

## Some remarks on simply invariant subspaces on compact abelian groups

By Jun-ichi TANAKA

(Received Jan. 17, 1977)

(Revised Sept. 28, 1977)

### § 1. Introduction.

Many results have recently been obtained concerning simply invariant subspaces on compact abelian groups. The most fundamental result in this direction is due to Helson [4] and states the existence of unitary functions in any simply invariant subspace on compact abelian group with archimedean ordered dual. In this paper we shall give among other things a generalization of this result of Helson's to the case of function algebras: Let  $A$  be a logmodular algebra and  $m$  a representing measure for  $A$ . If  $g$  is a function in  $L^2(m)$  whose zero-set is of measure zero, then the  $L^2(m)$ -closure of  $Ag$  contains unitary functions. Moreover we shall prove the following result concerning  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous cocycles of Helson [5]: Let  $M$  be a simply invariant subspace corresponding to a non-trivial  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous cocycle of some special form. Then  $M$  is generated by functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series.

### § 2. Preliminaries.

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $A$  a logmodular algebra on  $X$ . As is well-known ([1], [8]), every non-zero complex homomorphism of  $A$  has unique representing measure. Let  $m$  be a representing measure for  $A$ . Note that, if  $m$  is not a point mass on  $X$ , then  $m$  is a continuous measure. For each positive number  $p$ ,  $H^p(m)$  denotes the closure of  $A$  in the normed space  $L^p(X, m)$  and  $H^\infty(m)$  denotes the  $w^*$ -closure of  $A$  in  $L^\infty(X, m)$ . An *outer function*  $g$  in  $H^p(m)$  is a function in  $H^p(m)$  such that the closure of  $Ag$  in  $L^p(X, m)$  coincides with  $H^p(m)$  and a *unitary function*  $q$  is a function in  $L^\infty(X, m)$  with  $|q|=1$  almost everywhere. By an *invariant subspace* we mean a closed subspace  $M$  of  $L^2(X, m)$  such that  $AM \subset M$ . An invariant subspace  $M$  is *doubly invariant* if  $\bar{A}M \subset M$ . We shall call an invariant subspace  $M$  a *simply invariant* if  $M$  is not doubly invariant.

Next, let  $K$  be a compact abelian group, not a circle, dual to a subgroup

$\Gamma$  of the discrete real line  $R_d$ .  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the space of all continuous analytic functions on  $K$ , i. e., the set of all continuous functions on  $K$  whose Fourier coefficients  $a_\lambda$  vanish for all negative  $\lambda$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Dirichlet algebra, so is logmodular, and the normalized Haar measure  $\sigma$  on  $K$  is a representing measure for  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $T_t$  be the translation operator,

$$T_t f(x) = f(x + e_t),$$

where  $e_t$  is the element of  $K$  defined by  $e_t(\lambda) = e^{it\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda$  in  $\Gamma$ . The mapping from  $t$  to  $e_t$  embeds the real line  $R$  continuously onto a dense subgroup  $K_0$  of  $K$ . A family of unitary functions  $A = \{A_t\}$  in  $L^\infty(K, \sigma)$  with the following properties is called *cocycle*:

- (i)  $|A_t(x)| = 1$  almost everywhere,
- (ii)  $A_t$  moves continuously in  $L^2(K, \sigma)$  as a function of  $t$ ,
- (iii)  $A_{t+u} = A_t T_t A_u$  for each real  $t, u$  in  $R$ .

A cocycle is a *coboundary* if it is of the form  $\varphi(x) \cdot \overline{\varphi(x + e_t)}$ , where  $\varphi$  is a unitary function in  $L^\infty(K, \sigma)$ . A one to one correspondence was established in [3] between normalized simply invariant subspaces and cocycles on  $K$ .

