

## An exact sequence related to Adams-Novikov $E_2$ -terms of a cofibering

By Mizuho HIKIDA and Katsumi SHIMOMURA

(Received April 23, 1992)

(Revised April 14, 1993)

### §1. Introduction.

Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. The  $E$ -Adams spectral sequence with computable  $E_2$ -term is a useful tool for computing stable homotopy groups of spectra. We concern here about the  $BP$ -Adams spectral sequence  $E_2 = \text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{s,*}(BP_*, BP_*(X)) \Rightarrow \pi_{*-s}(X)$ , in which  $BP$  is the Brown-Peterson spectrum at a prime  $p$  with the coefficient ring  $BP_* = \pi_*(BP) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$ . For a spectrum  $X$ , we especially concern for the sphere spectrum  $S^0$  and the Toda-Smith spectrum  $V(n)$ . In their paper [8], Miller, Ravenel, and Wilson have introduced the chromatic spectral sequence to compute the  $E_2$ -term of the above spectral sequence. Let  $I_i = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})$  be the invariant prime ideal of  $BP_*$ . They have defined  $BP_*BP$ -comodules  $A_i^j$  and  $LA_i^j$  by taking  $A_i^0 = \pi_*(BP)/I_i$ ,  $LA_i^j = v_{i+j}^{-1}A_i^j$  and the short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow A_i^j \rightarrow LA_i^j \rightarrow A_i^{j+1} \rightarrow 0$ , inductively. The chromatic spectral sequence converging to  $\text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^s(BP_*, BP_*/I_i)$  is given by the chromatic resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow BP_*/I_i \longrightarrow LA_i^0 \longrightarrow LA_i^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

associated to the short exact sequence above. Then they have defined "the universal Greek letter map"  $\eta : \text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^s(BP_*, A_i^j) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{s+j}(BP_*, BP_*/I_i)$  by taking the composition of the coboundary homomorphisms, and the Greek letter elements to be the image of  $\eta$ .

In this paper we also consider the Johnson-Wilson spectrum  $E(n)$  for  $n \geq 0$  with  $E(n)_* = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_n^{-1}]$ . Define  $E(n)_*E(n)$ -comodules  $B_i^j$  and  $LB_i^j$  in the same way as the case of  $BP$  by replacing the first step of the induction by  $B_i^0 = E(n)_*/I_i$  (see §3). Throughout the paper, we use the following notation for the Hopf algebroids appeared above:

$$(A, \Gamma) = (BP_*, BP_*BP)$$

and

$$(B, \Sigma) = (E(n)_*, E(n)_*E(n) = E(n)_* \otimes_A \Gamma \otimes_A E(n)_*).$$

Let  $L_n X$  (resp.  $C_n X$ ) for a spectrum  $X$  denote the Bousfield localization

(resp. acyclization) with respect to the spectrum  $E(n)$  for  $n > 0$ . Then we have the cofibration

$$V(i-1) \longrightarrow L_n V(i-1) \longrightarrow C_n V(i-1)$$

for the Toda-Smith spectrum  $V(i-1)$ , which is known to exist if  $i-1 < 4$  and the prime  $p \geq 2i-1$  (cf. [10]). Applying the homotopy  $\pi_*(-)$  gives rise to the exact sequence  $\pi_*(V(i-1)) \rightarrow \pi_*(L_n V(i-1)) \rightarrow \pi_*(C_n V(i-1))$  and we have the Adams-Novikov spectral sequences computing these homotopy groups. The maps in the cofiber sequence above induce the maps of these  $E_2$ -terms

$$\text{Ext}_F^s(A, A_i^0) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^0),$$

$$\text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^0) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_F^{s-t}(A, A_i^{t+1})$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_F^{s-t}(A, A_i^{t+1}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_F^{s+1}(A, A_i^0).$$

Our main result of this paper is to show that these maps give the exact sequence in the following results:

**THEOREM A.** *For  $i+j \leq n$ , we denote  $t=n-i-j$ . Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_F^s(A, A_i^j) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^j) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_F^{s-t}(A, A_i^{n-i+1}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_F^{s+1}(A, A_i^j) \rightarrow \dots,$$

in which  $\text{Ext}_F^{s-t} = 0$  for  $s-t < 0$ .

Here we note that if  $j=0$ , then every map in the sequence is induced from the corresponding one in the cofiber  $V(i-1) \rightarrow L_n V(i-1) \rightarrow C_n V(i-1)$  if the spectrum  $V(i-1)$  exists. Therefore this is the exact sequence of  $E_2$ -terms of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequences computing homotopy groups of these spectra and so we obtain some information on the homotopy from the  $E_2$ -terms that are generally studied algebraically (see § 6).

We obtain this exact sequence by the Mahowald spectral sequence converging to  $\text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, B_i^j)$  whose differential  $d_r$  is related to the one of the BP-Adams spectral sequence (cf. [3], [6]). Its  $E_2$ -term is given by  $\text{Ext}_F^s(A, \text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, B\Gamma_i^j))$ , where  $B\Gamma_i^j$  is a  $\Sigma$ -comodule defined by  $B\Gamma_i^j = B_i^j \otimes_A \Gamma$ . The  $E_2$ -term now is read off from

**THEOREM B.** *For  $i+j < n$ ,*

$$\text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, B\Gamma_i^j) = \begin{cases} A_i^j & \text{for } t = 0, \\ A_i^{n-i+1} & \text{for } t = n-i-j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we have the following theorem for the Mahowald differential  $d_r$  and the universal Greek letter map  $\eta$ .

THEOREM C. For  $t=n-i-j$ ,

$$d_{t+1} = (-1)^s \eta : \text{Ext}_F^s(A, A_i^{n-i+1}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_F^{s+t+1}(A, A_i^t).$$

Then the exact sequence of Theorem A follows from the standard argument of the spectral sequence (see Th. 3.20).

These results are generalized in the following sense: Suppose that a spectrum  $X$  has the  $BP_*$ -homology  $BP_*(X) = A_j^i \otimes_A F_*$  for a connected locally finite free  $A$ -module  $F_*$ . Then the  $E_2$ -terms for the homotopy groups of  $X$ ,  $L_n X$  and  $C_n X$  are given by

$$\text{Ext}_F^*(A, A_j^i \otimes_A F_*),$$

$$\text{Ext}_F^*(B, B_j^i \otimes_A F_*)$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_F^*(A, A_j^{n-j+1} \otimes_A F_*),$$

respectively. We now have the following theorem relating with the cofibering

$$X \longrightarrow L_n X \longrightarrow C_n X.$$

THEOREM D. There exists an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_F^s(A, A_j^i \otimes_A F_*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_F^s(B, B_j^i \otimes_A F_*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_F^{s-t}(A, A_j^{n-j+1} \otimes_A F_*) \\ \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_F^{s+1}(A, A_j^i \otimes_A F_*) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

for the integers  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i+j \leq n$ , in which  $t=n-i-j$  and  $\text{Ext}_F^{s-t} = 0$  for  $s-t < 0$ .

