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## § 1. Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is first to define a $Z$-invariant for involutions on homology 3 -spheres which have circles as the sets of fixed points. Our definition of the invariant is derived from Hirzebruch's formula about the signature of ramified coverings [2]. For the second we shall present examples of involutions which are distinguished by our invariant. Finally, as an application of this invariant, we shall show a theorem on 4 -dimensional homotopy smoothings. It will be proved that $\mathscr{H} \mathcal{S}\left(P^{2} \times D^{2}, \partial\right)$ has a nontrivial element.

## § 2. Definition of $\sigma(H, \tau)$.

We shall work in the smooth category. The following notations and conventions are used throughout the paper.

For an involution $T: X \rightarrow X, X / T$ denotes its orbit space, and Fix $T$ denotes the set of fixed points of $T$. When $A \subset X$ is invariant under $T$, we write $A / T$ instead of $A /(T \mid A)$. Let $i: X^{n} \rightarrow Y^{m}$ be an embedding of a compact oriented $n$-manifold $X$ into an $m$-manifold $Y$ such that $i^{-1}(\partial Y)=\partial X$. Then $[X, \partial X]$ denotes a homology class in $H_{n}(Y, \partial Y)$ represented by ( $X, \partial X$ ). As usual, $H_{n}(Y, \partial Y)$ means an $n$-dimensional integral homology group of ( $Y, \partial Y$ ). For a homology class $x, x^{2} \in Z$ denotes its self-intersection number whenever it is defined. Suppose that a manifold $X$ and its boundary $A$ are oriented, we write $\partial X=A$ when $\{$ the orientation of $A\} \times\{$ the outward normal vector\} coincides with the orientation of $X$.

Now we define $\sigma(H, \tau)$. Let $H^{3}$ be a homology 3 -sphere, that is, a closed 3 -manifold having an integral homology group isomorphic to that of a 3 -sphere. Let $\tau$ be a smooth involution on $H$ whose fixed points set $\mathrm{Fix} \tau$ is diffeomorphic to a circle $S^{1}$. For $(H, \tau)$, we define the signature $\sigma(H, \tau) \in Z$ using the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. $H / \tau$ is a homology 3 -sphere.

Proof. Because Fix $\tau$ is a codimension two submanifold in $H, H / \tau$ can be regarded as a manifold by the standard way. Let $p \in \operatorname{Fix} \tau$ and $\pi: H \rightarrow H / \tau$ be a canonical projection. For a given $x \in \pi_{1}(H / \tau, \pi(p))$, represent $x$ by a smooth loop $l:[0,1] \rightarrow H / \tau$ which intersects with (Fix $\tau) / \tau$ only at $\pi(p)$ and transversely. Then clearly $l$ can be "lifted" with respect to $\pi$, that is, there is a loop $l_{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow H$ such that $\pi \circ l_{1}=l$. Thus $\pi_{*}: \pi_{1}(H, p) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(H / \tau, \pi(p))$ is epic. Therefore $\pi_{*}: H_{1}(H) \rightarrow H_{1}(H / \tau)$ is also epic. From the assumption that $H_{1}(H)$ $=0, H_{1}(H / \tau)=0$ follows.

Lemma 2. For any $(H, \tau)$, there is a pair $(M, T)$ of an oriented compact 4-manifold $M$ and an orientation preserving involution $T$ on $M$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\partial(M, T)=(H, \tau)$.
(2) $\left\{i_{*}^{-1}[(\operatorname{Fix} T) / T, \partial]\right\}^{2}=0$ where $i_{*}: H_{2}(M / T) \rightarrow H_{2}(M / T, \partial)$ is an isomorphism induced from an inclusion map.
(3) $w_{2}(M)=w_{2}(M / T)=0$ where $w_{2}$ mean the second Stiefel-Whitney class.

Proof. It is well known that any orientable closed 3 -manifold bounds a simply connected parallelisable 4 -manifold (Refer to [4], p. 53). For $H / \tau$, we supply such a manifold $N$. Because $H / \tau$ is a homology 3-sphere, (Fix $\tau) / \tau \subset H / \tau$ bounds a connected Seifert surface, say $S_{1}$, in $H / \tau$. Push the interior of $S_{1}$ into the interior of $N$. As the result, we obtain a surface $S$ properly embedded in $N$ such that $\partial S=(\operatorname{Fix} \tau) / \tau$ and its trace $F$ of pushing is a 3-manifold embedded in $N$ having $S \cup S_{1}$ as a boundary (See Figure 1.).


Figure 1.
Consider the following diagram (*).


