quad & |u_q| \leq M^{-a} & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ & 0 \leq u_p \leq M^{-a} & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2). \\ & 0 \leq \varphi_p \leq 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

We consider a domain  $X$  containing  $X_1$  which is defined by the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} X: \quad & |u_q| < \infty & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ & 0 \leq u_p < \infty & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\ & 0 \leq \varphi_p \leq 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

and estimate

$$I = \int_{X-X_1} \dots \int F(z)^s \prod_p u_p \prod_j du_j \prod_p d\varphi_p.$$

Easily we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \min\left(M, \frac{1}{u}\right)^s du &\ll M^{s-1}, \\ \int_0^\infty \min\left(M, \frac{1}{u}\right)^s u du &\ll M^{s-2}, \\ \int_{M^{-a}}^\infty \min\left(M, \frac{1}{u}\right)^s du &\ll M^{a(s-1)}, \\ \int_{M^{-a}}^\infty \min\left(M, \frac{1}{u}\right)^s u du &\ll M^{a(s-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$I \ll M^{n(s-1)-(1-a)(s-2)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(\mu; M) &= \frac{2^{r_*(s+1)}}{D^{(s-1)/2}} \int_X \dots \int \phi(z; M)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\mu_2)} \prod_p u_p d\varphi_p \prod_j du_j \\ (9.11) \quad &+ O(M^{n(s-1)-a_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z; M) &= M_1 M_2 \dots M_n \prod_q \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i M_q z_q U_q} dU_q \\ &\times \prod_p \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_p \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i M_p (z_p \xi_p + z_p' \xi_p')} U_p dU_p, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(\mu; M) &= \frac{2^{r_*(s+1)}}{D^{(s-1)/2}} (M_1 M_2 \dots M_n)^{s-1} \int_X \dots \int \phi(z)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\tilde{\mu}_2)} \prod_p u_p d\varphi_p \prod_j du_j \\ (9.12) \quad &+ O(M^{n(s-1)-a_0}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu}^{(j)} = \frac{\mu^{(j)}}{M_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\phi(z) = \prod_q \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i U_q z_q} dU_q \prod_p \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_p \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i (z_p \xi_p + z_p' \xi_p')} U_p dU_p.$$

The last function  $\phi(z)$  is also written as follows:

$$\phi(z) = \prod_q \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i t z_q} dt \prod_p \iint_{u^2 + v^2 \leq 1} e^{2\pi i (2u X_p(z) - 2v X_p'(z))} du dv.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_X \dots \int \phi(z)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\tilde{\mu}_2)} \prod_p u_p d\varphi_p \prod_j du_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.13) \quad &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(z)^s e^{-2\pi i S(\tilde{\mu}_2)} dx(z) \\
&= \prod_q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i w t} dt \right)^s e^{-2\pi i \tilde{\mu}^{(q)} w} dw \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{2^{2r_s}} \prod_p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \iint_{u^2+v^2 \leq 1} e^{2\pi i (ux+vy)} dudv \right)^s e^{-2\pi i (X_p(\tilde{\mu})x + X_{p'}(\tilde{\mu})y)} dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall denote this integral by  $J_0$ .

Now we put

$$\begin{aligned}
K_q(\mu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_1(w)^s e^{-2\pi i \tilde{\mu}^{(q)} w} dw & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
\phi_1(w) &= \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i w t} dt, \\
K_p(\mu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_2(x, y)^s e^{-2\pi i (X_p(\tilde{\mu})x + X_{p'}(\tilde{\mu})y)} dx dy & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
\phi_2(x, y) &= \iint_{u^2+v^2 \leq 1} e^{2\pi i (ux+vy)} dudv.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(9.14) \quad J_0 = \frac{1}{2^{2r_s}} \prod_q K_q(\mu) \prod_p K_p(\mu).$$

Now we write

$$\phi_1(w)^s = \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i w(t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_s)} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_s,$$

then, putting  $\xi = t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_s$ , we have

$$\phi_1(w)^s = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\xi) e^{2\pi i w \xi} d\xi,$$

where

$$F(\xi) = \int_{B_1} \cdots \int dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{s-1}$$

with the domain of integration

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1: \quad &0 \leq t_j \leq 1 & (j=1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\
&0 \leq \xi - (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{s-1}) \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$K_q(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\xi) e^{2\pi i w \xi} d\xi \right\} e^{-2\pi i \tilde{\mu}^{(q)} w} dw \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1).$$

It is obvious that  $F(\xi)$  is a continuous function of  $\xi$ , therefore we have, applying the theory of Fourier integrals,

$$K_q(\mu) = F(\tilde{\mu}^{(q)}) \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1).$$

Now we assume that

$$M_q \geq \mu^{(q)} \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1),$$

then we can easily calculate  $K_q(\mu)$ :

$$(9.15) \quad K_q(\mu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left( \frac{\mu^{(q)}}{M_q} \right)^{s-1} \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1).$$

In the similar way, we have

$$K_p(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(U, V) e^{2\pi i (Ux + Vy)} dU dV \right\} e^{-2\pi i (X_p(\tilde{\mu})x + X_{p'}(\tilde{\mu})y)} dx dy$$

$$(p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2),$$

where

$$G(U, V) = \int_{B_1} \dots \int du_1 du_2 \dots du_{s-1} dv_1 dv_2 \dots dv_{s-1}$$

is a  $2(s-1)$ -fold integral with the domain of integration

$$B_2: \quad \begin{aligned} &u_j^2 + v_j^2 \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\ &(u_1 + \dots + u_{s-1} - U)^2 + (v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{s-1} - V)^2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have, by the theory of Fourier integrals,

$$(9.16) \quad K_p(\mu) = G(X_p(\tilde{\mu}), X_{p'}(\tilde{\mu})) = \int_{B_p(\mu)} \dots \int u_1 \dots u_{s-1} du_1 \dots du_{s-1} d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_{s-1},$$

where  $B_p(\mu)$  is defined as follows:

$$B_p(\mu): \quad \begin{aligned} &0 \leq u_j \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 2\pi \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\ &\left| u_1 e^{i\varphi_1} + \dots + u_{s-1} e^{i\varphi_{s-1}} - \frac{|\mu^{(p)}|}{M_p} \right| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the above results (9.12)-(9.16), we have

$$(9.17) \quad J_s(\mu; M) = \frac{2^{r_s(s-1)} (\mu^{(1)} \dots \mu^{(r_1)})^{s-1}}{D^{(s-1)/2} ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} \prod_p M_p^{2(s-1)} K_p(\mu) + O(M^{n(s-1)-a_s}).$$

