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The purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer for the following conjecture of Kunz*);

Let $R$ be a regular local ring of characteristic $p>0$ and let $R^{\prime}$ be a regular subring of $R$ such that $R^{\prime}$ contains $R^{p}$ and such that $R$ is a finite $R^{\prime}$-module. Does $R$ have a p-basis over $R^{\prime}$ ?

First, we prove the conjecture for the case that $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module. In this case, we have a technical lemma (see Lemma 4 in § 2) which asserts that $R$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{\prime}$ if and only if $R^{\prime}$ is regular and $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$, where $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ are the same as stated in the above conjecture. Therefore, to prove the conjecture in this case, it is sufficient to show that $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$.

On the other hand, S. Yuan [10] defined the inseparable Galois extension as follows;

Definition. Let $A$ be a ring of characteristic $p$. An $A$-algebra $C$ is called a Galois extension of $A$ provided
(i) $C$ is finitely generated projective as $A$-module,
(ii) $t^{p} \in A$ for all $t \in C$,
(iii) Given any prime ideal $q$ in $C$, then $C_{\natural}$ admits a $p$-basis over $A_{A \cap a}$.

With this definition, he proved the following;
If $A \subset B \subset C$ is a tower of rings such that $C$ is a Galois extension both over $A$ and $B$, then $B$ is a Galois extension over $A$ (cf. Theorem 11 of [10]).

However, the proof does not depend on the assumption that $C_{9}$ admits a $p$ basis over $B_{B \cap q}$. If $R$ is a regular local ring such that $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module and if $R^{\prime}$ is an intermediate regular local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}$, then $R$ is a Galois extension of $R^{p}$ (cf. Corollary 3.2 of [5]) and $R$ is a finite free $R^{\prime}$ module (cf. Theorem 46 of [6]]. Hence, Yuan's proof can be used to prove the assertion that $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$. For convenience, we restate Yuan's proof with our notations in our proof (see §3).

The general case of the conjecture is reduced to the case that $R$ is a finite

[^0]$R^{p}$-module by the completion and the immersion to a power series ring over an algebraically closed field (see §3).

The authors would like to express their hearty thanks to Professor H. Matsumura for his encouragement and helpful suggestions.

## § 1. Notations and preliminaries.

In this paper, $p$ is always a prime number and all rings are commutative with identity. A ring is called a local ring if it is noetherian and has only one maximal ideal. Let $S$ be a ring of characteristic $p$ and let $S^{p}$ denote the subring $\left\{x^{p} \mid x \in S\right\}$. Let $S^{\prime}$ be a subring of $S$. A subset $\Gamma \subset S$ is said to be $p$ independent over $S^{\prime}$, if the monomials $b_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots b_{n}^{e_{n}}$, where $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ are distinct elements of $\Gamma$ and $0 \leqq e_{i} \leqq p-1$, are linearly independent over $S^{p}\left[S^{\prime}\right] . \Gamma$ is called a $p$-basis of $S$ over $S^{\prime}$ if it is $p$-independent over $S^{\prime}$ and $S^{p}\left[S^{\prime}, \Gamma\right]=S$.

From now on throughout this paper, $R$ will denote (except in Lemma 1) a local domain of characteristic $p, \mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of $R, k$ the residue field of $R$ and $K$ the quotient field of $R$. We denote the Krull dimension of $R$ by $\operatorname{dim} R$ and we put $\operatorname{dim} R=r$. We set $\mathfrak{m}^{(p)}=\left\{m^{p} \mid m \in \mathfrak{m}\right\}$. Since $\mathfrak{m} \cap R^{p}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)}$, the natural map $R^{p} / \mathfrak{m}^{(p)} \rightarrow R / \mathfrak{m}=k$ is injective and its image is equal to $(R / \mathfrak{n})^{p}$ $=k^{p}=\left\{\alpha^{p} \mid \alpha \in k\right\}$. In view of the above injection, the residue field $R^{p} / \mathfrak{m}^{(p)}$ of $R^{p}$ can be identified with the subfield $k^{p}$ of $k . R^{\prime}$ will denote an intermediate local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ the maximal ideal, $k^{\prime}$ the residue field and $K^{\prime}$ the quotient field. It is clear that $R$ dominates $R^{\prime}$, that is, $\mathfrak{m} \cap R^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. Since we may identify the residue field $k^{\prime}$ of $R^{\prime}$ with the corresponding subfield of $k$, we assume that $k^{p} \subset k^{\prime} \subset k$. For any subset $A$ of $R$, we denote by $\bar{A}$ the set of residue classes of the elements of $A$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}$. When we say " $\bar{A}$ is a $p$-basis" we tacitly assume that $A$ maps injectively to $\bar{A}$.

