

## Metacompactness and subparacompactness of product spaces

By Takemi MIZOKAMI

(Received July 23, 1977)

(Revised Dec. 16, 1977)

### § 1. Introduction.

The aim of this paper is to generalize Kramer [3, Theorems 4.3, 4.4, 4.9] which gives some sufficient conditions for the product space to be metacompact or subparacompact.

Alster and Engelking [1] constructed a subparacompact space  $X$  such that  $X^2$  is not subparacompact. The product space  $S^2$  of Sorgenfrey lines  $S$  is not metacompact, though  $S$  is metacompact. Thus subparacompactness and metacompactness do not have productive property. This is the case even if one factor is metrizable and the other factor is paracompact. Przymusiński [4] constructed a separable metric space  $M$  and a separable first countable Lindelöf regular (and hence paracompact) space  $Y$  such that  $M \times Y$  is neither subparacompact nor metacompact.

We consider the subparacompactness and (countable) metacompactness of the product space  $X \times Y$ , where  $X$  is a  $P$ -space due to Morita [2, Definition 56.1] and  $Y$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space due to Nagami [2, Definition 57.1]. It is seen in [2, Theorem 57.14] that these notions are very effective to our consideration. This is why we restrict  $Y$  to the class of  $\Sigma$ -spaces.

In the sequel, all spaces are assumed to be  $T_1$  and  $N$  to be the positive integers.

### § 2. Theorems.

DEFINITION 1. A space  $X$  is said to be a  $\Sigma$ -space if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in N\}$  of locally finite closed covers of  $X$  satisfying the following ( $\Sigma$ ):

( $\Sigma$ ): If  $p_n \in C(p, \mathcal{F}_n) = \bigcap \{F : p \in F \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$  for every  $n \in N$ , then  $\{p_n : n \in N\}$  clusters in  $X$ .

Moreover, if for every point  $p \in X$ ,

$$C(p) = \bigcap_n C(p, \mathcal{F}_n)$$

is compact, then  $X$  is called a *strong  $\Sigma$ -space*.

LEMMA 1 (Nagami [2, Lemma 57.3]). *Let  $X$  be a  $\Sigma$ -space. Then  $X$  has a  $\Sigma$ -net  $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in N\}$  which satisfies the following:*

(i) *Every  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is (finitely) multiplicative.*

(ii)  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega\}$ .

(iii)  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \cup \{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) : \alpha_{i+1} \in \Omega\}$ .

(iv) *For every point  $x \in X$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\alpha_i : i \in N\}$  such that  $C(x) \subset F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  for every  $i$  and if  $C(x) \subset U$  with  $U$  open, then*

$$C(x) \subset F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset U$$

for some  $i$ .

DEFINITION 2. A space  $X$  is called *subparacompact* or *metacompact* if every open cover of  $X$  has respectively a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed refinement or a point-finite open refinement.

Recall that  $X$  is subparacompact if and only if every open cover of  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed refinement, [2, Theorem 43.4].

THEOREM 1. *Let  $X$  be a regular strong  $\Sigma$ -space. Then  $X$  is subparacompact.*

PROOF. To prove this, it suffices to show the following:

LEMMA 2. *Let  $X$  be a  $\Sigma$ -space such that every open cover of  $C(x)$  has a locally finite closed refinement. Then  $X$  is subparacompact.*

PROOF OF LEMMA. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an arbitrary open cover of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in A_i\}$ ,  $i \in N$  be a  $\Sigma$ -net of  $X$ , where each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is assumed to be multiplicative. Put for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \{U : U \cap C(x) = \emptyset, U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then by assumption,  $\mathcal{U}(x)$  is refined by a locally finite cover  $\mathcal{H}(x)$ . Put

$$U(x) = \cup \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}(x)\}.$$

Then  $U(x)$  is an open set containing  $C(x)$ . Therefore there exists an  $F_{i(x)\alpha(x)} \in \mathcal{F}_{i(x)}$  with  $C(x) \subset F_{i(x)\alpha(x)} \subset U(x)$ . Set

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \{H \cap F_{i(x)\alpha(x)} : H \in \mathcal{H}(x), x \in X, i(x) = i\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{H} = \cup \mathcal{H}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

COROLLARY. *If for each  $i \in N$ ,  $X_i$  is a regular strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $\prod_i X_i$  is subparacompact.*

PROOF. Use the fact that  $\prod X_i$  is also a regular strong  $\Sigma$ -space by [2, Theorem 57.12].

