On a criterion of Picard principle for rotation free densities

By Toshimasa TADA

(Received Nov. 16, 1978)

A nonnegative locally Hölder continuous function P(z) on $0 < |z| \le 1$ will be referred to as a *density* on $0 < |z| \le 1$. After Bouligand we say that the *Picard principle* is valid for P if the dimension of the half module of nonnegative solutions of the equation $\Delta u(z) = P(z)u(z)$ on $0 < |z| \le 1$ with boundary values 0 on |z| = 1 is 1. For tests of Picard principle for rotation free densities, i. e. densities P(z) satisfying P(z) = P(|z|) on $0 < |z| \le 1$, it was shown in [2] that the Picard principle is valid for a rotation free density P(z) if and only if $\alpha(P) = 0$, where $\alpha(P)$, referred to as the singularity index of P, is the quantity in [0, 1) associated with P. Moreover for complete characterization of $\alpha(P) = 0$ in terms of e_P , it was shown in [1] that $\alpha(P) = 0$ if and only if

(1)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{r\left(r\frac{d}{dr}\log e_P(r)+1\right)} dr = \infty,$$

where e_P , referred to as the *P-unit*, is a unique bounded solution of

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2}e(r) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}e(r) = P(r)e(r)$$

on 0 < r < 1 with e(1)=1. The principal part for the proof of the condition (1) was in analysis of solutions of a Riccati type equation

$$-\frac{d}{dt}a(t)+a(t)^{2}=e^{-2t}P(e^{-t})$$

on $0 < t < \infty$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the condition (1) only by using a comparison principle given in the following section.

§ 1. Comparison principle.

Let P(z) be a rotation free density on $0 < |z| \le 1$. Consider the ordinary differential operators

588 T. TADA

$$L_n e(r) = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} e(r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} e(r) - \left(P(r) + \frac{n^2}{r^2}\right) e(r) \qquad (n = 0, 1, 2)$$

for C^2 function e(r) on $0 < r < \rho$ with $0 < \rho \le 1$. Then we have the following comparison principle for the proof of which we refer to p. 417 in [3]:

LEMMA. If a bounded C^2 function e(r) satisfies $L_n e(r) \leq 0$ on $0 < r < \rho$ for some n and $e(\rho) \geq 0$, then $e(r) \geq 0$ on $0 < r \leq \rho$.

§ 2. Fundamental inequalities.

There exists a unique bounded solution $e_n(r, \rho)$ (n=0, 1, 2) of $L_n e(r)=0$ on $0 < r < \rho$ with $e(\rho)=1$ and hence $0 < e_n(r, \rho) \le 1$ on $0 < r \le \rho$. As for the unique existence of $e_n(r, \rho)$, we refer to [2]. By the unique existence of $e_n(r, \rho)$, we infer that

(2)
$$e_n(r, \rho) = \frac{e_n(r, \sigma)}{e_n(\rho, \sigma)}$$
 (n=0, 1, 2)

on $0 < r \le \rho$ for any σ with $\rho \le \sigma \le 1$. In particular we set $e_n(r) = e_n(r, 1)$. We will show that the limit

(3)
$$\alpha_n(P) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{e_{n+1}(r)}{e_n(r)} \qquad (n=0, 1)$$

exists and satisfies the inequality $0 \le \alpha_n(P) < 1$, and that the following relation is valid:

$$\alpha_0(P) \ge \alpha_1(P) \ge \alpha_0(P)^3.$$

Although the existence of (3) is shown in § 1.2 of [2] and the relation (4) can be derived off hand from Theorem 1 in [2], we give here direct and simpler proofs of these facts based on the comparison principle. By the fact that

$$L_n \frac{r^n}{\rho^n} = -\frac{r^n}{\rho^n} P(r) \le 0$$
 (n=0, 1, 2)

and

$$L_{n+1}e_n(r, \rho) = -\frac{2n+1}{r^2}e_n(r, \rho) \leq 0$$
 $(n=0, 1)$,

we may apply Lemma to $r^n/\rho^n-e_n(r, \rho)$ and $e_n(r, \rho)-e_{n+1}(r, \rho)$. Then we have that