## § 2. Purely inseparable extension of a local ring.

Lemma 1. Let $R$ be a local ring of characteristic $p$ and let $R^{\prime}$ be an intermediate local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}$. Assume that $R$ is a finite $R^{\prime}$-module and $R$ has a p-basis over $R^{\prime}$. Then there exists a p-basis $\Gamma$ of $R$ over $R^{\prime}$ which is of the form $\Gamma=B \cup\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$, where $B$ is a system of representatives of $a$ $p$-basis of the residue field $k$ of $R$ over $k^{\prime},\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$ is a subset of a minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ and $s=\mathrm{rank}_{k} \mathfrak{m t} / \mathfrak{m}^{\prime} R+\mathfrak{m}^{2}$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda$ be a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{\prime}$. Then we can choose a subset $B$ of $\Lambda$ such that $\bar{B}$ is a $p$-basis of $k$ over $k^{\prime}$, where $\bar{B}$ is the set of residue classes of the elements of $B$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ (cf. Exercises of §8, [1]). Then $R^{\prime}[B]$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{B}=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} R^{\prime}[B]$ by Lemma 2.2 of [5]. Set $G$ $=\Lambda-B$. Then $G$ is a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{\prime}[B]$. Since $R=R^{\prime}[B]+\mathfrak{m}$, we may
assume that $G \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, we can choose a minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ from $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \cup G$. Let $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}, x_{s+1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right\}, z_{i} \in G, x_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}(i=1, \cdots, s, j=$ $s+1, \cdots, r)$ be an arbitrary minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ chosen from $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \cup G$. Suppose that $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\} \cong G$. Then there is an element $w_{1} \in G$ such that $w_{1} \neq z_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$. Since $w_{1} \in \mathfrak{m}$, we have

$$
w_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i} z_{i}+\sum_{j=s+1}^{r} \beta_{j} x_{j} \quad\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in R\right) .
$$

Since $G-\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$ is a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{\prime}\left[B, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right]$, we have that

$$
\alpha_{i}=\sum_{\left(e_{l}\right)} \alpha_{i\left(e_{l}\right)} \Pi w_{\imath}^{e_{l}} \quad\left(\alpha_{i\left(e_{l}\right)} \in R^{\prime}\left[B, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right], w_{\imath} \in G-\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}\right)
$$

and

$$
\beta_{j}=\sum_{\left(e_{l}\right)} \beta_{j\left(e_{l}\right)} \Pi w_{l}^{e_{l}} \quad\left(\beta_{j\left(e_{l}\right)} \in R^{\prime}\left[B, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right], w_{l} \in G-\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}\right) .
$$

From these three relations and $p$-independence of $G-\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$ over $R^{\prime}\left[B, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right]$, we have an equality $1=\sum \alpha_{i\left(e_{l}\right)} z_{i}+\sum \beta_{j\left(e_{l}\right)} x_{j}$. This is a contradiction. That is, $G=\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$.