As an example of  $X$ , we have  $W_k(i)$ , which is defined to be a spectrum that has  $BP_*$ -homology

$$BP_*(W_k(i)) = BP_*/I_{i+1}[t_1, \dots, t_k] = A_{i+1}^0 \otimes_A A[t_1, \dots, t_k]$$

as a  $BP_*(BP)$ -subcomodule algebra of  $BP_*(BP)/I_{i+1} = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[t_1, t_2, \dots]$ . Then  $W_k(-1)$  is Ravenel's spectrum  $T(k)$  and  $W_k(i)$  would be  $T(k) \wedge V(i)$  if  $V(i)$  exists. We have the existence of  $W_k(4)$  for  $k > 0$ , though we have no idea about  $V(4) = W_0(4)$  ([14], [13]). We also know the existence of  $W_k(i)$  for  $k \geq i$  ([13]).

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we study about the Mahowald and the Cartan-Eilenberg spectral sequences and then chromatic spectral sequence in §3. We prove Theorem C in §4 by studying the definitions of these spectral sequences. We then give a lemma in §5 to give more general results. In the last section we give some remarks on these results.

The authors would like to thank the referee for suggesting them that the results are applied not only to Toda-Smith spectra but to other spectra.

**§ 2. Mahowald spectral sequence.**

In this section we prepare some notations in the homological algebra of the Hopf algebroids (cf. [2], [7], [10, Appendix 1]), and prove that the Mahowald spectral sequence is the same as the Cartan-Eilenberg one.

Let  $(A, \Gamma)$  be a Hopf algebroid with a coproduct  $\Delta_\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$  and  $N$  a right  $\Gamma$ -comodule with a coaction  $\phi_\Gamma: N \rightarrow N \otimes_A \Gamma$ . Then we have the cobar complex  $C_\Gamma^*(N)$  consisting of  $\Gamma$ -comodules

$$C_\Gamma^s(N) = N \otimes_A \Gamma^s \quad (\Gamma^s = \Gamma \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \Gamma \text{ with } s \text{ factors of } \Gamma)$$

and differentials  $\delta_\Gamma$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Gamma(m \otimes \gamma_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_1) &= m \otimes \gamma_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_1 \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^s (-1)^i m \otimes \gamma_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_\Gamma(\gamma_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_1 \\ &\quad + (-1)^{s+1} \phi_\Gamma(m) \otimes \gamma_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_1 \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \in N$  and  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$  with  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . We define  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, N)$  for a  $\Gamma$ -comodule  $N$  as the cohomology  $H^s(C_\Gamma^*(N); \delta_\Gamma)$  of the cobar complex  $C_\Gamma^*(N)$ . By the definition of the coboundary homomorphism, we have the following

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\alpha: 0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{j} L \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of right  $\Gamma$ -comodules and  $\delta^\alpha: \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s+1}(A, N)$  the coboundary homomorphisms. If there exist elements  $x \in C_\Gamma^s(L)$ ,  $y \in C_\Gamma^s(M)$  and  $z \in C_\Gamma^{s+1}(N)$  such that  $j_*(y) = x$  and  $\delta_\Gamma(y) = i_*(z)$ , then*

$$\delta^\alpha([x]) = [z],$$

for  $[x] \in H^s(C_\Gamma^*(L))$  and  $[z] \in H^{s+1}(C_\Gamma^*(N))$ . Here  $[x]$  denotes the cohomology class represented by  $x$  and  $i_*$  and  $j_*$  are the induced maps on the cohomologies.

Next, let  $(B, \Sigma)$  be another Hopf algebroid with a coproduct  $\Delta_\Sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \otimes_B \Sigma$  and  $N$  a left  $\Sigma$ - and right  $\Gamma$ -comodule with coactions  $\phi_\Sigma: N \rightarrow \Sigma \otimes_B N$  and  $\phi_\Gamma: N \rightarrow N \otimes_A \Gamma$  such that  $(1 \otimes \phi_\Gamma)\phi_\Sigma = (\phi_\Sigma \otimes 1)\phi_\Gamma$ . Then there exists a cobar double complex  $C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^s = \Sigma^t \otimes_B C_\Gamma^s(N)$  with differentials  $\delta_\Gamma$  and  $\delta_\Sigma$  defined by

$$\delta_\Gamma = 1 \otimes \delta_\Gamma: C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^s(N) \longrightarrow C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s+1}(N)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Sigma(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_t \otimes m \otimes \gamma) &= 1 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_t \otimes m \otimes \gamma \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^i \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_\Sigma(\sigma_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_t \otimes m \otimes \gamma \\ &\quad + (-1)^{t+1} \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_\Sigma(m) \otimes \gamma \end{aligned}$$

for  $\sigma_i \in \Sigma$ ,  $m \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma^s$ . We note that  $\delta_\Sigma \delta_\Gamma = \delta_\Gamma \delta_\Sigma$ . Consider a total complex  $T^m = \bigoplus_{s+t=n} C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,t}(N)$  with a differential  $\delta_T(x) = \delta_\Gamma(x) + (-1)^{s+1} \delta_\Sigma(x)$  for  $x \in C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,t}(N)$ . Then we obtain two spectral sequences  $\{E_r^{s,t}, d_r\}$  and  $\{\bar{E}_r^{s,t}, d_r\}$  converging to  $H^{s+t}(T^*; \delta_T)$  by filtering

$$T^{s+t} = F^{0,s+t} \supset \dots \supset F^{s,t} = \bigoplus_{a \geq s} C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{a,s+t-a}(N) \supset F^{s+1,t-1} \supset \dots \supset F^{s+t,0} \supset 0$$

and

$$T^{s+t} = \bar{F}^{s+t,0} \supset \dots \supset \bar{F}^{s,t} = \bigoplus_{b \geq t} C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s+t-b,b}(N) \supset \bar{F}^{s-1,t+1} \supset \dots \supset \bar{F}^{0,s+t} \supset 0.$$

Consider the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F^{s+1,t-1} \subset F^{s,t} & \longrightarrow & C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,t}(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_T & & \downarrow \delta_T & & \downarrow (-1)^{s+1} \delta_\Sigma \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F^{s+1,t} \subset F^{s,t+1} & \longrightarrow & C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,t+1}(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \text{and} & & & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{F}^{s-1,t+1} \subset \bar{F}^{s,t} & \longrightarrow & C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,t}(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_T & & \downarrow \delta_T & & \downarrow \delta_\Gamma \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{F}^{s,t+1} \subset \bar{F}^{s+1,t} & \longrightarrow & C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s+1,t}(N) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

and we have  $E_1^{s,t} = H^t(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,*}(N); (-1)^{s+1} \delta_\Sigma)$ ,  $\bar{E}_1^{s,t} = H^s(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{*,t}(N); \delta_\Gamma)$ ,  $d_1 = \delta_{\Gamma*} : H^t(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,*}(N)) \rightarrow H^t(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s+1,*}(N))$  and  $\bar{d}_1 = (-1)^{s+1} \delta_{\Sigma*} : H^s(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{*,t}(N)) \rightarrow H^s(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{*,t+1}(N))$  by definition. Then we have the following

LEMMA 2.2. i) If  $\Gamma$  is  $A$ -flat, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{s,t} &= H^s(H^t(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,*}(N); (-1)^{s+1} \delta_\Sigma); \delta_{\Gamma*}) \\ &= \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, \text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, N)), \end{aligned}$$

and if  $\Sigma$  is  $B$ -flat, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_2^{s,t} &= H^t(H^s(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,*}(N); \delta_\Gamma); (-1)^{s+1} \delta_{\Sigma*}) \\ &= \text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, N)). \end{aligned}$$

ii) If  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, N) = 0$  for  $s > 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is  $B$ -flat, then the sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}\}$  converges to  $H^t(T^*; \delta_T) = \bar{E}_2^{0,t} = \text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, \text{Ext}_\Gamma^0(A, N))$ .

