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## § 1. Introduction.

The inertia group $I(M)$ of an oriented closed smooth manifold $M$ is defined to be the subgroup of $\Theta_{n}$ consisting of those homotopy spheres $\tilde{S}$ which satisfy the condition $M \# \widetilde{S}=M$, where $\Theta_{n}$ is the group of homotopy $n$-spheres. This group $I(M)$ is one of the diffeomorphy invariants of $M$.

The inertia groups of manifolds have been studied by I. Tamura [14], C. T. C. Wall [18], S. P. Novikov [10], W. Browder [1] and A. Kosinski [6]. The following problems have been proposed by them as important ones:
(I) Is it combinatorially (or topologically) invariant?
(II) Does it depend on more than the tangential homotopy equivalence class at the manifold? (W. Browder cf. [7])
(III) Is it contained in $\Theta(\partial \pi)$, if we restrict the manifold within $\pi$-manifolds ? (S. P. Novikov [10])

In this paper the following facts will be proved which answer the problems above.

The inertia group of $S^{3} \times S^{14}$ is not combinatorially (therefore not topologically) invariant and depends on more than the tangential homotopy equivalence class of $S^{3} \times S^{14}$.

For $\widetilde{S}^{14} \neq S^{14}, I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{14}\right)$ contains a homotopy sphere $\widetilde{S}^{17}$ which does not belong to $\Theta_{17}(\partial \pi)$.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor I. Tamura for suggesting the viewpoint of this research and for showing the attitude of mind in mathematics and also to Mr. H. Sato, Mr. M. Kato and Mr. T. Akiba for many invaluable discussions.

## § 2. Notations and results.

In this paper all the manifolds are compact connected smooth oriented manifolds and the diffeomorphisms are orientation preserving. We write $M_{1}=M_{2}$ for manifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}$, if there is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $f: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$.

Let $\Theta_{q}$ be the group of homotopy $q$-spheres and $\Gamma_{q}$ the pseudo-isotopy
group of diffeomorphism of $S^{q-1}$. It is well known that $\Theta_{q}$ and $\Gamma_{q}$ are equivalent $(q \neq 3)$ (Smale [12]). A subgroup of $q$-dimensional homotopy spheres which bound parallelizable manifolds is denoted by $\Theta_{q}(\partial \pi)$.

The inertia group of a closed differentiable manifold $M^{n}$ is defined to be the group $\left\{\tilde{S} \in \Theta_{n} \mid M^{n} \# \tilde{S}=M^{n}\right\}$ which is denoted by $I(M)$.

Now we shall define pairings $K_{1}, K_{2}$ : for $0<p<q$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}: \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right) \times \Gamma_{q+1} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{p+q+1}, \\
& K_{2}: \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right) \times \pi_{q}\left(S O_{p+1}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{p+q+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right), r \in \Gamma_{q+1}=\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{diff} S^{q}\right) / \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{diff} D^{q+1}\right)$. (In the following we will use the same symbol for an element of a group as its representative.) We define the diffeomorphism

$$
F: S^{p} \times S^{q} \longrightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q} \quad \text { by } \quad F(x, y)=\left(x, r h(x) r^{-1}(y)\right) .
$$

Attaching two manifolds, $W_{1}=D^{p+1} \times S^{q}$ and $W_{2}=S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$, by the diffeomorphism $F: S^{p} \times S^{q} \rightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q}$, we have a manifold

$$
\Sigma=D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \bigcup_{F} S^{p} \times D^{q+1}
$$

Making use of the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, it is easy to see that the manifold $\Sigma$ is a homotopy sphere for $p<q$. We assume that the orientation of the manifold $A \bigcup_{f} B$ compatible with the first part $A$, is given. The pairing $K_{1}$ is defined by $K_{1}(h, r)=\Sigma$.

We shall now prove that this does not depend on the choice of representatives.

Let $h^{\prime} \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right)$ be other representative and $H: S^{p} \times I \rightarrow S O_{q+1}$ be a homotopy between $h$ and $h^{\prime}$. We write $H(x, t)$ as $h_{t}(x)$. Let $r^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{q+1}$ be other representative and $R: S^{q} \times I \rightarrow S^{q} \times I$ be a pseudo isotopy between $r$ and $r^{\prime}$. Let $p_{1}: S^{q} \times I \rightarrow S^{q}$ and $p: S^{q} \times I \rightarrow I$ be the projections to the first and to the second respectively.

We now define the diffeomorphism $G: S^{p} \times S^{q} \times I \rightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q} \times I$ by $G(x, y, t)$ $=\left(x, R\left(h_{t}(x) p_{1} R^{-1}(y, t), p_{2} R^{-1}(y, t)\right)\right)$.

Attaching two manifold $D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \times I$ and $S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \times I$ by the diffeomorphism $G: S^{p} \times S^{q} \times I \rightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q} \times I$, we have a manifold $X=D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \times I \bigcup_{G} S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \times I$. The boundary $\partial X$ is composed of the disjoint sum $\Sigma$ and $-\Sigma^{\prime}$ where $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is a homotopy sphere made from $h^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime}$.

