

Abstract aspects of asymptotic analysis

By Atsushi YOSHIKAWA

(Received Dec. 3, 1977)

Introduction

In the present paper we offer a formal treatment of some simplest classes of the asymptotic methods. Our result is summarized in Theorem 4.5 below. It tells when a given element admits an asymptotic expansion, and also shows the canonical way to derive its expansion.

In many branches of mathematics, various asymptotic methods provide powerful tools, often exhibiting a strong resemblance. This leads one to a suspicion that there be a common structure in these methods of analysis. For instance, in many classes of asymptotic analysis, an asymptotic expansion is just one into homogeneous parts, as a formal series expansion. Thus, for such classes, a speculation may be done that there be an action of the multiplicative group \mathbf{R}_+ of positive real numbers. We actually observe such \mathbf{R}_+ -actions exist in several standard examples as discussed in §7.

We thus begin by introducing the notion of a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action G in a multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra A (see §1). However, most formal constructions below will be carried out without referring to the algebra structure of A . The assumption of A being an algebra is mainly to reflect some important cases. The differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in A leads us to define a scale $\{B^\rho; \rho \in \mathbf{R}\}$ of Fréchet spaces, and the spaces I^μ , $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, of G -homogeneous elements (see §2). We then construct the analogues of the spaces of formal series, C^μ , from I^μ 's. We can thus introduce the notions of developable elements and their developments, as generalizations of elements admitting asymptotic expansions and their expansions. The spaces D^μ of developable elements are shown to be Fréchet spaces. The mappings α^μ , assigning to each element in D^μ its development in C^μ , are then continuous (see §3). Sufficient conditions on surjectivity of α^μ will be discussed in §5. Of course, in such a general situation, α^μ are not necessarily surjective (see Example 7.5). The spaces D^μ are characterized in terms of the boundary behavior of the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action. This permits us to write down the mappings α^μ as a variant of the Taylor expansion (see §4, Theorem 4.5 in particular). We supplement in §6 the cases when A is a Fréchet Montel space.

There are asymptotic classes of practical importance where the groups \mathbf{R}_+^2 or \mathbf{R}_+^3 act. Such classes will be discussed elsewhere.

We add a notational remark here. We write \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{R} , respectively, for the fields of complex and real numbers. \mathbf{Z} stands for the ring of rational integers. We write \mathbf{R}^+ and \mathbf{Z}^+ , respectively, for the sets of non-negative reals and non-negative integers. Thus, the superscripted $+$ means the non-negative part. On the other hand, we show by the subscripted $+$ the positive part. In particular, \mathbf{R}_+ is the multiplicative group of positive reals. Embedding \mathbf{R}_+ in \mathbf{R} , we see that the closure of \mathbf{R}_+ in \mathbf{R} coincides with \mathbf{R}^+ . In this sense, we may write $\overline{\mathbf{R}_+} = \mathbf{R}^+$, $-$ denoting the closure operation.

During the course of preparation, we had many valuable discussions with T. Ichinose. Without such conversations, this article would not take the present form. In particular, he introduced to us the work of E. A. Michael.

§ 1. A differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action.

Let A be a locally multiplicatively convex topological algebra over \mathbf{C} . Namely, A is topologized by a system of semi-norms Σ such that the (separately continuous) multiplication \cdot in A satisfies the following relation:

$$(1.1) \quad p(f \cdot g) \leq p(f)p(g), \quad f, g \in A, p \in \Sigma.$$

We assume for simplicity that A is unitary, $1 \in A$. For more details about locally multiplicatively convex topological algebras, we refer to Michael [7].

DEFINITION 1.1. Let X be a locally convex topological vector space over \mathbf{C} . A family of linear operators $G = \{G_t; t \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$ in X is called a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in X if the following three conditions are fulfilled:

$$(1.2) \quad \text{For any compact set } K \text{ in } \mathbf{R}_+ \text{ and any continuous semi-norm } p \text{ on } X \\ \text{there exists a continuous semi-norm } q \text{ on } X \text{ such that } p(G_t f) \leq q(f) \\ \text{for any } f \in X \text{ and } t \in K.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{For any } f \in X, G_t f \text{ is strongly differentiable in } t.$$

$$(1.4) \quad \text{For any } t, s \in \mathbf{R}_+, G_t G_s = G_s G_t = G_{ts} \text{ and } G_1 = id.$$

This definition is supplemented by the following when A is a locally multiplicatively convex topological algebra.

DEFINITION 1.2. A family of linear operators $G = \{G_t; t \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$ in A is called a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in A if G satisfies (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) (X replaced by A), and

$$(1.5) \quad \text{For any } t \in \mathbf{R}_+, G_t \text{ is multiplicative, that is, } G_t(f \cdot g) = G_t f \cdot G_t g \text{ for} \\ \text{any } f, g \in A \text{ and } G_t 1 = 1.$$

From now on we assume that A is a multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra, that is, a locally multiplicatively convex topological algebra whose underlying linear space is Fréchet. We fix a system of semi-norms $\{p_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$ in A satisfying (1.1) and $p_n(f) \leq p_{n+1}(f)$ for any $f \in A$. Some of the discussions below are actually done under weaker assumptions on A . In particular, a few results hold good without the algebra structure of A . Note that in Definition 1.2 the requirement (1.2) is in fact a consequence of (1.3) and (1.4) since A is Fréchet (see Kōmura [6], Proposition 1.1). In this respect, we prepare the following

DEFINITION 1.3. A differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action G in A is said to be strong if G satisfies, instead of (1.2), the condition:

$$(1.6) \quad \text{For any } t_0 \in \mathbf{R}_+ \text{ and } n \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \text{ there exist } m \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \text{ and } c \in \mathbf{R}_+ \text{ such} \\ \text{that } p_n(G_t f) \leq c p_m(f) \text{ for any } f \in A \text{ and } t \geq t_0.$$

PROPOSITION 1.4. Let $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and set

$$(1.7) \quad E f = G_t^{-1} \left(t \frac{d}{dt} G_t f \right), \quad f \in A.$$

Then E is independent of t and a continuous linear operator in A . Furthermore, we have

$$(1.8) \quad E G_r = G_r E \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$(1.9) \quad E(f \cdot g) = E f \cdot g + f \cdot E g, \quad f, g \in A.$$

PROOF. Let $H_s = G_t$ for $t = e^s, s \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $H = \{H_s; s \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is a locally equi-continuous group of linear operators in A . This is a consequence of (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). Let E_1 be the infinitesimal generator of H . Then E_1 is a closed linear operator (Kōmura [6], Proposition 1.4.) and defined on all of A , thus is continuous. Since $\frac{d}{ds} H_s = t \frac{d}{dt} G_t$ for $t = e^s$, we have $E = E_1$. (1.8) is then immediate and (1.9) follows from (1.7) and (1.5). Q. E. D.