On the other hand, the sequence of $k$-module
is exact (cf. Rangsatz of [3] and Lemma 3 of [8]). Since $R$ has a $p$-basis consisting of $s+|B|$ elements, $\Omega_{R / R^{\prime}}$ is a free module of rank $s+|B|$ (cf. 38. A of [6]). Similarly, $\operatorname{rank}_{k} \Omega_{k / k^{\prime}}=|B|$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank}_{k} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n}^{\prime} R+\mathfrak{m}^{2} & =\operatorname{rank}_{k} \Omega_{R / R^{\prime}} \otimes k-\operatorname{rank}_{k} \Omega_{k / k^{\prime}} \\
& =s
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $R$ be a regular local ring of characteristic $p$ with $\operatorname{dim} R=r$ and let $R^{\prime}$ be an intermediate regular local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}$. If there is a system of representatives $C$ of a p-basis of $k^{\prime}$ over $k^{p}$ such that $\left[K^{\prime}: K^{p}(C)\right]$ $=p^{r-s}$, where $s=\operatorname{rank}_{k} \mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}^{\prime} R+\mathfrak{m}^{2}$, then $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 of [5], $R^{p}[C]$ is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}_{c}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R^{p}[C]$. Put $s=\operatorname{rank}_{k} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{n t}^{\prime} R+\mathfrak{m}^{2}$. Then, there is a minimal system of generators $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}, x_{s+1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right\}$ for $\mathfrak{m}$, where $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s} \in$ $\mathfrak{m}$ and $x_{s+1}, \cdots, x_{r} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. Suppose that we could choose $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}(l<r-s)$ in such a way that
(a) $y_{i}=x_{s+i}$ or $y_{i}=u_{i} x_{s+i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, l$, where $u_{i}$ is a unit in $R^{\prime}$ (and therefore $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right\}$ is a subset of a minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ ),
(b) $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right\}$ is $p$-independent over $K^{p}(C)$, and
(c) $R_{l}=R^{p}\left[C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right]$ is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}_{l}=$ $\mathfrak{m} \cap R_{l}=\mathfrak{m}_{c}+\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right) R_{l}$.

Then we will prove that there exists an element $y_{l+1} \in R^{\prime}$ which satisfies the following three properties;
(a) $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right\}$ is a subset of a minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$,
(b) $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right\}$ is $p$-independent over $K^{p}(C)$,
(c) $R_{l+1}=R^{p}\left[C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right]$ is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{l+1}=\mathfrak{m} \cap R_{l+1}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{c}}+\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right) R_{l+1}$.
Since $\bar{C}$ is a $p$-basis of $k^{\prime}$ over $k^{p}$, we have $R^{\prime}=R^{p}[C]+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, K^{\prime}=K^{p}\left(C, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left[K^{\prime}: K^{p}\left(C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right)\right]=p^{r-s-l} \geqq p$. If $x_{s+l+1} \notin K^{p}\left(C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right)$, we put $y_{l+1}=$ $x_{s+l+1}$. Otherwise, we choose an element $m^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ such that $m^{\prime} \notin K^{p}\left(C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right)$. Let $u_{l+1}=1+m^{\prime}$. Then $u_{l+1}$ is a unit of $R^{\prime}$ and $u_{l+1} \notin K^{p}\left(C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right)$. In this case, we set $y_{l+1}=u_{l+1} x_{s+l+1}$. In both cases, $y_{l+1} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ and $y_{l+1} \notin K^{p}\left(C, y_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, y_{l}\right)$, that is, $y_{l+1}$ is $p$-independent over $K^{p}\left(C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right)$. We claim that $R_{l+1}=R^{p}\left[C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right]$ is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{l+1}=$ $\mathfrak{m} \cap R_{l+1}=\mathfrak{m}_{c}+\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right) R_{l+1}$. It is obvious that $\mathfrak{m}_{l+1}=\mathfrak{n}_{c}+\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right) R_{l+1}$. To prove that $R_{l+1}=R_{l}\left[y_{l+1}\right]$ is regular, it is sufficient to show $y_{l+1}^{p} \in \mathfrak{m}_{l}^{2}$ by 38.4 of [7]. Suppose that $y_{l+1}^{p} \in \mathfrak{m}_{l}^{2}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_{l}=\mathfrak{n}_{c}+\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right) R_{l}$,

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{l}^{2}=\left(\mathfrak{m}^{(p)}\right)^{2} R^{p}[C]+\mathfrak{m}^{(p)}\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right) R_{l}+\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right)^{2} R_{l} .
$$

