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## Introduction.

Let $M$ be a complete Riemannian $n$-manifold isometrically imbedded into a complete Riemannian $(n+1)$-manifold $W$. Throughout this paper manifolds are always assumed to be connected and smooth. Furthermore we assume $n \geqq 2$, although some of our results are valid even for $n=1$. For each $x \in M$ there exists, up to parametrization, a unique geodesic $\tau_{x}$ of $W$ which cuts $M$ orthogonally at $x . M$ is called a transnormal hypersurface of $W$ if, for each pair $x, y \in M$, the relation $\tau_{x} \ni y$ implies that $\tau_{x}=\tau_{y}$, i. e. if each geodesic of $W$ which cuts $M$ orthogonally at some point cuts $M$ orthogonally at all points of intersection. As is well-known, every surface of constant width in the ordinary Euclidean space has this property ([6]), and it is a model of a transnormal hypersurface.

The order of a transnormal hypersurface, by which the hypersurface is globally characterized, is introduced in the following way. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $M$ by writing $x \sim y$ to mean $y \in \tau_{x}$. With respect to this relation, take the quotient space $\hat{M}=M / \sim$ and endow $\hat{M}$ with the quotient topology. We call $M$ an $r$-transnormal hypersurface if the natural projection $\psi$ of $M$ onto $\hat{M}$ is an $r$-fold (topological) covering map. The number $r$ is called the order of transnormality of $M$. It should be remarked that $\psi$ is not always a covering map. However, if $W$ is simply connected and of constant curvature, then $\psi$ is a covering map ([5]).

In [5], we have obtained the following results which determine topological structures of transnormal hypersurfaces.

Theorem A. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional transnormal hypersurface of $W$. Suppose that there exists a point $p$ of $M$ whose cut locus $C(p)$ in $W$ does not intersect $M: C(p) \cap M=\emptyset$. Then the following hold.
(i) If $M$ is 1-transnormal, then $M$ is homeomorphic to a Euclidean nspace $E^{n}$.

[^0](ii) If $M$ is compact and 2-transnormal, then $M$ is homeomorphic to a Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$.
(iii) If $M$ is compact and $r(<+\infty)$-transnormal, then the Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ of $M$ is either zero or $r$.

The main purpose of this paper is to study differential geometric structures of a compact 2 -transnormal hypersurface of a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold of constant curvature (in contrast to Theorem A (ii) which is of topological nature). In fact, we prove the following theorems.

ThEOREM B. Let $M$ be a compact 2 -transnormal hypersurface of a Euclidean $(n+1)$-space $E^{n+1}$.
(i) Then, at each point of $M$, with respect to the inward unit normal, every principal curvature of $M$ is greater than $1 / l$, where $l$ is the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $E^{n+1}$.
(ii) Let $k$ be a positive constant. In (i), if every principal curvature $\lambda$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \geqq k \quad(\text { resp. } 1 / l<\lambda \leqq k)
$$

at each point of $M$, then

$$
k \leqq 2 / l \quad(\text { resp. } k \geqq 2 / l) .
$$

(iii) In (i), if every principal curvature $\lambda$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \geqq 2 / l \quad(\text { or } 1 / l<\lambda \leqq 2 / l)
$$

at each point of $M$, then $M$ is totally umbilical and hence isometric to a Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$ of radius $l / 2$.

Theorem C. Let $M$ be a 2 -transnormal hypersurface of a Euclidean ( $n+1$ )sphere $S^{n+1}$ of radius 1. Suppose the diameter $l$ of $M$ as a subset of $S^{n+1}$ satisfies $0<l<\pi$.
(i) Then, at each point of $M$, with respect to the inward unit normal vector (cf. §1 for definition), every principal curvature of $M$ is greater than $\cot l$.
(ii) Let $k$ be a constant. In (i), if every principal curvature $\lambda$ of $M$ satisfies.

$$
\lambda \geqq k \quad(\text { resp. } \cot l<\lambda \leqq k)
$$

at each point of $M$, then

$$
k \leqq(1+\cos l) / \sin l \quad(\text { resp. } k \geqq(1+\cos l) / \sin l) .
$$

(iii) In (i), if every principal curvature $\lambda$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \geqq(1+\cos l) / \sin l \quad(\text { or } \cot l<\lambda \leqq(1+\cos l) / \sin l)
$$

at each point of $M$, then $M$ is totally umbilical and hence isometric to a Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$ of radius $\sin (l / 2)$.