Making use of the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, it is easy to see that inclusions $i: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ and $i^{\prime}: \Sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ give the homotopy equivalences. Therefore $\Sigma$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma^{\prime}$ by the $h$-cobordism theorem. Since $\Theta_{n}=\Gamma_{n}(n \neq 3)$, $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are the same element of $\Gamma_{p+q+1}$.

Next we define the pairing $K_{2}$. Let $h_{1} \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right), h_{2} \in \pi_{q}\left(S O_{p+1}\right)$. We consider two bundles ( $B_{1}, S^{p+1}, D^{q+1}, p_{1}$ ) and ( $B_{2}, S^{q+1}, D^{p+1}, p_{2}$ ) with characteristic maps $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ respectively. We can write $B_{1}=D_{+}^{p+1} \times D^{q+1} \cup_{h_{1}} D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$ and $B_{2}=D_{+}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1} \bigcup_{h_{2}} D^{\underline{q}+1} \times D^{p+1} \quad$ where $D_{ \pm}^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}=p_{1}^{-1}\left(D_{ \pm}^{p+1}\right)$ and $D_{ \pm}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1}=$ $p_{2}^{-1}\left(D_{土}^{q+1}\right)$. The plumbing manifold of $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ is defined to be the oriented differentiable $(p+q+2)$-manifold obtained as a quotient space of $B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ by identifying $p_{1}^{-1}\left(D^{p+1}\right)=D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$ and $p_{2}^{-1}\left(D_{+}^{q+1}\right)=D_{+}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1}$ by the relation $(x, y)=(y, x)\left(x \in D^{p+1}=D^{p+1}, y \in D^{q+1}=D_{+}^{q+1}\right)$ and is denoted by $B_{1} \triangleq B_{2}$.

The boundary $\partial\left(B_{1} \triangleq B_{2}\right)$ can be seen as follows. Let $f: S+\underset{\sim}{p} \times S^{q} \rightarrow S \underline{q} \times S^{p}$ be the diffeomorphism defined by $f(x, y)=\left(h_{1}(x) y, h_{2}\left(h_{1}(x) y\right) x\right)$. Attaching two manifold $D_{+}^{p+1} \times S^{q}$ and $D^{q+1} \times S^{p}$ by the diffeomorphism $f: S_{+}^{p} \times S^{q} \rightarrow S \underline{\underline{q}} \times S^{p}$, we have $D_{+}^{p+1} \times S^{q} \bigcup_{f} D^{q+1} \times S^{p}=\partial\left(B_{1} \boxtimes B_{2}\right)$. Therefore $\partial\left(B_{1} \boxtimes B_{2}\right)$ is a homotopy sphere by the same argument of the pairing $K_{1}$. The pairing $K_{2}$ is defined by $K_{2}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)=\partial\left(B_{1} \boxtimes B_{2}\right)$ and one can easily prove that it is well-defined like $K_{1}$. $\Gamma_{p, q}^{\prime}$ denotes the subgroup of $\Gamma_{p+q-1}$ generated by the image of the pairing $K_{2}: \pi_{p-1}\left(S O_{q}\right) \times \pi_{q-1}\left(S O_{p}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{p+q-1}$. $\Gamma_{p, q}^{\prime \prime}$ denotes the subgroup of $\Gamma_{p, q}^{\prime}$ generated by the image of the restricted pairing $K_{2}^{\prime}: \pi_{p-1}\left(S O_{q}\right) \times s \pi_{q-1}\left(S O_{p-1}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{p+q-1}$ where $s$ is a natural map $s: \pi_{q-1}\left(S O_{p-1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}\left(S O_{p}\right)$.

Then the following theorems will be proved.
Theorem A. Let $M^{m}$ be the simply connected $\pi$-manifold with $H_{i}\left(M^{m}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0, p, q, p+q=m$. Then $I\left(M^{m}\right) \subset \Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime}$ for $p<q-1, q<2 p$.

Theorem B. There exists a manifold $M^{m}$ such that $\Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime \prime}=I\left(M^{m}\right)$ for $p<q, p+q=m$.

Theorem C. $K_{1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right), \tilde{S}^{q+1}\right)=I\left(S^{p} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$, for $p \neq q, p+q \geqq 4$.
Corollary 1. Let $\tilde{S}^{14} \neq S^{14}$. Then $I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{14}\right)$ contains $\tilde{S}^{17}$ which does not belong to $\Theta_{17}(\partial \pi)$.

Corollary 2. Let $\tilde{S}^{10}$ be the generator of the 3 -component of $\Theta_{10} \cong Z_{2} \oplus Z_{3}$. Then $I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{10}\right)$ is equal to $\Theta_{13}$.

Corollary 3. $I\left(S^{p} \times S^{q}\right)=0$ for $p+q \geqq 5$ therefore $I\left(S^{3} \times S^{14}\right) \neq I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{14}\right)$ and $I\left(S^{3} \times S^{10}\right) \neq I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{10}\right)$. These show that the inertia group is neither $P L$ homeomorphism invariant nor tangential homotopy equivalence invariant and that the conjecture of Novikov is negative.