Then we have

$$
y_{l+1}^{p}=\Sigma \alpha_{\left(n_{l}\right)}^{p_{l}} \Pi c_{\imath}^{n_{i}}+\Sigma \beta_{\left(n_{\imath}\right)\left(c_{j}\right)}^{p_{j}} \Pi c_{\imath}^{n_{t}} \Pi y_{j}^{e_{j}}+\Sigma \gamma_{\left(n_{\imath}\right)\left(f_{j}\right)}^{p_{i}} \Pi c_{\imath}^{n_{l}} \Pi y_{j}^{f_{j}}
$$

where $c_{\iota} \in C, \alpha_{\left(n_{t}\right)} \in \mathfrak{m}^{2}, \beta_{\left(n_{\iota}\right)\left(e_{j}\right)} \in \mathfrak{m}, \gamma_{\left(n_{\ell}\right)\left(f_{j}\right)} \in R, \Sigma e_{j} \geqq 1$ and $\Sigma f_{j} \geqq 2$. Regarding the $p$-th powers of $c_{\imath}$ and $y_{j}$ as elements of $R^{p}$, we have

$$
y_{l+1}^{p}=\Sigma \eta_{\left(m_{l}\right)}^{p_{l}} \Pi c_{l}^{m_{l}}+\Sigma \xi_{\left(m_{l}\right)\left(g_{j}\right)}^{p_{i}} \Pi c_{\imath}^{m} \Pi y_{j}^{g_{j}}+\Sigma \zeta_{\left(m_{l}\right)\left(n_{j}\right)}^{p_{i}} \Pi c_{l}^{m}!\Pi y_{j}^{h_{j}}
$$

where $c_{t} \in C$, $\eta_{\left(m_{l}\right)} \in \mathfrak{m}^{2}, \xi_{\left(m_{\ell}\right)\left(g_{j}\right)} \in \mathfrak{n t}, \zeta_{\left(m_{l}\right)\left(h_{j}\right)} \in R$ and $0 \leqq m_{\iota}, g_{j}, h_{j} \leqq p-1$. Since $\Sigma e_{j} \geqq 1$ and $\Sigma f_{j} \geqq 2$, we have $\xi(0)(0) \in \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ and $\zeta_{(0)(0)} \in \sum_{i=1}^{i} y_{i} R$. Because of $p-$ independence of $\left\{C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l}\right\}$ over $K^{p}$, it follows that

$$
y_{l+1}=\eta_{(0)}+\xi_{(0)(0)}+\zeta_{(0)(0)} .
$$

Set $\zeta_{(0)(0)}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i} y_{i}$, where $d_{i} \in R$. Then we have $y_{l+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i} y_{i} \in \mathfrak{m}^{2}$. This is a contradiction because $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{l+1}\right\}$ is a subset of a minimal system of generators for m .

Thus we have proved that there exist $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r-s} \in R^{\prime}$ which satisfy the following three properties;
(a) $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r-s}\right\}$ is a part of a minimal system of generators for m ,
(b) $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r-s}\right\}$ is $p$-independent over $K^{p}(C)$ (that is, the field of quotients of $R_{r-s}=R^{p}\left[C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r-s}\right]$ is $K^{\prime}$ ),
(c) $R_{r-s}=R^{p}\left[C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r-s}\right]$ is a regular local ring with maximal ideal
$\mathfrak{m}_{r-s}=\mathfrak{n}_{c}+\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r-s}\right) R_{r-s}$.
Since $R_{r-s}$ is normal and $R^{\prime}$ is integral over $R_{r-s}$, we have $R^{\prime}=R_{r-s}$. It follows that $\left\{C, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r-s}\right\}$ is a $p$-basis of $R^{\prime}$ over $R^{p}$.

Lemma 3. Let $R$ be a local ring of characteristic $p$ such that $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module and let $R^{\prime}$ be an intermediate local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}$. Then, $R^{\prime}$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module and hence $R^{\prime}$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module.

Proof. Since $R^{p}$ is a noetherian ring and $R$ a finite $R^{p}$-module, the submodule $R^{\prime}$ of $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module.