(5)
$$e_n(r, \rho) \leq \frac{r^n}{\rho^n}$$
 (n=0, 1, 2)

and

(6)
$$e_{n+1}(r, \rho) \leq e_n(r, \rho) \quad (n=0, 1)$$

on $0 < r \le \rho$. From (2), (5) and (6) it follows that the functions $e_n(r, \rho)/r^n$ and $e_{n+1}(r, \rho)/e_n(r, \rho)$ are decreasing as $r \to 0$. Then we have that

(7)
$$\frac{e'_n(r, \rho)}{e_n(r, \rho)} \ge \frac{n}{r} \qquad (n=0, 1, 2)$$

and

(8)
$$\frac{e'_{n+1}(r, \rho)}{e_{n+1}(r, \rho)} \ge \frac{e'_{n}(r, \rho)}{e_{n}(r, \rho)} \qquad (n=0, 1)$$

on $0 < r \le \rho$. By the monotonousness of $e_{n+1}(r, \rho)/e_n(r, \rho)$ the limit

$$\alpha_n(P) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{e_{n+1}(r)}{e_n(r)}$$
 (n=0, 1)

exists and $0 \le \alpha_n(P) < 1$. In particular $e_P(r) = e_0(r)$ is referred to as the *P-unit* and $\alpha(P) = \alpha_0(P)$ is referred to as the *singularity index* of P at z = 0.

To show a relation between $\alpha(P)$ and $\alpha_1(P)$ we observe that

(9)
$$L_{n+1}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}e_n(r, \rho)\right) = \frac{2e_n(r, \rho)}{\rho}\left(\frac{e'_n(r, \rho)}{e_n(r, \rho)} - \frac{n}{r}\right) \qquad (n=0, 1),$$

(10)
$$L_1\left(\frac{e_0(r)e_2(r)}{e_1(r)}\right) = \frac{2e_0(r)e_2(r)}{e_1(r)} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)}\right) \left(\frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)} - \frac{e_0'(r)}{e_0(r)}\right)\right)$$

and

(11)
$$L_2\left(\frac{e_1(r)^4}{e_0(r)^3}\right) = \frac{12e_1(r)^4}{e_0(r)^3} \left(\frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)} - \frac{e_0'(r)}{e_0(r)}\right)^2.$$

In view of (7) and (9) we may apply Lemma to $e_{n+1}(r, \rho) - (r/\rho)e_n(r, \rho)$. Then we have that

$$e_{n+1}(r, \rho) \ge \frac{r}{\rho} e_n(r, \rho)$$
 $(n=0, 1)$

on $0 < r \le \rho$. From this and (2) it follows that $re_n(r, \rho)/e_{n+1}(r, \rho)$ is decreasing as $r \to 0$ and hence

(12)
$$\frac{e'_{n+1}(r)}{e_{n+1}(r)} - \frac{e'_n(r)}{e_n(r)} \le \frac{1}{r} \qquad (n=0, 1)$$

on $0 < r \le 1$. By virtue of (10), (11) and (12) we may also apply Lemma to $e_1(r) - e_0(r)e_2(r)/e_1(r)$ and $e_2(r) - e_1(r)^4/e_0(r)^3$. Thus we have that

$$\frac{e_1(r)}{e_0(r)} \ge \frac{e_2(r)}{e_1(r)} \ge \left(\frac{e_1(r)}{e_0(r)}\right)^3$$

on $0 < r \le 1$ and hence

(13)
$$\alpha(P) \geq \alpha_1(P) \geq \alpha(P)^3.$$

590 T. TADA

§ 3. P-unit criterion.

In the preceding section we have that the singularity index $\alpha(P)$ is 0 if and only if $\alpha_1(P)=0$. In this section we estimate the function $e_2(r)/e_1(r)$ which determines the value $\alpha_1(P)$. Since every $e_n(r)$ is a solution of $L_ne(r)=0$, we have that