Theorem D. Let $M$ be a compact 2-transnormal hypersurface of a hyperbolic ( $n+1$ )-space $H^{n+1}$ of constant curvature -1 .
(i) Then, at each point of $M$, with respect to the inward unit normal vector, every principal curvature of $M$ is greater than $\operatorname{coth} l$, where $l$ is the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $H^{n+1}$.
(ii) Let $k$ be a positive constant. In (i), if every principal curvature $\lambda$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \geqq k \quad(\text { resp } . \operatorname{coth} l<\lambda \leqq k)
$$

at each point of $M$, then

$$
k \leqq(1+\cosh l) / \sinh l \quad(\text { resp. } k \geqq(1+\cosh l) / \sinh l)
$$

(iii) In (i), if every principal curvature $\lambda$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \geqq(1+\cosh l) / \sinh l \quad(\text { or } \operatorname{coth} l<\lambda \leqq(1+\cosh l) / \sinh l)
$$

at each point of $M$, then $M$ is totally umbilical and isometric to a Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$ of radius $\sinh (l / 2)$.

The proofs of these theorems will be given separately in $\S \S 2,3$ and 4. I would like to express my hearty thanks to Professor M. Obata for his constant encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

## § 1. Preliminaries.

This section is devoted to a brief survey of the concepts and formulas used throughout the paper. Let $W$ be a complete Riemannian ( $n+1$ )-manifold with $n \geqq 2$. We denote by $T_{x} W$ the tangent space of $W$ at $x$ and by $\langle$,$\rangle the$ inner product on the tangent space. Let $M$ and $P$ be Riemannian submanifolds of $W$ and $\tau$ a geodesic segment perpendicular to $M$ and $P$ at its end points $\tau(0)$ and $\tau(b)$. Denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of $W$ and the second fundamental form of the submanifold under consideration by $R$ and $S$ respectively. Then the second variation of the arc length $l(\tau)$ of $\tau$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
l^{\prime \prime}(0)= & \left.\int_{0}^{b}\left(\left\langle V^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right\rangle(u)-\left\langle R\left(V, \tau_{*}\right) \tau_{*}, V\right\rangle(u)\right) d u+\left\langle\tau_{*}, \nabla_{v} V\right\rangle\right]_{0}^{b}  \tag{1.1}\\
= & -\int_{0}^{b}\left\langle V^{\prime \prime}+R\left(V, \tau_{*}\right) \tau_{*}, V\right\rangle(u) d u \\
& +\left\langle S_{\tau \cdot(b)} V(b)+V^{\prime}(b), V(b)\right\rangle-\left\langle S_{\tau_{*}(0)} V(0)+V^{\prime}(0), V(0)\right\rangle,
\end{align*}
$$

where $V$ is the associated variation vector field along $\tau$ whose values are everywhere orthogonal to the tangent vector $\tau_{*}$ of $\tau$, and $V^{\prime}$ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to $\tau_{*}$ (cf. [1]).

A smooth vector field $Y(t)$ along $\tau$ is called a Jacobi field if it satisfies the Jacobi equation

$$
Y^{\prime \prime}+R\left(Y, \tau_{*}\right) \tau_{*}=0
$$

A Jacobi field arises from the variation of $\tau$ whose longitudinal curves are always geodesics. A Jacobi field $Y$ along $\tau$ which is perpendicular to $\tau$ is said to be an $(M, \tau(0))$-Jacobi field when it satisfies the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(0) \in T_{\tau(0)} M \quad \text { and } \quad S_{\tau,(0)} Y(0)+Y^{\prime}(0) \in T_{\tau(0)} M^{\perp}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\perp$ means orthogonal complement in $T_{\tau(0)} W$. Geometrically, an $(M, \tau(0))$ Jacobi field is precisely the associated vector field of the variation of $\tau$ all of whose longitudinal curves are geodesics starting orthogonally from $M$ and parametrized by arc length ([1]).

Let $e$ be the restriction of the exponential map of $W$ to the normal bundle $(T M)^{\perp}$ of $M$ in $W$. Then a focal point of $M$ at $x$ is, by definition, a point $\eta \in T_{x} M^{\perp}$ at which the differential map of $e$ is singular, and $e(\eta)$ is called a focal point of $M$ along the geodesic $e(t \eta), t>0$. For a given geodesic $\tau$ starting orthogonally from $M, \tau(b)$ is known to be a focal point of $M$ along $\tau$ if and only if there exists an $(M, \tau(0))$-Jacobi field which vanishes at $b$. In particular, if $W$ is a Euclidean $(n+1)$-space $E^{n+1}$, then for a unit normal vector $\xi$ of $M$ at $x$ the point $e(t \xi)=x+t \xi$ is a focal point of $M$ at $x$ if and only if $t$ is a principal radius of curvature of $M$ at $x$ with respect to $\xi$ ([4]).