Corollary 4. If $\widetilde{S}^{q}$ is embeddable in $M^{p+q}$ with trivial normal bundle, then $I(M)$ contains $K_{1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(S O_{q}\right), \tilde{S}^{q}\right)$. (Cf. Theorem of Munkres in [7].)

Remark. Smooth structures on $S^{p} \times S^{q}$ are completely classified by combining Theorem C and the Novikov's work [10].

## § 3. A lemma.

In this section we assume $p<q$. Let $c_{1}$ be the zero cross section of the bundle ( $B_{2}, S^{q+1}, D^{p+1}, p_{2}$ ). If the characteristic map $h_{2}$ of $B_{2}$ is contained in Image $s$ where $s: \pi_{q}\left(S O_{p}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q}\left(S O_{p+1}\right)$, then we can write $B_{2}=B_{2}^{\prime} \oplus 0_{1}$ where $0_{1}$ denotes the trivial 1 -disk bundle and $B_{2}^{\prime}$ is $D^{p}$ bundle over $S^{a+1}$ with the characteristic map $h_{2}^{\prime} \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q}\right)$ such that $s h_{2}^{\prime}=h_{2}$. The trivial 1-disk bundle $0_{1}$ can be written as $0_{1}=S^{q+1} \times I[-1,1]$. Let $c_{1}^{\prime}$ be the zero cross section of $B_{2}^{\prime}$ and $c_{2}$ the cross section of $B_{2}$ which is written as $c_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \frac{1}{2}$ using the above expression.

In $B_{2}$, we take two tubular neighborhoods $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ respectively such that $T_{1} \cap T_{2}=\phi, T_{1} \subset \operatorname{Int} B_{2}, T_{2} \subset \operatorname{Int} B_{2}$ and $T_{1} \cap D_{+}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1}$ $=D_{\uparrow}^{q+1} \times U_{\varepsilon}, T_{2} \cap D_{+}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1}=D_{+}^{q+1} \times U_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ where $D_{+}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1}$ denotes the first part of $B_{2}$ and $U_{\varepsilon}$ and $U_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ are $\varepsilon$ neighborhoods of $0 \times 0$ and $0 \times \frac{1}{2}$ in $D^{p} \times I[-1,1]$ $=D^{p+1}$ respectively.

Since $c_{2}$ is diffeotopic to $c_{1}, T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are diffeomorphic to $B_{2}$. Let $X=B_{1} \geqslant B_{2}$. We connect $\partial T_{1}$ and $\partial X$ by an imbedding $l: I[0,1] \rightarrow X$ such that $l(\operatorname{Int} I) \cap T_{1}=\phi, \quad l(I) \cap T_{2}=\phi, \quad l(\operatorname{Int} I) \cap \partial X=\phi, \quad l(I) \cap T_{1}=l(0), l(I) \cap \partial X=l(1)$ and $l(I)$ is contained in $\left(\operatorname{Int} D^{q+1}\right) \times D^{p+1}$. We take a tubular neighborhood $T$ of $l(I)$ in $X$-Int $T_{1}-T_{2}$, which is clearly diffeomorphic to $I \times D^{p+q+1}$. Let $T_{1}^{\prime}$ $=T_{1} \cup T$. Let $Y$ denote $X-\left(\operatorname{Int} T_{1}^{\prime} \cup l(1) \times \operatorname{Int} D^{p+q+1}\right)$.

Lemma. $Y$ is diffeomorphic to $T_{2}$ for $\operatorname{dim} Y \geqq 6$.
Proof. In case where $p>1$. According to Smale [10], if the natural inclusion $\iota: T_{2} \rightarrow Y$ induces a homotopy equivalence and $\pi_{1}\left(Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(\partial T_{2}\right)$ $=\pi_{1}(\partial Y)=\{1\}$, then $T_{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $Y$. It is easy to see that $\pi_{1}\left(Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}\right)$ $=\pi_{1}\left(\partial T_{2}\right)=\pi_{1}(\partial Y)=\{1\}$. Firstly we prove that $\iota: T_{2} \rightarrow Y$ induces an isomorphism of homology groups. We put $Y^{\prime}=\partial T_{1}^{\prime}-(l(1) \times \operatorname{Int} D)$.

We shall examine the next commutative diagram.