iii) For  $x \in C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s,t}(N)$ , if  $\delta_\Sigma(x) = 0$  and  $d_i([x]) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  ( $[x] \in E_{i+1}^{s,t}$ ), then there exist elements  $x_i \in C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s+i,t-i}(N)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x_0 &= x, \delta_\Gamma(x_i) = (-1)^{s+i+1} \delta_\Sigma(x_{i+1}), \text{ and} \\ d_{r+1}([x]) &= [\delta_\Gamma(x_r)] \in E_{r+1}^{s+r+1,t-r}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. i) and ii) are trivial.

iii) In the case  $r=0$ , this is trivial. We proceed by induction on  $r$ . Assume first that there exist  $r$  and  $x_i$  above and  $d_{r+1}([x]) = [\delta_\Gamma(x_r)] = 0 \in$

$E_{r+1}^{s+r+1, t-r}$ . This implies that  $[\delta_{\Gamma}(x_r)] = d_r([y]) \in E_r^{s+r+1, t-r}$  for some  $[y] \in E_r^{s+1, t-1}$ , and so there exist  $y_j \in C_{\Sigma_r^+ \Gamma}^{s+j+1, t-j-1}(N)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$  such that  $\delta_{\Sigma}(y_0) = 0$ ,  $[y] = [y_0] \in E_r^{s+1, t-1}$ ,  $\delta_{\Sigma}(y_j) = (-1)^{s+j+2} \delta_{\Sigma}(y_{j+1})$  and  $[\delta_{\Gamma}(y_{r-1})] = [\delta_{\Gamma}(x_r)] \in E_r^{s+r+1, t-r}$ . Then, for elements  $x'_0 = x_0$  and  $x'_i = x_i - y_{i-1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ), (2.3) holds and  $[\delta_{\Gamma}(x'_r)] = 0 \in E_r^{s+r+1, t-r}$ . Repeating this process, we may assume that  $[\delta_{\Gamma}(x_r)] = 0 \in E_1^{s+r+1, t-r} = H^{t-r}(C_{\Sigma_r^+ \Gamma}^{s+r+1, *}(N))$  for elements of (2.3). Hence there exists  $x_{r+1} \in C_{\Sigma_r^+ \Gamma}^{s+r+1, t-r-1}(N)$  such that  $\delta_{\Gamma}(x_r) = (-1)^{s+r+1} \delta_{\Sigma}(x_{r+1})$ . Moreover,  $\delta_{\Gamma}(x_{i+1}) = \delta_{\Gamma}(x_{i+1}) + (-1)^{s+i+2} \delta_{\Sigma}(x_{r+1}) = \delta_{\Gamma}(x_{i+1}) - \delta_{\Gamma}(x_i)$ , and so  $\delta_{\Gamma}(x_{r+1}) = \delta_{\Gamma}(x_r) + \delta_{\Gamma}(x_{r+1}) = \delta_{\Gamma}(x_{r-1}) + \delta_{\Gamma}(x_r + x_{r+1}) = \dots = \delta_{\Gamma}(x_0) + \delta_{\Gamma}(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} x_i)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} x_i \in F^{s+1, t-1}$ . This implies the desired equation  $d_{r+2}([x_0]) = [\delta_{\Gamma}(x_{r+1})]$  by the definition of  $d_r$ .  
 q.e.d.

Now let  $E$  and  $F$  be ring spectra and  $\lambda: E \rightarrow F$  a ring map. We assume that  $E_*(E)$  and  $F_*(F)$  are flat over  $\pi_*(E)$  and  $\pi_*(F)$ , respectively. We apply the argument above for the case of  $(A, \Gamma) = (\pi_*(E), E_*(E))$ ,  $(B, \Sigma) = (\pi_*(F), F_*(F))$  and  $N = F_*(X \wedge E)$  for any spectrum  $X$ . The ring map  $\lambda$  induces a map  $\lambda_*: (A, \Gamma) \rightarrow (B, \Sigma)$  of Hopf algebroids, and we see that  $\text{Ext}_r^s(A, N) = 0$  for  $s > 0$ , and  $= F_*(X)$  for  $s = 0$  by the same way as the proof of [3, Lemma 2.2]. Hence we have a spectral sequence of Lemma 2.2.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *There exists a spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}, d_r\}$  converging to  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^{s+t}(B, F_*(X))$  with  $E_2$ -term  $E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_r^s(A, \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, F_*(X \wedge E)))$ .*

On the other hand, by [3] and [6], we have a Mahowald spectral sequence  $\{E(\text{Mah})_r^{s,t}, d_r^{\text{Mah}}\}$  converging to  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^{s+t}(B, F_*(X))$  as follows:

Consider the cofiber  $\alpha: S^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} E \rightarrow \bar{E}$  associated to the unit map  $\iota: S^0 \rightarrow E$ , and the spectra  $\bar{E}^s \wedge X = \bar{E} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{E} \wedge X$  ( $s$ -times smash products). Then it gives rise to the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, F_*(\bar{E}^s \wedge X)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, F_*(E \wedge \bar{E}^s \wedge X)) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, F_*(\bar{E}^{s+1} \wedge X)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^{t+1}(B, F_*(\bar{E}^s \wedge X)) \longrightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which defines an exact couple and the associated spectral sequence is the Mahowald one. By definition,  $E(\text{Mah})_1^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, F_*(E \wedge \bar{E}^s \wedge X))$  and  $E(\text{Mah})_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_r^s(A, \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, F_*(X \wedge E)))$  (cf. [3, Th. 4.7]).

THEOREM 2.5. *Let  $\{E_r^{s,t}, d_r\}$  be the spectral sequence of Proposition 2.4. For  $r=2$  and  $x \in C_{\Sigma_r^+ \Gamma}(F_*(X \wedge E))$ ,  $E(\text{Mah})_2^{s,t} = E_2^{s,t}$  and  $d_r^{\text{Mah}}([x]) = (-1)^{s+r+1} d_r([x])$  ( $[x] \in E(\text{Mah})_r^{s,t} = E_r^{s,t}$ ), and so  $E(\text{Mah})_{r+1}^{s,t} = E_{r+1}^{s,t}$  for  $r \geq 1$ .*

PROOF. If there exist elements  $x_i$  of Lemma 2.2 (iii), then  $d_{r+1}^{\text{Mah}}([x]) = (-1)^{s+r+1} [\delta_{\Gamma}(x_r)]$  by [3, Lemma 4.10]. This implies the above theorem.

q.e.d.

§3. Chromatic spectral sequences.

