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We investigate the micro-local structure of a single pseudo-differential equation in a real domain under the assumption that their characteristic variety has singularities of normal crossing type. (Precise conditions are given in the below.)

We note that the micro-local structure of a single pseudo-differential equation of this type has been completely investigated in a complex domain by Kashiwara, Kawai and Oshima [2]. (See Theorem 1 in the below.)

The most interesting phenomenon peculiar to the problem in a real domain is that new invariant (the function $h$ appearing in Theorem 2) appears.

Firstly we recall the theorem which clarifies the structure in a complex domain of a single pseudo-differential equation $\mathscr{M}=\mathscr{P} / \mathscr{F}$ whose characteristic variety $V$ has the singularity of normal crossing type. Precise conditions on $\mathscr{M}$ and $V$ are the following:
(1) The symbol ideal $J$ of $g$ is reduced.
(2) $V$ has the form $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, where $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are regular submanifolds of a ( $2 n-1$ )-dimensional complex contact manifold ( $X^{c}, \omega$ ) and cross transversally.
(3) The canonical 1-form $\omega$ restricted to $V_{1} \cap V_{2}$ never vanishes.

Then a suitable "quantized" contact transformation will bring micro-locally the generator $P$ of $g$ to $z_{1} D_{1}+Q\left(z^{\prime}, D_{z^{\prime}}\right)$. Here $z^{\prime}$ and $D_{z^{\prime}}$ denote ( $z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}$ ) and $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n}}\right)$ respectively and $Q\left(z^{\prime}, D_{z^{\prime}}\right)$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order at most zero. (Theorem 3 of Kashiwara, Kawai and Oshima [2]). Moreover $\kappa=\sigma_{0}(Q) /\left.\left\{\zeta_{1}, z_{1}\right\}\right|_{V_{1} \cap V_{2}}$ is invariant under contact transformation. Then using this invariant $\kappa$ we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume conditions (1)~(3). Further assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(d \kappa \wedge \omega)\right|_{V_{1} \cap V_{2}} \neq 0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Then a suitable "quantized" contact transformation brings micro-locally the generator $P(z, D)$ of $g$ to $z_{1} D_{1}+z_{2}$.

Thus we have seen the micro-local structure of $\mathscr{M}$ satisfying conditions (1) $\sim(4)$ in a complex domain. So the most important step in the investigation of the structure of the microfunction solutions of $\mathscr{M}$ is to know the microlocal structure of $\mathscr{M}$ in a real domain. Hence in this note we concentrate ourselves to the study of real contact geometry related to the interaction of $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. As for the investigation of the structure of cohomology groups having microfunction solution sheaves of $\mathscr{M}$ as coefficients, we refer the reader to Kashiwara, Kawai and Oshima [2], [3].

The first case that we are concerned with is the following.
Theorem 2. Let $V_{j}=\left\{f_{j}=0\right\} \quad(j=1,2)$ be regular hypersurfaces of a complex contact manifold ( $X^{c}, \omega$ ), complexification of a purely imaginary contact manifold ( $X, \omega$ ). Assume following conditions (5) and (6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{f_{j}, f_{k}^{c}\right\} \neq 0(j, k=1,2) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{f_{j}, f_{k}\right\} \neq 0(j \neq k) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the definiteness' sake we assume that $\left\{f_{1}, f_{\mathrm{1}}^{c}\right\}>0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1} \cap V_{1}^{c}=V_{2} \cap V_{2}^{c} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then locally we may take $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ so that they satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{f_{1}, f_{\mathrm{i}}^{c}\right\}=1 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}=f_{1}-h f_{2}^{c} \text {, where } h \text { is real valued on } X \text { and }  \tag{8}\\
& \text { satisfies }\left\{f_{1}, h\right\}=\left\{f_{1}^{c}, h\right\}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $f^{c}(x, i \eta)$ denotes $\overline{f(\bar{x}, i \bar{\eta})}$ using the canonical coordinate system $(x, i \eta)$ on $X$.
Remark. Lemma 2.3.3 in Chapter III of Sato, Kawai and Kashiwara [4] says that we can choose a canonical coordinate system $(x, i \eta)$ on $X$ so that $f_{1}$ has the form

$$
\frac{\eta_{1}}{\sqrt{i \eta_{n}}}+x_{1} \sqrt{i \eta_{n}}
$$

near $(x, i \eta)=(0 ; i(0, \cdots, 0,1))$. Then condition (8) asserts that $f_{2}$ has the form