In our discussion in the forthcoming sections, we frequently use the following lemma which is a corollary of Szgö's theorem.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A$  be a logmodular algebra on  $X$  and let  $m$  be a representing measure for  $A$ . If  $f$  is a function in  $L^2(X, m)$  such that  $\log|f|$  is summable, then  $f = ph$  with a unitary  $p$  in  $L^\infty(X, m)$  and an outer  $h$  in  $L^2(X, m)$ . The factoring is unique, up to a constant factor of modulus one.*

### § 3. Existence theorem.

Helson [4] showed that every simply invariant subspace on  $K$  contains a function  $f$  in  $L^2(K, \sigma)$  such that  $\log|f|$  is summable. We shall extend this and a few other results to the case of logmodular algebras. In 3.1, 3.2, 3.5, and 3.6 we assume that  $A$  is a logmodular algebra on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and  $m$  is a representing measure for  $A$ . For any  $g$  in  $L^2(X, m)$ ,  $M_g$  denotes the smallest invariant subspace containing  $g$ .

THEOREM 3.1. *If the zero-set of  $g$  in  $L^2(X, m)$  is of  $m$ -measure zero, then the invariant subspace  $M_g$  generated by  $g$  contains a function  $h$  such that  $\log|h|$  is summable.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *If the zero-set of  $g$  in  $L^2(X, m)$  is of  $m$ -measure zero, then  $M_g$  contains a unitary function.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 3.3 ([5; Chap. 2, 5, Lemma 1]). *Let  $\mu$  be the normalized Haar measure on  $T^\infty$ , the infinite dimensional torus, and  $\{a_n\}$  be any square-summable sequence of numbers. Then*

$$\int_{T^\infty} \log \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n e^{i\theta_n} \right| d\mu(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots) \geq \max \{ \log |a_n| : n=1, 2, 3, \dots \}.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $\nu$  be a bounded positive Borel regular measure on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , and let  $E$  be a Borel subset of  $X$ . If  $\nu$  is continuous, then, for any  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , there exists Borel subset  $F_\alpha$  of  $E$  such that  $\nu(F_\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \nu(E)$ .*

Lemma 3.4 is well-known, so we omit the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. We may assume that  $m$  is a continuous measure. Put  $Z(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) = 0\}$ . By hypothesis,  $m(Z(g)) = 0$ . Let  $p = \min(1, |g|^{-1})$ , then  $\log p$  is summable. Hence there is an outer function  $h$  such that  $|h| = p$  by Lemma 2.1. Since  $M_g = M_{hg}$  and  $hg$  is in  $L^\infty(X, m)$ , we may assume that  $g$  is in  $L^\infty(X, m)$ , and  $\|g\|_\infty = 1$ . We set

$$H_n = \{x \in X : 1/n \leq |g(x)| \leq 1\}.$$

Since the complement of  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty H_n$  is  $Z(g)$ ,  $m(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty H_n) = 1$ . Therefore there exists  $k_1$  such that  $m(H_{k_1}) > 1/2$ . We can choose a Borel subset  $G_1$  of  $H_{k_1}$  such that  $m(G_1) = 1/2$  by Lemma 3.4. By induction, it is not hard to find sequences  $\{k_n\}$  of indices and  $\{G_n\}$  of Borel sets such that

$$H_{k_n} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i \supset G_n, \quad m(G_n) = 2^{-n}.$$

We define  $p_n = \min(k_n^{-1}, |g|^{-1})$ , so  $\log p_n$  is summable. Hence there exists an outer function  $h_n$  in  $H^\infty(m)$  such that  $|h_n| = p_n$ . Note that  $h_n g$  is in  $M_g$  and  $|h_n g| = 1$  on  $G_n$ . Since  $\|h_n g\|_2 \leq 1$ , the function

$$F_\theta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-2} e^{i\theta_n} (h_n g)(x)$$

is in  $M_g$  for any point  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots)$  in  $T^\infty$ . By Fubini's theorem and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^\infty} \int_X \log |F_\theta(x)| dm(x) d\mu(\theta) &\geq \int_X \sup_n \log |n^{-2} (h_n g)(x)| dm(x) \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{G_n} \log(n^{-2}) dm(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \log(n^{-2}) 2^{-n} > -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\log |F_\theta(x)|$  is summable for  $\mu$ -almost all  $\theta$  in  $T^\infty$ . This completes the proof.