Obviously,  $J_s(\mu; M)$  is equal to the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)$  of integers which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_s, \\ &0 < \nu_j^{(q)} \leq M_q \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, s), \\ &|\nu_j^{(p)}| \leq M_p \quad (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$M_q \geq \mu^{(q)} \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1).$$

Now we take a sufficiently small number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta M \geq 1$  and  $n$  real numbers  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_n$  which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.18) \quad & 0 < \tilde{x}_q \leq M_q & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
& \tilde{x}_q = M_q + O(M\delta) \\
& |\tilde{x}_p + i\tilde{x}_{p'}| = M_p + O(M\delta) & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall define a set  $\mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})$  of integers  $\mu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.19) \quad & \tilde{x}_q - M\delta < \mu^{(q)} \leq \tilde{x}_q & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
& |\tilde{x}_p + i\tilde{x}_{p'} - \mu^{(p)}| \leq M\delta & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $K_p(\mu) = O(1)$  and  $\mu^{(q)} \leq M_q$  ( $q=1, \dots, r_1$ ) for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})$ , we have from (9.17)

$$J_s(\mu; M) = \frac{2^{r_1(s-1)}(M_1 M_2 \dots M_{r_1})^{s-1}}{D^{(s-1)/2}((s-1)!)^{r_1}} \prod_p K_p(\mu) + O(\delta M^{n(s-1)}) + O(M^{n(s-1)-a_0})$$

for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})$ .

Now we consider an integral

$$I = \int \dots \int_{B_0} u_1 \dots u_{s-1} du_1 \dots du_{s-1} d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_{s-1}$$

with the domain of integration

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0: \quad & 0 \leq u_j \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 2\pi & (j=1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\
& |u_1 e^{i\varphi_1} + \dots + u_{s-1} e^{i\varphi_{s-1}} - 1| \leq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

and we shall prove

$$(9.20) \quad K_p(\mu) = I + O(\delta) \quad (\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})).$$

We change the variables in  $I$  and  $K_p(\mu)$ ;  $x_j = u_j \cos \varphi_j$ ,  $y_j = u_j \sin \varphi_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, s-1$ ) and write

$$K_p(\mu) = \int_{B_p'(\mu)} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{s-1} dy_1 dy_2 \dots dy_{s-1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
B_p'(\mu): \quad & x_j^2 + y_j^2 \leq 1 & (j=1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\
& (|\tilde{\mu}^{(p)}| - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} x_j)^2 + (\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} y_j)^2 \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$I = \int \dots \int_{B_0'} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{s-1} dy_1 dy_2 \dots dy_{s-1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0': \quad & x_j^2 + y_j^2 \leq 1 & (j=1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\
& (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} x_j)^2 + (\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} y_j)^2 \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $|I - K_p(\mu)|$  does not exceed the volume of  $V = (B_p'(\mu) - B_0') \cup (B_0' - B_p'(\mu))$  in  $2(s-1)$ -dimensional euclidean space,  $(x_1, \dots, y_1, \dots)$  being the points of



this space. If  $(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{s-1})$  is a point of  $V$  for given  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{s-1}$ , then  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1}$  satisfy the conditions

$$|x_j| \leq 1 \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s-1),$$

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}) \leq x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{s-1} \leq f(y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}) + c\delta$$

with a certain function  $f(y_1, \dots, y_{s-1})$  of  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{s-1}$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V \dots \int dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{s-1} dy_1 dy_2 \dots dy_{s-1} &= \int \dots \int dy_1 \dots dy_{s-1} \int \dots \int dx_1 \dots dx_{s-1} \\ &\ll \delta \int_{-1}^1 \dots \int_{-1}^1 dy_1 \dots dy_{s-1} \ll \delta \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion (9.20) is proved.

If we put

$$\sigma(s) = \int_{B^0} \dots \int du_1 \dots du_{s-1} d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_{s-1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B^0: \quad &0 \leq u_j \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 2\pi \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\ &|\sqrt{u_1} e^{i\varphi_1} + \dots + \sqrt{u_{s-1}} e^{i\varphi_{s-1}} - 1| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

then  $\sigma(s) = 2^{s-1}I$ . Therefore we have for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})$

$$(9.21) \quad J_s(\mu; M) = \frac{\sigma(s)^{r_1}}{D^{\frac{s-1}{2}} ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} (M_1 M_2 \dots M_n)^{s-1} + O(\delta M^{n(s-1)}) + O(M^{n(s-1)-a_0}).$$

Now we sum up the both sides of (9.21) over all  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})$ . It is obvious that

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})} 1 = \frac{2^{r_1} \pi^{r_1}}{\sqrt{D}} M^n \delta^n + O(M^{n-1} \delta^{n-1}).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{x})} J_s(\mu; M) &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma(s))^{r_1}}{D^{s/2} ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} M^n \delta^n (M_1 M_2 \dots M_n)^{s-1} \\ &\quad + O(M^{ns} \delta^{n+1}) + O(M^{ns-a_0} \delta^n) + O(M^{ns-1} \delta^{n-1}) \\ (9.22) \quad &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma(s))^{r_1}}{D^{s/2} ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} M^n \delta^n (M_1 M_2 \dots M_n)^{s-1} (1 + O(\delta) + O(M^{-a_0}) \\ &\quad + O(\delta^{-1} M^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