Suppose $M$ is an $r(<+\infty)$-transnormal hypersurface of $W$ and $p \in M$ satisfies the condition $C(p) \cap M=\emptyset$, where $C(p)$ denotes the cut locus of $p$ in $W$ (for the definition of $C(p)$, if necessary, see [3]). In the following, unless otherwise mentioned, we always assume that there exists at least one such a point $p$ for each transnormal $M$. By the distance function $\Lambda_{p}$ of $M$ we mean the real valued smooth function on $M$ defined by

$$
\Lambda_{p}(x)=d(p, x)^{2}, \quad x \in M,
$$

where $d($,$) denotes the distance in W$. Note that $d(p, x)^{2}$ is nothing but the square of the length of the unique minimizing geodesic segment $\tau(p, x)$ of $W$ joining $p$ with $x$. Furthermore, a point $x \in M$ is a critical point of $\Lambda_{p}$ if and only if $\tau(p, x)$ is perpendicular to $M$ at $x$ and then at $p$ due to the transnormality of $M$. It is known that $\Lambda_{p}$ is a Morse function and the number of its critical points coincides with the order $r$ of transnormality of $M$ ([5]). Theorem A is an implication of this property together with elementary parts of the Morse theory.

If, in particular, $M$ is compact and 2 -transnormal, and $W$ is a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, then for each $x \in M$ there exists exactly one point $\tilde{x} \in M$ such that the length of the minimizing geodesic segment $\tau(x, \tilde{x})$ joining $x$ with $\tilde{x}$ equals the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $W$ (cf. [5]). In this case, $\tau(x, \tilde{x})$ is perpendicular to $M$ at both
of its end points. We call $\tilde{x} \in M$ the antipodal point of $x \in M$ and the initial vector $\tau_{*}(0)$ of $\tau(x, \tilde{x})$ the inward unit normal vector at $x$.

In general, a hypersurface $M$ of $W$ is said to be convex at $x \in M$ if the second fundamental form $S$ of $M$ is (positive or negative) definite at $x$, or equivalently if, in a neighborhood of $x, x$ is the only one point of $M$ that lies on the hypersurface of $W$ which is tangent to $M$ at $x$ and is totally geodesic in the neighborhood. $M$ is called a convex hypersurface of $W$ if it is convex at every point.

## § 2. Compact 2-transnormal hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space.

First we deal with a compact 2-transnormal hypersurface $M$ of a Euclidean $(n+1)$-space $E^{n+1}$.

Let $p \in M$ and consider the distance function $\Lambda_{p}(x)=d(p, x)^{2}$ on $M$. Note that the cut locus $C(p)$ of $p$ is empty and then $C(p) \cap M=\emptyset$. At a critical point $x$ of $\Lambda_{p}$, the Hessian $H$ of $\Lambda_{p}$, which is a symmetric bilinear form on $T_{x} M$, is given by

$$
H(X, Y)=2\left\langle\left(I-l S_{\xi}\right) X, Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in T_{x} M,
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity transformation and $\xi$ is the unit vector defined by $p=x+l \xi, l>0$ ([4]). It should be remarked that $\xi$ is normal to $M$ and thus $l$ coincides with the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $E^{n+1}$.

The clue to the proof of Theorem B is the following
Lemma 1. If $\lambda$ is a non-zero principal curvature of $M$ at $x$ with respect to the inward unit normal $\xi$, then

$$
\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda /(\lambda l-1)
$$

is a principal curvature of $M$ at $\tilde{x}$ with respect to $-\xi$, where $\tilde{x}$ is the antipodal point of $x$, and $l$ is the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $E^{n+1}$.