From the fact that normal bundles of (Fix $\tau) / \tau$ and $S$ in $H / \tau$ and $N$ are both trivial and the excision theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}(H / \tau, H / \tau-(\operatorname{Fix} \tau) / \tau) \cong H_{2}\left((\mathrm{Fix} \tau) / \tau \times D^{2},(\operatorname{Fix} \tau) / \tau \times S^{1}\right) \\
& H_{2}(N, N-S) \cong H_{2}\left(S \times D^{2}, S \times S^{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the homology groups above are generated by the image of the natural
maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}\left(D^{2}, S^{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2}\left((\text { Fix } \tau) / \tau \times D^{2},(\text { Fix } \tau) / \tau \times S^{1}\right) \\
& H_{2}\left(D^{2}, S^{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2}\left(S \times D^{2}, S \times S^{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

the map represented by the left vertical arrow in the diagram ( ${ }^{*}$ ) is an isomorphism. Furthermore, since $N-F$ is a deformation retract of $N, H_{2}(N-F) \rightarrow$ $H_{2}(N-S) \rightarrow H_{2}(N)$ is an isomorphism and $H_{2}(N, N-S) \rightarrow H_{1}(N-S)$ is a monomorphism. Hence $H_{1}(H / \tau-(\operatorname{Fix} \tau) / \tau) \rightarrow H_{1}(N-S) \cong Z$ induced from an inclusion is an isomorphism. Let $p: M \rightarrow N$ be a 2-fold ramified covering of $N$ with branching locus $S$ which corresponds to an epimorphism $\pi_{1}(N-S) \rightarrow H_{1}(N-S)$ $\rightarrow Z_{2}$. More precisely $M$ is constructed as follows. Let $N_{1}$ be a manifold obtained from $M$ cut open along $F$. Since $F$ is two-sided, we obtain cut ends $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. Let $N_{1}^{\prime}, F_{1}^{\prime}$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}$ be copies of $N_{1}, F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. Then $M$ is obtained from $N_{1}$ and $N_{1}^{\prime}$ by identifying $F_{1}$ with $F_{2}^{\prime}, F_{2}$ with $F_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $\pi_{1}(N)=\pi_{1}\left(N_{1}\right)$ $=\pi_{1}\left(N_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1, \pi_{1}(M)=1$ from van Kampen's theorem.

Let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be a covering transformation. We shall show that $(M, T)$ satisfies (1) $\sim(3)$. By the construction, we obtain

$$
\partial(M, T)=(H, \tau) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{i_{*}^{-1}[(\mathrm{Fix} T) / T, \partial]\right\}^{2}=\left\{i_{*}^{-1}[S, \partial]\right\}^{2}=\left\{i_{*}^{-1}\left[S_{1}, \partial\right]\right\}^{2}=0 .
$$

Let $\widetilde{S}=p^{-1}(S)$, and $N(\widetilde{S})$ be a tubular neighbourhood of $\tilde{S}$ in $M$. Let int $N(\widetilde{S})$ be interior of $N(\widetilde{S})$ in $M$ and $\delta N(\widetilde{S})=N(\widetilde{S})$-int $N(\widetilde{S})$. Consider the following sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{1}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(M-\operatorname{int} N(\widetilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(N(\widetilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{j} H^{1}\left(\delta N(\tilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{i} H^{2}\left(M-\operatorname{int} N(\widetilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) \oplus H^{2}\left(N(\widetilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking ( $H, \operatorname{Fix} \tau$ ) and $(M, \tilde{S})$ instead of $(H / \tau, \operatorname{Fix} \tau / \tau)$ and $(N, S)$, consider the similar diagram to (*). We obtain $H_{1}(M-\widetilde{S}) \cong Z$ and $H^{1}\left(M-\widetilde{S} ; Z_{2}\right)=H^{1}(M$-int $\left.N(\tilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right)=Z_{2}$. Since $H_{1}\left(\delta N(\tilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(\tilde{S} \times S^{1} ; Z_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(\tilde{S} ; Z_{2}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(S^{1} ; Z_{2}\right)$, $H^{1}\left(\delta N(\widetilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right)$ has the same rank as $H^{1}\left(M-\operatorname{int} N(\widetilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(N(\widetilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right)$ over $Z_{2}$. Furthermore $j$ is a monomorphism, since $H^{1}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right)=0$. Thus $j$ is an isomorphism, and then $i$ is a monomorphism. Note that $i=i_{1} \oplus\left(-i_{2}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}: H^{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(M \text {-int } N(\tilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) \text { and } \\
& i_{2}: H^{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(N(\tilde{S}) ; Z_{2}\right) \cong 0
\end{aligned}
$$

are induced maps from inclusions.
Since $i_{2}\left(w_{2}(M)\right)=w_{2}(M$-int $N(\widetilde{S}))=p^{*} w_{2}(N-p($ int $N(\tilde{S})))=0$, and $i_{2}\left(w_{2}(M)\right)=$ $w_{2}(N(\widetilde{S}))=0$, we obtain $w_{2}(M)=0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

We now define the signature $\sigma(H, \tau)$ for an involution $\tau$ on $H$ which has a circle as the set of fixed points.