$$
\frac{\eta_{1}}{\sqrt{i \eta_{n}}}+h x_{1} \sqrt{i \eta_{n}}
$$

with $h=h\left(x_{n}-\frac{x_{1} \eta_{1}}{2 \eta_{n}}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}, \eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 2. All the problems in the below are considered in a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ in $V_{1} \cap V_{1}^{c}=V_{2} \cap V_{2}^{c} \subset X^{c}$. Firstly we note that we can find a holomorphic function $k$ so that $f_{2}=f_{1}-k f_{i}^{c}$ with $k \neq 0$ because of conditions (5) and (6). If we define $\theta_{1}$ so that it satisfies

$$
\exp \left(2 i \theta_{1}\right)=\frac{k}{\sqrt{k k^{c}}}
$$

and replace $f_{1}$ by $\exp \left(i \theta_{1}\right) f_{1}$, then the corresponding $k$, denoted by $k_{1}$ is real valued on $V_{2} \cap V_{2}^{c}$. Moreover, by solving the differential equation $\left\{f_{2}, k_{2}\right\}=0$ with initial data $k_{1}$ on $V_{2} \cap V_{2}^{c}$ and replacing $k_{1}$ by $k_{2}$, we may assume from the beginning that $f_{2}$ has the form $f_{1}-k f_{1}^{c}$ where $\left.k\right|_{V_{1} \cap V_{2}}$ is real and $k$ is constant along any bicharacteristics of $V_{2}$. In fact, it is sufficient to replace $f_{1}$ by $e^{i \theta_{2}} f_{1}$ with $\theta_{2}$ satisfying $\exp \left(2 i \theta_{2}\right)=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}$.

On the other hand the condition that $\left\{f_{1}, f_{1}^{c}\right\} \neq 0$ allows us to find $\varphi$ which is real valued on $X$ and satisfies $\left\{\varphi f_{1}, \varphi f_{1}^{c}\right\}=1$. (Lemma 2.3.3 in Chapter III of Sato, Kawai and Kashiwara [4]). Therefore we may assume from the beginning that $\left\{f_{1}, f_{1}^{c}\right\}=1$.

Now consider the function $k-k^{c}$. Since $\left\{f_{2}, f_{2}^{c}\right\} \neq 0$, we can find holomorphic functions $a$ and $b$ so that $k-k^{c}=a f_{2}+b f_{2}^{c}$. Then clearly we have $k-k^{c}$ $=\frac{a-b^{c}}{2} f_{2}+\frac{b-a^{c}}{2} f_{2}^{c}$, since $k-k^{c}=-b^{c} f_{2}-a^{c} f_{2}^{c}$.

Let us define $h_{0}$ by $k-\frac{a-b^{c}}{2} f_{2}$. The function $h_{0}$ thus defined clearly coincides with $k$ on $V_{2}=\left\{f_{2}=0\right\}$ and is real valued on $X$. It is also clear that $\left.\left\{f_{2}, h_{0}\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}=0$ because $\left.\left\{f_{2}, k\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}=0$.

Now consider the following first order differential equation (9).
(9)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{f_{1}^{c}, h\right\}=0 \\
\left.h\right|_{V_{2}}=\left.h_{0}\right|_{V_{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{2}\right\} \neq 0$, this constitutes a non-characteristic Cauchy problem for $h$. Therefore equation (9) admits a unique solution $h$. By the initial condition given in (9) asserts that $V_{2}=\left\{f_{1}-h f_{1}^{c}=0\right\}$ holds.

Now we want to show that the function $h$ thus defined satisfies condition (8).

In order to see this, we first note the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{f_{1}^{c},\left\{f_{1}, h\right\}\right\}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the Jacobi identity implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{f_{1}^{c},\left\{f_{1}, h\right\}\right\}=\left\{f_{1},\left\{h, f_{1}^{c}\right\}\right\}+\left\{h,\left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{1}\right\}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand equation (9) implies $\left\{h, f_{1}^{c}\right\}=0$ and the choice of $f_{1}$ explained before asserts $\left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{1}\right\}=-1$. Therefore (11) implies (10).