LEMMA 3. *If  $X$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X$  is countably metacompact.*

PROOF. Recall that a space  $X$  is countably metacompact if and only if for every increasing countable open cover  $\{U_i : i \in N\}$ , there exists a cover  $\{F_i : i \in N\}$  such that each  $F_i$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set and  $F_i \subset U_i$  for each  $i \in N$ .

Suppose we are given such a cover  $\{U_i : i \in N\}$ . Put

$$F_i = \cup \{F : F \in \mathcal{F} = \cup \mathcal{F}_i, F \subset U_i\},$$

where  $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in N\}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -net of  $X$  such that each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is multiplicative. Then each  $F_i$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set contained in  $U_i$ . It is easy to see that  $\{F_i\}$  covers  $X$ . Thus  $X$  is countably metacompact.

COROLLARY. *If for each  $i \in N$   $X_i$  is a strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $\prod X_i$  is countably metacompact.*

DEFINITION 3. A space  $X$  is called *almost expandable* [5, Definition 1.5] if for every locally finite collection  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of  $X$  there exists a point-finite open collection  $\{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that  $F_\lambda \subset G_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda$ .

It is well known that a space  $X$  is metacompact if and only if it is  $\theta$ -refinable and almost expandable [5, Theorem 4.3 (ii)]. Recall that a  $\theta$ -refinable countably compact space is compact [6, p. 824].

THEOREM 2. *If  $X$  is an almost expandable strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X$  is metacompact.*

To prove this, it suffices to prove the following:

LEMMA 4. *Suppose  $X$  is an almost expandable  $\Sigma$ -space with the property that for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $C(x)$ , there exists a point-finite (in  $X$ ) open cover of  $C(x)$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $X$  is metacompact.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in A_i\}$ ,  $i \in N$  be a multiplicative  $\Sigma$ -net of  $X$ . In the light of Lemma 3, it suffices to see that every open cover of  $X$  can be refined by a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open cover. To see this, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Then by assumption,

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \{U : U \cap C(x) \neq \emptyset, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

can be refined by a point-finite open (in  $X$ ) cover  $\mathcal{V}(x)$ . Since  $X$  is almost expandable, there exists a point-finite open collection  $\mathcal{H}_i = \{H_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in A_i\}$  such that  $F_{i\alpha} \subset H_{i\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in A_i$ . Put

$$V(x) = \cup \{V : V \in \mathcal{V}(x)\},$$

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V(x) : x \in X\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}'_i = \{F_{i\beta} : \beta \in B_i\} = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_i, F \subset V(x)\}.$$

Observe that  $\cup \mathcal{F}'_i$  covers  $X$ . Take  $F_{i\beta} \in \mathcal{F}'_i$  and a point  $x_{i\beta}$  with  $F_{i\beta} \subset V(x_{i\beta})$ . Put

$$\mathcal{W} = \{V \cap H_{i\beta} : V \in \mathcal{V}(x_{i\beta}), \beta \in B_i, i \in N\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $X$  is metacompact.

LEMMA 5. *If  $X$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space with a  $\sigma$ -point-finite base, then  $X$  is a metacompact strong  $\Sigma$ -space.*

PROOF.  $X$  is countably metacompact by Lemma 3. Since  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite base, every open cover of  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open refinement. It follows that  $X$  is metacompact, and necessarily  $X$  is a strong  $\Sigma$ -space.

COROLLARY. *If for each  $i \in N$   $X_i$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space with a  $\sigma$ -point-finite base, then  $\prod X_i$  is metacompact.*

PROOF. It suffices to prove that  $X = \prod X_i$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite base. Let  $\mathcal{C}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{ij}$  be a base of  $X_i$  such that each  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$  is a point-finite open cover and  $\mathcal{C}_{ij} \subset \mathcal{C}_{ij+1}$  for each  $j \in N$ . Construct for each  $n \in N$

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \{V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n \times \prod_{j>n} X_j : V_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{1n}, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{C}_{nn}\},$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_n.$$

Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-finite base of  $X$ .