DEFINITION 1.5. We call E the Euler field of the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action G . Let $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$. $f \in A$ is called G -homogeneous of degree μ if

$$(1.10) \quad G_t f = t^\mu f \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

PROPOSITION 1.6. (Euler). Let $f \in A$. f is G -homogeneous of degree μ if and only if

$$(1.11) \quad E f = \mu f.$$

PROOF. (1.7) and (1.10) immediately imply (1.11). On the other hand, we have $E G_t f = \mu G_t f$ from (1.11) via (1.8). Now by (1.7), $t \frac{d}{dt} G_t f = \mu G_t f$, or

equivalently, $\frac{d}{dt}(t^{-\mu}G_t f)=0$.

Q. E. D.

§ 2. The asymptotic class.

Let G be a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in a multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra A . For $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, we denote by B^ρ the totality of $f \in A$ such that for any $a \in \mathbf{R}_+$ the set

$$(2.1) \quad \{t^{-\rho}G_t f; t \geq a\} \text{ is bounded in } A.$$

By virtue of (1.2), it is enough to assume (2.1) only for $a=1$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let ρ, σ be any real numbers. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad B^\rho \text{ is a Fréchet space.}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{If } \rho \leq \sigma, \text{ then } B^\rho \subset B^\sigma \text{ with the continuous injection.}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \text{The mapping } M_{\rho, \sigma}: B^\rho \times B^\sigma \ni (f, g) \rightarrow f \cdot g \in B^{\rho+\sigma} \text{ is bilinear continuous.}$$

PROOF. A system of semi-norms in B^ρ is given by

$$(2.5) \quad p_n^\rho(f) = \sup_{t \geq 1} p_n(t^{-\rho}G_t f), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^+, f \in B^\rho.$$

We prove the completeness B^ρ . Let $f_j, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, be a Cauchy sequence in B^ρ . Then since $p_n(f_j - f_k) \leq p_n^\rho(f_j - f_k)$ for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $\{f_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in A . Let f be the limit of $\{f_j\}$ in A . It suffices to show that $f \in B^\rho$, for B^ρ and its eventual completion are both embedded in A . Take any $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and set

$$\phi_j(t) = p_n(t^{-\rho}G_t f_j), \quad j \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

Since, by the triangle inequality,

$$|\phi_j(t) - \phi_k(t)| \leq p_n^\rho(f_j - f_k),$$

we see that $\{\phi_j(t)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C_b[1, +\infty)$, the Banach space of uniformly bounded continuous functions on $t \geq 1$. Thus, there is a $\phi(t) \in C_b[1, +\infty)$ such that $\phi_j(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ in $C_b[1, +\infty)$. On the other hand, for each $t \geq 1$, $t^{-\rho}G_t f_j \rightarrow t^{-\rho}G_t f$ in A . Therefore, $\phi(t) = p_n(t^{-\rho}G_t f)$ and $f \in B^\rho$. Other assertions of the proposition are obvious. Q. E. D.

In view of (2.3), we write $B^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{\rho \in \mathbf{R}} B^\rho$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. $B^{-\infty}$ is a multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra.

PROOF. That $B^{-\infty}$ is a Fréchet space is obvious. A system of semi-norms in $B^{-\infty}$ is given by

$$(2.6) \quad p_n^{-m}(f), \quad n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+, f \in B^{-\infty},$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (2.5). Then

$$p_n^{-m}(f \cdot g) \leq p_n^{-m/2}(f) p_n^{-m/2}(g) \leq p_n^{-m}(f) p_n^{-m}(g)$$

because of (1.5).

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action is strong, then $B^\rho = A$ for $\rho \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Obvious from (1.6) and (2.1).

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *$f \in B^\rho$ if and only if*

$$(2.7) \quad p_n \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^k G_t f \right) \leq C_{n,k} t^{\rho-k}, \quad t \geq 1,$$

for all $n, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ with some positive constants $C_{n,k}$.

PROOF. (2.7) for $k=0$ is just the requirement (2.1). We observe that the Euler field E preserves B^ρ by virtue of (1.8). By the induction, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^k G_t f = t^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^k E^j G_t f, \quad f \in A, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \setminus 0,$$

$$(2.9) \quad a_k^k = 1, \quad a_1^k = (-1)^{k-1} (k-1)!, \quad a_j^k = -(k-1) a_j^{k-1} + a_{j-1}^{k-1}$$

for $j=2, \dots, k-1$.

(2.7) now follows from (2.8).

Q. E. D.

Let us denote by Γ^μ the totality of G -homogeneous elements of degree μ . Let $M_{\mu,\nu}$ be the mapping, defined by restricting the multiplication in A , as (2.4).

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let μ, ν be any complex numbers. Then*

$$(2.10) \quad \Gamma^\mu \text{ is a closed subspace of } A \text{ and of } B^\rho, \rho > \text{Re } \mu.$$

$$(2.11) \quad \Gamma^\mu \cap \Gamma^\nu = \{0\} \text{ if } \mu \neq \nu.$$

$$(2.12) \quad M_{\mu,\nu} \text{ is bilinear continuous from } \Gamma^\mu \times \Gamma^\nu \text{ to } \Gamma^{\mu+\nu}.$$

PROOF. Obvious.

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *$\Gamma^0 \neq \{0\}$. If G is strong, $\Gamma^\mu = \{0\}$ for $\text{Re } \mu > 0$.*

PROOF. $1 \in \Gamma^0$ by (1.5). Let $f \in \Gamma^\mu$ for $\text{Re } \mu > 0$. Then $G_t f = t^\mu f$, while, by (1.6), $p_n(G_t f) \leq c p_m(f)$ for $t \geq 1$ if G is strong. The last assertion also follows from the equi-continuity of $G_t, t \geq 1$ (see Yosida [11], Chapter [IX]).