The chromatic spectral sequence is introduced by [8] to compute the  $E_2$ -term of the  $BP$ -Adams spectral sequence for the sphere spectrum and for the Toda-Smith spectrum. In the same way we can construct similar one for another ring spectrum as follows:

Let  $E(n)$  be the Johnson-Wilson ring spectrum with the coefficient ring  $\pi_*(E(n)) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n, v_n^{-1}]$ . We denote that  $(A, \Gamma) = (\pi_*(BP), BP_*(BP))$ ,  $(B, \Sigma) = (\pi_*(E(n)), E(n)_*(E(n))) = (B, B \otimes_A \Gamma \otimes_A B)$ , and  $B\Gamma = E(n)_*(BP) = B \otimes_A \Gamma$ . For  $N=A, B$  and  $B\Gamma$ , we define  $N_i^j$  and  $LN_i^j = v_i^{-1} N_i^j$  inductively by taking  $N_i^0 = N/I_i$  and short exact sequences

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N_i^j \xrightarrow{i} LN_i^j \xrightarrow{\pi} N_i^{j+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\Gamma$ -comodules (or  $\Sigma$ -comodules). Then we obtain a long exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N_i^j \longrightarrow LN_i^j \longrightarrow LN_i^{j+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

by splicing (3.1).

In the first place, consider the case of  $N=A$ . In this case, (3.1) gives us a long exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad \dots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_F^s(A, A_i^j) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Ext}_F^s(A, LA_i^j) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \text{Ext}_F^s(A, A_i^{j+1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

Now this sequence gives us the exact couple and the chromatic spectral sequence converging to  $\text{Ext}_F^{s+j}(A, A_i^j)$  with  $E_1$ -term  $\text{Ext}_F^s(A, LA_i^j)$  (cf. [8], [10, § 5]). Then (3.2) for  $j=0$  is the chromatic resolution

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow BP_*/I_i \longrightarrow LA_i^0 \longrightarrow LA_i^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

“The universal Greek letter map”

$$(3.5) \quad \eta^{t+1} = \delta \dots \delta : \text{Ext}_F^s(A, A_i^{j+t+1}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_F^{s+t+1}(A, A_i^j),$$

is introduced in [8] and defines related Greek letter elements. By Lemma 2.1, we have the following

LEMMA 3.6. For any cocycle  $x$  of  $C_F^s(A_i^{j+t+1})$ , we can find a set of elements  $y \in C_F^{s+t+1}(A_i^j)$  and  $x_k \in C_F^{s+k}(LA_i^{j+t-k})$  for  $0 \leq k \leq t$  such that  $\pi_*(x_0) = x$ ,  $\delta_F(x_k) = i_* \pi_*(x_{k+1})$  for  $0 \leq k \leq t-1$  and  $\delta_F(x_t) = i_*(y)$ . Then  $\eta^{t+1}([x]) = [y]$ .

For the  $E_1$ -term of the chromatic spectral sequence, we know the Morava vanishing Theorem (cf. [10, § 6]). Let  $(K_i, \Sigma_i)$  denote the Hopf algebroid  $(K(i)_*, K(i)_*K(i))$  studied in [10, § 6]. Here  $K_i = K(i)_* = \mathbf{Z}/(p)[v_i, v_i^{-1}]$  and  $\Sigma_i = K(i)_*K(i) = K(i)_* \otimes_A \Gamma \otimes_A K(i)_*$ .

THEOREM 3.7. *If  $(p-1) \nmid i$  then  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma_i}^s(K_i, K_i) = 0$  for  $s > i^2$ .*

Since we have the isomorphism  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, LA_i^0) = \text{Ext}_{\Sigma_i}^s(K_i, K_i)$  of [7, Th. 2.10], we see immediately the following

COROLLARY 3.8. *If  $(p-1) \nmid i$  then  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, LA_i^0) = 0$  for  $s > i^2$ .*

COROLLARY 3.9. i) ([8, Cor. 3.17]). *If  $(p-1) \nmid (i+j)$ , then  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, LA_i^j) = 0$  for  $s > (i+j)^2$ .*

ii) *If  $p-1$  does not divide integers  $i+j+k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq t$ , then the universal Greek letter map  $\eta^{t+1}$  of (3.5) is an isomorphism for  $s > (i+j+t)^2$ , and an epimorphism for  $s = (i+j+t)^2$ .*

Next consider the case of  $N=B$ . Then (3.1) and (3.2) give us a long exact sequence

$$(3.10) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, B_i^j) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB_i^j) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, B_i^{j+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and a resolution

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \longrightarrow B_i^j \longrightarrow LB_i^j \longrightarrow LB_i^{j+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow LB_i^{n-i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We notice that

$$(3.12) \quad B_i^{n-i} = LB_i^{n-i} \quad \text{and} \quad B_i^j = LB_i^j = 0 \quad \text{for } i+j > n.$$

By the exact couple, we have a spectral sequence such that it converging to  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^{j+t}(B, B_i^j)$  and its  $E_1$ -term is  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB_i^{j+s})$ . For this  $E_1$ -term, we have the following

PROPOSITION 3.13. *If  $(p-1) \nmid (i+j)$ , then*

$$\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB_i^j) = 0 \quad \text{for } t > (i+j)^2.$$

PROOF. Noticing that  $\Sigma = B \otimes_A \Gamma \otimes_A B$ , we have  $\Sigma_i = K_i \otimes_B \Sigma \otimes_B K_i$ , which induces the map  $(B, \Sigma) \rightarrow (K_i, \Sigma_i)$  of Hopf algebroids. We also obtain an isomorphism  $\Sigma \otimes_B K_i \cong LB_i^0 \otimes_{K_i} \Sigma_i$  from the one  $\Gamma \otimes_A K_i \cong LA_i^0 \otimes_{K_i} \Sigma_i$  shown in [7, Prop. 2.4]. This gives another isomorphism  $(\Sigma \otimes_B K_i) \square_{\Sigma_i} K_i \cong LB_i^0$ . Apply now Shapiro's Lemma [7, Prop. 1.4], and obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB_i^0) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Sigma_i}^t(K_i, K_i),$$

which is zero if  $(p-1) \nmid i$  and  $t > i^2$  by Theorem 3.7. We now proceed by induction on  $j$ . Consider the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow LB_{i+1}^{j-1} \longrightarrow LB_i^j \xrightarrow{v_i} LB_{i+1}^j \longrightarrow 0,$$



and we see that the induced map  $v_{i*} : \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB_i^j) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB_i^j)$  is an isomorphism by the assumption of induction and so  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB_i^j) = 0$  for  $t > (i+j)^2$  and  $(p-1) \nmid (i+j)$  since this Ext-group is  $v_i$ -torsion. q.e.d.

If  $p-1$  does not divide any  $i+j+k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-i-j$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^{t-k}(B, LB_i^{j+k}) = 0$  for  $t > n^2 + n - i - j$  by the above proposition and  $t-k > n^2 + n - i - j - k \geq n^2 \geq (i+j+k)^2$ . Moreover,  $LB_i^{j+k} = 0$  for  $k > n-i-j$ , and so the spectral sequence associated to (3.10) implies immediately the following

**COROLLARY 3.14.** *If  $p-1$  does not divide any of  $i+j, i+j+1, \dots, n$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, B_i^j) = 0$  for  $t > n^2 + n - i - j$ .*

In the last place, consider the case of  $N = B\Gamma$ . We note that  $B\Gamma_i^j = LB\Gamma_i^j$  for  $i+j = n$  and  $B\Gamma_i^j = LB\Gamma_i^j = 0$  for  $i+j > n$ .