Definition. For any $(H, \tau)$, take ( $M, T$ ) satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 2. Then we define

$$
\sigma(H, \tau)=\frac{1}{8}\{\operatorname{sign}(M)-2 \operatorname{sign}(M / T)\} \in Q
$$

where $\operatorname{sign}($ ) means the ordinary signature defined by the intersection form.
Then we can prove the following lemma.
Lemma 3. $\sigma(H, \tau)$ is well defined and $\sigma(H, \tau) \in Z$.
Proof. Let $(M, T)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ be pairs satisfying (1) and (2) in Lemma
2. Consider closed 4-manifold $M_{1}$ which consists of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ identified along their boundaries. Let $T_{1}$ be the involution on $M_{1}$ which is defined by $T_{1} \mid M=T$ and $T_{1} \mid M^{\prime}=T^{\prime}$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{*}: H_{2}(M / T) \longrightarrow H_{2}(M / T, \partial) \quad \text { and } \\
& i_{*}^{\prime}: H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} / T^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} / T^{\prime}, \partial\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

be isomorphisms induced from inclusion maps. From the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{i_{*}^{-1}[(\mathrm{Fix} T) / T, \partial]\right\}^{2}=\left\{i_{*}^{\prime-1}\left[\left(\mathrm{Fix}^{\prime}\right) / T^{\prime}, \partial\right]\right\}^{2}=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain $\left[\left(\operatorname{Fix} T_{1}\right) / T_{1}\right]^{2}=0$ because, up to sign,
$\left[\left(\operatorname{Fix} T_{1}\right) / T_{1}\right]^{2}$ equals to

$$
\left\{i_{*}^{-1}[(\mathrm{Fix} T) / T, \partial]\right\}^{2} \pm\left\{i_{*}^{\prime-1}\left[\left(\mathrm{Fix} T^{\prime}\right) / T^{\prime}, \partial\right]\right\}^{2} .
$$

Thus, applying Hirzebruch's theorem about the signature of ramified coverings [2], we obtain

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(M_{1}\right)-2 \operatorname{sign}\left(M_{1} / T_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

Then we have

$$
\operatorname{sign}(M)-2 \operatorname{sign}(M / T)=\operatorname{sign}\left(M^{\prime}\right)-2 \operatorname{sign}\left(M^{\prime} / T^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $\sigma(H, \tau)$ is well defined.
Let ( $M, T$ ) be a pair satisfying not only (1) and (2) but also (3) in Lemma 2. Then $\operatorname{sign}(M)$ and $\operatorname{sign}(M / T)$ is divisible by 8 because intersection forms of $M$ and $M / T$ are even unimodular. This completes the proof.

Now we define the cobordism group $\Omega$. Let ( $H_{1}, \tau_{1}$ ) and ( $H_{2}, \tau_{2}$ ) be involutions on homology 3 -spheres which have circles as the sets of fixed point. Then we say that they are cobordant in our sense when there is an involution $T$ on $M$ satisfying :
(1) $\partial(M, T)=\left(H_{1}, \tau_{1}\right) \cup\left(-H_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$,
(2) $M$ is an $H$-cobordism (i. e. a homology cobordism) between $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$,
(3) FixT is diffeomorphic to $S^{1} \times I$.

To define the connected sum of $\left(H_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and ( $H_{2}, \tau_{2}$ ), take an equivariant embedding

$$
h_{i}:\left(D^{3}, D^{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(H_{i}, \text { Fix } \tau_{i}\right) \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

Then, attach $H_{1}-h_{1}\left(\operatorname{int} D^{3}\right)$ and $H_{2}-h_{2}\left(\right.$ int $\left.D^{3}\right)$ along their boundaries with an equivariant orientation reversing diffeomorphism. By the standard argument, we can show that the connected sum operation is compatible with the cobordism relation and the set of cobordism classes $\Omega$ obtains abelian group structure. It is clear that the function $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow Z$ which assigns the signature $\sigma(H, \tau)$ to $[H, \tau] \in \Omega$, a class of $(H, \tau)$, is a well defined group homomorphism. In the following section, we shall show that $\sigma$ is an epimorphism.

## § 3. Examples.

In this section we shall show the existence of $(H, \tau)$ with $\sigma(H, \tau)=k$ for any integer $k$.