Lemma 4. Let $R$ be a regular local ring of characteristic $p$ such that $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module. Let $R^{\prime}$ be an intermediate local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $R$ has a p-basis over $R^{\prime}$.
(ii) $R^{\prime}$ is regular and $\left[K: K^{\prime}\right]=p^{l+s}$, where $\left[k: k^{\prime}\right]=p^{l}$ and $s=\operatorname{rank}_{k} \mathfrak{n t} / \mathfrak{m}^{\prime} R$ $+\mathfrak{m}^{2}$.
(iii) $R^{\prime}$ is regular and $R^{\prime}$ has a p-basis over $R^{p}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). By Theorem 51 of [6], $R^{\prime}$ is regular. [ $\left.K: K^{\prime}\right]=p^{l+s}$ follows from Lemma 1. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). We have only to show that $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$ basis over $R^{p}$. Let $B$ be a subset of $R$ such that $\bar{B}$ is a $p$-basis of $k$ over $k^{\prime}$ and let $C$ be a subset of $R^{\prime}$ such that $\bar{C}$ is a $p$-basis of $k^{\prime}$ over $k^{p}$. Since $|B|=l$, we have $\left[K: K^{\prime}(B)\right]=p^{s}$. On the other hand, it holds that $[K$ : $\left.K^{p}\right]=p^{|B \cup C|+r}$ by Theorem 3.1 of [5]. Then we have $\left[K^{\prime}: K^{p}(C)\right]=p^{r-s}$. Thus $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$ by Lemma 2, (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). $R^{\prime}$ is a finite $R^{\prime p}$-module by Lemma 3. We have already proved (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Replacing $R^{p}, R^{\prime}$ and $R$ by $R^{\prime p}, R^{p}$ and $R^{\prime}$ respectively, it follows from the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) that $R^{p}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{\prime p}$. Then obviously $R$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{\prime}$. This completes the proof.

## § 3. Proof of the conjecture.

Theorem. Let $R$ be a regular local ring of characteristic $p>0$ and let $R^{\prime}$ be a regular subring of $R$ such that $R$ contains $R^{p}$ and such that $R$ is a finite $R^{\prime}$-module. Then $R$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{\prime}$.

Proof for the case where $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module. In this case, it is sufficient to show that $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$ by Lemma 4. The assertion that $R^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$ follows from the same argument that $S$. Yuan used in the proof of Theorem 11 of [10]. We restate it below for convenience.

For simplicity of notations, we put $\widetilde{R}^{\prime}=R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{R}=R / \mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R$. In view of Theorem 46 of [6], $R$ is a finite free $R^{\prime}$-module, so that $\widetilde{R}$ is a finite free $\tilde{R}^{\prime}$-module. Let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ be a basis for the free $\tilde{R}^{\prime}$-module. Let $\partial$ be a $k^{p_{-}}$ derivation on $\tilde{R}$. For any $x \in \tilde{R}^{\prime}, \partial x$ may be expressed in the form $\left(\partial_{1} x\right) b_{1}+\cdots$
$+\left(\partial_{n} x\right) b_{n}$ with $\partial_{i} x \in \tilde{R}^{\prime}$. It is easily seen that the map $x \mapsto \partial_{i} x$ is a $k^{p}$-derivation on $\tilde{R}^{\prime}$ for each $i$. Now, since $R$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{p}$ (cf. Corollary 3.2 of [5]), $R$ is a Galois extension over $R^{p}$. Then we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{p}}(R, R)=R[D]$ by Theorem 9 of [10], where $D=\operatorname{Der}_{R^{p}}(R)$. Hence, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{k} p(\tilde{R}, \tilde{R})=$ $\tilde{R}[\tilde{D}]$, where $\tilde{D}=D / \mathrm{m}^{(p)} D$. So no nontrivial ideal in $\tilde{R}$ is stable under $\tilde{D}$. Let $I$ be a nonzero proper ideal in $\tilde{R}^{\prime}$. Then there is a $k^{p}$-derivation $\partial$ on $\tilde{R}$ such that $\partial(I \tilde{R})$ is not contained in $I \tilde{R}$. This means $\partial_{i} I$ cannot be contained in $I$ for some $i$. Thus $\tilde{R}^{\prime}$ is a differentiably simple ring. And so by Corollary 2.8 of [9], $\tilde{R}^{\prime}$ has a $p$-basis over $k^{p}$. Let $A$ be a set of representatives in $R^{\prime}$ of a $p$-basis of $\tilde{R}^{\prime}$ over $k^{p}$. Then $R^{\prime}=R^{p}[A]$ by the lemma of Nakayama. Since $R^{\prime}$ is a free $R^{p}$-module, every minimal basis of $R^{\prime}$ is linearly independent over $R^{p}$. Hence $A$ is a $p$-basis of $R^{\prime}$ over $R^{p}$ (cf. [2], Chap. II, § 3, Corollaire 1 of Proposition 5). This completes the proof.