**LEMMA 3.15.** *For  $i \leq n$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB\Gamma_i^0) = LA_i^0$  for  $s=0$ , and  $=0$  for  $s > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** This is similarly shown as the first step of the proof of Proposition 3.13. By [7, Prop. 2.8], we have  $(\Gamma \otimes_A K_i) \square_{\Sigma_i} (K_i \otimes_A \Gamma) \cong LA_i^0 \otimes_A \Gamma$ , and so  $B \otimes_A LA_i^0 \otimes_A \Gamma \cong (B \otimes_A \Gamma \otimes_A K_i) \square_{\Sigma_i} (K_i \otimes_A \Gamma) = (\Sigma \otimes_B K_i) \square_{\Sigma_i} (K_i \otimes_A \Gamma)$ . Noticing that  $B \otimes_A LA_i^0 \otimes_A \Gamma = LB\Gamma_i^0$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB\Gamma_i^0) = \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, (\Sigma \otimes_B K_i) \square_{\Sigma_i} (K_i \otimes_A \Gamma)) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Sigma_i}^t(K_i, K_i \otimes_A \Gamma)$  by the Shapiro's lemma [7, Prop. 1.4]. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\Sigma_i}^t(K_i, K_i \otimes_A \Gamma) &= \text{Ext}_{\Sigma_i}^t(K_i, \Sigma_i \otimes_{K_i} LA_i^0) \\ &= \begin{cases} LA_i^0 & \text{for } t = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by [7, Prop. 2.4].

q.e.d.

A short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow LB\Gamma_{i+1}^j \rightarrow LB\Gamma_i^j \xrightarrow{v_i} LB\Gamma_i^j \rightarrow 0$  induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & LA_{i+1}^{j-1} & \longrightarrow & LA_i^j & \xrightarrow{v_i} & LA_i^j \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^0(B, LB\Gamma_{i+1}^j) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^0(B, LB\Gamma_i^j) & \xrightarrow{v_i} & \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^0(B, LB\Gamma_i^j) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^1(B, LB\Gamma_{i+1}^j). \end{array}$$

By induction on  $j$  with the lemma above, we see that  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB\Gamma_i^j) = 0$  for  $t > 0$  and  $h$  is an isomorphism since  $LA_i^j$  and  $LB\Gamma_i^j$  are  $v_i$ -torsion as long as  $j > 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.16.** *For  $i+j \leq n$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^t(B, LB\Gamma_i^j) = LA_i^j$  for  $t=0$ , and  $=0$  for  $t > 0$ .*

This theorem shows that the sequence (3.2) for  $N = B\Gamma$

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \longrightarrow B\Gamma_i^j \longrightarrow LB\Gamma_i^j \longrightarrow LB\Gamma_i^{j+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow LB\Gamma_i^{n-i} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a  $\Sigma$ -resolution of  $B\Gamma_i^j$  with  $\text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, LB\Gamma_i^{i+a})=0$  for all  $t>0$ . By [7, Lemma 1.1], this fact and (3.2) for  $N=A$  imply the following

THEOREM 3.18.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, B\Gamma_i^j) &= \begin{cases} A_i^j & t = 0, \\ A_i^{n-i+1} & t = n-i-j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i+j < n, \\ &= \begin{cases} LA_i^j & t = 0, \\ 0 & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i+j = n, \text{ and} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for } i+j > n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}, d_r\}$  of Lemma 2.2 for  $N=B\Gamma_i^j$ . Since  $B\Gamma_i^j=B_i^j \otimes_A \Gamma$ , we see that  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, B\Gamma_i^j)=0$  for  $s>0$  and  $=B_i^j$  for  $s=0$ . Then Lemma 2.2 shows that this spectral sequence converges to  $\text{Ext}_\Sigma^{s+t}(B, B_i^j)$  and has  $E_2$ -term  $E_2^{s,t}=\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, \text{Ext}_\Sigma^t(B, B\Gamma_i^j))$ . By the above theorem,  $d_r=0$  for  $r \neq n-i-j+1$ , and so we have two exact sequences

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow E_\infty^{s,0} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^j) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{s-t,t} \longrightarrow 0, \text{ and} \\ 0 &\longrightarrow E_\infty^{s-t,t} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} E_2^{s-t,t} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} E_2^{s+1,0} \longrightarrow E_\infty^{s+1,0} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

in which  $t=n-i-j$ . By splicing (3.19), we have the exact sequence of Theorem A.

- THEOREM 3.20. i)  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, LA_i^{n-i})=\text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^{n-i})$ .  
 ii)  $\text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^j)=\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, LA_i^j)$  for  $s < n-i-j$ .  
 iii) The following is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, A_i^j) \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} \text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^j) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s-t}(A, A_i^{n-i+1}) \\ &\xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s+1}(A, A_i^j) \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} \dots, \end{aligned}$$

in which  $t=n-i-j$  and  $\lambda_*$  denotes the induced map from the canonical map  $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma$  of Hopf algebroids, and note that  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s-t}=0$  for  $s-t < 0$ .

We notice that since  $\lambda_*$  is induced from the Thom map  $BP \rightarrow E(n)$ , it is also obtained from the localization map  $X \rightarrow L_n X$ . In fact, the Thom map induces the map between the Adams-Novikov spectral sequences based on  $BP$  and  $E(n)$  which converges to the homotopy groups of  $X$  and  $L_n X$ , respectively. We further notice that Corollary 3.9(ii) follows from the exact sequence above and Corollary 3.14 for  $n=i+j+t$ .

§ 4. Mahowald's differential and the Greek letter map.

In this section, we use the following notation. The short exact sequences (3.1) for  $N=A$  and  $B\Gamma$  induce the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\Sigma}^{s,t}{}_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^j) \xrightarrow{i_{BP}} C_{\Sigma}^{s,t}{}_{\Gamma}(LB\Gamma^j) \xrightarrow{\pi_{BP}} C_{\Sigma}^{s,t}{}_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^{j+1}) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ and}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\Gamma}^s(A_i^j) \xrightarrow{i_A} C_{\Gamma}^s(LA_i^j) \xrightarrow{\pi_A} C_{\Gamma}^s(A_i^{j+1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The Hurewitz map  $h: A = \pi_*(BP) \rightarrow B\Gamma = E(n)_*(BP)$  induces two homomorphisms  $h_A: C_{\Gamma}^s(A_i^j) \rightarrow C_{\Sigma}^{s,0}{}_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^j)$  and  $h_{LA}: C_{\Gamma}^s(LA_i^j) \rightarrow C_{\Sigma}^{s,0}{}_{\Gamma}(LB\Gamma^j)$ . Then we take  $\{C^{s,t,u}, \delta_{\Gamma}, \delta_{\Sigma}, \delta_L\}$  as follows:

$$C^{s,t,u} = \begin{cases} C_{\Sigma}^{s,t}{}_{\Gamma}(LB\Gamma^{j+u}) & \text{for } s \geq 0, t \geq 0, u \geq 0, \\ C_{\Sigma}^{s,t}{}_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^j) & \text{for } s \geq 0, t \geq 0, u = -1, \\ C_{\Gamma}^s(LA_i^{j+u}) & \text{for } s \geq 0, t = -1, u \geq 0, \\ C_{\Gamma}^s(A_i^j) & \text{for } s \geq 0, t = -1, u = -1, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$\delta_{\Gamma}: C^{s,t,u} \rightarrow C^{s+1,t,u}$  for  $s \geq 0$  and  $\delta_{\Sigma}: C^{s,t,u} \rightarrow C^{s,t+1,u}$  for  $t \geq 0$  are the same as mentioned before in § 2,  $\delta_{\Sigma}$  for  $t = -1$  is  $h_{LA}$  for  $u \geq 0$  and  $h_A$  for  $u = -1$ ,  $\delta_L: C^{s,t,u} \rightarrow C^{s,t,u+1}$  for  $u \geq 0$  is  $\pi_{B\Gamma} i_{B\Gamma}$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $\pi_A i_A$  for  $t = -1$ , and  $\delta_L$  for  $u = -1$  is  $i_{B\Gamma}$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $i_A$  for  $t = -1$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. i) If  $t = n - i - j \geq 0$  and there exists an element  $x(s, t, -1) \in C^{s,t,-1} = C_{\Sigma}^{s,t}{}_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^j)$  with  $\delta_{\Sigma}(x(s, t, -1)) = 0$ , then we have elements  $x(a, b, c) \in C^{a,b,c}$  for  $a + b + c = s + t - 1$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} x(a, b, c) &= 0 \quad \text{for } a < s \text{ or } c < -1, \text{ and} \\ \delta_{\Sigma}(x(a, b, c)) &= \delta_{\Gamma}(x(a-1, b+1, c)) + (-1)^a \delta_L(x(a, b+1, c-1)). \end{aligned}$$

ii) For the case of  $c = -1$ ,

$$d_{t+1}([x(s, t, -1)]) = (-1)^s [\delta_{\Gamma}(x(s+t, 0, -1))],$$

in which  $\varepsilon = t(2s+t+1)/2$ ,  $[x(s, t, -1)] \in E_{\Sigma}^{s,t} = H^s(H^t(C_{\Sigma}^{*,-*}{}_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^j)))$  and  $[\delta_{\Gamma}(x(s+t, 0, -1))] \in E_{\Sigma}^{s+t+1,0} = H^{s+t+1}(H^0(C_{\Sigma}^{*,-*}{}_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^j)))$  in the spectral sequence of Lemma 2.2.

iii) For the case of  $b = -1$ ,

$$\eta^{t+1}([\pi_A(x(s, -1, t))]) = (-1)^{s'} [x(s+t+1, -1, -1)],$$

in which  $s' = (t+1)(2s+t)/2$ ,  $[\pi_A(x(s, -1, t))] \in \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^s(A, A_i^{j+t+1}) = H^s(C_{\Gamma}^*(A_i^{j+t+1}))$ ,  $[x(s+t+1, -1, -1)] \in \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{s+t+1}(A, A_i^j) = H^{s+t+1}(C_{\Gamma}^*(A_i^j))$ , and  $\eta^{t+1}$  is the Greek

letter map of (3.5).

iv) A correspondence of  $x(s, t, -1)$  to  $\pi_A(x(s, -1, t))$  induces an isomorphism  $f: \text{Ext}_{\frac{1}{2}}^t(B, B\Gamma^i) \otimes_A \Gamma^s = H^t(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^* \ast_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^i)) \rightarrow A_i^{j+t+1} \otimes \Gamma^s = C_{\Gamma}^s(A_i^{j+t+1})$ .

PROOF. i) We shall adopt the induction on  $k = a + c - s + 1$ . For  $k \leq 0$ , (4.2) is equivalent to

$$x(a, b, c) = 0 \quad \text{for } a < s \text{ or } c < -1, \text{ and} \\ \delta_{\Sigma}(x(s, t, -1)) = 0.$$

Assume that there exist elements  $x(a, b, c)$  with (4.2) for  $0 \leq a + c - s + 1 < k$ . We see that  $n = i + j + t = i + j + c + (a - s) + (b + 1) \geq i + j + c$  and  $n - i - j = t = k - 1 + b + 1 > b + 1$  if we put  $k = a + c - s + 1$ ,  $a \geq s \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq -1$  and  $c \geq -1$ , which shows  $H^{b+1}(C^{a, *, c}; \delta_{\Sigma}) = 0$  by Theorems 3.16 and 3.18. We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\Sigma}(\delta_{\Gamma}(x(a-1, b+1, c)) + (-1)^a \delta_L(x(a, b+1, c-1))) \\ &= \delta_{\Gamma} \delta_{\Sigma}(x(a-1, b+1, c)) + (-1)^a \delta_L \delta_{\Sigma}(x(a, b+1, c-1)) \\ &= \delta_{\Gamma}(\delta_{\Gamma}(x(a-2, b+2, c)) + (-1)^{a-1} \delta_L(x(a-1, b+2, c-1))) \\ & \quad + (-1)^a \delta_L(\delta_{\Gamma}(x(a-1, b+2, c-1)) + (-1)^a \delta_L(x(a, b+2, c-2))) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so we have  $x(a, b, c)$  with  $\delta_{\Sigma}(x(a, b, c)) = \delta_{\Gamma}(x(a-1, b+1, c)) + (-1)^a \delta_L(x(a, b+1, c-1))$ .

ii) For  $c = -1$ , (4.2) implies  $\delta_{\Sigma}(x(a, b, -1)) = \delta_{\Gamma}(x(a-1, b+1, -1))$ . Then we can take  $x_i$  in Lemma 2.2 iii) by  $(-1)^{\varepsilon(i)} x(s+i, t-i, -1)$ , where  $\varepsilon(i) = i(2s+i+1)/2$ , and so

$$d_{t+1}[x(s, t, -1)] = (-1)^{\varepsilon(t)} [\delta_{\Gamma}(x(s+t, 0, -1))].$$

iii) By (4.2),  $\delta_{\Gamma}(x(a, -1, c)) + (-1)^{a+1} \delta_L(x(a+1, -1, c-1)) = \delta_{\Sigma}(x(a+1, -2, c)) = 0$ . Then we can take  $x_k$  and  $y$  in Lemma 3.6 by

$$x_k = (-1)^{\varepsilon'(k)} x(s+k, -1, t-k) \quad \text{and} \quad y = (-1)^{\varepsilon'(t+1)} x(s+t+1, -1, -1),$$

where  $\varepsilon'(k) = k(2s+k-1)/2$ , and so  $\eta^{t+1}([\pi_{*}(x(s, -1, t))]) = (-1)^{\varepsilon'(t+1)} [x(s+t+1, -1, -1)]$ .

iv) By (4.2),  $x(s-1, b+1, c) = 0$ , and so  $\delta_{\Sigma}(x(s, b, c)) = (-1)^s \delta_L(x(s, b+1, c-1))$ . We take  $x_k$  by  $x(s, t-k, k-1)$ . Then  $x_0 = x(s, t, -1)$ ,  $x_{t+1} = x(s, -1, t)$  and

$$(4.3) \quad \delta_{\Sigma}(x_k) = (-1)^s \delta_L(x_{k+1}) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq t.$$

For another  $x'_k (0 \leq k \leq t+1)$  satisfying (4.3) and  $[x'_0] = [x_0] \in H^t(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^* \ast_{\Gamma}(B\Gamma^i))$ , we see that  $\pi_A(x'_{t+1}) = \pi_A(x_{t+1}) \in A_i^{j+t+1} \otimes \Gamma^s$  by Theorem 3.16 and (3.2) for  $N = A$ ,

and so  $f$  is well defined. Moreover,  $f$  is isomorphic by the diagram chasing. q.e.d.