Q. E. D.

We end this section with a few words on the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $B^{-\infty}$. Namely, we have

PROPOSITION 2.7. *The differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action G induces in $B^{-\infty}$ a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action which is strong.*

PROOF. That G acts as a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $B^{-\infty}$ is obvious. We verify that it is strong. Let $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and $f \in B^{-\infty}$. Then, for $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^{-m}(G_r f) &= \sup_{t \geq 1} t^m p_n(G_t G_r f) \\ &= r^{-m} \sup_{t \geq 1} (rt)^m p_n(G_{tr} f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(2.13) \quad p_n^{-m}(G_r f) \leq r^{-m} p_n^{-m}(f) \quad \text{for } r \geq 1. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

By the construction of $B^{-\infty}$, it is clear that for any $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, $\mu - E$ is injective in $B^{-\infty}$. We can say a little more.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *For any $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, $\mu - E$ has a continuous inverse in $B^{-\infty}$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in B^{-\infty}$. We solve the equation

$$(2.14) \quad \mu g - E g = f$$

in $B^{-\infty}$. By (1.7), we then have

$$\mu G_s g - s \frac{d}{ds} G_s g = G_s f,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{d}{ds} (s^{-\mu} G_s g) = -s^{-\mu-1} G_s f.$$

Hence, (2.14) is solved by

$$(2.15) \quad g = \int_1^{\infty} s^{-\mu} G_s f \, ds/s.$$

Now we verify that $g \in B^{-\infty}$. For any $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$G_t g = \int_1^{\infty} s^{-\mu} G_{st} f \, ds/s.$$

Therefore, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $m > -\operatorname{Re} \mu$, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(G_t g) &\leq \int_1^{\infty} s^{-\rho} p_n(G_{st} f) \, ds/s, \quad \rho = \operatorname{Re} \mu, \\ &\leq p_n^{-m}(f) \int_1^{\infty} s^{-\rho} (st)^{-m} \, ds/s \\ &\leq t^{-m} (\rho + m)^{-1} p_n^{-m}(f). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(2.16) \quad p_n^{-m}(g) \leq (m + \operatorname{Re} \mu)^{-1} p_n^{-m}(f)$$

for $m + \operatorname{Re} \mu > 0$.

Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let $P(E)$ be any non-trivial polynomial in E with complex coefficients. Then $P(E)$ is an isomorphism of $B^{-\infty}$.*

§ 3. The formal asymptotic class.

We keep the assumptions and notations of § 2. Let $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, and set

$$(3.1) \quad C^\mu = \prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \Gamma^{\mu-j}.$$

Since $\Gamma^{\mu-j}$ are Fréchet spaces (see (2.10)), C^μ carries a natural Fréchet structure (see, e. g., Treves [9], p. 94). A system of semi-norms in C^μ is given by

$$(3.2) \quad q_{n,N}^\mu(f_*) = \sum_{j=0}^N p_n^{\mu-j}(f_j), \quad n, N \in \mathbf{Z}^+, p = \operatorname{Re} \mu,$$

for $f_* = (f_0, \dots, f_j, \dots) \in C^\mu$. Furthermore, C^μ is canonically identified with a closed subspace of $C^{\mu+1}$.

Let $f_* = (f_0, \dots, f_j, \dots) \in C^\mu$, $g_* = (g_0, \dots, g_k, \dots) \in C^\nu$, $f_j \in \Gamma^{\mu-j}$, $g_k \in \Gamma^{\nu-k}$, $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. We define

$$(3.3) \quad N_{\mu,\nu}(f_*, g_*) = (h_0, \dots, h_l, \dots)$$

by

$$(3.4) \quad h_l = \sum_{j+k=l} f_j \cdot g_k, \quad l \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

Then $N_{\mu,\nu}$ is a continuous bilinear mapping from $C^\mu \times C^\nu$ to $C^{\mu+\nu}$. Summarizing, we have shown

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let μ, ν be any complex numbers. Then*

$$(3.5) \quad C^\mu \text{ is a Fréchet space.}$$

$$(3.6) \quad C^\mu \text{ is a closed subspace of } C^{\mu+1}.$$

$$(3.7) \quad N_{\mu,\nu} \text{ is bilinear continuous from } C^\mu \times C^\nu \text{ to } C^{\mu+\nu}.$$

$$(3.8) \quad C^\mu \cap C^\nu = \{0\} \text{ if } \mu - \nu \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

PROOF. (3.8) follows from (3.1) and (2.11). Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let C be the strict inductive limit of C^j , $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then C is a locally multiplicatively convex topological algebra. $N_{j,k}$, $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}$, is the restriction to $C^j \times C^k$ of the multiplication of C .*

PROOF. Immediate from the definition of the strict inductive limit (see, e. g., Treves [9], Chapter 13). Q. E. D.

DEFINITION 3.3. An element $f \in A$ is said to be developable if there is an element $f_* = (f_0, \dots, f_j, \dots) \in C^\mu$ such that for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$

$$(3.9) \quad f - \sum_{j < N} f_j \in B^{\rho-N}, \quad \rho = \operatorname{Re} \mu.$$

This f_* is called a development of f .

By virtue of (2.11), if f is developable, then its development f_* is uniquely determined.

Let us denote by D^μ the totality of developable elements f with their developments $f_*(f_0, \dots, f_j, \dots) \in C^\mu$. Let $\alpha_N^\mu, N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, be the mapping

$$(3.10) \quad \alpha_N^\mu : D^\mu \ni f \rightarrow (f_0, \dots, f_{N-1}, f - \sum_{j < N} f_j) \in \prod_{j < N} \Gamma^{\mu-j} \times B^{\rho-N},$$

where $\rho = \operatorname{Re} \mu$. We decompose $\alpha_N^\mu = \pi_N^\mu \times \varepsilon_N^\mu$, π_N^μ and ε_N^μ being respectively the projections to the first product space and to the last factor. We equip D^μ with the coarsest topology rendering all α_N^μ continuous. Then D^μ is a Fréchet space, continuously embedded in B^ρ , $\rho = \operatorname{Re} \mu$. A system of semi-norms in D^μ is given by

$$(3.11) \quad q_{n,N}^\mu(\pi_N^\mu(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad p_n^{\rho-N}(\varepsilon_N^\mu(f)), \quad f \in D^\mu, \\ n, N \in \mathbf{Z}^+, \rho = \operatorname{Re} \mu.$$

Furthermore, D^μ is a closed subspace of $D^{\mu+1}$. Let $M_{\mu,\nu}$ be the mapping defined by the restriction of the multiplication in A . Then it is immediately seen that $M_{\mu,\nu}$ is continuous from $D^\mu \times D^\nu$ to $D^{\mu+\nu}$. Thus, we have shown

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let μ, ν be any complex numbers. Then*

$$(3.12) \quad D^\mu \text{ is a Fréchet space.}$$

$$(3.13) \quad D^\mu \text{ is a closed subspace of } D^{\mu+1}.$$

$$(3.14) \quad M_{\mu,\nu} \text{ is continuous bilinear from } D^\mu \times D^\nu \text{ to } D^{\mu+\nu}.$$

$$(3.15) \quad D^\mu \cap D^\nu = B^{-\infty} \text{ if } \mu - \nu \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

PROOF. (3.15) follows from (3.8) and (3.9).

Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 3.5. *For any $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,*

$$(3.16) \quad D^\mu = \sum_{j < k} \Gamma^{\mu-j} + D^{\mu-k}$$

as a topological direct sum, and $\sum_{j < k} \Gamma^{\mu-j}$ and $D^{\mu-k}$ are closed subspaces of D^μ .