where $H_{i}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H_{i}\left(X, T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ by the excision isomorphism.
$1 \leqq i \leqq q$ : It is easy to see that $H_{i}(Y) \approx 0$ from the diagram (*).
$i=q+1$ : In the diagram (*), putting $i=q+1$, the isomorphisms $H_{q+1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ $\approx H_{q+1}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \approx Z$ and $H_{q}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \approx H_{q}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \approx 0$ hold. Hence we obtain the isomorphisms $H_{q+1}(Y) \approx H_{q+1}(X) \approx Z$ by the five lemma. The composition $\iota^{\prime} \circ \iota$ of the natural
maps $H_{q+1}\left(T_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota} H_{q+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\iota^{\prime}} H_{q+1}(X)$ is an isomorphism and $\iota^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism from the above. Consequently $c$ is an isomorphism.
$q+2 \leqq i$ : The isomorphisms $H_{i}(Y) \approx H_{i}(X) \approx 0$ are easily deduced from the diagram (*) likewise. On the other hand $H_{i}\left(T_{2}\right)$ is zero except for $i=0$, $q+1$. Thus we can conclude that the natural inclusion $\iota: T_{2} \rightarrow Y$ induces the isomorphism of homology groups. Hence the natural inclusion $\ell^{\prime \prime}: \partial T_{2} \rightarrow$ $Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}$ induces the isomorphism of homology groups by the excision isomorphism i.e. $\iota^{\prime \prime}$ gives a homotopy equivalence. Making use of the PoincaréLefschetz duality theorem, the natural inclusion $\iota^{\prime \prime \prime}: \partial Y \rightarrow Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}$ induces the isomorphism of homology groups i.e. $\iota^{\prime \prime \prime}$ gives a homotopy equivalence (see J. H. C. Whitehead [19]). Hence $\left(Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}, \partial T_{2}, \partial Y\right)$ is an $h$-cobordism and $T_{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $Y$.

In case where $p=1$ :
Since $\pi_{q}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)=0$ for $q \geqq 2$, one has $T_{2}=S^{q+1} \times D^{2}$. Since $\pi_{1}(X)=\{1\}$ and $Y=X-\left(\operatorname{Int} T_{1}^{\prime} \cup l(1) \times \operatorname{Int} D^{q+2}\right)$, any element of $\pi_{1}(Y)$ is homotopic into $\partial T_{1}^{\prime}-l(1) \times \operatorname{Int} D^{q+2}$ by the Van Kampen's theorem. As the generator of $\pi_{1}\left(\partial T_{1}^{\prime}-l(1) \times \operatorname{Int} D^{q+2}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(\partial T_{1}^{\prime}-\operatorname{Int} D^{q+2}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(S^{q+1} \times S^{1}-\operatorname{Int} D^{q+2}\right) \cong Z$, one can take a fibre $* \times S^{1}$ of $\partial T_{1}^{\prime}$. But this is homotopic to $\partial D^{2} \times 0$ when we write $B_{1}$ as $D^{2} \times D^{q+1} \cup D^{2} \times D^{q+1}$ and homotopic to zero in $Y$. On the other hand, one has $\pi_{1}\left(\partial T_{2}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(S^{q+1} \times S^{1}\right) \cong Z$ and $\pi_{1}(\partial Y)=\pi_{1}\left(\partial T_{1}^{\prime} \# \partial X\right) \cong Z$. Since the generator of $\pi_{1}(\partial Y)$ is homotopic to the generator of $\pi_{1}\left(\partial T_{2}\right)$ in $\left(Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}\right)$ and $\pi_{1}(Y)=\{1\}$ we obtain $\pi_{1}\left(Y\right.$-Int $\left.T_{2}\right) \cong Z$. Apparently inclusions of universal coverings $\partial \widetilde{T}_{2} \rightarrow \widehat{Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}}$ and $\widetilde{\partial} \rightarrow \widehat{Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}}$ induce homology isomorphisms. Thus inclusions $\partial T_{2} \rightarrow Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}$ and $\partial Y \rightarrow Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}$ are homotopy equivalences (see J. H. C. Whitehead [19]). When $\pi_{1} \cong Z$, Whitehead group is trivial and $s$-cobordism theorem (M. Kervaire [4]) implies that $Y-\operatorname{Int} T_{2}=\partial T_{2} \times I$. Consequentely $Y$ is diffeomorphic to $T_{2}$. This completes the proof of Lemma.

## § 4. Proof of Theorems.

(a) Proof of Theorem A. Firstly we shall prove this theorem when $M^{m}$ bounds a $\pi$-manifold $W^{m+1}$ which is $\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]$-connected. If $\tilde{S} \in I(M)$, there exists a diffeomorphism $f: M^{m}-\operatorname{Int} D^{m} \rightarrow M^{m}-\operatorname{Int} D^{m}$ such that $f \mid \partial D^{m} \in \Gamma_{m}$ represents $\tilde{S}$ (see I. Tamura [13]). (Here we identified $\Gamma_{m}$ and $\Theta_{m}$ by the theorem of Smale.) Using this diffeomorphism $f$, we construct a manifold $W \bigcup_{f} W$ which is denoted by $X$. Clearly the boundary $\partial X$ is diffeomorphic to $\tilde{S}$. One has easily $\pi_{1}(X)=\{1\}$ by the Van Kampen's theorem. Making use of the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence $\rightarrow H_{i}(M-$ Int $D) \rightarrow H_{i}(W) \oplus H_{i}(W) \rightarrow H_{i}(X) \rightarrow$
$H_{i-1}(M-\operatorname{Int} D) \rightarrow$ and the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality theorem, $H_{i}(W) \cong$ $H^{m+1-i}(W, M)$, it is easy to see that