DEFINITION 4. A space  $X$  is called a  $P$ -space if for each collection  $\{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega, i \in N\}$  of open sets of  $X$  such that

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})$$

for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$ , there exists a collection  $\{C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega, i \in N\}$  of  $F_\sigma$ -sets of  $X$  such that for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$ ,

$$(i) \quad C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i),$$

$$(ii) \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \quad \text{if} \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i).$$

If moreover the condition (ii) is strengthened to the following (iii),  $X$  is called to have the property  $P^*$ .

$$(iii) \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Int } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \quad \text{if} \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i).$$

Note that a normal  $P$ -space has the property  $P^*$  as seen in [2, Proposition 56.2]. If  $X$  is perfect i. e., every open set of  $X$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set, then  $X$  has the property  $P^*$ .

LEMMA 6. *If  $X$  is a  $P$ -space and  $Y$  is a strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X \times Y$  is countably metacompact.*

PROOF. Let  $\{U_j : j \in N\}$  be an arbitrary increasing countable open cover of  $X \times Y$ . As stated in the proof of Lemma 3, it suffices to prove that there exists a countable refinement by  $F_\sigma$ -sets. Let  $\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega\}$ ,  $i \in N$  be a strong  $\Sigma$ -net of  $Y$  described in Lemma 1. Put

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \bigcup \{P : P \text{ is an open set of } X \text{ such that} \\ P \times F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset U_i\}.$$

Then

$$(1) \quad G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \times F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset U_i$$

and

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}).$$

Since  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, there exists a collection  $\{C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega, i \in N\}$  of  $F_\sigma$ -sets of  $X$  such that

$$(2) \quad C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i),$$

$$(3) \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \quad \text{if} \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i).$$

Put for each  $i \in N$

$$V_i = \bigcup \{C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \times F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega\}.$$

Then each  $V_i$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set satisfying  $V_i \subset U_i$  because of (1) and (2). It remains to prove that  $\{V_i\}$  covers  $X \times Y$ . Let  $(p, q)$  be an arbitrary point of  $X \times Y$ . Let  $\{\alpha_i : i \in N\}$  be a sequence such that  $\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : i \in N\}$  satisfies (iv) in Lemma 1. To see  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ , let  $x \in X$ . Since  $(x, C(q))$  is countably compact,  $(x, C(q)) \subset U_j$  for some  $j$ . Moreover the compactness of  $(x, C(q))$  implies that there exist open sets  $U, V$  of  $X, Y$ , respectively, such that

$$(x, C(q)) \subset U \times V \subset U_j.$$

Then there exists an  $i \in N$  with  $C(q) \subset F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset V$ . In either case of  $i \geq j$  and  $i < j$ ,  $x$  belongs to some  $G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . This implies that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  by (3). Thus  $x \in C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  for some  $i$ , proving

$$(p, q) \in C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \times F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset V_i.$$

**COROLLARY.** *If  $X$  is a first countable  $P$ -space and  $Y$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X \times Y$  is countably metacompact.*

**PROOF.** We modify the preceding proof slightly. Suppose  $(p, C(q)) \subset U_j$ . Let  $\{V_n(p) : n \in N\}$  be a local base of  $p$  in  $X$ . Put for each  $n \in N$

$$W_n = \bigcup \{P : P \text{ is an open set of } Y \text{ such that } V_n(p) \times P \subset U_j\}.$$

Then  $\{W_n : n \in N\}$  covers  $C(q)$ . Since  $C(q)$  is countably compact, there exists a finite subcover  $\{W_{n_j} : j=1, \dots, k\}$ . Take  $U, V$  as follows:

$$U = \bigcap_{j=1}^k V_{n_j}(p), \quad V = \bigcup_{j=1}^k W_{n_j}.$$

Then obviously we have

$$(p, C(q)) \subset U \times V \subset U_j.$$

THEOREM 3. *If  $X$  is a metacompact space with the property  $P^*$  and  $Y$  is an almost expandable strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X \times Y$  is metacompact.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega\}$ ,  $i \in N$ , be a  $\Sigma$ -net described in Lemma 1. Since  $Y$  is almost expandable, for each  $i$  there exists a point-finite open collection  $\mathcal{H}_i = \{H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega\}$  such that

$$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$$

for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$ . Taking Lemma 6 into consideration, it suffices to prove that every open cover of  $X \times Y$  can be refined by a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open cover. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an arbitrary open cover of  $X \times Y$ , and  $\Delta\mathcal{G}$  the collection of all finite unions of members of  $\mathcal{G}$ . For each  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega$ , let  $\mathcal{W}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  be the maximal collection of basic open sets  $U_\lambda \times V_\lambda$  such that

$$\mathcal{W}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \{U_\lambda \times V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)\},$$

$$(1) \quad F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset V_\lambda \subset H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i),$$