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)}\right)' &= \frac{3}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)}\right) \\ &- \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)}\right) \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} + \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)}\right) - \frac{2e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)} \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)}\right) \end{split}$$

on $0 < r \le 1$. Hence we have that

$$\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)} \le \frac{e_1(r)}{2r^2 e_1'(r)} \left(3 - r \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)} \right) - r^2 \left(\frac{e_2'(r)}{e_2(r)} - \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)} \right)' \right)$$

on $0 < r \le 1$. Then the integral representation $\int_r^1 (e_2'(r)/e_2(r) - e_1'(r)/e_1(r)) dr$ of $\log(e_1(r)/e_2(r))$ can be estimated in the following way:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{r}^{1} \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) dr \\ & \leq \int_{r}^{1} \frac{e_{1}(r)}{2r^{2}e_{1}'(r)} \left(3 - r \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) - r^{2} \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right)' \right) dr \\ & = \frac{3}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{e_{1}(r)}{r^{2}e_{1}'(r)} dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{e_{1}(r)}{re_{1}'(r)} \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) dr \\ & - \left[\frac{e_{1}(r)}{2e_{1}'(r)} \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) \right]_{r}^{1} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{e_{1}(r)}{e_{1}'(r)} \right)^{2} \left(P(r) + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \right) + \frac{e_{1}(r)}{re_{1}'(r)} \right) \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) dr \\ & \leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{e_{1}(r)}{r^{2}e_{1}'(r)} dr - \frac{e_{1}(1)}{2e_{1}'(1)} \left(\frac{e_{2}'(1)}{e_{2}(1)} - \frac{e_{1}'(1)}{e_{1}(1)} \right) \\ & + \frac{e_{1}(r)}{2e_{1}'(r)} \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \left(\frac{e_{2}'(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e_{1}'(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) dr \end{split}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{e_{1}(r)}{r^{2} e'_{1}(r)} dr + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \left(\frac{e'_{2}(r)}{e_{2}(r)} - \frac{e'_{1}(r)}{e_{1}(r)} \right) dr.$$

Thus we have that

$$\log \frac{e_1(r)}{e_2(r)} \le 3 \int_r^1 \frac{e_1(r)}{r^2 e_1'(r)} dr + 1$$

on $0 < r \le 1$ and hence, as a lower estimation of $e_2(r)/e_1(r)$, we obtain

(14)
$$\frac{e_2(r)}{e_1(r)} \ge \exp\left(-3\int_r^1 \frac{e_1(r)}{r^2 e_1'(r)} dr - 1\right)$$

on $0 < r \le 1$.

In order to obtain an upper estimation of $e_2(r)/e_1(r)$ we consider the function

$$E(r) = \exp\left(-\int_{r}^{1} \frac{e_1(r)}{r^2 e_1'(r)} dr\right)$$

on $0 < r \le 1$. Then $e_1(r)E(r)$ satisfies

$$L_2(e_1(r)E(r)) = -\left(\frac{e_1(r)}{re_1'(r)}\right)^2 P(r)e_1(r)E(r).$$

In view of this we may apply Lemma to $e_1(r)E(r)-e_2(r)$ and hence we obtain

(15)
$$\frac{e_2(r)}{e_1(r)} \leq \exp\left(-\int_r^1 \frac{e_1(r)}{r^2 e_1'(r)} dr\right)$$

on $0 < r \le 1$. Now we summarize (13), (14) and (15) in the following THEOREM. The Picard principle is valid for P if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{e_1(r)}{r^2 e_1'(r)} dr = \infty.$$

This theorem is rewritten in terms of $e_P(r)$ as follows:

COROLLARY ([1]). The Picard principle is valid for P if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{r\left(r\frac{d}{dr}\log e_P(r)+1\right)} dr = \infty.$$

In fact from (7), (8) and (12) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e_P'(r)}{e_P(r)} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \leq \frac{e_1'(r)}{e_1(r)} \leq \frac{e_P'(r)}{e_P(r)} + \frac{1}{r}$$

on $0 < r \le 1$.

592 T. TADA

References

- [1] M. Kawamura and M. Nakai, A test of Picard principle for rotation free densities, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28 (1976), 323-342.
- [2] M. Nakai, Martin boundary over isolated singularity of rotation free density, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 26 (1974), 483-507.
- [3] M. Nakai, A test of Picard principle for rotation free densities, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975), 412-431.

Toshimasa TADA

Department of Mathematics

Daido Institute of Technology

Daido, Minami, Nagoya 457

Japan