$$
H_{i}(X) \approx \begin{cases}Z & i=0 \\ Z \oplus \cdots \oplus Z & i=p+1, q \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $a_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, a_{k}^{\prime} \in H_{p}(M)$ and $b_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, b_{k}^{\prime} \in H_{q}(M)$ (for some $k$ ) be bases whose intersection numbers are $a_{i}^{\prime} \circ b_{j}^{\prime}=\delta_{i j}$. Let $f_{i}^{\prime}: S^{p} \rightarrow M, i=1, \cdots, k$ be the mapping such that $\left[f_{i}^{\prime}\left(S^{p}\right)\right]=a_{i}^{\prime}$ where $\left[f_{i}^{\prime}\left(S^{p}\right)\right]$ denotes the homology class represented by $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(S^{p}\right)$. By Whitney's imbedding Theorem [20], we may suppose that $f_{i}^{\prime}$ $(i=1, \cdots, k)$ are imbeddings and $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(S^{p}\right) \cap f_{j}^{\prime}\left(S^{p}\right)=\phi \quad i \neq j$. Let $i: M-\operatorname{Int} D \rightarrow W$ be a natural inclusion map. Since $\boldsymbol{i}_{*}\left[f_{i}^{\prime}\left(S^{p}\right)\right]=0$ and $\boldsymbol{i}_{*} f_{*}\left[f_{i}^{\prime}\left(S^{p}\right)\right]=0$, we can extend $f_{i}^{\prime}$ and $f \circ f_{i}^{\prime}$ to $f_{i}^{+}: D_{+}^{p+1} \rightarrow W$ and $f_{i}^{-}: D^{p+1} \rightarrow W$. These give an imbedding $f_{i}: \widetilde{S}^{p+1} \rightarrow X$ such that $\left[f_{i}\left(\widetilde{S}^{p+1}\right)\right]=a_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ is a generator of $H_{p+1}(X)$ such that $\partial_{*} a_{i}=a_{i}^{\prime}$ where $\partial_{*}$ is a boundary homomorphism of Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence :

Here we may assume that $\widetilde{S}^{p+1}$ is a natural sphere and $f_{i}\left(S^{p+1}\right) \cap f_{j}\left(S^{p+1}\right)=\phi$ $i \neq j$. Let $N\left(f_{i}\right)$ be a tubular neighborhood of $f_{i}\left(S^{p+1}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Int} X(i=1, \cdots, k)$ such that $N\left(f_{i}\right) \cap N\left(f_{j}\right)=\phi \quad i \neq j . \quad N\left(f_{i}\right)$ is a $D^{q}$-bundle over $S^{p+1} ;\left(N\left(f_{i}\right), S^{p+1}\right.$, $\left.D^{q}, \bar{p}_{i}\right)$. Let $\hat{X}=N\left(f_{1}\right) \natural \cdots \emptyset\left(f_{k}\right) \subset$ Int $X$ be a boundary connected sum of $N\left(f_{1}\right), \cdots, N\left(f_{k}\right)$ in Int $X$. According to Smale [12], we have a handlebody decomposition as follows:

$$
X=\left(N\left(f_{1}\right) \natural \cdots \text { h } N\left(f_{k}\right)\right) \cup D_{1}^{q} \times D_{1}^{p+1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{k}^{q} \times D_{k}^{p+1},
$$

and that we can suppose that the handle $D_{i}^{q} \times D_{i}^{p+1}$ represents the homology class $b_{i}(i=1, \cdots, k)$ where $b_{i}$ denotes the image of $b_{i}^{\prime}$ by the natural isomorphism $H_{q}(M) \underset{\approx}{\approx} H_{q}(X)$. Thus the homotopy type of $X$ is given by $X \simeq S^{p+1} \vee$ $\cdots \vee S_{k}^{p+1} \cup e_{1}^{q} \cup \cdots \cup e_{k}^{q}$. Since each $e_{i}^{q}$ attaches to equators of $S_{1}^{p+1} \vee \cdots \vee S_{k}^{p+1}$, $X$ has the homotopy type $X \simeq S_{1}^{p+1} \vee \cdots \vee S_{k}^{p+1} \vee S_{1}^{q} \vee \cdots \vee S_{k}^{q}$. According to I. Tamura [15], $X$ can be written as $\left(N\left(f_{1}\right) \triangleq N\left(g_{1}\right)\right)$ দ $\cdots$ 夕 $\left(N\left(f_{k}\right) \triangleq N\left(g_{k}\right)\right)$. (Where $g_{i}$ is an imbedding of the homology generator $b_{i}$.) Since we can write $\partial\left(N\left(f_{i}\right) \triangleq N\left(g_{i}\right)\right)=K_{2}\left(h_{1}^{i}, h_{2}^{i}\right)$ where $h_{1}^{i} \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q}\right), h_{2}^{i} \in \pi_{q-1}\left(S O_{p+1}\right)$ are characteristic maps of the bundles $N\left(f_{i}\right)$ and $N\left(g_{i}\right)$ respectively, $I\left(M^{m}\right) \subset \Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime}$. Thus Theorem A is proved when $M^{m}$ bounds a $\pi$-manifold $W^{m+1}$ which is $\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]$-con-
nected．
Secondly we shall prove that the general case is reduced to the case above． One has easily that $I(M)=I(M \# \tilde{S})$ and $I(M)+I\left(M^{\prime}\right) \subset I\left(M \# M^{\prime}\right)$ ，therefore if one proves that $M \# \tilde{S}$ or $M \# M \# \tilde{S}$ bounds a $\pi$－manifold $W$ which is $\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]$－connected，the proof of Theorem A is complete．If $m \neq 8 k+6$ ，there exists a homotopy sphere $\tilde{S}$ such that $M \# \tilde{S}$ is a boundary of a $\pi$－manifold $W$ ． （Cf．E．H．Brown and F．P．Peterson［2］．）