Moreover we can easily verify that $\left.\left\{f_{1}, h\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}=0$ holds. In fact, $\left.\left\{f_{1}, h\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}$ $=\left.\left\{f_{1}-h f_{1}^{c}, h\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}+\left.\left\{h f_{1}^{c}, h\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}=0$ holds, because $\left.\left\{f_{2}, h\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}=\left.\left\{f_{2}, h_{0}\right\}\right|_{V_{2}}=0$ and because $V_{2}=\left\{f_{1}-h f_{1}^{c}=0\right\}$.

Then, using again the fact that $\left\{f_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{c}}, f_{2}\right\} \neq 0$, we can conclude by the uniqueness of the solution of the non-characteristic Cauchy problem that $\left\{f_{1}, h\right\}=0$.

Lastly we show that $h=h^{c}$. As we have proved in the above, $\left\{f_{1}, h\right\}=$ $\left\{f_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}, h\right\}=0$. Therefore $\left\{f_{1}, h-h^{c}\right\}=\left\{f_{1}^{c}, h-h^{c}\right\}=0$ holds. On the other hand $\left.\left(h-h^{c}\right)\right|_{V_{2} \cap V_{2}^{c}}=\left.\left(h_{0}-h_{0}^{c}\right)\right|_{V_{2} \cap V_{2}^{c}}=0$. Moreover, as we see later in Lemma 3,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\} & \left\{f_{1}, f_{2}^{c}\right\}  \tag{12}\\
\left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{2}\right\} & \left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{2}^{c}\right\}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

holds.
Therefore the submanifold $V_{2} \cap V_{2}^{c}$ is non-characteristic with respect to the system of equations $\left\{f_{1}, u\right\}=\left\{f_{1}^{c}, u\right\}=0$. This fact implies that $h=h^{c}$ holds identically because of the uniqueness of solutions for non-characteristic Cauchy problem. This ends the proof of Theorem 2 except for the proof of the relation (12).

Lemma 3. Assumptions (5) and (6) imply (12).
Proof. Firstly note that assumptions (5) and (6) allow us to assume that $f_{1}$ takes the form $\eta_{1}-i x_{1} \eta_{n}$ and that $f_{2}$ takes the form $\eta_{1}-i x_{1} \varphi \eta_{n}$ with $\varphi=\varphi^{c}$ near $(x, i \eta)=(0, i(0, \cdots, 0,1))$.

In order to see this, we use the inhomogeneous coordinate system ( $x, p$ ), i. e., $p_{j}=-\eta_{j} / \eta_{n}(j=1, \cdots, n-1)$. Assumptions (5) and (6) then imply that $f_{2}$ has the form

$$
\left(p_{1}+\psi_{1} x_{1}\right) \pm i\left(\psi_{2} p_{1}+\theta x_{1}\right)
$$

where $\theta(0) \neq 0$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ and $\theta$ are real valued on $X$. Multiplying $f_{2}$ by $\left(1 \pm i \psi_{1} / \theta\right)$, we may assume from the beginning that $\psi_{1}=0$.

Now we try to find the required $\varphi$ by multiplying $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by $\left(1 \pm i \alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\left(1 \pm i \alpha_{2}\right)$. It is readily verified that $\alpha_{2} / \alpha_{1}$ can be taken to be $\varphi$ if $\alpha_{1}\left(1-\psi_{2} \alpha_{2}\right)$ $=\alpha_{2} \theta$ and $\alpha_{1} \theta=\psi_{2}+\alpha_{2}$ hold for $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ which are real valued on $X$. Direct calculations will show that it suffices to take

$$
\alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\psi_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{1-\psi_{2}^{2}-\theta^{2}+\sqrt{\left(\psi_{2}^{2}+\theta^{2}-1\right)^{2}+4 \psi_{2}^{2}}}{2 \psi_{2}} .
$$

Note that $\theta(0)^{2}-1 \neq 0$ if $\psi_{2}(0)=0$ by assumption (5). So $\alpha_{1}$, hence $\alpha_{2}$, is always well-defined and holomorphic. Clearly $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are real valued on $X$. Thus we have verified that $f_{2}$ may be chosen to be $\eta_{1}-i x_{1} \varphi \eta_{n}$ with $\varphi=\varphi^{c}$.

Now the direct calculations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\} & \left\{f_{1}, f_{2}^{c}\right\} \\
\left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{2}\right\} & \left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{2}^{c}\right\}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left|\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}\right|^{2}-\left|\left\{f_{1}^{c}, f_{2}\right\}\right| \\
& =\left|(1-\varphi) \eta_{n}\right|^{2}-\left|(1+\varphi) \eta_{n}\right|^{2}+O\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is real valued on $X$, this shows that (12) holds near $(x, i \eta)=$ ( $0, i(0, \cdots, 0,1)$ ).