PROOF. The mapping ε_k^μ is continuous from D^μ onto $D^{\mu-k}$ and $(\varepsilon_k^\mu)^2 = \varepsilon_k^\mu$. Furthermore, $\sum_{j < k} \Gamma^{\mu-j} = (id - \varepsilon_k^\mu)D^\mu$. Q. E. D.

Since $B^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^+} D^{\mu-k}$, $B^{-\infty}$ is a closed subspace of D^μ . However, in general, $B^{-\infty}$ has no topological complement in D^μ (see Examples in §7).

Note that if $\Gamma^\mu = \{0\}$, then $D^\mu = D^{\mu-j}$ for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ if $\Gamma^{\mu-j} \neq \{0\}$ and $\Gamma^{\mu-k} = \{0\}$ for $k < j$. If such j does not exist, then $D^\mu = B^{-\infty}$.

DEFINITION 3.6. We denote by α^μ the mapping which assigns to each element of D^μ its development in C^μ .

Then we have the following

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let μ, ν be any complex numbers. Then*

$$(3.17) \quad \alpha^\mu \text{ is continuous linear from } D^\mu \text{ to } C^\mu.$$

$$(3.18) \quad \alpha^\mu \circ M_{\mu, \nu} = N_{\mu, \nu} \circ (\alpha^\mu \times \alpha^\nu).$$

$$(3.19) \quad \ker \alpha^\mu = B^{-\infty}.$$

PROOF. Obvious.

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let D be the strict inductive limit of $D^j, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then D is a locally multiplicatively convex topological algebra. $M_{j, k}, j, k \in \mathbf{Z}$, in (3.14), is the restriction to $D^j \times D^k$ of the multiplication of D . Furthermore, $B^{-\infty}$ is a closed ideal of D . There is a continuous algebra homomorphism α from D to C , whose restriction to D^j coincides with α^j , and $\ker \alpha = B^{-\infty}$.*

PROOF. Obvious from the definitions.

Q. E. D.

§ 4. A characterization of the spaces D^μ .

We keep the previous assumptions and notations. In particular, recall that \mathbf{R}^+ is the set of non-negative reals so that \mathbf{R}^+ is the closure of \mathbf{R}_+ in \mathbf{R} .

For $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$ the totality of A -valued functions $u(s)$ defined on \mathbf{R}_+ , strongly continuously differentiable in \mathbf{R}_+ up to k -times such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^j u(s)$ exist for $j=0, \dots, k$. In other words, $u(s) \in \mathcal{E}^k(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$ if and only if $u(s)$ is the restriction to \mathbf{R}_+ of an A -valued function defined in a neighborhood of \mathbf{R}^+ , strongly continuously differentiable up to k -times. We set

$$\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \mathcal{E}^k(\mathbf{R}^+; A).$$

$\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$ is naturally a multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra.

Namely, (1.1) is fulfilled by the system $\{p_{n, k, l}; n, k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$ of semi-norms in $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$. Here we set, for $u \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$,

$$(4.1) \quad p_{n, k, l}(u) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq l, 0 \leq j \leq k} 2^{k+j} p_n \left(\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^j u(s) \right).$$

Note that for any $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and $u \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$

$$(4.2) \quad (G_r u)(s) = G_r u(s)$$

defines a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$, commuting with the differentiation and multiplication by s .

Let $A_j = A, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, be a countable family of copies of A . We set $A^\sim = \prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^+} A_j$ with the product topology. Then A^\sim is a Fréchet space. For

$u \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$, let $\tau(u) \in A^\sim$ by

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau(u) &= (u_0, \dots, u_j, \dots), \\ u_j &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^j u(s) / j!, \quad j \in \mathbf{Z}^+. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. τ is continuous linear from $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$ onto A^\sim .

PROOF. That τ is continuous linear is obvious. We verify the surjectivity of τ . Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\phi(s) = 1$ for $s < 1/2$ and $\phi(s) = 0$ for $s > 1$. Let us set

$$C_{j,k} = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \left| \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^k (s^j \phi(s)) \right|, \quad j, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

Let $a_j \in A$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, be given. We can choose by the diagonal procedure an increasing sequence $\{r_j; j \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$ of positive numbers such that for each n , $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{j \geq k} (r_j)^{k-j} C_{j,k} p_n(a_j) \text{ converges.}$$

Let

$$u(s) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \phi(sr_j) s^j a_j.$$

Then, for each n , $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_n \left(\sum_{j \geq k} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^k (\phi(sr_j) s^j) a_j \right) \\ \leq \sum_{j \geq k} (r_j)^{k-j} C_{j,k} p_n(a_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq 0$. Thus, by (4.4), we see $u(s) \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$. Furthermore,

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds} \right)^j u(s) |_{s=0} = j! a_j. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

For each $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, let us denote by F^μ the totality of $s^\mu G_s^{-1} f$, $f \in A$, such that $s^\mu G_s^{-1} f \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. For each $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, F^μ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$.

PROOF. Let $u_j(s) = s^\mu G_s^{-1} f_j \in F^\mu$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Assume $u_j(s)$ converge to $u(s)$ in $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$. Then since $u_j(s)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$, f_j is a Cauchy sequence in B^ρ , $\rho = \operatorname{Re} \mu$, in view of (4.1) and (2.5). Thus, there is an $f \in B^\rho$ to which f_j converges in B^ρ and so in A . In particular, for each $s \in \mathbf{R}_+$, $u(s) = s^\mu G_s^{-1} f$ and $s^\mu G_s^{-1} f \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$. Q. E. D.

Note that $u(s) \in F^\mu$ if and only if $u(s) \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$ and

$$G_r u(s) = r^\mu u(sr^{-1})$$

for all $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$. This also proves Proposition 4.2.

Now we study behaviors of $G_s^{-1}f, f \in A$, in $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$.

LEMMA 4.3. Set

$$(4.5) \quad u(s; f) = G_s^{-1}f, \quad f \in A.$$

Then for $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \setminus 0$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^k u(s; f) = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j^k s^{-j-k} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^j G_r f|_{r=s^{-1}},$$

$$(4.7) \quad b_k^k = (-1)^k, \quad b_1^k = (-1)^k k!, \quad b_j^k = -\{(k+j-1)b_j^{k-1} + b_{j-1}^k\}, \\ 2 \leq j \leq k-1.$$

Furthermore,

$$(4.8) \quad u(s; af + bg) = au(s; f) + bu(s; g), \quad a, b \in \mathbf{C}, \quad f, g \in A.$$

$$(4.9) \quad u(s; f \cdot g) = u(s; f) \cdot u(s; g).$$

$$(4.10) \quad G_r u(s; f) = u(s; G_r f) = u(sr^{-1}; f), \quad r \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

PROOF. Obvious.

Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let $f \in B^{\rho-j}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Then

$$(4.11) \quad p_n \left(\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^k (s^\rho u(s; f)) \right) \leq C_{n,k} s^{j-k}$$

for $0 < s \leq 1, n, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+, C_{n,k}$ being positive constants independent of s .

PROOF. This follows immediately from (4.6) and (2.7).

Q. E. D.

In particular, if $j \geq 1, s^\rho u(s; f)$ vanishes to the $(j-1)$ -th order at $s=0$ when $f \in B^{\rho-j}$. Thus, $s^\mu u(s; f) \in \mathcal{E}^{j-1}(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$ if $f \in B^{\rho-j}, \rho = \text{Re } \mu$. On the other hand, if $f \in \Gamma^{\mu-j}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, then $s^\mu u(s; f) = s^j u(1; f)$, so $s^\mu u(s; f) \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$.

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result. For each $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, we define a mapping i^μ from D^μ to F^μ by

$$(4.12) \quad i^\mu(f) = s^\mu G_s^{-1}f, \quad f \in D^\mu.$$

Thus, $i^\mu(f) = s^\mu u(s; f)$ in the above notation. Recall that D^μ is the space of developable elements.

THEOREM 4.5. i^μ is an isomorphism of D^μ onto F^μ . Furthermore,

$$(4.13) \quad \alpha^\mu = \tau \circ i^\mu.$$

PROOF. Let $f \in D^\mu$. Then $\alpha^\mu(f) = (f_0, \dots, f_j, \dots) \in C^\mu, f_j \in \Gamma^{\mu-j}$, and for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+, f - \sum_{j < N} f_j \in B^{\rho-N}, \rho = \text{Re } \mu$. But since

$$s^\mu u(s; f) = \sum_{j < N} s^j u(1; f) + s^\mu u(s; f - \sum_{j < N} f_j)$$

and $s^\mu u(s; f - \sum_{j < N} f_j) \in \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$, we have

$$s^\mu u(s; f) \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A).$$

Thus, i^μ is defined on all of D^μ . i^μ is clearly injective. i^μ is continuous in view of (4.1) and (3.11). (4.13) is then immediate. Now let $f \in A$ be such that $s^\mu u(s; f) \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$. Let us set

$$(4.14) \quad f_j = \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^j (s^\mu u(s; f))|_{s=0} / j!, \quad j \in \mathbf{Z}^+,$$

and for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$

$$v_N(s; f) = s^\mu u(s; f) - \sum_{j < N} s^j f_j.$$

Then, since $s^\mu u(s; f) \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$,

$$(4.15) \quad p_n \left(\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^k v_N(s; f) \right) \leq C_{n, N, k} \min(1, s^{N-k}), \quad 0 < s \leq 1,$$

for any $n, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $C_{n, N, k}$ some positive constants. On the other hand, since $G_r, r \in \mathbf{R}_+$, define a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$, commuting with the differentiation and multiplication by s , and since $G_r s^\mu u(s; f) = r^\mu (sr^{-1})^\mu u(sr^{-1}; f)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_r v_N(s; f) &= G_r s^\mu u(s; f) - \sum_{j < N} s^j G_r f_j \\ &= s^\mu u(s; G_r f) - \sum_{j < N} r^{\mu-j} s^j f_j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $G_r f_j = r^{\mu-j} f_j$, or $f_j \in \Gamma^{\mu-j}$. Furthermore,

$$v_N(s; f) = s^\mu u(s; f - \sum_{j < N} f_j),$$

and (4.15) now implies

$$p_n(G_t(f - \sum_{j < N} f_j)) \leq C_{n, N} t^{\rho-N}, \quad t \geq 1, \quad \rho = \operatorname{Re} \mu$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ with some positive constants $C_{n, N}$. That is,

$$f - \sum_{j < N} f_j \in B^{\rho-N},$$

thus completing the proof. Q. E. D.

The above theorem gives a characterization of developable elements. However, in practice, to check the conditions $s^\mu G_s^{-1} f \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$ for $f \in A$ is essentially equivalent to give the development of f . Also compare with Wasow [10], Chapter III, § 9, p. 39.

§ 5. The surjectivity of the mapping α^μ .

We keep the notations and assumptions of the previous sections. We first note the following observation.

PROPOSITION 5.1. α^μ is surjective if and only if $F^\mu + \ker \tau$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$.

PROOF. If α^μ is surjective, then $\tau(F^\mu) = C^\mu$ by Theorem 4.5. Since C^μ is a closed subspace of A^\sim , $\tau^{-1}(C^\mu) = F^\mu + \ker \tau$ is closed. On the other hand, if $F^\mu + \ker \tau$ is closed, then $\mathcal{C}(F^\mu + \ker \tau) = \tau^{-1}(\mathcal{C}\tau(F^\mu))$ is open. Thus, $\mathcal{C}\tau(F^\mu)$ is open since τ is an open mapping. The set $\bigcup_{N \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \prod_{j < N} I^{\mu-j}$ being dense in C^μ , $\tau(F^\mu) = C^\mu$, proving the surjectivity of α^μ in view of (4.13). Q. E. D.

The trouble here is that we have few informations on closedness of $F^\mu + \ker \tau$. In fact, it happens that even if $F^\mu \cap \ker \tau = \{0\}$, $F^\mu + \ker \tau$ is not a closed subspace of $\mathcal{E}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+; A)$.

For practical purposes, it is thus desirable and often more interesting to give conditions assuring a direct proof of the surjectivity of the mapping α^μ .

DEFINITION 5.2. An element $e \in A$ is called a convergence factor for the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action G if the following two conditions are fulfilled :

(5.1) There is a positive number κ such that

$$p_n(G_t e) \leq C_n \min(1, t^\kappa)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ with some constants $C_n > 0$.

(5.2)
$$p_n(1 - G_t e) \leq C_{n,N} t^{-N}$$

for all $N, n \in \mathbf{Z}^+, t \geq 1$, with some constants $C_{n,N} > 0$.

The requirement (5.1) implies that $G_r e \in B^0$ for any $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$. (5.2) means that $1 - e \in B^{-\infty}$, whence $1 - G_r e \in B^{-\infty}$ for any $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$. Furthermore note that it follows from (5.1)

(5.3)
$$p_n(t^{-\kappa} G_t e) \leq C_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

The following proposition shows that there are cases without convergence factors.

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let G be a strong differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action. If there is a convergence factor e for G , then $B^{-\infty}$ is dense in A .

PROOF. Let $f \in A$. Then $f - G_r^{-1} e \cdot f \in B^{-\infty}$ for any $r \geq 1$. By (5.3), $G_r^{-1} e \cdot f \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let $e \in A$ satisfy (5.3). Then for any $f \in B^0$ and $r \geq 1$, we have

(5.4)
$$p_n^{0+\kappa}(r^\kappa G_r^{-1} e \cdot f) \leq C_n p_n^0(f), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

Here $p_n^0, p_n^{0+\kappa}$ are semi-norms defined by (2.5).