If $m=8 k+6$ there exists a homotopy sphere such that $M \# \tilde{S}$ or $M \# M \# \tilde{S}$ is a boundary of a $\pi$－manifold $W$ ．

At first we will kill the fundamental group of $W . H_{i}\left(W^{m+1}\right)$ for $i \leqq\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]-1$ can be killed by surgeries inductively．

Case $m+1=2 n+1$ ．Since $H_{n}(\partial W) \approx H_{n+1}(\partial W) \approx 0, H_{n}(W)$ can be killed（see C．T．C．Wall［17］）．

Case $m+1=4 n$ ，there exists a $\pi$－manifold $W^{\prime}$ such that index $W^{\prime}=-$ index $W$ and $\partial W^{\prime}$ is a homotopy sphere and that $H_{i}\left(W^{\prime}\right)=0$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq 2 n-1$ ．Since $H_{2 n}\left(\partial\left(W\right.\right.$ 亿 $\left.\left.W^{\prime}\right)\right) \approx H_{2 n-1}\left(\partial\left(W\right.\right.$ q $\left.\left.W^{\prime}\right)\right) \approx 0$ and index $W$ 亿 $W^{\prime}=0$ ，we can kill $H_{2 n}\left(W\right.$ 亿 $W^{\prime}$ ）completely by surgeries（see J．Milnor［9］）．