Next we shall give a generalization of one result in [4]. For any family  $\mathcal{F}$  of measurable functions, we write :

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \{|f| : f \text{ is in } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Suppose that  $H^\infty(m)$  is maximal among  $w^*$ -closed subalgebras of  $L^\infty(X, m)$ . If  $M$  is simply invariant subspace, then  $|M| = |H^2(m)|$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the set of all  $h$  in  $L^2(X, m)$  such that  $fh$  is in  $H^1(m)$  for all  $f$  in  $M$ . Then  $\tilde{M}$  is a simply invariant subspace. It follows from Szgö's theorem that the space of all bounded functions in  $M$  (resp.  $\tilde{M}$ ) is dense in  $M$  (resp.  $\tilde{M}$ ). Since  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  are simply invariant, we see that there exist a bounded function  $f$  in  $M$  and a bounded function  $g$  in  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $fg$  is not identically equal to zero. Since  $fg$  is in  $H^\infty(m)$ , it follows from [9; Theorem] that  $Z(f)$  and  $Z(g)$  are  $m$ -measure zero. Therefore, we see that both  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  have unitary functions by Corollary 3.2. Thus we have  $|M| = |H^2(m)|$ .

PROPOSITION 3.6. *If  $g$  is a continuous function such that the zero set of  $g$ ,  $Z(g)$ , is of  $m$ -measure zero, then  $M_g$  contains a continuous function  $h$  such that  $\log |h|$  is in  $L^1(X, m)$ .*

PROOF. We may assume that  $m$  is a continuous measure and  $\|g\|_\infty = 1$ . Since  $A$  is logmodular, for any positive real-valued continuous function  $p$  and any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $f$  in  $A$  such that  $\| |f| - p \|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . Let  $H_{k_n}$  and  $G_n$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Put  $h_n = \min(n, |g|^{-1})$ , so  $h_n$  is positive continuous function on  $X$ . Therefore there exists  $f_n$  in  $A$  such that  $\| |f_n| - h_n \|_\infty < 2^{-1}$ . Since

$$\|h_n|g| - |f_n g|\|_\infty < 2^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |h_{n_k} g| = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad G_k,$$

we have  $|f_{n_k} g| > 2^{-1}$  on  $G_k$ . On the other hand,  $\|f_n g\|_\infty < 3/2$ , so for any  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots)$  in  $T^\infty$ ,

$$F_\theta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} e^{i\theta_n} (f_n g)(x)$$

is a continuous function in  $M_g$ . And we can see  $\log |F_\theta(x)|$  is in  $L^1(X, m)$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $\theta$  by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof.

REMARK. We put  $X=K$ , a compact abelian group, not a circle, which has an archimedean ordered dual, then there exists a continuous function  $f$  such that

$$\rho(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log |f(x+e_t)| \frac{1}{1+t^2} dt > -\infty$$

and  $\log |f|$  is not in  $L^1(K, \sigma)$ . So  $M_f$  is simply invariant, for it is known that this is the case if and only if  $\rho(f) > -\infty$  (cf. [5; Theorem 22]). By Proposition 3.6, we see that  $M_f$  contains a continuous function  $h$  such that  $\log |h|$  is in  $L^1(K, \sigma)$ .

We can extend Theorem 3.1 to the case of  $w^*$ -Dirichlet algebras which were introduced by Srinivasan and Wang [10]. Recall that by definition a

$w^*$ -Dirichlet algebra is an algebra  $A$  of essentially bounded measurable function on a probability measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, m)$  such that  $A$  contains constant functions,  $A + \bar{A}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $L^\infty(X, m)$ , and  $m$  is multiplicative on  $A$  (cf. [10]). We define  $H^p(m)$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ , and invariant subspaces in the same way as in section 2.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let  $A$  be a  $w^*$ -Dirichlet algebra on a probability measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ . If the zero-set of  $g$  in  $L^2(X, m)$  is of  $m$ -measure zero, then  $M_g$  contains a function  $h$  in  $L^2(X, m)$  such that  $\log |h|$  is summable.*

PROOF. We may regard  $H^\infty(m)$  as a logmodular algebra on  $\Omega$  which is the maximal ideal space of  $L^\infty(X, m)$ . On the other hand, the zero-set of Gelfand transform of  $g$  has  $\hat{m}$ -measure zero, where  $\hat{m}$  is the Radonization of  $m$  (cf. [10; 2.4]). Therefore Proposition 3.7 follows from Theorem 3.1.