Proof for the general case. We first prove the following lemma.
Lemma 5. Let $R$ be a regular local ring of characteristic $p$ and let $R^{\prime}$ be an intermediate local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}$ such that $R$ is a finite $R^{\prime}$-module. If $R^{\prime}$ is regular, then $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R^{\prime}$ or $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} ₫ \mathfrak{m}^{2}$.

Proof. First we assume that $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module. If $R^{\prime}$ is regular, then $R$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{\prime}$ by the above proof. By Lemma 1, there exists a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{\prime}$ which is of the form $\Gamma=B \cup\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$, where $B$ is a system of representatives of a $p$-basis of residue field $k$ of $R$ over $k^{\prime},\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$ is a subset of a minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ and $s=\operatorname{rank}_{k} \mathfrak{n t} / \mathfrak{n}^{\prime} R+\mathfrak{m}^{2}$. If $s<r$, there is a minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{m},\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}, x_{s+1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right\}$, where $x_{j} \in \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}(j=s+1, \cdots, r)$. Then $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{m}^{2}$. If $s=r, \log _{p}\left[K^{\prime}: K^{p}\right]=\log _{p}\left[k^{\prime}: k^{p}\right]$, because we have $\log _{p}\left[K: K^{p}\right]=|C|+|B|+r$ by Theorem 3.1 of [5], where $C$ is a system of representatives of a $p$-basis of $k^{\prime}$ over $k^{p}$. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 of [5], $R^{p}[C]$ is regular. Then, $R^{p}[C]=R^{\prime}$. Therefore we have $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R^{\prime}$ by Lemma 2.2 of [5].

In the general case, let $B$ be a subset of $R$ such that $\bar{B}$ is a $p$-basis of $k$ over $k^{\prime}$. Since $R^{\prime}[B]$ is regular by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 of [5], we may assume that $k=k^{\prime}$. Since the completion $\hat{R}$ is faithfully flat over $R$ and $\hat{R}^{\prime}$ is faithfully flat over $R^{\prime}$, in order to prove that $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R^{\prime}$ or $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} ₫ \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, we may assume that $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ are complete. That is, we assume that $R=k\left[\left[Z_{1}, \cdots\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.Z_{r}\right]\right]$ and $R^{\prime}=k\left[\left[Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{r}\right]\right]$ where $\left\{Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{r}\right\}$ are variables over $k$ respectively and $Z_{i}^{n} \in R^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. Let $\bar{k}$ be the algebraic closure of $k$. Then we have

$$
\bar{k}\left[\left[Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right]\right] /\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right)^{\nu}=\bar{k} \otimes_{k}\left(k\left[\left[Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right]\right] /\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right)^{\nu}\right) .
$$

It follows from Local criteria of flatness that $\bar{k}\left[\left[Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right]\right]$ is faithfully flat over $k\left[\left[Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right]\right]$. Therefore, we may assume that $R=\bar{k}\left[\left[Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right]\right]$ and $R^{\prime}=\bar{k}\left[\left[Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{r}\right]\right]$. In this case, we have that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R$ or $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ by the
finite case.
Proof of the theorem. We prove the theorem by induction on $\operatorname{dim} R=r$. When $r=0$ the assertion is trivial. Assume $r>0$. We have either $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R^{\prime}$ or $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} ₫ \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ by the preceding lemma.