Case $m+1=4 n+2$ ．$\quad H_{2 n+1}\left(W\right.$ 亿 $W$ ）can be killed，since $H_{2 n+1}(\partial(W$ 亿 $W))$ ． $=H_{2 n+1}(M \# M) \approx 0, H_{2 n}(\partial(W$ 亿 $W))=H_{2 n}(M \# M) \approx 0$ and Arf invariant of $W$ 亿 $W$ is zero．Consequently $M \# \tilde{S}$ or $M \# M \# \tilde{S}$ for some $\widetilde{S}^{m}$ bounds a $\pi$－manifold $W^{m+1}$ which is $\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]$－connected．Thus Theorem A is completely proved．
（b）Proof of Theorem B．Let $\alpha_{i}=K_{2}\left(h_{1}^{i}, s h_{2}^{i}\right) \in \Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime \prime}$ for $h_{1}^{i} \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q}\right)$ $h_{2}^{i} \in \pi_{q-1}\left(S O_{p}\right) i=1, \cdots, k$ such that $\left\{\alpha_{i}(i=1, \cdots, k)\right\}$ generate $\Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime \prime}$ ．Let（ $B_{i}$ ， $S^{p+1}, D^{q}, p_{i}$ ）and（ $B_{i}^{\prime}, S^{q}, D^{p+1}, p_{i}^{\prime}$ ）be disk bundles over spheres with charac－ teristic maps $h_{1}^{i}$ ，shì respectively．We denote $B_{i} \triangleq B_{i}^{\prime}$ by $X_{i}$ ．By Lemma in $\S 3$ $X_{i}$ can be written as $T_{i} \bigcup_{F_{i}} T_{i}$ where $T_{i}$ is a disk bundle over sphere with characteristic map $s h_{2}^{i}$ and $F_{i}$ is an orientation reversing diffeomorphism $F_{i}: \partial T_{i}-\operatorname{Int} D \rightarrow \partial T_{i}-\operatorname{Int} D$ ．Since there is an orientation reversing diffeomor－ phism $R: T_{i} \rightarrow-T_{i}$ using a cross section，$\alpha_{i}=\partial X_{i}=\partial\left(T_{i} \bigcup_{F_{i}} T_{i}\right)=\partial\left(T_{i} \bigcup-T_{i}\right)$ ． Hence $I\left(\partial T_{i}\right)$ contains $\alpha_{i}$ ．Thus if we take $\partial T_{1} \# \cdots \# \partial T_{k}$ as $M, I(M)=I\left(\partial T_{1} \#\right.$ $\left.\cdots \# \partial T_{k}\right) \supset I\left(\partial T_{1}\right)+\cdots+I\left(\partial T_{k}\right)=\Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime \prime}$ ．Next we shall prove that reversed inclu－ sion $I(M) \subset \Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime \prime}$ holds．For any element $\alpha \in I(M)$ ，there is a diffeomorphism $F: M-\operatorname{Int} D \rightarrow M-\operatorname{Int} D$ ，such that $F \mid \partial D \in \Gamma_{p+q}$ represents $\alpha$ ．We put $T=T_{1}$ দ $\cdots \sharp T_{k}$ ．Then we construct a manifold $X$ such that $X=T \bigcup_{F} T$ where $F$ is the above map．Since $H_{i}(T)$ is clearly zero for $i \leqq\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right], X=T \bigcup_{F} T$ can be written as $X=\left(B_{1} \triangleq T_{1}\right) \mathfrak{H} \cdots\left(B_{k} \triangleq T_{k}\right)$ by the analogous method in the proof
of Theorem A, where $B_{i}$ is a disk bundle over sphere with some characteristic map $h_{1}^{\prime i} \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q}\right) \quad i=1, \cdots, k$. Hence $\alpha=\partial X$ is contained in $\Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $I(M)=\Gamma_{p+1, q}^{\prime \prime}$.
(c) Proof of Theorem C. When $p>q$, we have $S^{p} \times \tilde{S}^{q+1}=S^{p} \times S^{q+1}$. (See W.C. Hsiang and J. Levine and R.H. Szczarba [3].) From the proof of Corollary 3 in the later, we have $I\left(S^{p} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}\right)=I\left(S^{p} \times S^{q+1}\right)=\{0\}$. On the other hand $K_{1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right), \tilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$ is contained in $I\left(S^{p} \times \tilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$ by Lemma, Therefore Theorem C trivially holds when $p \geq q$. Now we may assume $p<q$. First we shall prove that $I\left(S^{p} \times \tilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$ contains $K_{1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right), \widetilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$. Let $\alpha=K_{1}\left(h, \tilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$ $\in K_{1}\left(\pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right), \widetilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$. We now construct two disk bundles, $B_{1}, B_{2}$ as follows. Let $\left(B_{1}, S^{p+1}, D^{q+1}, p_{1}\right)$ be a disk bundle with a characteristic map $h$, and ( $B_{2}$, $\tilde{S}^{q+1}, D^{p+1}, p_{2}$ ) be the trivial bundle over a homotopy sphere $\widetilde{S}^{q+1}$. On the other hand the pairing $K_{1}$ can be interpreted as follows. Let $r \in \Gamma_{q+1}$ be a corresponding element of $\widetilde{S}^{q+1} \in \Theta_{q+1}$. One defines the diffeomorphism $F^{\prime}: S^{p} \times S^{q}$ $\rightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q}$ by $F^{\prime}(x, y)=(x, r h(x) y)$. Attaching two manifolds $W_{1}=D^{p+1} \times S^{q}$ and $W_{2}=S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$ by the diffeomorphism $F^{\prime}: S^{p} \times S^{q} \rightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q}$, we have a homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{\prime}=D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \bigcup_{F^{\prime \prime}} S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$ for $p<q$. Then $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma$ by the diffeomorphism $f: D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \bigcup_{F} S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \bigcup_{F^{\prime}} S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$ defined by

$$
f=i d \times r^{-1} \quad \text { on } D^{p+1} \times S^{q}
$$

and

$$
f=i d \times i d \quad \text { on } S^{p} \times D^{q+1}
$$

It is easy to see that $f$ is a diffeomorphism between $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Let $G_{1}$ be a diffeomorphism $G_{1}: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$. defined by $G_{1}(x, y)=(x, h(x) y)$. Let $B_{1}=D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1} \bigcup_{G_{1}} D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$. Let $G_{2}$ be a diffeomorphism $G_{2}: S^{q} \times D^{p+1}$ $\rightarrow S^{q} \times D^{p+1}$ defined by $G_{2}(x, y)=(r(x), y)$. Let $B_{2}=D^{q+1} \times D^{p+1} \bigcup_{G_{2}} D_{\underline{q+1}}^{q+1} D^{p+1}$. We define $B_{1} \bigcirc B_{2}$ to be the oriented differentiable $(p+q+2)$-manifold obtained as a quotient space of $B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ by identifying $D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$ of $B_{1}$ and $D_{+}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1}$ of $B_{2}$ in such a way that $(x, y)=(y, x)\left(x \in D^{p+1}=D^{p+1}, y \in D^{q+1}=D_{+}^{q+1}\right)$. Let $G_{1}^{\prime}=G_{1} \mid S^{p} \times S^{q}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}=G_{2} \mid S^{q} \times S^{p}$. Using a diffeomorphism $R: S^{p} \times S^{q} \rightarrow S^{q} \times S^{p}$ defined by $R(x, y)=(y, x)$, we define $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}=R^{-1} G_{2}^{\prime} R$. Then we have $F^{\prime}=G_{2}^{\prime \prime} G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\partial\left(B_{1} \bigcirc B_{2}\right)=D_{+}^{p+1} \times S_{G_{2}^{\prime} G_{1}^{\prime}}^{\bigcup} D_{-}^{q+1} \times S^{p}=D_{+}^{p+1} \times S^{q} \bigcup_{F^{\prime}}^{\bigcup} D^{\underline{q}+1} \times S^{p}=\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma$. Thus $\alpha$ can be written as $\partial\left(B_{1} \geqslant B_{2}\right)$. Considering a trivial $S^{p}$ bundle over $\widetilde{S}^{q+1}$ in place of $S^{p}$ bundle over $S^{q+1}$ of Lemma, quite analogously, one has that $B_{1} \bigcirc B_{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $B_{2} \cup_{H} B_{2}$ where $H$ is a diffeomorphism $H: \partial B_{2}-\operatorname{Int} D \rightarrow \partial B_{2}-\operatorname{Int} D$.

