## On the existence of a Hall normal subgroup

Dedicated to Professor Yasuo AKIZUKI

by Michio SUZUKI\*)

(Received June 7, 1963)

1. Introduction. A subgroup H of a finite group G is called a Hall subgroup if the order |H| of H is relatively prime to the index [G:H]. A normal subgroup N of G is a normal complement to H if the conditions NH=Gand  $N \cap H=\{1\}$  are satisfied. The purpose of this note is to prove a result giving a necessary and sufficient condition for H to have a normal complement. Let  $\pi$  denote a set of prime numbers. A  $\pi$ -number is an integer all of whose prime divisors belong to  $\pi$ . The complementary set to  $\pi$  is denoted by  $\pi'$ . A subgroup H is called a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup if |H| is a  $\pi$ -number but [G:H] is a  $\pi'$ -number. The main result of this note is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let H be a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G. Then H has a normal complement if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) two elements of H which are conjugate in G are already conjugate in H; and
- (2) if  $x \in H$  satisfies the condition  $C_G(x) \neq G$ , then  $C_H(x)$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $C_G(x)$  and has a normal complement in  $C_G(x)$ .

We use the standard notation.  $C_{g}(S)$  is the centralizer of a subset S and the normalizer is denoted by  $N_{g}(S)$ .

We will mention a few consequences. The classical theorem of Frobenius asserts that if a subgroup H of a finite group G satisfies

$$H \cap x^{-1}Hx = \{1\}$$
 for all  $x \in H$ ,

then H has a normal complement consisting of elements which are contained in none of the conjugate subgroups of H together with the identity element. In this case H is a Hall subgroup of G. If  $1 \neq x \in H$  and  $y^{-1}xy \in H$ , then ymust belong to H. It is now easy to verify the conditions (1) and (2) for H. Therefore our theorem yields the theorem of Frobenius. Consider next the case when a Sylow subgroup S of G satisfies the condition  $N_G(S) = C_G(S)$ . Then S is necessary abelian and the classical theorem of Burnside asserts the existence of a normal complement to S. This is also proved by using Theorem 1. We will use induction on the order of G. The first condition

<sup>\*)</sup> This research was supported by NSF G 25213 and a Guggenheim fellowship.

(1) is precisely the lemma of Burnside. The second one is trivially satisfied by inductive hypothesis. More applications may be found in the last section.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose that *H* has a normal complement *N*. Let *x* and *y* be two elements of *H* such that  $y = z^{-1}xz$  with  $z \in G$ . By assumption G = NH so that z = nh where  $n \in N$  and  $h \in H$ . Then we have  $y = h^{-1}n^{-1}xnh$ . Hence

$$x^{-1}hyh^{-1} = x^{-1}n^{-1}xn$$
.

The left side of this equation belongs to H, while the other belongs to N. Hence we have

$$1 = x^{-1}hyh^{-1} = x^{-1}n^{-1}xn$$
.

The first equality yields the condition (1). If in particular x=y, the above two equalities yield the condition (2) in Theorem 1.

Assume conversely that (1) and (2) are satisfied by H. Let  $H_0$  denote the intersection of H and the center of G. Since H is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup,  $H_0$  is the set of central  $\pi$ -elements of G. The following lemmas are proved under the assumptions (1) and (2).

LEMMA 1. A  $\pi$ -element of G is conjugate to an element of H.

PROOF. Let x be a  $\pi$ -element. If x is in the center of G, x is contained in  $H_0 \subseteq H$ . The element x is a product of mutually commuting elements  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  of prime power orders. If x is not in the center of G, at least one of the factors, say  $x_1$ , is not contained in the center of G. Then  $C_G(x_1) \neq G$ . By a Sylow's theorem  $x_1$  is conjugate to an element y of H. Then x is conjugate to a  $\pi$ -element of  $C_G(y)$ . By (2),  $C_G(y)$  is a semi-direct product of  $C_H(y)$ and its complement. Hence by the theorem of Schur-Zassenhaus ([4], p. 132) x is conjugate to an element of H.

Let x be an element of G. Then x is a product of two commuting elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  where  $x_1$  is a  $\pi$ -element and  $x_2$  is a  $\pi'$ -element. This decomposition is unique. We call  $x_1$  the  $\pi$ -factor of x.

Let  $\theta$  be an irreducible character of  $H/H_0$  with degree d. Define a function  $\varphi$  on G by the formula

$$\varphi(x) = \theta(y) - d$$

where y is an element of H conjugate to the  $\pi$ -factor of x. By Lemma 1 we can find such an element y and by (1),  $\varphi$  is well-defined. By definition  $\varphi$  is a class function. We want to prove that  $\varphi$  is a generalized character of G. According to a theorem of Brauer [1] it suffices to show that the restriction of  $\varphi$  on an elementary subgroup E is a generalized character. It suffices to consider the case when E is a subgroup of  $C_G(x)$  with  $x \in H-H_0$ . The assertion follows then from the condition (2).

388

LEMMA 2. The function  $\varphi$  is equal to  $\chi$ -d where  $\chi$  is an irreducible character of G.

PROOF. Since  $\varphi$  is a generalized character, the assertion follows from the equations

$$(1/|G|) \sum_{x \in G} \varphi(x) = -d$$
 and  $(1/|G|) \sum_{x \in G} |\varphi(x)|^2 = 1 + d^2$ .