Proof. Since $\lambda$ is a non-zero principal curvature of $M$ at $x$ with respect to $\xi$, the point $x+\lambda^{-1} \xi$ is a focal point of $M$ at $x$. It is easily seen that each focal point of $M$ at $x$ is also a focal point of $M$ at $\tilde{x}$, because $M$ is a transnormal hypersurface. In fact, we have only to note that each ( $M, x$ ) - Jacobi field is also an ( $M, \tilde{x}$ ) -Jacobi field. Thus $x+\lambda^{-1} \xi$ is a focal point of $M$ at $\tilde{x}$ as well. So there exists a principal curvature $\tilde{\lambda}$ of $M$ at $\tilde{x}$ such that

$$
\tilde{x}-\tilde{\lambda}^{-1} \xi=x+\lambda^{-1} \xi .
$$

From this equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-1}+\tilde{\lambda}^{-1}=l, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the length of the vector $\tilde{x}-x$ attains the diameter $l$ of $M$. Rewriting
(2.1), we get the lemma. Here we note that

$$
\lambda l-1>0,
$$

which is shown in the proof of Theorem B (i).
Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem B. (i) Choose a point $x \in M$ arbitrarily, and let $\tilde{x}$ be the antipodal point of $x$. Remark that $\tilde{x}=x+l \xi$ where $\xi$ is the inward unit normal of $M$ at $x$. Then the Hessian $H$ of the distance function $\Lambda_{\tilde{x}}$ at $x$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X, Y)=2\left\langle\left(I-l S_{\xi}\right) X, Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in T_{x} M . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M$ is compact and 2 -transnormal, $\Lambda_{\tilde{x}}$ takes its maximum at $x$, which is a nondegenerate critical point of $\Lambda_{\tilde{x}}$ ([5]). Hence $H$ is negative definite at $x$, i. e. every eigenvalue of $S_{\xi}$ is greater than $1 / l$.
(ii) Let $\lambda$ be a principal curvature of $M$ at $x$ in (i), and consider the case $\lambda \geqq k$. By Lemma 1, $\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda /(\lambda l-1)$ is a principal curvature of $M$ at $\tilde{x}$. Thus from the assumption we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda}{\lambda l-1} \geqq k, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

noticing the choice of unit normals in (i). Assume that (ii) is false, i.e. $k>2 / l$. Then $\lambda>2 / l$, and (2.3) asserts

$$
\frac{\lambda}{\lambda l-1}>\frac{2}{l} .
$$

This is, however, a contradiction, because the last inequality reduces to $\lambda<2 / l$.

The proof for the case $1 / l<\lambda \leqq k$ is accomplished in a similar way.
(iii) We prove here only the case $\lambda \geqq 2 / l$. The assumption $\lambda \geqq 2 / l$ leads to

$$
\frac{\lambda}{\lambda l-1} \geqq \frac{2}{l}
$$

for the same reason as in the proof of (ii). From these inequalities, we get

$$
\lambda=2 / l,
$$

which shows that $M$ is totally umbilical, and this completes the proof (cf. [3]).

As a corollary of Theorem B (i), we obtain
Proposition 1. Let $M$ be a compact 2-transnormal hypersurface of a Euclidean $(n+1)$-space $E^{n+1}$. Then the following hold.
(i) $M$ is a convex hypersurface of $E^{n+1}$, and then $M$ has positive sectional curvature everywhere.
(ii) $M$ is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$.
(iii) The total curvature of $M$ is 2 .

Proof. (i) is a direct consequence of Theorem B (i). From (i) we have (ii) as well as (iii). See, for example, [3].

## § 3. 2-transnormal hypersurfaces in a sphere.

In this section we investigate the case where $M$ is a 2 -transnormal hypersurface of a Euclidean $(n+1)$-sphere $S^{n+1}$ of radius 1 . Note that such $M$ must be closed in $S^{n+1}$ and in consequence compact ([5]). Suppose that the diameter $l$ of $M$ as a subset of $S^{n+1}$ is less than $\pi$, then the cut locus $C(p)$ of $p \in M$ in $S^{n+1}$ does not intersect $M: C(p) \cap M=\emptyset$. Unless otherwise stated, this assumption on the diameter is always made throughout the rest of this section.

Fix a point $p \in M$ arbitrarily and consider the distance function $\Lambda_{p}(x)$ $=d(p, x)^{2}$ on $M$. Let $x \in M$ be a critical point of $\Lambda_{p}$ and $\tau(p, x)$ the minimizing geodesic segment in $S^{n+1}$ joining $p$ with $x$. Recall that $\tau(p, x)$ is perpendicular to $M$ at $x$ as well as at $p$, and then the length of $\tau(p, x)$ equals the diameter $l$ of $M$. The Hessian $H$ of $\Lambda_{p}$ at $x$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X, Y)=2 l\left\langle\left(\cot l \cdot I-S_{-\tau_{*}(l)}\right) X, Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in T_{x} M \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula can be derived from the second variation formula (1.1). In fact, the calculation of the Hessian of $\Lambda_{p}$ corresponds to the second variation of the square of the length of $\tau(p, x)$ all of whose longitudinal curves are minimizing geodesics. On the other hand, it is well-known that on a unit sphere $S^{n+1}$ every Jacobi field $Y(t)$ along a geodesic $\tau(t)$ parametrized by arc length is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t)=A(t) \sin t+B(t) \cos t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are parallel vector fields along $\tau(t)$. In our case, the Jacobi field under consideration may be expressed in a more simplified form