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{W}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) < \Delta\mathcal{G}.$$

Set

$$U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \cup \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)\}.$$

Then by maximality of  $\mathcal{W}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ ,

$$(3) \quad U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})$$

for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$ . Since  $X$  has the property  $P^*$ , there exists an  $F_\sigma$ -set  $C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  such that

$$(4) \quad C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$$

for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$  and

$$(5) \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Int } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \quad \text{if} \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i).$$

Since  $C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set of a metacompact space,  $\{U_\lambda \cap C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \lambda \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)\}$  can be refined by a point-finite open (in  $C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ ) cover  $\{E'_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)\}$  such that

$$(6) \quad E'_\lambda \subset U_\lambda \cap C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$$

for each  $\lambda$ . Put

$$E_\lambda = \text{Int } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \cap E'_\lambda,$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \{E_\lambda \times V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)\},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_i = \cup \{\mathcal{V}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega\},$$

$$\mathcal{V} = \cup \{\mathcal{V}_i : i \in N\}.$$

We shall show that  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$  has the following properties :

*Claim 1:*  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$  is an open cover of  $X \times Y$ .

Let  $(x, y)$  be any point in  $X \times Y$ . Let  $\{\alpha_i : i \in N\}$  be a sequence satisfying

(iv) in Lemma 1. In this case, we firstly show that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Let  $p \in X$ . Since  $(p, C(y))$  is compact, there exists  $G' \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$  with  $(p, C(y)) \subset G'$ . Because of compactness of  $C(y)$  there exist open sets  $U, V$  of  $X, Y$ , respectively, such that

$$(p, C(y)) \subset U \times V \subset G'.$$

Take an  $i \in N$  such that  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset V$ . Put  $U = U_\lambda$  and  $V \cap H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = V_\lambda$ . Then  $U_\lambda \times V_\lambda \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ , proving  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Therefore by (5) we have  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Int } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Thus  $x \in \text{Int } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  for some  $i$ . From this  $x \in E_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Since  $y \in F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset V_\lambda \subset H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ ,

$$(x, y) \in E_\lambda \times V_\lambda$$

for  $\lambda \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$  is a cover of  $X \times Y$ .

*Claim 2:*  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$ .

This follows from (2) and (4).

*Claim 3:*  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-finite collection in  $X \times Y$ .

To see this, we shall show that each  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i$  is point-finite in  $X \times Y$ . Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is point-finite in  $Y$ ,  $y$  belongs to at most finitely many members  $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . For each sequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i$ , there exists a finite subset  $A_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  of  $\Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  such that  $x \in E_\lambda$  implies  $\lambda \in A_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Then  $(x, y) \in E_\lambda \times V_\lambda$  implies  $\lambda \in \bigcup A_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ , where the union is a finite union. Hence  $(x, y)$  belongs to at most finitely many members of  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i$ .

Thus we have a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open refinement  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$ . For each  $V \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$ , take  $G(V) \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$  with  $V \subset G(V)$ . Denote  $G(V)$  by

$$G(V) = G_1(V) \cup \dots \cup G_k(V), \quad G_j(V) \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{W} = \{G_j(V) \cap V : j=1, \dots, k, V \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $\sigma$ -point-finite open refinement of the original cover.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $X$  is a metacompact space with the property  $P^*$  and  $Y$  is a metacompact  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X \times Y$  is metacompact.*

**PROOF.** Recall that metacompactness is equivalent with  $\theta$ -refinability plus almost expandability, and every  $\theta$ -refinable countably compact space is compact.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $X$  is a metacompact and perfect space and  $Y$  is an almost expandable strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X \times Y$  is metacompact.*

**PROOF.** Perfectness implies that every closed set is a  $G_\delta$ -set, and therefore

$X$  has the property  $P^*$ .

COROLLARY 3 (Kramer [3, Theorem 4.4]). *If  $X$  is a metacompact perfect space and  $Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -space with a  $\sigma$ -point-finite base, then  $X \times Y$  is metacompact.*

PROOF. This follows immediately from Lemma 5 and the above corollary.