Let $V_{k}$ be the Brieskorn manifold defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{k}=\left\{(x, y, z) \equiv C^{3}\left|x^{2}+y^{3}+z^{6 k+1}=0,|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}+|z|^{2}=1\right\}\right. \\
& \qquad \text { for } k=0,1,2, \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\tau_{k}: V_{k} \rightarrow V_{k}$ be a map defined by

$$
\tau_{k}(x, y, z)=(-x, y, z) .
$$

Then it is well known that $V_{k}$ is a homology 3 -sphere (See e.g. [3]) and $\tau_{k}$ is a smooth involution with $\operatorname{Fix} \tau_{k}=V_{k} \cap\{x=0\} \cong S^{1}$. Let $t_{k}$ denote the torus knot of type $(3,6 k+1)$ in $S^{3}$ and $W_{k}$ be the 2 -fold branched covering space with branching locus $t_{k}$. Denote by $\sigma_{k}$ the covering transformation with respect to $W_{k}$. Then, as is well known [3], $\left(V_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\left(W_{k}, \sigma_{k}\right)$. For ( $W_{k}, \sigma_{k}$ ) $\sigma\left(W_{k}, \sigma_{k}\right.$ ) equals, up to sign, to $1 / 8$ \{the signature of the torus knot $\left.t_{k}\right\}=k$ (See [3].). Thus we have $\left|\sigma\left(V_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)\right|=k(k=0,1,2, \cdots)$. Concluding these, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow Z$ is an epimorphism.

## § 4. Some contractible 4-manifolds.

In this section we shall present an example of a homology 3 -sphere which bounds a contractible 4 -manifold. We shall use this example to construct a 4dimensional nontrivial homotopy smoothing in the following section.

Now we describe the spinnable structure of $V_{k}$. Recall that

$$
V_{k}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in C^{3}\left|x^{2}+y^{3}+z^{6 k+1}=0,|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}+|z|^{2}=1\right\} .\right.
$$

Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{k}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in V_{k} \mid z=0\right\}, \\
& F_{k}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in V_{k} \mid z \geqq 0\right\}, \\
& V=\left\{\left.(x, y) \in C^{2}| | x\right|^{2}+|y|^{2}=1\right\}, \\
& K=\left\{(x, y) \in V \mid x^{2}+y^{3}=0\right\}, \\
& F=\left\{(x, y) \in V \mid x^{2}+y^{3} \leqq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\psi_{k}: V_{k} \rightarrow V$ be a map defined by

$$
\psi_{k}(x, y, z)=\left(s^{1 / 2} x, s^{1 / 3} y\right)
$$

where $s$ is the unique positive real number satisfying the equation $|x|^{2} s+|y|^{2} s^{2 / 3}$ $=1$ (Refer to [5].).

Then, for $k \geqq 1, \psi_{k}$ is a ( $6 k+1$ )-fold cyclic branched covering map with $K$ $=\psi_{k}\left(K_{k}\right)$ as the branching locus. Furthermore $\psi_{k} \mid F_{k}: F_{k} \rightarrow F$ is a diffeomorphism. Note that $F$ is diffeomorphic to a punctured torus.

Now let $E_{k}$ and $E$ be mapping tori

$$
F_{k} \times[0,1] /((x, y, z), 0) \sim\left(\left(-x, \omega^{2} y, z\right), 1\right)
$$

and

$$
F \times[0,1] /((x, y), 0) \sim\left(\left(-x, \omega^{2} y\right), 1\right)
$$

where $\omega=\exp \frac{2}{3} \pi i$. Let consider the map $\varphi_{k}: E_{k} \rightarrow V_{k}$ defined by

$$
\varphi_{k}((x, y, z), t)=\left(x \cdot \exp (6 k+1) \pi i t, y \cdot \exp \frac{2}{3}(6 k+1) \pi i t, z \cdot \exp 2 \pi i t\right) .
$$

Then we obtain the following proposition by the same method as used in [1].
Proposition 2. $V_{k}$ is diffeomorphic to $E_{k} \cup \partial F_{k} \times D^{2}$ where an attaching diffeomorphism $h_{k}: \partial E_{k} \rightarrow \partial F_{k} \times S^{1}$ is defined by

$$
h_{k}((x, y, 0), t)=\left(\left(x \cdot \exp (6 k+1) \pi i t, y \cdot \exp \frac{2}{3}(6 k+1) \pi i t, 0\right), t\right) .
$$

Note that the diffeomorphism $\psi_{k} \mid F_{k}: F_{k} \rightarrow F$ induces the diffeomorphism from $E_{k}$ to $E$. With the identification $F_{k} \approx F$ and $E_{k} \approx E$, we have the following immediately.

Proposition 3. $\quad V_{k} \cong E \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{k}}} \partial F \times D^{2}$ where $f_{k}: \partial E \rightarrow \partial F \times S^{1}$ is defined by

$$
f_{k}((x, y), t)=\left(x \cdot \exp (6 k+1) \pi i t, y \cdot \exp \frac{2}{3}(6 k+1) \pi i t, t\right) .
$$

Now let $B^{2}$ be a 2-disk, and let $g: \partial B^{2} \rightarrow \partial F$ be a diffeomorphism. Let $M^{3}$ $=E \bigcup_{n} B^{2} \times S^{1}$ is defined by

$$
h((x, y), t)=\left(g\left(x \cdot \exp \pi i t, y \cdot \exp \frac{2}{3} \pi i t\right), t\right) .
$$