This left-hand side is equal to the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)$  of integers which satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_q - M\delta &< \nu_1^{(q)} + \nu_2^{(q)} + \dots + \nu_s^{(q)} \leq \tilde{x}_q \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\tilde{x}_p + i\tilde{x}_{p'} - (\nu_1^{(p)} + \nu_2^{(p)} + \dots + \nu_s^{(p)})| &\leq M\delta \quad (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\ 0 &< \nu_j^{(q)} \leq M_q \quad (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\nu_j^{(p)}| &\leq M_p \quad (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \end{aligned} \quad (9.23)$$

provided that  $M\delta \geq 1$  and

$$(9.24) \quad \begin{aligned} c_1 M &\leq M_j \leq c_2 M & (j = 1, 2, \dots, n), \\ M_q - c_3 M\delta &\leq \tilde{x}_q \leq M_q & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ M_p - c_4 M\delta &\leq |\tilde{x}_p + i\tilde{x}_{p'}| \leq M_p + c_5 M\delta & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2) \end{aligned}$$

for suitable positive constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$  and  $c_5$ .

### § 10. Generalization of Goldbach-Vinogradov's theorem.

Using the notations in § 8, we shall put, in (9.23),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_q &= \frac{N_0}{Y} \left( \lambda^{(q)} + \frac{sBN}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ \tilde{x}_p + i\tilde{x}_{p'} &= \frac{N_0}{Y} \lambda^{(p)} & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\ M_q &= \frac{N_0}{Y} \left( \lambda^{(q)} + (sB + C_0) \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ M_p &= \frac{N_0}{Y} \left( |\lambda^{(p)}| + \frac{C_0 N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\ M &= \frac{NN_0}{Y}, \quad \delta = \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\kappa}} \left( 1 + \frac{2sB}{\log N} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We see that, after these substitutions, (9.23) coincides to the conditions (C<sub>1</sub>) for  $T_1$  in § 8. Moreover, the conditions (9.24) are satisfied. Therefore, we can now estimate  $T_1$  by making use of the results in § 9.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} M\delta &= \frac{N}{C_0(\log N)^{\kappa+1/n}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right), \\ M_1 M_2 \cdots M_n &= \frac{N(\lambda)}{C_0^n \log N} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have by (9.22)

$$(10.1) \quad \begin{aligned} T_1 &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma(s))^{r_1}}{D^{s/2}((s-1)!)^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{N^n N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{C_0^{ns} (\log N)^{n\kappa+s}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{(2^{1-s} \pi^{1-s} \sigma(s))^{r_1}}{n^s W^s ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{N^n N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N)^{n\kappa+s}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the similar way, putting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_q &= \frac{N_0}{Z} \left( \lambda^{(q)} - (sB + C_0) \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ \tilde{x}_p + i\tilde{x}_{p'} &= \frac{N_0}{Z} \lambda^{(p)} & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_q &= \frac{N_0}{Z} \left( \lambda^{(q)} - \frac{C_0 N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
M_p &= \frac{N_0}{Z} \left( |\lambda^{(p)}| - \frac{C_0 N}{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}} \right) & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
M &= \frac{NN_0}{Z}, \quad \delta = \frac{1}{(\log N)^{\kappa}} \left( 1 - \frac{2(sB+C_0)}{\log N} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

we also have

$$(10.2) \quad T_2 = \frac{(2^{1-s} \pi^{1-s} \sigma(s))^{r_1}}{n^s W^s ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{N^n N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N)^{n\kappa+s}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right).$$

These two results (10.1) and (10.2) give the asymptotic formula for  $T(\lambda)$ :

$$T(\lambda) = \frac{(2^{1-s} \pi^{1-s} \sigma(s))^{r_1}}{n^s W^s ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{N^n N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N)^{n\kappa+s}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right),$$

Comparing this result with another asymptotic formula (7.10) for  $T(\lambda)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(2^{1-s} \pi^{1-s} \sigma(s))^{r_1}}{n^s W^s ((s-1)!)^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{N^n N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N)^{n\kappa+s}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{2^{2r_1} \pi^{r_1} N^n R(\lambda, \lambda)}{W^s (\log N)^{n\kappa}} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{(\log N)^{\kappa+1}}{N} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives an asymptotic formula for  $R(\lambda, \lambda)$ :

$$R(\lambda, \lambda) = \frac{\sigma(s)^{r_1}}{n^s ((s-1)!)^{r_1} (\pi^s 2^{1+s})^{r_1}} \cdot \frac{N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N)^s} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right) \right).$$

Putting this result in (7.5), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_s(\lambda; \lambda) &= \frac{w^s \sigma(s)^{r_1} D^{1/2}}{((s-1)!)^{r_1} (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_1} h R)^s} \cdot \frac{N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N(\lambda))^s} \sum_{Na \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(a)^s}{\varphi(a)^s} G(a, \lambda) \\
&\quad + O\left( \frac{N^{n(s-1)} \log \log N}{(\log N)^{s+1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we define the singular series:

$$\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) = \sum_a \frac{\mu(a)^s}{\varphi(a)^s} G(a, \lambda),$$

where  $a$  runs through all integral ideals. This series is convergent and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) - \sum_{Na \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(a)^s}{\varphi(a)^s} G(a, \lambda) &\ll \sum_{Na > T^n} \frac{N(a)}{\varphi(a)^s} \\
&\ll T^{-n/2} \ll (\log N)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

on account of Lemma 7.1.

Therefore we have

$$I_s(\lambda; \lambda) = \frac{w^s \sigma(s)^{r_1} D^{1/2}}{((s-1)!)^{r_1} (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2} h R)^s} \mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) \frac{N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N(\lambda))^s} + O\left(\frac{N(\lambda)^{s-1} \log \log N(\lambda)}{(\log N(\lambda))^{s+1}}\right).$$

The following properties of the singular series  $\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda)$  has already been studied. (See Rademacher [4]).