This ends the proof of Lemma 3 and, at the same time, completes the proof of Theorem 2.

The case treated by Theorem 2 is, so to speak, the case of crossing of two characteristic varieties of Lewy-Mizohata type. The second case we treat in the following Theorem 4 is the case where a characteristic variety of LewyMizohata type and that of de Rham type cross.

Precise statement is the following.
THEOREM 4. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be regular hypersurfaces in $X^{c}$. Assume that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ intersect transversally and that $\left.\omega\right|_{V_{1} \mathrm{NV}_{2}} \neq 0$. Assume further that $V_{1}=\left\{f_{1}=0\right\}$ is real and that $V_{2}=\left\{f_{2}=0\right\}$ is of Lewy-Mizohata type, that is, $f_{1}=f_{1}^{c}$ and $\left\{f_{2}, f_{2}^{c}\right\} \neq 0$. Then we can find a suitable canonical coordinate system on $X$ so that $V_{1}=\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{\eta_{1} \pm i x_{1} \eta_{2}=0\right\}$ near $(x ;$ iŋ $)=(0 ; i(0,1,0, \cdots, 0))$. Here the sign in the defining function of $V_{2}$ is chosen according to that of $\left\{f_{2}, f_{2}^{c}\right\}$.

Proof. Under the assumptions of the theorem the real codimension of $V_{2} \cap X$ is 1 in $V_{1} \cap X$. This implies the existence of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ which satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}=h_{1}^{c} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{2}=h_{2}^{c} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=\left\{h_{1}=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{2}=\left\{h_{1}+i h_{2}=0\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V_{2}$ is of Lewy-Mizohata type, we can find $\varphi \neq 0$ so that $\left\{\varphi h_{1}, \varphi h_{2}\right\}$ $= \pm 1$ with $\varphi=\varphi^{c}$. Here the sign is that of $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\}$. (Lemma 2.3.3 in Chapter III of Sato, Kawai and Kashiwara [4]). Then $V_{1}=\left\{\varphi h_{1}=0\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{\varphi h_{1}+i \varphi h_{2}\right.$ $=0\}$ with $\left\{\varphi h_{1}, \varphi h_{2}\right\}= \pm 1$. This immediately implies that a suitable choice of canonical coordinate system of $X$ makes $V_{1}=\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{\eta_{1} \pm i x_{1} \eta_{2}=0\right\}$ near $(x, i \eta)=(0 ; i(0,1,0, \cdots, 0))$.

Before ending this note we mention the solvability of the pseudo-differential equation $P\left(x, D_{x}\right) u=f$ whose characteristic variety $V$ has the form $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ where $V_{j}$ satisfies the conditions posed in Theorem 4. We assume that the symbol ideal $J$ of $g=\mathscr{P} P$ is reduced.

In this case the most important point that makes the arguments simpler is the following observation:

The generator $P\left(x, D_{x}\right)$ of $g$ may be chosen to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}\left(D_{1}+\alpha x_{1} D_{2}\right)+\lambda\left(x_{2}-\frac{\alpha x_{1}^{2}}{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}, D_{2}, \cdots, D_{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha= \pm i$ and $\lambda$ is of order at most 0 .
This fact is an obvious consequence of Theorem 1, because the lower order term $\lambda$ of $P$ may be chosen to satisfy $\left[\lambda, x_{1}\right]=\left[\lambda, D_{1}+\alpha x_{1} D_{2}\right]=0$.

The expression of $P$ in the form (15) will allow one to construct the fundamental solution for $P$ if the principal symbol $\sigma_{0}(\lambda)$ of $\lambda$ restricted to $x_{1}=0$ does not attain integral values, that is, we can assert that the pseudo-differential equation $P\left(x, D_{x}\right) u=f$ is always solvable micro-locally as long as $\sigma_{0}(\lambda)\left(x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right.$, $\eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{n}$ ) does not attain integral values. In fact, it is possible to give the meaning to $x_{1+}^{\lambda}$ as a boundary value of a pseudo-differential operator if $\lambda$ is of order at most zero and is independent of $D_{1}$ (cf. Kashiwara and Kawai [1]). This topic will be discussed elsewhere.
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