We denote by $T_{1}$ a torus in $M^{3}$ which consists of $F \times\{0\} \subset E \subset M$ and $B^{2} \times\{0\}$ $\subset M$. From Mayor-Vietoris' sequence for ( $M^{3} ; E, B^{2} \times S^{1}$ ) and the fact that $H_{*}(E)=H_{*}\left(S^{1}\right)$, we can show $M^{3}$ is a homology $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ and inclusion $j: T \rightarrow M$ induces an isomorphism $j^{*}: H_{2}(T) \rightarrow H_{2}(M)$. We can regard $V_{k}$ as the manifold obtained from $M$ by performing the surgery on $\{p\} \times S^{1}$ where $p \in \operatorname{int} B^{2}$. If we choose the standard framing decided by the product structure of $B^{2} \times S^{1}$, then we obtain $V_{0}$. Furthermore, if we choose the $k$-times twisted one, we obtain $V_{k}$. With respect to the orientation of $V_{k}$, we adopt the following convention. Define the orientation of $D^{4}=\left\{\left.(x, y) \in C^{2}| | x\right|^{2}+|y|^{2} \leqq 1\right\}$ as the induced one from the complex structure of $C^{2}$. We regard $V$ as oriented with the relation $\partial D^{4}=V$. Furthermore, as an orientation of $V_{k}$, we adopt the induced one from $V$ with $\psi_{k}: V_{k} \rightarrow V$.

Next we construct a cobordism between $V_{k}$ and $V_{0}$. Let $W_{k}$ be a manifold obtained from $M \times I$ by attaching two 2-handles at $B^{2} \times S^{1} \times\{0\}$ and $B^{2} \times S^{1} \times\{1\}$ with untwisted and $k$-twisted framings respectively. Then, clearly, $\pi_{1}\left(W_{k}\right)=1$ and components of $\partial W_{k}$ are $V_{0}$ and $V_{k}$. Since $V_{0}$ is diffeomorphic to a 3sphere, we can attach $D^{4}$ to $W_{k}$ along $V_{0}$. By $W_{k}^{\prime}$, we denote the resulting manifold. Now we survey 2-dimensional homology group of $W_{k}^{\prime}$. Denote by $S$ a 2 -sphere in $W_{k}^{\prime}$ which consist of the core of the attached 2 -handles and $\{p\}$ $\times S^{1} \times I \subset M \times I \subset W_{k}^{\prime}$. Denote by $T$ a torus in $M \times\{1 / 2\} \subset W_{k}^{\prime}$ defined by $T=T_{1}$ $\times\{1 / 2\}$. We denote by [S] and [T] the elements of $H_{2}\left(W_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ represented by 2-manifolds $S$ and $T$ with suitable orientations. By the easy computation we obtain that [S] and [ $T$ ] are generator of $H_{2}\left(W_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cong Z \oplus Z$. Furthermore, under the orientation of $W_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $\partial W_{k}=V_{k}$, the intersection matrix of $W_{k}^{\prime}$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

when we take $[S]$ and $[T]$ as a base.
Next we shall try to kill the element of $\pi_{2}\left(W_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cong H_{2}\left(W_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ which corresponds to [ $T$ ] by Hurewicz homomorphism. But, in general, it is impossible because, by Rochlin's theorem, $V_{k}$ does not bounds an acyclic 4 -manifold for $k$ odd. When $k=2$, we can do surgery to kill $\pi_{2}\left(W_{k}^{\prime}\right)$. Its proof occupies the remainder of this section.

Theorem 1. $V_{2}$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold.
Proof. We shall show that we can represent a homology class $[T] E$
$H_{2}\left(W_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ by an embedded sphere and, using this, we can kill [T]. For this reason, first we shall show that there is a menbrane $P$, that is, $P$ is an embedded 2-disk in $W_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $T \cap P=\partial P$, with index $i(P)=0$ (See [6] for a precise definition and fundamental properties of menbranes and their indices.). Moreover $P$ can be chosen so that $P \cap S=\emptyset$. The construction of $P$ is as follows.

First we describe in Figure 2 how $F_{0}$ and $K_{0}$ take their positions in $V_{0} \cong S^{3}$.