THEOREM 4. *If  $X$  is a subparacompact  $P$ -space and  $Y$  is a regular strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X \times Y$  is subparacompact.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ ,  $i \in N$  be a strong  $\Sigma$ -net of  $Y$  described in Lemma 1. Suppose we are given an arbitrary open cover  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X \times Y$ . Since  $Y$  is regular, there exists an open cover  $\{U_\lambda \times V_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of  $X \times Y$  such that each  $U_\lambda \times \bar{V}_\lambda \subset G$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the totality of finite subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For each  $\delta \in \mathcal{A}$  we put

$$P_\delta = \bigcap \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \delta\} \quad Q_\delta = \bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \delta\}$$

$$W_\delta = P_\delta \times Q_\delta.$$

Put for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\delta \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$(1) \quad G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) = \bigcup \{P : P \text{ is an open set of } X \text{ such that}$$

$$P \times F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset W_\delta\}.$$

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \bigcup \{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) : \delta \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Then

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})$$

for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1} \in \mathcal{Q}$ . By assumption, there exists a collection  $\{H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)\}$  of closed sets satisfying

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$$

for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \mathcal{Q}$  and

$$(2) \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \quad \text{implies} \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i).$$

Since every closed set is also subparacompact,

$$\{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) \cap H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \delta \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

can be refined by a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed (in  $X$ ) refinement

$$\mathcal{K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{K}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : j \in N\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \{K_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) : \delta \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is a discrete closed collection of  $X$  such that

$$(3) \quad K_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) \cap H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$$



for each sequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega$ ,  $j \in N$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Put

$$\mathcal{L}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = \{K_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) \times \overline{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \cap V_\lambda} : \lambda \in \delta, \delta \in \Delta\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{ji} = \cup \{\mathcal{L}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i \in \Omega\}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \cup \{\mathcal{L}_{ji} : j, i \in N\}.$$

*Claim 1:*  $\mathcal{L}$  is a cover of  $X \times Y$ .

Let  $(x, y)$  be any point of  $X \times Y$ . Take a sequence  $\{\alpha_i : i \in N\}$  such that (iv) in Lemma 1 is satisfied. We can show that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ . Suppose  $p \in X$ . Then  $(p, C(y))$  is covered by a finite collection of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and therefore  $(p, C(y)) \subset P_\delta \times Q_\delta = W_\delta$  for some  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Take an  $i \in N$  with

$$C(y) \subset F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset Q_\delta.$$

Thus we have

$$(p, C(y)) \subset P_\delta \times F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset W_\delta,$$

which implies

$$p \in G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i).$$

By (2),

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i).$$

Thus there exists a  $j \in N$  with  $x \in H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)$ . In this case we have

$$x \in K_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j : \delta).$$

Observe that for this

$$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) = \cup \{\overline{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) \cap V_\lambda} : \lambda \in \delta\}.$$

Thus for some  $\lambda \in \delta$

$$y \in \overline{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) \cap V_\lambda}.$$

These mean

$$(x, y) \in K_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j : \delta) \times \overline{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) \cap V_\lambda},$$

proving that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a cover of  $X \times Y$ .

*Claim 2:*  $\mathcal{L}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

This follows from the fact that

$$K_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i : \delta) \times \overline{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \cap V_\lambda} \subset P_\delta \times \bar{V}_\lambda \subset U_\lambda \times \bar{V}_\lambda \subset G$$

for some  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ .

*Claim 3:*  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed collection of  $X \times Y$ .

This follows from the local finiteness of each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and discreteness of  $\mathcal{K}_j(\alpha_1,$

$\dots, \alpha_i$ ).

Thus we get a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed refinement of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If  $X$  is a subparacompact and perfect space and  $Y$  is a regular strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $X \times Y$  is subparacompact.*

This is a refinement of Kramer [3, Theorem 4.3].

### References

- [1] K. Alster and R. Engelking, Subparacompactness and product spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.*, **20** (1972), 763-767.
- [2] Y. Kodama and K. Nagami, *Theory of topological spaces*, Iwanami, Tokyo, 1974.
- [3] T.R. Kramer, On the product of two topological spaces, *General Topology and Appl.*, **6** (1976), 1-16.
- [4] T.C. Przymusiński, Normality and paracompactness in finite and countable cartesian products, preprint.
- [5] J.C. Smith and L.L. Krajewski, Expandability and collectionwise normality, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **160** (1971), 437-451.
- [6] J.M. Worrell, Jr. and H.H. Wicke, Characterizations of developable topological spaces, *Canad. J. Math.*, **17** (1965) 820-830.

Takemi MIZOKAMI

Department of Mathematics,  
Sasebo Technical College,  
Okishin-cho, Sasebo,  
Nagasaki, Japan.