$\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda)$  is written in the form of an infinite product as follows :

$$\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^s}{(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)^{s-1}}\right) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)^s}\right),$$

where first product is taken over all prime divisors of  $\lambda$  and second product is taken over all other prime ideals.

Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be the product of all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $N(\mathfrak{p})=2$ . (If no such ideal exists, we put  $\mathfrak{L}=\mathfrak{o}$ ). We shall call an integer  $\mu$  of  $K$  *even*, if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{L}$ , and *odd*, if  $(\mu, \mathfrak{L})=1$ . Then we see that, if both  $s$  and  $\lambda$  are even or odd, then

$$\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) \geq c > 0$$

and in other case,  $\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda)=0$ .

Now collecting all our results, we have

**THEOREM 10.1.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a totally positive integer of  $K$  and  $s$  be a rational integer  $\geq 3$ . We denote by  $I_s(\lambda)$  the number of the  $s$ -tuples  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_s)$  of prime numbers of  $K$  which satisfy the following conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_s, \\ 0 < \omega_j^{(q)} &\leq \lambda^{(q)} & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\omega_j^{(p)}| &\leq |\lambda^{(p)}| & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2) \end{aligned} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s).$$

Then we have

$$I_s(\lambda) = \frac{w^s \sigma(s)^{r_1} D^{1/2}}{((s-1)!)^{r_1} (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2} h R)^s} \mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) \frac{N(\lambda)^{s-1}}{(\log N(\lambda))^s} + O\left(\frac{N(\lambda)^{s-1} \log \log N(\lambda)}{(\log N(\lambda))^{s+1}}\right),$$

where  $D$  is the absolute value of the discriminant of  $K$ ,  $w$  is the number of the roots of unity in  $K$ ,  $h$  is the class number and  $R$  is the regulator of  $K$ ,  $\sigma(s)$  is a  $2(s-1)$ -fold integral:

$$\sigma(s) = \int_B \dots \int du_1 \dots du_{s-1} d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_{s-1}$$

with the domain of integration

$$\begin{aligned} B: \quad & 0 \leq u_j \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 2\pi \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, s-1), \\ & |\sqrt{u_1} e^{i\varphi_1} + \dots + \sqrt{u_{s-1}} e^{i\varphi_{s-1}} - 1| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda)$  is the singular series which is written in the form of an infinite product:

$$\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^s}{(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)^{s-1}}\right) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)^s}\right).$$

If both  $s$  and  $\lambda$  are even or odd, then

$$\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) \geq c > 0$$

and otherwise  $\mathfrak{S}_s(\lambda) = 0$ .

### § 11. Generalization of Estermann's theorem.

In this paragraph, we assume that  $N$  is a sufficiently large rational integer and we take positive constants  $\sigma, \sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  as in (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7). We put

$$H = \frac{N}{(\log N)^{\sigma_1}}, \quad T = (\log N)^{\sigma},$$

and consider the division of  $E$  into  $B^0$  and  $B_r$  ( $r \in \Gamma$ ) which are defined by (4.9).

LEMMA 11.1. Let  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  be a point of  $B_r$  with  $r \rightarrow a$ . Then we have

$$(11.1) \quad S(z; N) = \frac{w\sqrt{D}}{2^r h R} \cdot \frac{\mu(a)}{\varphi(a)} \sum_{\mu \in A_0(N)} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\mu y)}}{\log N(\mu)} + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{a-b+1}}\right),$$

where  $S(z; N)$  is the trigonometrical sum defined by (4.2),  $a$  is a positive constant which can be taken sufficiently large,  $b = (n-1)\sigma_2 + \sigma_1$ ,

$$y_j = z_j - r^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and  $A_0(N)$  is the set of integers  $\mu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \mu^{(q)} &\leq N & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\mu^{(p)}| &\leq N & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2), \\ 1 &< N(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $A_1(N)$  be the set of integers  $\mu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{N} < \mu^{(q)} &\leq N & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ \sqrt{N} < |\mu^{(p)}| &\leq N & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2). \end{aligned}$$

We divide the intervals  $[\sqrt{N}, N]$  and  $[0, 1]$  as we did in (6.6), that is,

$$M_0 = \sqrt{N} < M_1 < M_2 < \dots < M_{l-1} < M_l = N,$$

$$\theta_0 = 0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_{m-1} < \theta_m = 1,$$

where

$$M_{j+1} - M_j \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^a} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1),$$

$$\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j \ll \frac{1}{(\log N)^a} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1),$$

$$l \ll (\log N)^a, \quad m \ll (\log N)^a.$$

In the similar way as we defined the set  $\mathfrak{Q}(M, \theta)$  in § 6, we now define the set  $\mathfrak{A}(M, \theta)$  of integers  $\nu$  of  $K$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_q' &< \nu^{(q)} \leq M_q & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
M_p' &< |\nu^{(p)}| \leq M_p & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
2\pi\Theta_p' &< \arg \nu^{(p)} \leq 2\pi\Theta_p
\end{aligned}$$

We shall define a sum as follows:

$$(11.2) \quad I(M, \Theta) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)} \frac{1}{\log N(\mu)},$$

where  $\mu$  runs through all elements of  $\Lambda(M, \Theta)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be an element of  $\Lambda(M, \Theta)$  and  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Then  $\mu$  is written in the following form

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \rho_i.$$

If we put

$$\xi_j = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \rho_i^{(j)} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

with  $m_i \leq u_i \leq m_i+1$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned}
c\sqrt{N} &\leq |\xi_j| & (j=1, 2, \dots, n), \\
|\mu^{(j)} - \xi_j| &\leq c & (j=1, 2, \dots, n),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\log N(\mu)} - \frac{1}{\log N(\xi)} &= \frac{\log N(\mu/\xi)}{\log N(\mu) \log N(\xi)} = \frac{\log N\left(1 + \frac{\mu - \xi}{\xi}\right)}{\log N(\mu) \log N(\xi)} \\
&\ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}(\log N)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(11.3) \quad \frac{1}{\log N(\mu)} = \int_{m_i}^{m_i+1} \frac{1}{\log N(\xi)} du_1 \cdots du_n + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}(\log N)^2}\right).$$