Figure 2.
Let $l$ and $m$ be loops on $F_{0}$ as in the figure above. Let push down the loop $m$ such that the resulting loop $m_{1}$ does not intersect with $l$ in $V_{0}$. Obviously $l$ is unknotted in $V_{0}$ and bounds 2-disk $D$ in $V_{0}$ such that $D \cap m_{1}=\emptyset$. We can regard $l \subset M$ because $l \subset E_{0} \approx E$ and $E \subset M$. Let $P_{0}=D \cup l \times[0,1] \subset W_{2}^{\prime}$. By smoothing $P_{0}$ near $l \times\{0\}$, we obtain a menbrane $P_{1}$. If we construct a new menbrane $P_{1}^{\prime}$ by moving $P_{1}$ with an isotopy to make $P_{1}^{\prime}$ intersect with $P_{1}$ transversely, then intersection number should be -1 . This shows that $i\left(P_{1}\right)=-1$. According to Rochlin [5], from $S \subset W_{2}^{\prime}$, we can make a menbrane $Q$ with $i(Q)=[S]^{2} \pm 1=2 \pm 1$ such that $\partial Q$ is a trivial loop on $T$. For our use we choose the case of $i(Q)$ $=2-1=1$. Then we connect $P_{1}$ and $Q$ to make a new menbrane $P$ with $i(P)$ $=0$. By this menbrane $P$ on $T$, we can construct an embedded 2 -sphere, say $S^{\prime}$, which represents [ $T$ ]. Since $S^{\prime}$ has a trivial normal bundle in $W_{2}^{\prime}$, we can perform surgery to kill $H_{2}\left(W_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. By $W$ we denote the resulting manifold. Clearly $W$ is acyclic.

The simply-connectivity of $W$ is shown as follows. It will be sufficient if we show that a fiber of a normal $S^{1}$-bundle of $S^{\prime} \subset W_{2}^{\prime}$ is null homotopic in $W_{2}^{\prime}-S^{\prime}$. Let $S_{1}$ be an embedded 2 -sphere which is isotopic to $S$. We can assume that $S_{1}$ intersects with $T$ at a point transversely, and intersects with


Figure 3.
$P$ at $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{i}, \cdots, p_{n}$ transversely. Let $q_{i, 1}$ and $q_{i, 2}$ are intersection points of $S$ and $S_{1}$ which correspond to $p_{i}$ (See Figure 3.). Let $l_{i}$ be a path in $S^{\prime}$ from $q_{i, 1}$ to $q_{i, 2}$. Let $M_{n}$ be a manifold made from $S_{1}$ by removing $D^{2}$-fiber at $q_{i, 1}$ and $q_{i, 2}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ of normal $D^{2}$-bundle of $S^{\prime}$ in $W_{2}^{\prime}$, and, in exchange, add normal $S^{1}$-bundle of $S^{\prime}$ in $W_{2}^{\prime}$ restricted on $l_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ (See Figure 4). Then


Figure 4.
the resulting manifold $M_{n}$ is a surface with genus $n$ which intersect with $S^{\prime}$ only at a point transversely.

Next we consider a loop $\bar{l}_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ which is constructed as follows. We choose a lifting $l_{i}^{\prime}$ of $l_{i}$ on $M_{n}$ and a path $n_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ in $M$ which joins an end point and a starting point of $l_{i}^{\prime}$ as in Figure 5. Let $\bar{l}_{i}=l_{i}^{\prime} \cup n_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$.


Figure 5.
By the suitable choice of $n_{i}$, we can assume that $i_{i}$ is freely homotopic to the loop $m_{1} \subset V_{0}$ in $W_{2}^{\prime}-S^{\prime}$ (note that the loop $l$ and $m$ in $V_{0}$ corresponds to the longitude and meridian of the torus $T$ ). But $m_{1}$ is null homotopic in $W_{2}^{\prime}-S^{\prime}$. Thus there is a 2 -disk (not necessarily embedded) in $W_{2}^{\prime}-S^{\prime}$ whose boundary is $\bar{l}_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$. Hence we obtain a 2 -sphere (not necessarily embedded) in $W_{2}^{\prime}$ which intersect $S^{\prime}$ at a point transversely. This shows that $\pi_{1}(W)=1$.
§ 5. A nontrivial element of $\mathscr{A C S}\left(P^{2} \times D^{2}, \partial\right)$.
As usual we denote a real projective plane by $P^{2}$. In this section we shall present a nontrivial element of $\mathscr{H} S\left(P^{2} \times D^{2}, \partial\right)$. As far as the auther knows, very few are known about homotopy smoothings of 4 -manifolds except for the case of $S^{1} \times S^{3} \# k\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ and $P^{4} \# k\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$. We construct a nontrivial element of $\mathscr{H} \mathcal{S}\left(P^{2} \times D^{2}, \partial\right)$ using the example presented in $\S 4$.

The construction is as follows. First we consider a "suspension" of $\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Let $\Sigma$ be a 4 -manifold which consists of two copies of $W$ in the proof of Theorem 1, attached by $\tau_{2}: \partial W \rightarrow \partial W$ along their boundaries. Define an involution $T$ on $\Sigma$ so that if $x$ belongs to the copy, then $T(x)$ may be a corresponding point in the other copy. Then we can easily show that $\Sigma$ is a homotopy 4 -sphere and $T$ is well defined involution on $\Sigma$. Furthermore, when we restrict $T$ on $V_{2} \sqsubset \Sigma$, we obtain $\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Let $S^{3}$ and $S^{4}$ be 3 and 4 -spheres, and $A_{0}$ and $A$ be standard involutions on $S^{3}$ and $S^{4}$ which have circles $S^{1} \subset S^{3} \subset S^{4}$ as sets of fixed points. We shall define an equivariant map $h:(\Sigma, T) \rightarrow\left(S^{4}, A\right)$ and show that $h$ has some exotic property.