#### § 4. $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous cocycles.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the Banach algebra of all functions on  $K$  which have absolutely convergent Fourier series. A cocycle  $A = \{A_t\}$  is a  $\mathcal{A}_H$ -cocycle if there exist a unitary function  $q$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and a function  $m$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with Fourier coefficient  $m_\lambda$  satisfying

$$\sum_{0 < \lambda < 1} |m_\lambda \log \lambda| < \infty$$

such that

$$A(t, x) = \exp \left\{ i \int_0^t m(x + e_u) du \right\} \cdot q(x) \overline{q(x + e_t)}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{A}_H$ -cocycle is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous cocycle, i. e.,  $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $t$  in  $R$ . Helson [5; Theorem 31] has shown that any simply invariant subspace corresponding to  $\mathcal{A}_H$ -cocycle has non-null elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  (cf. [11; Theorem 2]). In this section we shall show that non-trivial invariant subspaces of this sort are generated by elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and give some remarks on closed ideals in function algebra  $\mathfrak{U}$  which consists of all generalized analytic functions.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $M$  be a simply invariant subspace corresponding to a non-trivial  $\mathcal{A}_H$ -cocycle. Then  $M$  is generated by two unitary functions in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following lemmas. The first one is a weaker version of [5; Theorem 32].

LEMMA 4.2. *If  $f$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}$  and non-vanishing on  $K$  (so  $\log |f|$  is in  $L^1(K, \sigma)$ ), then the unitary and outer factors of  $f$  are both in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

LEMMA 4.3. *If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are continuous functions which have no common zeros on  $K$ , then there exist trigonometric polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  such that  $p_1 f_1 + \dots + p_n f_n$  is non-vanishing on  $K$ .*

PROOF.  $C(K)$  denotes the space of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $K$ . Let  $J$  be the closed ideal of  $C(K)$  generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ . Since  $f_1, \dots, f_n$

have no common zeros and the maximal ideal space of  $C(K)$  is  $K$ ,  $J$  coincides with  $C(K)$ . Since the set of all trigonometric polynomials is dense in  $C(K)$ , it follows that there exist trigonometric polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  such that  $p_1 f_1 + \dots + p_n f_n$  is non-vanishing on  $K$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. We can find  $g$  in  $M$  such that  $g$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $g$  is orthogonal to  $\chi_\tau \cdot M$  for some positive  $\tau$  in  $\Gamma$  (cf. [5; Theorem 31]). Since

$$x + K_0 = \{x + e_t; t \text{ in } R\}$$

is dense in  $K$ , there exist  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  such that  $g, T_{t_1}g, \dots, T_{t_n}g$  have no common zeros. Since

$$A_t T_t g = - \int_0^\tau e^{it\lambda} dP_\lambda g$$

for the orthogonal projection  $P_\lambda$  from  $L^2(K, \sigma)$  to  $\chi_\lambda \cdot M$ , it follows that  $A_{t_1} T_{t_1} g, \dots, A_{t_n} T_{t_n} g$  are continuous functions in  $M$ . From Lemma 4.3, we have trigonometric polynomials  $p_0, \dots, p_n$  such that

$$F' = p_0 g + p_1 A_{t_1} T_{t_1} g + \dots + p_n A_{t_n} T_{t_n} g$$

is non-vanishing on  $K$ . Since  $p_0, \dots, p_n$  are trigonometric polynomials, there exists a positive  $\lambda$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\chi_\lambda p_0, \dots, \chi_\lambda p_n$  are analytic trigonometric polynomials. Hence  $F = \chi_\lambda \cdot F'$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A} \cap M$  which is orthogonal to  $\chi_{\tau+\lambda} \cdot M$ . We see that there exists a  $G$  in  $\mathcal{A} \cap M$  such that  $G$  is orthogonal to  $\chi_{\tau+\lambda} \cdot M$  and is not contained in  $\chi_\nu \cdot M$  for any positive  $\nu$  in  $\Gamma$ . In fact, if  $F$  is in  $\chi_{\nu_1} \cdot M$  for some positive  $\nu_1$  in  $\Gamma$ , then there exists a positive  $\mu_1$  such that  $F_1 = \bar{\chi}_{\mu_1} F$  is contained in  $M$  and not in  $\chi_{\nu_1} \cdot M$ . But  $F_1$  may be contained in  $\chi_{\nu_2} \cdot M$  where  $0 < \nu_2 < (1/2)\nu_1$ . Repeat the procedure to find a function  $F_2$  in  $M$  and is not in  $\chi_{\nu_2} \cdot M$ . We continue in this way infinitely if necessary.  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  denotes the norm of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and set