Summing up both sides of (11.3) over all  $\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)$ , we have

$$(11.4) \quad I(M, \Theta) = \frac{2^{r_1}}{\sqrt{D}} \int_B \frac{1}{\log N(\xi)} dx(\xi) + O(N^{n-1/2}),$$

where the domain of integration is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_q' &\leq X_q(\xi) \leq M_q & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
B: \quad M_p'^2 &\leq X_p^2(\xi) + X_{p'}^2(\xi) \leq M_p^2 & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
2\pi\Theta_p' &\leq \arg(X_p(\xi) + iX_{p'}(\xi)) \leq 2\pi\Theta_p
\end{aligned}$$

If we put

$$\begin{aligned}
t_q &= \xi_q & (q=1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\
\sqrt{t_p} e^{2\pi i \theta_p} &= \xi_p & (p=r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2), \\
\sqrt{t_p} e^{-2\pi i \theta_p} &= \xi_{p'}
\end{aligned}$$

then we have from (11.4)

$$(11.5) \quad I(M, \Theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \int_{\Theta_p'}^{\Theta_p} \int_{M_j^{e_j}}^{\dots} \int \frac{dt_1 \dots dt_{r+1}}{\log(t_1 \dots t_{r+1})} d\theta_{r_1+1} \dots d\theta_{r_1+r_2} + O(N^{n-1/2}),$$

where the domain of integration is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_j^{e_j} &\leq t_j \leq M_j^{e_j} & (j = 1, 2, \dots, r+1), \\ \Theta_p' &\leq \theta_p \leq \Theta_p & (p = r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2) \end{aligned}$$

with  $e_j = 1$  ( $j \leq r_1$ ),  $= 2$  ( $j \geq r_1+1$ ).

Comparing this result (11.5) with (6.9), a formula for  $S_\rho(y; M, \Theta)$ , and using the same notations as in § 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\rho(y; M, \Theta) &= \frac{w\sqrt{D}}{2^{r_1}hR\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{M}y)} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)} \frac{1}{\log N(\mu)} \\ &\quad + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) + O\left(\frac{\Pi(\Theta_p - \Theta_p')J(M)}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})(\log N)^{a-b}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^{n-1/2}}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have for  $\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)$

$$S(\mu y) = S(\tilde{M}y) + O((\log N)^{b-a}).$$

Therefore

$$e^{2\pi i S(\tilde{M}y)} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)} \frac{1}{\log N(\mu)} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\mu y)}}{\log N(\mu)} + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{a(n+1)-b+1}}\right),$$

since Lemma 3.2 shows that

$$\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)} 1 \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{an}},$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\rho(y; M, \Theta) &= \frac{w\sqrt{D}}{2^{r_1}hR\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(M, \Theta)} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\mu y)}}{\log N(\mu)} + O(N^n e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{\Pi(\Theta_p - \Theta_p')J(M)}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})(\log N)^{a-b}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})(\log N)^{a(n+1)-b+1}}\right), \\ S_\rho(y) &= \frac{w\sqrt{D}}{2^{r_1}hR\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_1(N)} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\mu y)}}{\log N(\mu)} + O\left(\frac{N^n}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})(\log N)^{a-b+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$S(z; N) = \frac{w\sqrt{D}}{2^{r_1}hR} \cdot \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_1(N)} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\mu y)}}{\log N(\mu)} + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{a-b+1}}\right).$$

Since

$$\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_0(N) - \Lambda_1(N)} 1 \ll N^{n(1/2)},$$

we complete the proof.

Now we define a function  $g_1(z) = g_1(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  as follows:

$$(11.6) \quad g_1(z) = \sum_{\mu \in A_s(N)} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\mu_2)}}{\log N(\mu)}.$$

We denote by  $A(t)$ , for positive real number  $t$ , the set of integers  $\nu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \nu^{(q)} &\leq t & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ |\nu^{(p)}| &\leq t & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2) \end{aligned}$$

and consider the square of  $g_1(z)$ :

$$g_1^2(z) = \sum_{\mu \in A^{(2N)}} B(\mu) e^{2\pi i S(\mu_2)}$$

with

$$B(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{\mu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 \\ \nu_i \in A_s(N)}} \frac{1}{\log N(\nu_1) \log N(\nu_2)}.$$

If we put for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$

$$(11.7) \quad g_2(z; \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \\ \gamma \bmod \mathfrak{b}^{-1}}} g_1^2(z - \gamma),$$

where  $\gamma$  runs through a complete system of residues mod  $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}$  with  $\gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ , then we have

$$(11.8) \quad g_2(z; \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mu \in A^{(2N)}} B(\mu) G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu) e^{2\pi i S(\mu_2)}$$

with

$$G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \\ \gamma \bmod \mathfrak{b}^{-1}}} e^{-2\pi i S(\mu \gamma)}.$$

As for this sum  $G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu)$ , we have, by Rademacher [4],

$$G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu) = \sum_{\mathfrak{c} | (\mathfrak{a}, \mu)} N(\mathfrak{c}) \mu(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{c}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu)| &\leq \sum_{\mathfrak{c} | (\mathfrak{a}, \mu)} N(\mathfrak{c}) = N((\mathfrak{a}, \mu)) \sum_{\mathfrak{c} | (\mathfrak{a}, \mu)} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{c})} \\ &\leq N((\mathfrak{a}, \mu)) \sum_{N\mathfrak{c} \leq N\mathfrak{a}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{c})} \ll N((\mathfrak{a}, \mu))(1 + \log N(\mathfrak{a})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have by (11.8)

$$g_2(z; \mathfrak{a}) \ll N^n(1 + \log N(\mathfrak{a})) \sum_{\mu \in A^{(2N)}} N((\mathfrak{a}, \mu)),$$

since  $B(\mu) \ll N^n$ . In this right-hand side, the sum over  $\mu$  is estimated as follows:



$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mu \in A(2N)} N(\mathfrak{a}, \mu) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{c} | \mathfrak{a}} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A(2N) \\ (\mathfrak{a}, \mu) = \mathfrak{c}}} N(\mathfrak{c}) \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{c} | \mathfrak{a}} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A(2N) \\ \mu \in \mathfrak{c}}} N(\mathfrak{c}) \\ &\ll N^n \sum_{\mathfrak{c} | \mathfrak{a}} 1 = N^n \tau(\mathfrak{a}).\end{aligned}$$

Now we shall prove

$$(11.9) \quad \tau(\mathfrak{a}) \ll N(\mathfrak{a})^\delta$$

for any given positive constant  $\delta$ .

Consider the set of pairs  $(m, \mathfrak{p})$  of rational integers  $m$  and prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that

$$1 + m > N(\mathfrak{p})^{m\delta}.$$

Then it is obvious that this set is finite. Therefore, decomposing  $\mathfrak{a}$  into the product of prime divisors as follows;  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_t^{\alpha_t}$ , we have

$$\tau(\mathfrak{a}) = (1 + \alpha_1)(1 + \alpha_2) \cdots (1 + \alpha_t) \ll N(\mathfrak{p}_1)^{\alpha_1 \delta} N(\mathfrak{p}_2)^{\alpha_2 \delta} \cdots N(\mathfrak{p}_t)^{\alpha_t \delta}$$

and the assertion (11.9) is proved.

Thus we have

$$(11.10) \quad g_2(z; \mathfrak{a}) \ll N^{2n}(1 + \log N(\mathfrak{a}))\tau(\mathfrak{a}) \ll N^{2n}N(\mathfrak{a})^\varepsilon,$$

with a sufficiently small positive constant  $\varepsilon$ .

If we define a function of  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ ,

$$(11.11) \quad g_3(z) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} g_2(z; \mathfrak{a}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}$  runs through all ideals, then  $g_3(z)$  converges on account of the estimation (11.10) for  $g_2(z; \mathfrak{a})$ .

Moreover we put

$$\begin{aligned}(11.12) \quad F(z) &= \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} g_2(z; \mathfrak{a}) \\ &= \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} \sum_{\substack{r \equiv -\mathfrak{a} \\ r \bmod \mathfrak{b}^{-1}}} g_1^2(z - r).\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 11.2. If  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  is a point of  $E$ , then we have

$$(11.13) \quad g_1(z) \ll N^{n-1} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (N, |z_j|^{-1}).$$

PROOF. First we have

$$(11.14) \quad g_1(z) \ll N^n.$$

Let  $A_2(N)$  be the set of integers  $\nu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}1 < \nu^{(q)} &\leq N & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ 1 < |\nu^{(p)}| &\leq N & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2)\end{aligned}$$

and put

$$h(z) = \sum_{\mu \in A_s(N)} \frac{e^{2\pi i S(\mu z)}}{\log N(\mu)},$$

then we have

$$g_1(z) = h(z) + O(N^{n-1}).$$

Let  $\rho$  be one of  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$ , a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}$ , then

$$g_1(z) e^{2\pi i S(\rho z)} = h(z) e^{2\pi i S(\rho z)} + O(N^{n-1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z)(e^{2\pi i S(\rho z)} - 1) &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A_s(N) \\ \mu - \rho \in A_s(N)}} e^{2\pi i S(\mu z)} \left( \frac{1}{\log N(\mu - \rho)} - \frac{1}{\log N(\mu)} \right) \\ &\quad + O\left( \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A_s(N) \\ \mu - \rho \in A_s(N)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A_s(N) \\ \mu - \rho \in A_s(N)}} 1 \right) + O(N^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A_s(N) \\ \mu - \rho \in A_s(N)}} e^{2\pi i S(\mu z)} \left( \frac{1}{\log N(\mu - \rho)} - \frac{1}{\log N(\mu)} \right) + O(N^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In the last sum,

$$\frac{1}{\log N(\mu - \rho)} - \frac{1}{\log N(\mu)} \ll \frac{|\log N(1 - \rho/\mu)|}{(\log N(\mu))^2} \ll \frac{1}{(\log N(\mu))^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|\mu^{(j)}|}.$$

Therefore we have

$$(11.15) \quad g_1(z)(e^{2\pi i S(\rho z)} - 1) \ll \sum_{\mu \in A_s(N)} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|\mu^{(j)}| (\log N(\mu))^2} + O(N^{n-1}).$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu \in A_s(N)} \frac{1}{|\mu^{(j)}| (\log N(\mu))^2} &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A_s(N) \\ m < |\mu^{(j)}| \leq m+1}} \frac{1}{(\log N(\mu))^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{m(\log(m+1))^2} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in A_s(N) \\ m < |\mu^{(j)}| \leq m+1}} 1 \ll N^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{m(\log(m+1))^2} \ll N^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(11.16) \quad g_1(z)(e^{2\pi i S(\rho z)} - 1) \ll N^{n-1}.$$

Therefore, by (11.14) and (11.16),

$$(11.17) \quad g_1(z) \ll N^{n-1} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (N, \|S(\rho_j z)\|^{-1}).$$

Since  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in E$ , writing

$$z_j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta_i^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

with a basis  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$  of  $\mathfrak{d}^{-1}$  such that

$$S(\delta_i \rho_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

we see that

$$(11.18) \quad \|S(\rho_j z)\| = |S(\rho_j z)| = |x_j| \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