Let $h_{0}:\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{3}, A_{0}\right)$ be an equivariant map which satisfies the following condition (1) and (2):
(1) For equivariant tubular neighbourhoods $N_{0}^{\prime}$ and $N_{0}$ of Fix $\tau_{2}$ and Fix $A_{0}$ in $V_{2}$ and $S^{3}$ respectively, $h_{0} \mid N_{0}^{\prime}: N_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow N_{0}$ is a diffeomorphism.
(2) $h_{0}\left(V_{2}\right.$-int $\left.N_{0}^{\prime}\right)=S^{3}$-int $N_{0}$.

It is easy to show that such $h_{0}$ exists because we can construct a map from $V_{2} / \tau_{2}$ to $S^{3} / A_{0}$ which satisfies the conditions similar to (1) and (2) above, then take the "lifting" of it as $h_{0}$.

We define $h:(\Sigma, T) \rightarrow\left(S^{4}, A\right)$ to be a "suspension" of $h_{0}$. More precisely, extend $h_{0}$ to a map from $W$ to $D^{4}$, and extend further to a map from $\Sigma$ to $S^{4}$ to be equivariant. With respect to $h$, we can assume the following (1) and (2).
(1) For equivariant tubular neighbourhoods $N^{\prime}$ and $N$ of $\operatorname{Fix} T$ and Fix $A$ in $\Sigma$ and $S^{4}, h \mid N^{\prime}: N^{\prime} \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism.
(2) $h(\Sigma-\operatorname{int} N)=S^{4}-\operatorname{int} N$.

Let $Q^{\prime}=\left(\Sigma\right.$-int $\left.N^{\prime}\right) / T$ and $Q=\left(S^{4}-\operatorname{int} N\right) / A$. Let $\bar{h}: Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q$ be the map induced from $h \mid\left(\Sigma\right.$-int $\left.N^{\prime}\right)$. By the short computation we can show that $h$ is a homotopy equivalent map of ( $Q^{\prime}, \partial$ ) and ( $Q, \partial$ ). Since $Q$ is diffeomorphic to $P^{2} \times D^{2}$, we can consider $\left(\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial\right), \bar{h}\right)$ as a homotopy smoothing of $\left(P^{2} \times D^{2}, \partial\right)$. Now we can state our result on 4 -dimensional homotopy smoothings.

Theorem 2. $\bar{h}:\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial\right) \rightarrow(Q, \partial)$ is not homotopic to a diffeomorphism fixing the boundary.

Identifying ( $Q, \partial$ ) with ( $P^{2} \times D^{2}, \partial$ ), we have the following corollary.
Corollary. There is a nontrivial element in $\mathfrak{A} \mathcal{S}\left(P^{2} \times D^{2}, \partial\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. We shall prove by reduction to absurdity. Suppose $\bar{h}$ is homotopic to a diffeomorphism by a homotopy $H: Q^{\prime} \times I \rightarrow Q$ where $H_{0}=\bar{h}$ and $H_{1}$ is a diffeomorphism and $H_{t}|\partial=\bar{h}| \partial$ for $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$. Let $Q_{0}=\left(S^{3}-\operatorname{int} N_{0}\right) / A_{0}$. Then $Q_{0}$ is a properly embedded submanifold in $Q$ and diffeomorphic to $S^{1} \times D^{2}$. We can assume $H$ is $t$-regular at $Q_{0}$. Let $E=H^{-1}\left(Q_{0}\right)$. Then we have :

LEMMA 4. $\quad w_{1}(E)=w_{2}(E)=0$.
Proof of Lemma 4. Let $\gamma, \eta$ be normal bundles of $E$ and $Q_{0}$ in $Q^{\prime} \times I$ and $Q$ respectively. Let $i: E \rightarrow Q^{\prime} \times I$ and $j: Q_{0} \rightarrow Q$ be inclusion maps. We have a commutative diagram


$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{*} W\left(Q^{\prime} \times I\right)=W(E) W(\gamma)=W(E)(H \mid E)^{*} W(\eta) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W($ ) means the total Stiefel-Whitney class.
Comparing 1 -dimensional classes in (**), we obtain $i^{*} w_{1}\left(Q^{\prime} \times I\right)=w_{1}(E)+$ $(H \mid E)^{*} w_{1}(\eta)$. Let $\alpha$ be the generator of $H^{1}\left(Q ; Z_{2}\right) \cong Z_{2}$. Since $w_{1}(\eta)=j^{*} \alpha$ and $w_{1}\left(Q^{\prime} \times I\right)=H^{*} w_{1}(Q)=H^{*} \alpha$, we have $w_{1}(E)=i^{*} w_{1}\left(Q^{\prime} \times I\right)+(H \mid E)^{*} w_{1}(\eta)=$ $i^{*} H^{*} \alpha+(H \mid E)^{*} j^{*} \alpha=2 i^{*} H^{*} \alpha=0$.