Now,  $\delta_{\Sigma} = h_A: C^{s+t+1, -1, -1} = C_{\Gamma}^{s+t+1}(A_i^j) \rightarrow C^{s+t+1, 0, -1} = C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s+t+1, 0}(B\Gamma_i^j)$  induces an isomorphism  $h': C_{\Gamma}^{s+t+1}(A_i^j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^0(B, B\Gamma_i^j) \otimes \Gamma^{s+t+1} = H^0(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^{s+t+1, *}(B\Gamma_i^j); \delta_{\Sigma})$  by (3.17) and Theorem 3.18, and so an isomorphism  $h'_*: \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{s+t+1}(A, A_i^j) = H^{s+t+1}(C_{\Gamma}^*(A_i^j)) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_2^{s+t+1, 0} = \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^{s+t+1}(A, \text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^0(B, B\Gamma_i^j)) = H^{s+t+1}(H^0(C_{\Sigma-\Gamma}^*, *(B\Gamma_i^j)))$ . By the above proposition, we have  $h'_*\eta^{t+1}f([x(s, t, -1)]) = h'_*\eta^{t+1}([\pi_A(x(s, -1, t))]) = (-1)^{s'} h'_*([x(s+t+1, -1, -1)]) = (-1)^{s'} [\delta_{\Gamma}(x(s+t, 0, -1))] = (-1)^s d_{t+1}([x(s, t, -1)])$ . This completes the proof of Theorem C in the introduction.

**§ 5. Generalization.**

In this section we consider more general cases. For a graded  $A$ -module  $M_*$ ,  $M_r$  stands for the subset of  $M_*$  with degree  $r$ , and  $A_r$ , for the subset of a set  $A_*$  of the generators of  $M_*$ . A graded  $A$ -module  $M_*$  is said to be *connected* if it has an integer  $r_0$  such that  $M_r = 0$  for any  $r < r_0$ , and *locally finite* if  $A_r = 0$  for each  $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Let  $F_*$  be a  $\Gamma$ -comodule which is a connected locally finite free  $A$ -module and  $A_*$  the set of its generators. We may assume that  $A_r = 0$  for  $r < 0$ . Denote  $F(r)_*$  the  $A$ -submodule of  $F_*$  generated by the elements of  $\cup_{k \leq r} A_k$ , and we have the exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow F(r)_* \xrightarrow{\subset} F(r+1)_* \longrightarrow G(r+1)_* \longrightarrow 0,$$

in which  $G(r)_*$  is the trivial  $\Gamma$ -comodule generated by the elements of  $A_r$ . For a  $\Gamma$ -comodule  $M$ , we use here the notation

$$\text{Ext}^{s, t}(M),$$

which stands for  $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{s, t}(A, M)$  or  $\text{Ext}_{\Sigma}^{s, t}(B, B \otimes_A M)$ . Now applying  $\text{Ext}^{*, *}(—)$  to the short exact sequence (5.1), we have the exact couple, which also gives the Cartan-Eilenberg type spectral sequence

$$E_2^s = \text{Ext}^s(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} \otimes_A F_* \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^s(M \otimes_A F_*)$$

for some  $\Gamma$ -comodule  $M$ . Here  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  has the  $\Gamma$ -comodule structure as a sub-comodule of  $A$ . Then we have

LEMMA 5.2. *If  $\text{Ext}^s(M) = 0$  for some  $s$  and  $\Gamma$ -comodule, then so is  $\text{Ext}^s(M \otimes_A F_*)$ .*

Applying the lemma to Theorem 3.20, we have the similar result.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let  $F_*$  be a  $\Gamma$ -comodule which is a connected locally finite free  $A$ -module. Then we have the following:*

- i)  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, LA_i^{n-i} \otimes_A F_*) = \text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^{n-i} \otimes_A F_*)$ .
- ii)  $\text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^j \otimes_A F_*) = \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, LA_i^j \otimes_A F_*)$  for  $s < n - i - j$ .
- iii) *The following is a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, A_i^j \otimes_A F_*) \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} \text{Ext}_\Sigma^s(B, B_i^j \otimes_A F_*) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s-t}(A, A_i^{n-i+1} \otimes_A F_*) \\ \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s+1}(A, A_i^j \otimes_A F_*) \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} \dots, \end{aligned}$$

in which  $t = n - i - j$  and  $\lambda_*$  denotes the induced map from the canonical map  $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma$  of Hopf algebroids, and note that  $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s-t} = 0$  for  $s - t < 0$ .

In the previous section we only use the fact whether or not the Ext-groups are zero. So we can replace  $N$  in the previous sections by  $N \otimes_A F_*$ , since tensoring with  $F_*$  preserves exactness. Immitating the definition of the universal Greek letter map, we have the map

$$\eta = \eta \otimes id: \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, A_i^{n-i+1} \otimes_A F_*) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s+t+1}(A, A_i^j \otimes_A F_*).$$

Here  $\eta$  on the right is the universal Greek letter map. We thus apply Lemma 5.2 to Theorem 5.3 and get

THEOREM 5.4. *For  $t = n - i - j$ ,*

$$d_{i+1} = (-1)^s \eta: \text{Ext}_\Gamma^s(A, A_i^{n-i+1} \otimes_A F_*) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{s+t+1}(A, A_i^j \otimes_A F_*).$$

§ 6. Some remarks.

In [9, Th. 5.10], Ravenel gives the following cofibration:

$$(6.1) \quad \Sigma^{-n-1} N_{n+1} X \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\eta X} L_n X,$$

in which  $BP_*$ -homology of  $N_{n+1} X$  is  $A_j^{n+1}$  for  $X = V(j-1)$ , the Toda-Smith spectrum by [11]. The  $E_2$ -terms of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence converging to  $\pi_*(N_{n+1} X)$ ,  $\pi_*(X)$  and  $\pi_*(L_n X)$  are

$$\text{Ext}_\Gamma(A, A_j^{n+1}), \text{Ext}_\Gamma(A, A/I_j) \text{ and } \text{Ext}_\Sigma(B, B/I_j),$$

respectively, for the Hopf algebroids  $(A, \Gamma) = (BP_*, BP_*BP)$  and  $(B, \Sigma) = (E(n)_*, E(n)_*E(n))$  as before. Theorems 3.20 and C shows

PROPOSITON 6.2. *We have the long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^t(A, A_j^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{t+n+1}(A, A/I_j) \xrightarrow{(\eta V)_*} \text{Ext}_\Sigma^{t+n+1}(B, B/I_j) \\ \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Gamma^{t+1}(A, A_j^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta$  denotes the universal Greek letter map,  $(\eta V)_*$  the induced map by the localization one for  $V(j-1)$  and  $(B, \Sigma) = (E(n+j)_*, E(n+j)_*E(n+j))$ .