First, suppose that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} R^{\prime}$. Let $B$ be a subset of $R$ such that $\bar{B}$ is a $p$-basis of $k$ over $k^{\prime}$. Since $R^{\prime}[B]$ is regular by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 of [5], we may assume that $k=k^{\prime}$. Let $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right\}$ be a regular system of parameters of $R$ and let $\hat{R}$ and $\hat{R}^{\prime}$ be the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic and $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$-adic completion of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ respectively. Since $R$ is finite over $R^{\prime}$, we have $\hat{R}=R \otimes_{R^{\prime}} \hat{R}^{\prime}$. Hence we have $\hat{R}=k\left[\left[Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}\right]\right]$ and $\hat{R}^{\prime}=k\left[\left[Z_{1}^{p}, \cdots, Z_{r}^{p}\right]\right]$, where $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{r}$ are indeterminates. Therefore, $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}$ are $p$-independent over $R^{\prime}$. If $R^{\prime}\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right]$ is regular, we have $R=R^{\prime}\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right]$, because $\left[K: K^{\prime}\right]=p^{r}$. In fact, the maximal ideal of $R^{\prime}\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right]$ is generated by $r$ elements $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}$ and the Krull dimension of $R^{\prime}\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right]$ is $r$, hence $R^{\prime}\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right]$ is regular.

Next, suppose that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} ₫ \mathfrak{m}^{2}$. We assume that it holds for the case of Krull dimension $r-1$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, we may choose an element $y_{1}$ of $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ such that $y_{1} \notin \mathfrak{m}^{2}$. Then $R / y_{1} R$ and $R^{\prime} / y_{1} R^{\prime}$ are regular local rings of Krull dimension $r-1$. Since $R$ is faithfully flat over $R^{\prime}, y_{1} R \cap R^{\prime}=y_{1} R^{\prime}$ and so $R / y_{1} R \supset R^{\prime} / y_{1} R^{\prime}$. Therefore by the induction hypothesis $R / y_{1} R$ has a $p$-basis, say $\bar{P}$, over $R^{\prime} / y_{1} R^{\prime}$. If $P$ is a set of representatives of $\bar{P}$ in $R$, then the same argument as at the end of the proof for the finite case shows that $P$ is a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{\prime}$.

Corollary 1. Let $R$ be a regular local ring of characteristic $p$ such that $R$ is a finite $R^{p}$-module and let $R^{\prime}$ be an intermediate local ring between $R$ and $R^{p}$. Then $R^{\prime}$ is regular if and only if $R^{\prime}$ is generated over $R^{p}$ by a subset of a p-basis of $R$ over $R^{p}$.

Proof. If $R^{\prime}$ is regular, there exists a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{\prime}$ by Theorem. Then by Lemma 4, there exists a $p$-basis of $R^{\prime}$ over $R^{p}$. The union of these two $p$-basis is a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{p}$. Thus $R^{\prime}$ is generated over $R^{p}$ by a subset of a $p$-basis of $R$ over $R^{p}$.

Conversely, if $R^{\prime}$ is generated over $R^{p}$ by a subset of a $力$-basis of $R$ over $R^{p}$, then $R$ has a $p$-basis over $R^{\prime}$. Therefore, $R^{\prime}$ is regular by Theorem 51 of [6].

Similarly, we have
Corollary 2. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p$, let $R=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right]\right]$ and let $R^{\prime}$ be an intermediate local ring between $R$ and $k\left[\left[X_{1}^{p}, \cdots, X_{n}^{p}\right]\right]$. Then $R^{\prime}$ is regular if and only if, after a suitable change of variables in $R, R^{\prime}$ is of the form $R^{\prime}=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \cdots, X_{s}, X_{s+1}^{p}, \cdots, X_{n}^{p}\right]\right]$.
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[^0]:    *) Professor H. Matsumura has kindly communicated to us that he had dropped the assumption $R^{\prime} \supset R^{p}$ for the conjecture of Kunz described in $\S 38$ of [6] by mistake.