PROOF. By (1.1) and (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_n^{\rho+\kappa}(r^\kappa G_r^{-1}e \cdot f) &= \sup_{t \geq 1} t^{-\rho-\kappa} p_n(G_t(r^\kappa G_r^{-1}e \cdot f)) \\
 &\leq \sup_{t \geq 1} t^{-\rho-\kappa} p_n(r^\kappa G_{tr-1}e) p_n(G_t f) \\
 &\leq \sup_{t \geq 1} p_n(t^{-\kappa} r^\kappa G_{tr-1}e) \sup_{t \geq 1} p_n(t^{-\rho} G_t f) \\
 &\leq C_n p_n^\rho(f). \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Q. E. D.}
 \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for the surjectivity of α^μ . Its proof is a variant of classical ones (cf. §7).

PROPOSITION 5.5. *If there is a convergence factor e for the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action G , then, for any $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, the mapping α^μ is surjective.*

PROOF. Let $f_j \in \Gamma^{\mu-j}$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ be given. We show that there is an $f \in D^\mu$ such that for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$

$$(5.5) \qquad f - \sum_{j < N} f_j \in B^{\rho-N}, \quad \rho = \text{Re } \mu.$$

In view of Proposition 5.4, we can, by applying the diagonal process, choose an increasing sequence $r_j \geq 1$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, such that for any $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ the set

$$(5.6) \qquad \{2^j G_{r_j}^{-1}e \cdot f_j; j \geq m\} \text{ is bounded in } B^{\rho-m+\kappa}.$$

Let $w_j = G_{r_j}^{-1}e$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, and $f = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^+} w_j \cdot f_j$. Then since

$$f = \sum_{j < M+1} w_j \cdot f_j + \sum_{j \geq M+1} w_j \cdot f_j,$$

and if we take $M =$ the integral part of κ , then by (5.6) and (5.1), we see $f \in B^\rho$. Furthermore, for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, since

$$f - \sum_{j < N} f_j = \sum_{j < N} (w_j - 1) \cdot f_j + \sum_{j=N}^{N+M} w_j \cdot f_j + \sum_{j \geq N+M+1} w_j \cdot f_j,$$

(5.5) holds good in view of (5.6), (5.1) and (5.2). Q. E. D.

Essentially the same proof gives the following

COROLLARY 5.6. *Assume that there be a convergence factor for the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action. Let $f_j \in B^{m_j}$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, be given. Here m_j is a decreasing sequence tending to $-\infty$. Then there is an $f \in B^{m_0}$ such that for any $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$*

$$f - \sum_{j < N} f_j \in B^{m_N}.$$

This f is uniquely determined up to the terms in $B^{-\infty}$.

§ 6. The case when A is a Montel space.

In practical cases, A is often a Montel space. We supplement the properties of the spaces $B^\rho, \Gamma^\mu, C^\mu, D^\mu$ under the additional hypothesis that A is a Montel space, keeping the assumptions and notations of the previous section.

The following proposition is then most fundamental.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let ρ, σ be any real numbers with $\rho < \sigma$. Let $I_{\sigma, \rho}: B^\rho \rightarrow B^\sigma$ be the inclusion mapping (2.3). If A is a Montel space, then $I_{\sigma, \rho}$ maps every bounded set in B^ρ to a relatively compact set in B^σ .*

PROOF. Let W be a bounded set in B^ρ . Thus, there is a sequence $C_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, of positive constants such that

$$(6.1) \quad p_n(t^{-\rho} G_t f) \leq C_n, \quad f \in W, \quad t \geq 1.$$

Fix an $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and set

$$(6.2) \quad \phi_f(t) = p_n(t^{-\sigma} G_t f), \quad f \in W.$$

$\phi_f(t)$ are continuous functions of $t \geq 1$, and $\phi_f(t) \geq 0$. Since $\rho < \sigma$, (6.1) implies

$$(6.3) \quad |\phi_f(t)| \leq C_n, \quad t \geq 1, \quad f \in W.$$

Furthermore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $t_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$(6.4) \quad |\phi_f(t)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad f \in W.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.8) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(t^{-\sigma} G_t f) = -\sigma t^{-\sigma-1} G_t f + t^{-\sigma-1} G_t E f,$$

whence

$$p_n\left(\frac{d}{dt}(t^{-\sigma} G_t f)\right) \leq C'_n, \quad t \geq 1, \quad f \in W,$$

with a constant independent of t and f . Thus, for $t \geq 1, t+h \geq 1$,

$$(6.5) \quad |\phi_f(t+h) - \phi_f(t)| \leq \int_t^{t+h} p_n\left(\frac{d}{ds}(s^{-\sigma} G_s f)\right) ds \\ \leq C'_n |h|$$

for all $f \in W$. (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5) imply, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, that $\phi_f(t), f \in W$, form a relatively compact set in the Banach space $C_b[1, +\infty)$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.1). Since A is Montel, and W is bounded in A , there is a sequence $f_j \in W$ converging in A to a $g \in A$. We may assume $\phi_{f_j}(t)$ converge to a $\phi(t)$ in $C_b[1, +\infty)$. Then $\phi(t) = \phi_g(t)$ or $g \in B^\sigma$. Similarly

we see $g \in B^{\sigma'}$ for any $\sigma' > \rho$. Now the same argument applied to $\{f_j - g\}$ implies that $\{f_j - g\}$ converges in B^ρ . Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let A be Montel. For any bounded set W in B^ρ , $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, the topologies induced on W from all B^σ , $\sigma > \rho$, and from A coincide.*

PROOF. Immediate from Proposition 6.1. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 6.3. *If A is Montel, then so is $B^{-\infty}$.*

PROOF. Immediate from (2.6) and Proposition 6.1. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 6.4. *If A is a Montel space, then so is Γ^μ for each $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$.*

PROOF. Since Γ^μ is a closed subspace of A , B^ρ , $\rho > \operatorname{Re} \mu$, the topologies induced by all B^ρ , $\rho > \operatorname{Re} \mu$, to Γ^μ coincide. The corollary now follows from Proposition 6.1. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 6.5. *If A is a Montel space, then so is C^μ for each $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$.*

PROOF. C^μ is the product of Montel spaces $\Gamma^{\mu-j}$. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 6.6. *If A is a Montel space, then so is D^μ for each $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$.*

PROOF. This follows from Proposition 6.1, Corollary 6.4 and (3.11). Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 6.7. *If A is a Montel space, then so are the spaces C and D .*

PROOF. C and D are strict inductive limits of Montel spaces. Q. E. D.

§ 7. Some standard examples.