Therefore $\alpha=\partial\left(B_{1} \triangleq B_{2}\right)=\partial\left(B_{2} \bigcup_{H} B_{2}\right)$ implies that the inertia group of $\partial B_{2}=$ $S^{p} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}$ contains $\alpha$. Conversely for any element $\alpha \in I\left(S^{p} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$, there is a diffeomorphism $H: S^{p} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}-$ Int $D \rightarrow S^{p} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}-$ Int $D$ such that $H \mid \partial D \in \Gamma_{p+q+1}$ represents $\alpha$. Using this diffeomorphism we construct a manifold $D^{p+1} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}$ $\bigcup_{H} D^{p+1} \times \widetilde{S}^{q+1}$ which is denoted by $X$. Clearly $\partial X=\alpha$ and like the proof of Theorem A, we can prove that $X$ can be written as $B_{1} \cong\left(\widetilde{S}^{q+1} \times D^{p+1}\right)$ where $B_{1}$ is a disk bundle over sphere with some characteristic map $h \in \pi_{p}\left(S O_{q+1}\right)$. This implies $\alpha=K_{1}\left(h, \widetilde{S}^{q+1}\right)$ and completes the proof of Theorem C.

## § 5. Proof of Corollaries.

(a) Proof of Corollary 1.

Since the pairing $K_{1}$ is equal to that of Novikov (see [11] p. 235), next diagram commutes up to sign.

where $G_{i}$ is the stable homotopy group $G_{i}=\pi_{i \cdots k}\left(S^{k}\right)$ and $\omega$ is the KervaireMilnor map [5] and $J_{p}$ denotes the Hopf-Whitehead homomorphism and $C$ is the composition.

The Kervaire-Milnor braid

shows that $\omega\left(\tilde{S}^{14}\right)$ (where $\tilde{S}^{14} \neq S^{14}$ ) is $\kappa$ or $\kappa+\sigma^{2}$ (in Toda's notation) since $\phi\left(\sigma^{2}\right) \neq 0$ (see Levine [8]). But according to Toda's tables [16], $\nu \circ\left(\kappa+\sigma^{2}\right)$
$=\nu \circ \kappa \not \equiv 0(\bmod \operatorname{Im} J)$. Hence $I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{14}\right)=K_{1}\left(\pi_{3}(S O), \tilde{S}^{14}\right)$ is not contained in $\Theta_{17}(\partial \pi)$. This makes the proof complete.
(b) Proof of Corollary 2. Analogously, for the generator $\widetilde{S}^{10}$ of the three component of $\Theta_{10}=Z_{2} \oplus Z_{3}, K_{1}\left(\pi_{3}(S O), \tilde{S}^{10}\right)=\Theta_{13}$ (cf. S. P. Novikov [11] and A. Kosinski [6]). So by Theorem C, we have that $I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{10}\right)$ is equal to the whole group $\Theta_{13}$.
(c) Proof of Corollary 3. Let $\widetilde{S}^{p+q} \in I\left(S^{p} \times S^{q}\right)$. Then there is a diffeomorphism $f: S^{p} \times S^{q}-\operatorname{Int} D \rightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q}-\operatorname{Int} D$ such that $f \mid \partial D \in \Gamma_{p+q}$ represents $\widetilde{S}^{p+q}$. We now construct a manifold $D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \bigcup_{J} S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$ which is denoted by $X$. If $p<q$, the homology groups of $X$ are zero except for dimension zero. On the other hand $\pi_{1}(\partial X)=\pi_{1}\left(\widetilde{S}^{p+q}\right)=\{1\}$. Hence $X$ is diffeomorphic to a disk and $\tilde{S}^{p+q}=\partial X$ is a natural sphere. This implies that $I\left(S^{p} \times S^{q}\right)=0$ for $p<q$. Making use of Kosinski's Theorem [6], and Wall's [18], $I\left(S^{p} \times S^{p}\right)=0$ is obtained for $2 p \geqq 6$. Therefore $I\left(S^{3} \times S^{14}\right) \neq I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{14}\right)$ and $I\left(S^{3} \times S^{10}\right) \neq I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{10}\right)$. These show that the inertia group is neither $P L$ homeomorphism invariant nor tangential homotopy equivalence invariant. Since $I\left(S^{3} \times \widetilde{S}^{14}\right)$ is not contained in $\Theta_{17}(\partial \pi)$, the conjecture of Novikov is negative.
(d) Proof of Corollary 4. This is obtained as an easy application of Theorem C.
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