In fact, the map  $\text{Ext}_F^{t+n+1}(A, A/I_j) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Sigma^{t+n+1}(B, B/I_j)$  is induced from the canonical map  $F \rightarrow \Sigma$  and so it is induced from the localization map  $\eta V$ . We generalize this a little more. Let  $W_k(j)$  denote a spectrum such that

$$BP_*(W_k(j)) = (A/I_{j+1})[t_1, \dots, t_k] = (BP_*/I_{j+1})[t_1, \dots, t_k]$$

as a  $BP_*(BP)$ -subcomodule algebra of  $F/I_{j+1} = BP_*(BP)/I_{j+1}$ . Then we know the existence of the spectrum for  $k \geq j$ , or for  $j \leq 4$  and the prime  $p > 2j$ . (cf. [10], [13], [14]). For  $j = -1$ , it is known as Ravenel's spectrum and denoted by  $T(k)$ . Then the cofiber sequence (6.1) turns into

$$\Sigma^{-n-1}N_{n+1}W_k(j-1) \longrightarrow W_k(j-1) \xrightarrow{\eta W} L_nW_k(j-1),$$

and the  $BP_*$ -homology of each spectrum is  $A_j^{n+1}[t_1, \dots, t_k]$ ,  $(A/I_j)[t_1, \dots, t_k]$  and  $(v_n^{-1}A/I_j)[t_1, \dots, t_k]$ , respectively. Now our corresponding result on their  $E_2$ -terms is

PROPOSITION 6.3. *We have the long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_F^t(A, A_j^{n+1}[t_1, \dots, t_k]) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_\Sigma^{t+n+1}(A, A/I_j[t_1, \dots, t_k]) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\eta W)_*} \text{Ext}_\Sigma^{t+n+1}(B, B/I_j[t_1, \dots, t_k]) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_F^{t+1}(A, A_j^{n+1}[t_1, \dots, t_k]) \longrightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta$  denotes the map induced from the universal Greek letter map,  $(\eta W)_*$  the induced map by the localization one for  $W_k(j-1)$  and  $(B, \Sigma) = (E(n+j)_*, E(n+j)_*E(n+j))$ .

These are the results concerning the cofibration (6.1). As an immediate consequence of Theorem C, the definition of Greek letter elements shows the following

PROPOSITION 6.4. *The homotopy groups  $\pi_*(L_nS)$  of the localized sphere  $L_nS$  do not contain the  $(n+1)$ -st Greek letter elements.*

In the same way as defining  $A_j^i$ , we can define  $E(n)_j^i$  inductively as follows :

$$E(n)_j^0 = E(n)_*/I_j, \quad LE(n)_j^i = v_{i+j}^{-1}E(n)_j^i$$

and the cofibering

$$0 \longrightarrow E(n)_j^i \longrightarrow LE(n)_j^i \longrightarrow E(n)_j^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that if we fix  $n$  then it is same as  $B_j^i$  used above. In this case,  $LE(n)_j^{n-j} = E(n)_j^{n-j}$  and so  $E(n)_j^i = 0$  for  $i+j > n$ . Then we can proceed our argument

for  $(A, \Gamma) = (E(n)_*, E(n)_*E(n))$  and  $(B, \Sigma) = (E(m)_*, E(m)_*E(m))$  as long as  $m < n$ . For  $m = n - 1$ , we have the long exact sequence as Proposition 6.3 in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \longrightarrow & \text{Ex}^s(A_0^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Ex}^{s+n+1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ex}_{(n)}^{s+n+1}(E(n)_*) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ex}^{s+1}(A_0^n) \\
 & \parallel & & \uparrow \eta & & \uparrow \eta_n & & \\
 \longrightarrow & \text{Ex}^s(A_0^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ex}^{s+1}(A_0^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ex}^{s+1}(LA_0^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ex}^{s+1}(A_0^n) \\
 & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & \text{Ex}_{(n-1)}^{s+n}(E(n-1)_*) & = & \text{Ex}_{(n-1)}^{s+n}(E(n-1)_*) & & 
 \end{array}$$

Here we use the notation :  $A = BP_*$ ,

$$\text{Ex}^s(M) = \text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^s(BP_*, M), \quad \text{Ex}_{(m)}^s(M) = \text{Ext}_{E(m)_*E(m)}^s(E(m)_*, M)$$

and  $\eta_n$  is the universal Greek letter map based on  $E(n)_*$ . In particular, we have

PROPOSITION 6.5. *If  $\text{Ex}_{(n-1)}^{s+n}(E(n-1)_*) = 0$ , then*

$$\text{Ex}^{s+1}(LA_0^n) \cong \text{Ex}_{(n)}^{s+n+1}(E(n)_*).$$

This result relates to the conjecture

$$\text{Ex}^s(LA_0^n) = 0 \quad \text{if } s > n^2 - n \text{ and } n < p - 1,$$

which is arisen from a result of [12]. Furthermore, we know the triviality  $\text{Ex}_{(n-1)}^s(E(n-1)_*) = 0$  for  $s > (n-1)^2 + (n-1) = n^2 - n$  and  $n < p$  by [9] and so this proposition is applied to this conjecture to be

QUESTION. Is  $\text{Ext}_{E(n)_*E(n)}^s(E(n)_*, E(n)_*) = 0$  for  $s > n^2$ ?

For the case  $n = 2$ , the result of [9, (10, 10)] says that  $\text{Ext}_{E(2)_*E(2)}^s(E(2)_*, E(2)_*) = 0$  for  $s > 6$  and the results of [12] indicates that it is trivial for  $s > 5$ . Recently it is proved in [15] that the Ext group is trivial for  $s = 5$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] J.F. Adams, Stable homotopy and generalized homology, University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [ 2 ] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1956.
- [ 3 ] M. Hikida, Relations between several Adams spectral sequences, Hiroshima Math. J., 19 (1989), 37-76.
- [ 4 ] M.J. Hopkins, Global methods in homotopy theory, (eds. J.D.S. Jones and E. Rees), Proceedings of the 1985 LMS Symposium on Homotopy Theory, 1987, pp. 73-96.
- [ 5 ] M.J. Hopkins and J.H. Smith, Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory II, to appear.
- [ 6 ] H.R. Miller, On relations between Adams spectral sequences, with an application



- to the stable homotopy of a Moore space, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **20** (1981), 287-312.
- [7] H.R. Miller and D.C. Ravenel, Morava Stabilizer Algebras and the localization of Novikov's  $E_2$ -term, *Duke Math. J.*, **44** (1977), 433-447.
  - [8] H.R. Miller, D.C. Ravenel and W.S. Wilson, Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, *Ann. of Math.*, **106** (1977), 469-516.
  - [9] D.C. Ravenel, Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories, *Amer. J. Math.*, **106** (1984), 351-414.
  - [10] D.C. Ravenel, Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres, Academic Press, 1986.
  - [11] D.C. Ravenel, The geometric realization of the chromatic resolution, Algebraic topology and algebraic  $K$ -theory, (ed. W. Browder), *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, **113**, 1987, pp. 168-179.
  - [12] K. Shimomura, On the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and products of  $\beta$ -elements, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **16** (1986), 209-224.
  - [13] K. Shimomura, A spectrum whose  $BP_*$ -homology is  $(BP_*/I_5)[t_1]$ , *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **21** (1991), 209-224.
  - [14] K. Shimomura and A. Yabe, Computation of obstruction for a spectrum with  $BP_*$ -homology  $(BP_*/I_n)[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k]$ , *J. Fac. Educ. Tottori Univ. (Nat. Sci.)*, **39** (1990), 85-94.
  - [15] K. Shimomura and A. Yabe, On the chromatic  $E_1$ -term  $H^*M_0^2$ , *Topology and Representation Theory*, (eds. E. M. Friedlander and M. E. Mahowald), *Contemp. Math.*, **158** (1994), 217-228.

Mizuho HIKIDA  
Hiroshima Prefectural University  
Shobara-Shi  
Hiroshima, 727  
Japan

Katsumi SHIMOMURA  
Faculty of Education  
Tottori University  
Tottori, 680  
Japan