It is obvious that

$$(11.19) \quad |z_j| \ll \max(|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Hence we have, from (11.17), (11.18) and (11.19),

$$g_1(z) \ll N^{n-1} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (N, |z_j|^{-1}).$$

LEMMA 11.3. *If  $z = (z_1 z_2, \dots, z_n)$  is a point of  $B_{r_1}$  and  $r \neq r_1, r \in \Gamma$ , then we have*

$$(11.20) \quad g_1(z-r) \ll N^{n-1} (\log N)^{2\sigma_1}.$$

PROOF. Let  $z^0 = (z_1^0, \dots, z_n^0)$  be a point of  $E$  such that  $z^0 \equiv z-r \pmod{\mathfrak{d}^{-1}}$ , then by Lemma 11.2 we have

$$(11.21) \quad g_1(z-r) = g_1(z^0) \ll N^{n-1} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (N, |z_j^0|^{-1}).$$

On the other hand, there exists a certain number  $r_2$  such that  $r_1 \equiv r_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{d}^{-1}}$  and

$$(11.22) \quad |z_j - r_2^{(j)}| \leq \frac{(\log N)^b}{N} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We put  $z^0 = z - r + \beta$ . Since  $r \equiv r_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{d}^{-1}}$ , we see that  $r_2 - r + \beta$  is a non-vanishing element of  $(\mathfrak{d}a_1 a)^{-1}$ , where  $r \rightarrow a$  and  $r_1 \rightarrow a_1$ . Therefore

$$|N(r_2 - r + \beta)| \geq \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d}a_1 a)} \geq \frac{1}{DT^{2n}}$$

and there exists an index  $l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n$ ) such that

$$(11.23) \quad |r_2^{(l)} - r^{(l)} + \beta^{(l)}| \geq \frac{D^{-1/n}}{T^2}.$$

From (11.22) and (11.23) follows that

$$|z_l^0| \geq ||r_2^{(l)} - r^{(l)} + \beta^{(l)}| - |z_l - r_2^{(l)}|| \geq \frac{c}{T^2} = \frac{c}{(\log N)^{2\sigma_1}}.$$

Putting this result in (11.21), we complete the proof.

LEMMA 11.4. *We put*

$$D_0 = \left( \frac{w\sqrt{D}}{2^r h R} \right)^2$$

and assume that  $a \geq 2\sigma + b + 1$  in Lemma 11.1.

Then we have

$$(11.24) \quad S(z; N)^2 - D_0 F(z) \ll \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}.$$

for every  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in E$ .

PROOF. First we assume that  $z \in B_{r_1}$  with  $r_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ . Then Lemma 11.1 shows that

$$(11.25) \quad S(z; N) = \frac{w\sqrt{D}}{2^{r_1}hR} \cdot \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} g_1(z-r_1) + O\left(\frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}\right)$$

so that

$$(11.26) \quad S(z; N)^2 = D_0 \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} g_1^2(z-r_1) + O\left(\frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}\right).$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 11.3 and Lemma 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) - \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} g_1^2(z-r_1) &= \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq T^n} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} \sum_{\substack{r \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}, r \neq r_1 \\ r \bmod \mathfrak{b}}} g_1^2(z-r) \\ &\ll \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq T^n} \frac{1}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} N^{2n-2} (\log N)^{4\sigma_1} \ll N^{2n-2} (\log N)^{4\sigma_1+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(11.27) \quad S(z; N)^2 - D_0 F(z) \ll \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}.$$

Now assume that  $z \in B^0$ , then Theorem 5.1 shows that

$$(11.28) \quad S(z; N) \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\sigma}.$$

We shall take  $r \in \Gamma$  and define a point  $z^0 = (z_1^0, z_2^0, \dots, z_n^0)$  of  $E$  such that  $z^0 = z - r + \beta$  with a certain  $\beta \in \mathfrak{b}^{-1}$ . Since  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in B^0$ , there exists an index  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) such that

$$|z_j - r^{(j)} + \beta^{(j)}| \geq \frac{(\log N)^b}{N}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 11.2, we have

$$g_1(z-r) = g_1(z^0) \ll N^n (\log N)^{-b}$$

and consequently

$$(11.29) \quad F(z) \ll \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq T^n} \frac{1}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})} \cdot \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{2b}} \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^{2b-2}}.$$

By (11.28), (11.29) and (4.6), which shows that  $2b-2 \geq 2\sigma$ , we have

$$S(z; N)^2 - D_0 F(z) \ll \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}$$

for  $z \in B^0$ . Thus the proof is completed.

LEMMA 11.5. For  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in E$ , we have

$$(11.30) \quad S(z; N)^2 - D_0 g_3(z) \ll \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}.$$

PROOF. Using the estimation (11.10) for  $g_2(z; \mathfrak{a})$  and Lemma 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_3(z) - F(z) &= \sum_{Na > T^n} \frac{\mu(a)^2}{\varphi(a)^2} g_2(z; a) \ll N^{2n} \sum_{Na > T^n} \frac{1}{N(a)^{2-\varepsilon}} \\ &\ll \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{(1-\varepsilon)n\sigma_1}} \ll \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{n\sigma_1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n\sigma_2 \geq 4\sigma$ , our Lemma follows directly from Lemma 11.4.