$$
Y(t)=A(t) \sin t
$$

where $A(t)$ is a parallel vector field along $\tau(p, x)$ satisfying the condition $A(l) \in T_{x} M$, since $p$, one of the end points, is fixed under the variation of $\tau(p, x)$. From these facts, after a simple computation, we get the formula (3.1).

The bulk of the proof of Theorem C lies in the following
Lemma 2. Let $x \in M$ and $\tilde{x}$ be the antipodal point of $x$. Let $\tau$ be the minimizing geodesic in $S^{n+1}$ joining $x$ with $\tilde{x}$. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a principal curvature of $M$ at $x$ with respect to $\tau_{*}(0)$. Then

$$
\tilde{\lambda}=(\sin l+\lambda \cos l) /(\lambda \sin l-\cos l)
$$

is a principal curvature of $M$ at $\tilde{x}$ with respect to $-\tau_{*}(l)$, where $l$ is the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $S^{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $Y(t)=A(t) \sin t+B(t) \cos t$ be an $(M, x)$-Jacobi field along $\tau(t)$, $0 \leqq t \leqq l$, such that the parallel vector fields $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
A(0) \in T_{x} M, A(l) \in T_{\tilde{x}} M ; B(0) \in T_{x} M, B(l) \in T_{\tilde{x}} M ; \text { and }
$$

$B(0)$ is a principal vector corresponding to $\lambda$, i.e.

$$
S_{\tau *(0)} B(0)=\lambda B(0) .
$$

The existence of such $Y(t)$ is obvious. From the very definition of an $(M, x)$ Jacobi field, $Y(t)$ satisfies the boundary condition

$$
S_{\tau,(0)} Y(0)+Y^{\prime}(0) \in T_{x} M^{\perp} .
$$

This means that

$$
S_{\tau \cdot(0)} B(0)+A(0) \in T_{x} M \cap T_{x} M^{\perp}=\{0\} .
$$

Therefore $A(0)=-\lambda B(0)$, because $B(0)$ is a principal vector corresponding to $\lambda$. Consequently, we have

$$
Y(t)=(\cos t-\lambda \sin t) B(t) .
$$

Since $M$ is a transnormal hypersurface, every ( $M, x$ )-Jacobi field is also an ( $M, \tilde{x}$ )-Jacobi field. Thus, the above $Y(t)$ must satisfy the following boundary condition as well:

$$
S_{\tau,(l)} Y(l)+Y^{\prime}(l) \in T_{\tilde{x}} M^{\perp} .
$$

From this it follows that

$$
S_{-\tau_{0}(l)}(\lambda \sin l-\cos l) B(l)=(\sin l+\lambda \cos l) B(l) .
$$

As is shown in the proof of Theorem C (i),

$$
\lambda \sin l-\cos l>0,
$$

and thus the lemma is proved.
Q.E. D.

Now, we turn to
Proof of Theorem C. (i) Choose a point $x \in M$ arbitrarily, and let $\tilde{x}$ be the antipodal point of $x$. Let $\tau$ be the minimizing geodesic joining $x$ with $\tilde{x}$. Then the Hessian $H$ of the distance function $\Lambda_{\tilde{x}}$ at $x$ is given by

$$
H(X, Y)=2 l\left\langle\left(\cot l \cdot I-S_{\tau *(0)}\right) X, Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in T_{x} M
$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem $B(i)$, we can conclude that every eigenvalue of $S_{\tau,(0)}$ is greater than $\cot l$.
(ii) Let $\lambda$ be a principal curvature of $M$ at $x$ in (i). We need only to consider the case $\lambda \geqq k$, because the other case can be proved in parallel
with this one.
By Lemma 2 together with the assumption, we have

$$
\frac{\sin l+\lambda \cos l}{\lambda \sin l-\cos l} \geqq k,
$$

noticing the choice of unit normal vectors in (i). Suppose that (ii) is not valid, i.e. $k>(1+\cos l) / \sin l$. Then we get

$$
\lambda>\frac{1+\cos l}{\sin l} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\sin l+\lambda \cos l}{\lambda \sin l-\cos l}>\frac{1+\cos l}{\sin l} .
$$

However these inequalities contradict each other, because the last one reduces to

$$
\lambda<(1+\cos l) / \sin l .
$$

(iii) We have only to see that the assumption consequently yields

$$
\lambda=(1+\cos l) / \sin l,
$$

but it is straightforward. This equality completes the proof.
Q.E. D.