The computation is easy. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be the set of representatives of conjugate classes of H in  $H-H_0$ . Then  $\varphi(x)=0$  unless the  $\pi$ -factor of x is conjugate to one of the elements  $x_i$   $(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ . Hence

$$(1/|G|)\sum_{x\in G}\varphi(x) = (1/|G|)\sum_{i} [G:C_{G}(x_{i})]\sum'\varphi(x_{i}y)$$

where in the second summation y ranges over  $\pi'$ -elements in  $C_G(x_i)$ . Hence the above summation is equal to  $(1/|H|) \sum_{x \in H} (\theta(x) - d) = -d$ . The second equation is proved similarly.

Let  $N(\theta)$  be the kernel of the representation with character  $\chi$  in Lemma 2. Then  $N(\theta)$  contains all the  $\pi'$ -elements of G. Let  $N_0$  be the intersection of  $N(\theta)$  where  $\theta$  ranges all the irreducible characters of  $H/H_0$ . It follows easily that  $N_0H=G$  and  $N_0 \cap H=H_0$ .  $H_0$  is by definition a central Hall subgroup of  $N_0$ . Hence by a theorem of Schur [4],  $N_0$  is a direct product of  $H_0$  and a subgroup N. Since N is a characteristic subgroup of  $N_0$ , N is the normal complement of H.

3. The second formulation. We say that a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup H of G satisfies the condition  $F_{\pi}$  if every nilpotent  $\pi$ -subgroup of G is contained in a conjugate subgroup of H. If we omit the word nilpotent, then we obtain the condition  $D_{\pi}$  of P. Hall.  $F_{\pi}$  is weaker than  $D_{\pi}$ . In fact  $F_{\pi}$  does not imply the conjugacy of two distinct  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups. By a theorem of Schur-Zassenhaus ([4], p. 132), the existence of a normal complement to H implies the condition  $F_{\pi}$ . As a matter of fact the existence of a normal complement to H implies  $D_{\pi}$  but the derivation of  $D_{\pi}$  requires a deep result of Feit and Thompson [2].

LEMMA 3. Let H be a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup satisfying (1) of Theorem 1 and  $F_{\pi}$ . Then for  $x \in H$ ,  $C_H(x)$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ .

PROOF. Let P be a Sylow group of  $C_H(x)$ . It suffices to show that P is a Sylow group of  $C_G(x)$ . By a theorem of Sylow P is a part of a Sylow group S of  $C_G(x)$ . The group E generated by S and x is elementary. Hence by  $F_{\pi}$  a conjugate subgroup  $E^t$  is contained in H. Since both x and  $x^t$  are in H, they are conjugate in H by (1). Hence there exists an element u of Hso that  $x = x^{tu}$ . Then  $E^{tu} \subseteq H$  and  $S^{tu} \subseteq H$ . Since P is a Sylow group of Hwe have  $|P| \ge |S^{tu}| = |S|$ . Hence P = S.

## M. Suzuki

By the same method we can prove that  $C_H(x)$  satisfies  $F_{\pi}$ . This suggests the following formulation.

THEOREM 2. Let H be a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G. H has a normal complement if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) for any subset S of H, two elements of  $C_H(S)$  are conjugate in  $C_G(S)$  if and only if they are conjugate in  $C_H(S)$ ; and

(2) H satisfies the condition  $F_{\pi}$ .

PROOF. Use induction on the order of G. By Lemma 3 and the remark just made  $C_H(S)$  satisfies the same assumptions as H. Hence if  $C_G(S) \neq G$  the inductive hypothesis says that  $C_H(S)$  has a normal complement in  $C_G(S)$ . Theorem 1 is applicable and yields the existence of a normal complement to H under (1) and (2).

4. Applications. In the introduction we derived the transfer theorem of Burnside. In the same way we can prove a theorem of Frobenius asserting the existence of a normal p-complement of a group G under the condition that  $N_{G}(U)/C_{G}(U)$  is a p-group whenever U is a p-subgroup of G. Recently Kochendörffer and Zappa have remarked that a normal complement to a Hall subgroup H gives a "distinguished" set of representatives from cosets of H. A set of elements T is a distinguished set of coset representatives if T contains one and only one element of each coset of H and if  $T^h = T$  for  $h \in H$ . They have verified that the existence of a distinguished set of coset representatives and the condition  $D_{\pi}$  are necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a normal complement under the various restrictions on the structure of H. The weakest restriction on H given in [3] is the solvability of H. If T is a distinguished set of coset representatives and if an element  $t \in T$  transforms an element  $x \in H$  into H, then t commutes with x. Hence the existence of T implies the condition (1) of Theorem 2. Hence without assuming the solvability of H we have the same conclusion as a theorem of Zappa.

THEOREM 3. Let H be a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of G. There exists a normal complement to H if and only if there is a distinguished set of coset representatives of H and H satisfies the condition  $F_{\pi}$ .

University of Illinois and The Institute for Advanced Study

390

## References

- [1] R. Brauer, A characterization of the characters of groups of finite order, Ann. of Math., 57 (1953), 357-377.
- [2] W. Feit and J.G. Thompson, A solvability criterion for finite groups and some consequences, Proc. N.A.S., 48 (1962), 968-970.
- [3] G. Zappa, Sur les systèmes distingués de représentants et sur les compléments normaux des sous-groupes de Hall, Acta Math., 13 (1962), 227-230.
- [4] H. Zassenhaus, Theory of groups, New York, 1949.