$$G = F + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a \cdot F_n 2^{-(n+1)} \|F_n\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$$

where  $a = \min \{|F(x)|; x \text{ in } K\}$ . Then it is not hard to see that  $G$  has the desired properties (cf. [11; Theorem 3]). Since  $\log |G|$  is summable,  $G = qh$  where  $q$  is unitary and  $h$  is outer. By Lemma 4.2,  $q$  and  $h$  are both elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ . So  $B(t, x) = A(t, x) \overline{q(x)} q(x + e_t)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous cocycle. By the same way as in the proof of [5; Theorem 26], we see that  $B(t, x)$  is a Blaschke cocycle such that the zeros of  $B(z, x)$  do not accumulate on the real axis for almost all  $x$ . From the proof of [5; Theorem 33], we can choose  $u$  in  $R$  such that  $q$  and  $A_u T_u q$  generate  $M$ . This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *There exist non-trivial analytic (Blaschke type)  $\mathcal{A}_H$ -cocycles.*

PROOF. We can construct non-trivial  $\mathcal{A}_H$ -cocycles by a method similar to

the one used in [6]. From the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have the existence of such cocycles.

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the function algebra which consists of all continuous analytic functions on  $K$ . Then there exists a closed ideal  $I$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that the  $L^2(K, \sigma)$ -closure of  $I$  has a non-trivial cocycle.*

**PROOF.** Let  $A = \{A_t\}$  be a non-trivial  $\mathcal{A}_H$ -cocycle which is analytic, and let  $M$  be the simply invariant subspace corresponding to  $\bar{A} = \{\bar{A}_t\}$ . Since  $A$  is analytic,  $M$  is contained in  $H^2(\sigma)$  (cf. [5; Theorem 21]). On the other hand,  $M$  is generated by elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  by Theorem 4.1. We set  $I$  is the set of all continuous functions in  $M$ . Then  $I$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which has desired properties.

**REMARK.** The closed ideals of the disc algebra are completely known (see [2]). But it must be difficult to describe the closed ideals of function algebra which consists of all generalized analytic functions by the similar way as in [2]. The corollary above shows that there exists an ideal whose  $L^2(K, \sigma)$ -closure is a peculiar invariant subspace.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the referee whose suggestions improved the paper very much.

### References

- [ 1 ] T. W. Gamelin, Uniform algebras, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.
- [ 2 ] M. Hasumi and T. P. Srinivasan, Invariant subspaces of continuous functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, 17 (1965), 643-651.
- [ 3 ] H. Helson, Compact groups with ordered duals, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 14 A (1965), 144-156.
- [ 4 ] H. Helson, Compact groups with ordered duals IV, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 5 (1973), 67-69.
- [ 5 ] H. Helson, Analyticity on compact abelian groups, *Algebras in Analysis*, Academic Press, 1975, 1-62.
- [ 6 ] H. Helson and J.-P. Kahane, Compact groups with ordered duals III, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 4 (1972), 573-575.
- [ 7 ] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
- [ 8 ] G. M. Leibowitz, *Lectures on Complex Function Algebras*, Scott-Foresman and Company, 1969.
- [ 9 ] P. S. Muhly, Maximal weak-\* Dirichlet algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36 (1972), 515-518.
- [10] T. P. Srinivasan and J. Wang, Weak-\* Dirichlet algebras, *Function algebras*, Scott-Foresman (Chicago), 1966, 216-249.
- [11] J. Tanaka, Simply invariant subspaces corresponding to continuous cocycles, preprint.

Jun-ichi TANAKA  
Department of Mathematics  
Tsuru University  
Tsuru, Yamanashi 402  
Japan

**Added in proof:** After this paper was submitted, the author has found another proof of Theorem 3.1. For the proof, see our paper: *A note on Helson's existence theorem*, which will appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.