As a corollary of Theorem C (i), we get
Proposition 2. Let $M$ be a 2-transnormal hypersurface of a Euclidean $(n+1)$-sphere $S^{n+1}$ of radius 1. Suppose the diameter $l$ of $M$ as a subset of $S^{n+1}$ is less than $\pi / 2^{11}$. Then
(i) $M$ is a convex hypersurface of $S^{n+1}$, and hence every sectional curvature of $M$ is greater than 1, and
(ii) $M$ is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 of [2], (i) implies (ii), whereas (i) is obtained from Theorem C (i) because $l<\pi / 2$.

## §4. Compact 2-transnormal hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic space.

Finally we study a compact 2 -transnormal hypersurface $M$ of a hyperbolic $(n+1)$-space $H^{n+1}$ of constant curvature -1 . But, as one may immediately realize, the proof of Theorem D is quite similar to that of Theorem C as well as Theorem B. So, we describe here only the matters which are worth mentioning.

Let $p \in M$ be a fixed point and consider the distance function $\Lambda_{p}(x)=$ $d(p, x)^{2}$ on $M$. The cut locus $C(p)$ is empty due to the non-positiveness of the sectional curvature of $H^{n+1}$. At a critical point $x$, the Hessian $H$ of $\Lambda_{p}$ is given by

$$
H(X, Y)=2 l\left\langle\left(\operatorname{coth} l \cdot I-S_{-\tau_{*}(l)}\right) X, Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in T_{x} M
$$

1) As to the case $l>\pi / 2$, see $\S 5,2^{\circ}$.
where $\tau$ is the minimizing geodesic joining $p$ with $x$, and $l$ denotes the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $H^{n+1}$. This formula can be obtained from the second variation formula (1.1) and the fact that, in $H^{n+1}$ of constant curvature -1 , every Jacobi field $Y(t)$ along a geodesic $\tau(t)$ parametrized by arc length is written as

$$
Y(t)=A(t) \sinh t+B(t) \cosh t,
$$

where $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are parallel vector fields along $\tau(t)$.
The role played by Lemma 2 is replaced with the following
Lemma 3. Let $x \in M$ and $\tilde{x}$ be the antipodal point of $x$. Let $\tau$ be the minimizing geodesic in $H^{n+1}$ joining $x$ with $\tilde{x}$. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a principal curvature of $M$ at $x$ with respect to $\tau_{*}(0)$. Then

$$
\tilde{\lambda}=(\lambda \cosh l-\sinh l) /(\lambda \sinh l-\cosh l)
$$

is a principal curvature of $M$ at $\tilde{x}$ with respect to $-\tau_{*}(l)$, where $l$ is the diameter of $M$ as a subset of $H^{n+1}$.

We can prove this lemma by the same method as that of Lemma 2 with a slight modification. In the light of Lemma 3, the proof of Theorem D is now straightforward, and so we omit it. The following proposition is obtained as a corollary of Theorem D (i).

Proposition 3. Let $M$ be a compact 2-transnormal hypersurface of a hyperbolic $(n+1)$-space $H^{n+1}$ of constant curvature -1 .
(i) Then, $M$ is a convex hypersurface of $H^{n+1}$, and moreover has positive sectional curvature everywhere, and
(ii) $M$ is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$.

Here we remark that (ii) is an implication of (i). See, for example, [2].
Q.E.D.

## § 5. Concluding remarks.

$1^{\circ}$. As for the order of transnormality, we have proved in [5] the following theorem which states that 1- and 2-transnormal hypersurfaces cover a rather wide class of transnormal hypersurfaces.

Theorem E. Let $M$ be an $r(<+\infty)$-transnormal hypersurface of $W$. Suppose $W$ is simply connected and has non-positive sectional curvature everywhere. Then $r$ is either 1 or 2.
$2^{\circ}$. With regard to 2 -transnormal hypersurfaces in a unit sphere $S^{n+1}$, it can be observed without difficulty that there exists an example which is not convex and has a diameter $l>\pi / 2$. But, for a diameter $l<\pi / 2$, we have Proposition 2 which assures the convexity of $M$.
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