We illustrate our theory by five standard examples. The mappings α^μ are surjective except in the last example. All examples also fall in the situation of § 6.

EXAMPLE 7.1. (The classical Taylor expansion). Let $A = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the ring of \mathbf{C} -valued C^∞ functions on the n -dimensional Euclid space \mathbf{R}^n . We equip $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with its standard multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra structure (see Michael [7], Proposition 2.4, h), p. 48). Namely, (1.1) is satisfied by the following system of semi-norms in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$:

$$(7.1) \quad p_{j,k}(f) = \sup_{|x| \leq j, |\alpha| \leq k} 2^{|\alpha|+k} |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)|$$

for $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^n$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \partial^{|\alpha|} / (\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$ and $|x| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

The multiplicative group \mathbf{R}_+ acts on \mathbf{R}^n by

$$g_t : \mathbf{R}^n \ni x \rightarrow t^{-1}x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we set

$$(G_t f)(x) = f(g_t x), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Then $G = \{G_t; t \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$ is immediately seen to be a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Furthermore, G is strong. Thus, $B^\rho = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $\rho \geq 0$. For $\rho < 0$, we

have $f \in B^\rho$ if and only if

$$|(\partial_x^\alpha f)(x)| \leq C \min(1, |x|^{-\rho-|\alpha|})$$

for $|x| \leq l, |\alpha| \leq m, l, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+, C$ being some positive constant depending only on l, m . Therefore,

$$B^{-j} = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n); (\partial_x^\alpha f)(0) = 0 \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq j-1\}$$

for $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and $B^{-j+\theta} = B^{-j}$ for $0 \leq \theta < 1$. $B^{-\infty}$ is thus the set of flat functions at $x=0$.

Furthermore, $\Gamma^\mu = \{0\}$ for non-real μ and also for $\mu > 0$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, then

$$\Gamma^{-j} = \text{the totality of homogeneous polynomials of degree } j,$$

and $\Gamma^{-j+\theta} = \{0\}$ when $0 < \theta < 1$. For $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$C^{-j} = \left\{ \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha x^\alpha; a_\alpha \in \mathbf{C} \right\}$$

with the topology of simple convergence of the coefficients (see Treves [9], Example III, p. 91.) Here $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^n$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$. If $\theta \in \mathbf{C}, 0 < \text{Re } \theta < 1$ or $\text{Im } \theta \neq 0, C^{-j-\theta} = \{0\}$.

Every element of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is developable, and thus, $D^{-j} = B^{-j}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Other D^μ spaces reduce to $B^{-\infty}$. Developments of elements in D^{-j} are nothing but their classical Taylor expansions at the origin. The mappings α^{-j} are surjective. There is no convergence factor for the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action (in the sense of Definition 5.2). The surjectivity of α^{-j} can be proved in an analogous way to the proof of Proposition 4.1. For another proof, see Treves ([9], Theorem 38.1). A proof is also given at the end of the following Example 7.2. The space $B^{-\infty}$ has no topological complement in D^{-j} (see Glaeser [3], IV. Prolongement de Whitney et prolongateur, p. 130).

EXAMPLE 7.2. Let $A^\wedge = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, the ring of \mathbf{C} -valued C^∞ functions on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with the standard multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra structure. Namely, (1.1) is satisfied by the following system of semi-norms in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$:

$$\hat{p}_{j,k}(f) = \sup_{j^{-1} \leq |x| \leq j, |\alpha| \leq k} 2^{|\alpha|+k} |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)|$$

for $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}), j, k \in \mathbf{Z}^+, j > 0$. The multiplicative group \mathbf{R}_+ acts on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\hat{g}_t: \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \ni x \rightarrow t^{-1}x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we set

$$(\hat{G}_t f)(x) = f(\hat{g}_t x), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Then $\hat{G} = \{\hat{G}_t; t \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$ is a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. In the present

example, we write $\hat{B}^\rho, \hat{\Gamma}^\mu, \hat{C}^\mu, \hat{D}^\mu$ etc. instead of $B^\rho, \Gamma^\mu, C^\mu, D^\mu$ etc. to avoid any possible confusion with the previous example.

For $\rho \in \mathbf{R}, f \in \hat{B}^\rho$ if and only if

$$|(\partial_x^\alpha f)(x)| \leq C |x|^{-\rho-|\alpha|}$$

for $0 < |x| \leq l, |\alpha| \leq m, \alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^m, l, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+, C$ being a positive constant depending only on l, m . In particular, for $\rho < 0, f \in \hat{B}^\rho$ vanishes to the order $p-1$ at $x=0$ if p is the integral part of $-\rho$. Hence, $\hat{B}^{-\infty}$ coincides with the set of C^∞ functions on \mathbf{R}^n vanishing to the infinite order at $x=0$ (compare with Example 7.1).

Note the diffeomorphism $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}_+$. Then $\hat{\Gamma}^0$ coincides with $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$, the space of C^∞ functions on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} . For each $\mu \in \mathbf{C}, \hat{\Gamma}^\mu$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\Gamma}^0$ and $f \in \hat{\Gamma}^\mu$ if and only if $|x|^\mu f \in \hat{\Gamma}^0$. Hence, for $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$\hat{C}^\mu = \{ |x|^{-\mu} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x) |x|^j; a_j \in \hat{\Gamma}^0 \}$$

with the product topology. There is a convergence factor for the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action \hat{G} , namely, $e(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $e(x)=1$ in a neighborhood of $x=0$. Now let us compare with the previous example. Then $B^{-\infty} = \hat{B}^{-\infty}$ and for $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+, B^{-j} \subset \hat{B}^{-j}$ and Γ^{-j} is a closed (in fact finite dimensional) subspace of $\hat{\Gamma}^{-j}$. Thus, C^{-j} is a closed subspace of \hat{C}^{-j} . Furthermore, if $f_j \in B^{-j}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, then there is an $f \in \hat{B}^0$ such that $f - \sum_{j < k} f_j \in \hat{B}^{-k}$ (Corollary 5.6). This f belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ since the elements in \hat{B}^{-k} are differentiable at $x=0$ up to $(k-1)$ -times. Then by the same reason $f - \sum_{j < k} f_j \in B^{-k}$. Therefore we give a proof of the surjectivity of α in Example 7.1 by using a convergence factor in the present example.