LEMMA 11.6. *If we put*

$$(11.31) \quad J(N) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |S(z; N)^2 - D_0 g_3(z)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n,$$

*then we have*

$$(11.32) \quad J(N) \ll \frac{N^{3n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}.$$

PROOF. We have, by Lemma 11.5,

$$J(N) \ll \frac{N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |S(z; N)^2 - D_0 g_3(z)| dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove

$$(11.33) \quad \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |S(z; N)^2 - D_0 g_3(z)| dx_1 \cdots dx_n \ll N^n.$$

First we obtain

$$(11.34) \quad \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |S(z; N)|^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{Q}(N)} 1 \ll \frac{N^n}{\log N}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |g_1(z-r)|^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0(N)} \frac{1}{(\log N(\mu))^2} \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^2}, \\ \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |g_2(z; a)| dx_1 \cdots dx_n &\ll \varphi(a) \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left| \sum_{Na \leq N^{2n}} \frac{\mu(a)^2}{\varphi(a)^2} g_2(z; a) \right| dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^2} \sum_{Na \leq N^{2n}} \frac{1}{\varphi(a)} \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^2} \sum_{Na \leq N^{2n}} \frac{\log N(a)}{N(a)} \ll N^n. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have, by (11.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left| \sum_{Na > M^{2n}} \frac{\mu(a)^2}{\varphi(a)^2} g_2(z; a) \right| dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ \ll N^{2n} \sum_{Na > N^{2n}} \frac{1}{N(a)^{2-\varepsilon}} \ll N^{2n} \ll N^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(11.35) \quad \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |g_3(z)| dx_1 \cdots dx_n \ll N^n.$$

This results (11.35) and (11.34) give (11.33) and then we complete the proof.

Now we consider the square of  $S(z; N)$ :

$$S(z; N)^2 = \sum_{\mu \in A(2N)} A(\mu) e^{2\pi i S(\mu_2)},$$

where

$$A(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{\mu = \omega_1 + \omega_2 \\ \omega_i \in \mathcal{Q}(N)}} 1$$

is the number of the representations of  $\mu$  as the sums of two prime numbers belonging to  $\mathcal{Q}(N)$ .

We write

$$\begin{aligned} g_3(z) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \\ \gamma \bmod \mathfrak{b}^{-1}}} \sum_{\mu \in A(2N)} B(\mu) e^{-2\pi i S(\gamma \mu)} e^{2\pi i S(\mu_2)} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in A(2N)} B(\mu) \mathfrak{S}(\mu) e^{2\pi i S(\mu_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mu) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})^2}{\varphi(\mathfrak{a})^2} G(\mathfrak{a}, \mu).$$

$\mathfrak{S}(\mu)$  is a convergent series and we can write  $\mathfrak{S}(\mu)$  in the form of an infinite product:

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mu) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mu} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p}) - 1}\right) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(N(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)^2}\right).$$

In the first product,  $\mathfrak{p}$  runs through all prime divisors of  $\mu$  and in the second product,  $\mathfrak{p}$  runs through other prime ideals. We shall define even or odd integer of  $K$  as in §10. Then we see that

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mu) \geq c > 0 \quad (\text{if } \mu \text{ is even}),$$

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mu) = 0 \quad (\text{if } \mu \text{ is odd}).$$

Now we see that

$$(11.36) \quad J(N) = \sum_{\mu \in A(2N)} \{A(\mu) - D_0 B(\mu) \mathfrak{S}(\mu)\}^2.$$

From the definition of  $B(\mu)$  follows

$$B(\mu) \geq \frac{1}{n^2 (\log N)^2} \sum_{\substack{\mu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 \\ \nu_i \in A_0(N)}} 1$$

and, since the number of the units  $\varepsilon$  such that  $|\varepsilon^{(j)}| \leq N$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is  $O((\log N)^r)$ , we have

$$B(\mu) \geq \frac{1}{(n \log N)^2} \left( \sum_{\substack{\mu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 \\ \nu_i \in A(N)}} 1 - c(\log N)^r \right).$$

Now we put  $\xi = (2\sigma - 4)/(2n + 1)$  and take an integer  $\mu$  such that

$$(11.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{N}{(\log N)^\xi} &\leq \mu^{(q)} \leq N & (q = 1, 2, \dots, r_1), \\ \frac{N}{(\log N)^\xi} &\leq |\mu^{(p)}| \leq N & (p = r_1 + 1, \dots, r_1 + r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that for such  $\mu$

$$(11.38) \quad B(\mu) \geq c \frac{N(\mu)}{(\log N)^2} \geq \frac{cN^n}{(\log N)^{2+n\xi}}.$$

Let  $Q_1$  be the number of even integers  $\mu$  which satisfy the condition (11.37) and for which  $A(\mu) = 0$ . Then we have from (11.36) and (11.38)

$$J(N) \geq \frac{cQ_1 N^{2n}}{(\log N)^{4+2n\xi}}.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 11.6 shows that

$$J(N) \ll \frac{N^{3n}}{(\log N)^{2\sigma}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(11.39) \quad Q_1 \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\xi}.$$

Now let  $Q(N)$  be the number of even integers  $\mu$  such that

$$\mu \in A(N), \quad A(\mu) = 0,$$

then we have from (11.36) and (11.39)

$$(11.40) \quad Q(N) \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\xi} + Q_1 \ll \frac{N^n}{(\log N)^\xi}.$$

Thus we can prove

**THEOREM 11.1.** *Almost all totally positive even integers of  $K$  are represented as the sums of two totally positive odd prime numbers of  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $P(N)$  be the number of even integers  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \in A(N)$ . Then we have

$$P(N) \geq cN^n.$$

Hence (11.40) shows that almost all even integers in  $A(N)$  are represented as the sums of two totally positive prime numbers.

Now assume that  $\mu = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ , where  $\mu$  is an even integer in  $A(N)$  and  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are prime numbers in  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  at least one of which is not odd. Suppose  $\omega_1$  is not odd, then  $(\omega_1) = \mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal with  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$ . Since  $\mu$  is even,  $\mu \in \mathfrak{p}$ , which implies  $(\omega_2) = \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore we see that the number of even in-

tegers  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(N)$  which are represented as the sums of two prime numbers in  $\mathcal{Q}(N)$ , but not of two odd prime numbers, does not exceed the numbers of the pairs  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  of prime numbers such that  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{Q}(N)$  and  $N(\omega_1) = N(\omega_2) = 2$ . Applying Lemma 3.4, we see that the latter is  $O((\log N)^{2r})$ .

Hence we obtain the proof.

Gakushuin University.

---