Comparing 2-dimensional classes in $\left(^{* *}\right)$, we have $i^{*} w_{2}\left(Q^{\prime} \times I\right)=w_{2}(E)$. From the homotopy invariance of $w_{2}, w_{2}\left(Q^{\prime} \times I\right)=H^{*} w_{2}(Q)$. Hence $i^{*} w_{2}\left(Q^{\prime} \times I\right)=$ $i^{*} H^{*} w_{2}(Q)=(H \mid E)^{*} j^{*} w_{2}(Q)$. Since $H^{2}\left(Q_{0} ; Z_{2}\right)=0, j^{*} w_{2}(Q)=0$, and we have $w_{2}(E)=0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4,

We proceed the proof of Theorem 2. Let $F$ be a subspace of $(\Sigma / T) \times I$ which is defined by $F=E \cup\left(N^{\prime} / \tau_{2}\right) \times I$ (See Figure 6.).


Figure 6.

Then $F$ has the structure of a 4-manifold and possesses the following properties in Lemma 5.

LEMMA 5. (1) $\partial F \cong V_{2} / \tau_{2} \cup S^{3} / A_{0}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(F)=w_{2}(F)=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{sign}(F) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 16$.
Proof of Lemma 5. From the construction, (1) and $w_{1}(F)=0$ hold. We shall prove $w_{2}(F)=0$. Consider the Mayor-Vietoris sequence and the diagram:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{1}\left(E ; Z_{2}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(\left(N_{0}^{\prime} / \tau_{2}\right) \times I ; Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{j} H^{1}\left(\partial\left(N_{0}^{\prime} / \tau_{2}\right) \times I ; Z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \\
H^{1}\left(\left(S^{3}-\operatorname{int} N_{0}\right) / A_{0} ; Z_{2}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(N_{0} / A_{0} ; Z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(N_{0} / A_{0} ; Z_{2}\right) \\
H^{2}\left(F ; Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{i} H^{2}\left(E ; Z_{2}\right) \oplus H^{2}\left(\left(N_{0}^{\prime} / \tau_{2}\right) \times I ; Z_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Observing the commutative diagram above, we obtain $j$ is epic and therefore $i$ is monic. Since $i_{1}^{*} w_{2}(F)=w_{2}(E)=0$ and $i_{2}^{*} w_{2}(F)=w_{2}\left(N_{0}^{\prime} / \tau_{2} \times I\right)=0$ for inclusion maps $i_{1}: E \rightarrow F$ and $i_{2}:\left(N_{0}^{\prime} / \tau_{2}\right) \times I \rightarrow F$, we have $w_{2}(F)=0$.

Since $V_{2} / \tau_{2}$ and $S^{3} / A_{0}$ are both diffeomorphic to 3 -spheres and $w_{2}(F)=0$, we can conclude that $\operatorname{sign}(F) \equiv 0$ mod 16 by the well known theorem of Rochlin. This completes the proof.

We return to the proof of Theorem 2. Let $\pi: \Sigma \times I \rightarrow(\Sigma / T) \times I$ be a canonical projection and let $\tilde{F}=\pi^{-1}(F)$. Then $\tilde{F}$ is a branched covering space on $F$ with $\left(\left(\right.\right.$ Fix $\left.\left.\tau_{2}\right) \times I\right) \subset\left(N_{0}^{\prime} / \tau_{2}\right) \times I \subset F$ as branching locus. $\tilde{F}$ separates $\Sigma \times I$ into two parts, say $X$ and $T \times i d_{I}(X)$, such that $X \cap T \times i d_{I}(X)=\tilde{F}$ (See Figure 7.).


Figure 7.
Let $U=X \cap \Sigma \times\{1\}$. Note that $U$ is diffeomorphic to a 4-disk. Then, the 4-manifold $W \cup \tilde{F} \cup U$ bounds a compact oriented 5 -manifold $X$. Therefore $\operatorname{sign}(W \cup \tilde{F} \cup U)=0$. Hence $\operatorname{sign}(\tilde{F})=0$. Since $\partial(\tilde{F}, T) \cong\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right) \cup\left(S^{3}, A_{0}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(S^{3}, A_{0}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\sigma\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\{\operatorname{sign}(\tilde{F})-\operatorname{sign}(F)\} \equiv 0 \bmod 4 .
$$

But, as we proved in $\S 3, \sigma\left(V_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)=2$. This is a contradiction, and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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