EXAMPLE 7.3. (The algebra of symbols). Let X be a paracompact C^∞ manifold of dimension n , and U a principal \mathbf{R}_+ -bundle over X . U is thus a cone bundle over X (see Boutet de Monvel [1], Hörmander [5]). Since \mathbf{R}_+ is contractible, U is trivial, $U = X \times \mathbf{R}_+$. Let $A = S(U)$, the ring of \mathbf{C} -valued C^∞ functions on U with the standard (multiplicatively convex) Fréchet (algebra) structure as defined in a similar way to (7.1). We denote by g_t the \mathbf{R}_+ -action on U , that is,

$$g_t : U \ni (x, r) \rightarrow (x, tr) \in U, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

For $p \in S(U)$, we set

$$(G_t p)(x, r) = p(g_t(x, r)), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Then $G = \{G_t; t \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$ is a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $S(U)$. In this case, we write $S^\rho, \rho \in \mathbf{R}$, instead of B^ρ . Then $S^\rho \ni p$ if and only if

$$|A\partial_r^k p(x, r)| \leq Cr^{\rho-k}, \quad \partial_r = \partial/\partial r,$$

for $x \in K$, $r \geq r_0$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, A any differential operator on X , r_0 any positive number, K any compact subset of X , and C a positive constant depending only on K , r_0 , k and A . $p \in \Gamma^\mu$ if and only if

$$p(x, tr) = t^\mu p(x, r), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+, \mu \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Therefore, Γ^0 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}(X)$ and $p \in \Gamma^\mu$ if and only if $r^{-\mu}p \in \Gamma^0$. C^μ is the totality of formal sums $\sum_{j=0}^\infty p_j$ with p_j homogeneous of degree $\mu - j$. If p is developable, its development is just the usual asymptotic expansion (see Hörmander [4], [5], for instance). Thus, developable elements are essentially classical symbols. A convergence factor $e(x, r)$ for the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action is given by $e(x, r) = e(r) \in S(U)$ such that $e(r) = 1$ for $r > 1$ and $e(r) = 0$ for $r < 1/2$.

Let $V = X \times \mathbf{R}^+$ and consider $\mathcal{E}(V)$, the space of C^∞ functions on V . Recall that \mathbf{R}^+ is the set of non-negative reals. Thus, $f \in \mathcal{E}(V)$ if and only if f is a restriction to $X \times \mathbf{R}^+$ of a C^∞ function defined on a neighborhood of $X \times \mathbf{R}^+$ in $X \times \mathbf{R}$. In the customary notations, $S^\rho \cap \mathcal{E}(V)$ is written as $S_{1,0}^\rho(U)$ (see Hörmander [4]). In applications to the theory of pseudo-differential operators, $p \in S_{1,0}^\rho(U)$ is usually understood as vanishing near the zero section. It is well-known that many important symbols admit asymptotic expansions (classical symbols), that is, developable in our terminology.

EXAMPLE 7.4. (The classical asymptotic expansion in an open sector). Let Σ be an open sector in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$, given by

$$z \in \mathbf{C}, \quad z \neq 0, \quad |\arg z| < p$$

for some positive p . Let $A = \mathcal{O}(\Sigma)$, the ring of holomorphic functions on Σ with the standard (multiplicatively convex) Fréchet (algebra) structure. Namely, for any compact subset K of Σ ,

$$p_K(f) = \sup_{z \in K} |f(z)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(\Sigma),$$

is a semi-norm satisfying (1.1). The multiplicative group \mathbf{R}_+ acts on Σ by

$$g_t : \Sigma \ni z \rightarrow tz \in \Sigma, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Sigma)$, we set

$$(G_t f)(z) = f(g_t z), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Then $G = \{G_t; t \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$ is a differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma)$. For $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, we write O^ρ instead of B^ρ . Then $f \in O^\rho$ if and only if

$$|f(z)| \leq C|z|^\rho$$

for all $z \in \Sigma$ such that $|\arg z| \leq p_0$, $|z| \geq q_0$, p_0, q_0 any positive numbers and $p_0 < p$. C is a positive constant depending only on p_0 and q_0 . Thus, in the classical notation, $O^\rho = O(z^\rho)$ (see, e. g., Erdelyi [2], Olver [8], Wasow [10]).

$$\Gamma^\mu = \{az^\mu; a \in \mathbf{C}\}, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{C},$$

where $z^\mu = \exp(\mu \log z)$. \mathbf{C}^μ is the totality of the formal series

$$z^\mu \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^{-j}, \quad a_j \in \mathbf{C},$$

with the topology of simple convergence of the coefficients (see Treves [9], Example III, p. 91). If f is developable, then its development is the classical asymptotic expansion of f (see, e. g., Erdelyi [2], Olver [8], Wasow [10]).

A convergence factor for the differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action is given by

$$e(z) = 1 - e^{-z^\lambda}$$

with $0 < \lambda < \pi/2p$ (compare with Olver [8], p. 22, Wasow [10], p. 42). Note that the above construction is also valid for holomorphic functions on Σ with values in any multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra.

EXAMPLE 7.5. Let $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, the ring of entire analytic functions on \mathbf{C}^n , equipped with the standard multiplicatively convex Fréchet algebra structure. The group \mathbf{R}_+ acts in \mathbf{C}^n by

$$g_t: \mathbf{C}^n \ni z \rightarrow t^{-1}z \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Then

$$(G_t f)(z) = f(g_t z), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

determines a strong differentiable \mathbf{R}_+ -action in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n)$. For $\rho \geq 0$, $B^\rho = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $f \in B^{-j}$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, if and only if f vanishes to the j -th order at $z=0$.

$B^{-j+\theta} = B^{-j+1}$ for $0 < \theta \leq 1$. $B^{-\infty} = \{0\}$. For $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, Γ^{-j} is the totality of homogeneous polynomials of degree j . Other Γ^μ 's reduce to $\{0\}$. Thus $D^{-j} = B^{-j}$ for $j \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and other D^μ spaces reduce to $\{0\}$. The mappings α^{-j} are nothing but the Taylor expansion at $z=0$. Clearly α^{-j} are not surjective.

References

- [1] L. Boutet de Monvel, Hypoelliptic operators with double characteristics and related pseudo-differential operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **27** (1974), 585-639.
- [2] A. Erdelyi, *Asymptotic Expansions*, Dover, New York, 1956.
- [3] G. Glaeser, Sur la théorie de préparation différentiable, *Proc. Liverpool Singularities Symp. I*, *Lecture Notes Math.*, **192** (1971), 121-132, Springer, Berlin.
- [4] L. Hörmander, Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations, *Amer. Math. Soc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **10** (1966), *Singular Integral Operators*, 138-183.
- [5] L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators I, *Acta Math.*, **127** (1971), 79-183.

- [6] T. Kōmura, Semi-groups of operators in locally convex spaces, *J. Functional Analysis*, 2 (1968), 258-296.
- [7] E. A. Michael, Locally Multiplicatively-Convex Topological Algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11 (1952).
- [8] F. W. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [9] F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [10] W. Wasow, *Asymptotic Expansions for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1965.
- [11] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1965.

Atsushi YOSHIKAWA

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hokkaido University,
Kita 10-jo, Nishi 8-chome,
Kita-ku, Sapporo,
060-Japan.