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#### Abstract

In the Jones Index theory, Longo's sector theory has been a powerful approach to the analysis for inclusions of factors and canonical endomorphisms have played an important role. In this paper, two topics on commuting canonical endomorphisms are studied: For a composition of two irreducible inclusions of depth 2 factors, the commutativity of corresponding canonical endomorphisms is shown to be the condition for the ambient irreducible inclusion to be of depth 2 , that is, to give a finite dimensional Kac algebra. And an equivalent relation between the commuting co-commuting square condition and the existence of two simultaneous commuting canonical endomorphisms is discussed.


## 1. Introduction.

In the Jones index theory $([\mathbf{1 0 ]}]$, we have recognized the notion of commuting squares ([18], [2], etc.) is very important from the beginning. In particular, we have studied commuting co-commuting (or non-degenerate commuting) squares ([21], [20]). On the other hand, Longo's sector theory ([14], [6], etc.) has got a precious position in index theory for inclusions of properly infinite factors, and canonical endomorphisms have played an important role. In this article, we would like to study two kinds of inclusions of factors via "commuting canonical endomorphisms".

In $\S 2$, we study the commutativity of canonical endomorphisms appearing in a composition of inclusions of factors: let $L$ be a properly infinite factor with $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{End}(L)$, and we assume that each of the inclusions

$$
L \supset \rho_{1}(L)=: M \quad \text { and } \quad M \supset \rho_{1} \rho_{2}(L)=: N
$$

is an irreducible one with finite index and of depth 2 ([17]). Then we show that the following are equivalent:
(1) The inclusion $L \supset N$ is irreducible and of depth 2 .
(2) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}\right)=1$ and the canonical endomorphisms $\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}$ commute in $\operatorname{Sect}(L)$.

[^0]Izumi and Kosaki make an intensive study on the classification of finite dimensional Kac algebras and they give several concrete examples via this kind of compositions of two inclusions ([7], [8]).

In $\S 3$, we recall basic facts on commuting co-commuting squares, in particular, the existence of a simultaneous canonical endomorphism for a commuting co-commuting square shown by Guido and Longo in [3]. We show that these two simultaneous canonical endomorphisms for a commuting co-commuting square have the commutativity to give several properties of extensions. After that, we see an equivalent relation between the commuting square condition and the existence of two simultaneous canonical endomorphisms.

Our approach in this article depends heavily on Longo's sector theory and we shall freely use standard notations and well-known facts on the sector theory (14], [6], [13], etc.).
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## 2. Commuting canonical endomorphisms appearing in a composition of depth 2 inclusions of factors.

Let $L$ be a properly infinite factor with $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{End}(L)$, and we set $\gamma_{i}:=\rho_{i} \overline{\rho_{i}}$. In this section, we assume that each of the inclusions

$$
L \supset \rho_{1}(L), \quad L \supset \rho_{2}(L)
$$

is an irreducible one with finite index and of depth 2 , and let us consider the endomorphism $\rho:=\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{End}(L)$ and the inclusion

$$
L \supset \rho(L)\left(=\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}(L)\right) .
$$

In general, if $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ commute, then the odd powers of the canonical endomorphism for $\rho=\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}$ is described as the products of those for $\overline{\rho_{1}}$ and $\rho_{2}$. In the present case, we have more:

Theorem 2.1. Let $L$ be a properly infinite factor with $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{End}(L)$, and we assume that each of the inclusions

$$
L \supset \rho_{1}(L), \quad L \supset \rho_{2}(L)
$$

is an irreducible one with finite index and of depth 2 . Then the following are equivalent:
(1) The inclusion $L \supset \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}(L)$ is irreducible and of depth 2.
(2) For the canonical endomorphisms $\gamma_{1}=\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}}$ and $\gamma_{2}=\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=1$ and $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Sect}(L)$.

Corollary 2.2. Let $L$ be a properly infinite factor with $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{End}(L)$, and we assume that each of the inclusions

$$
L \supset \rho_{1}(L)=: M \quad \text { and } \quad M \supset \rho_{1} \rho_{2}(L)=: N
$$

is irreducible and of depth 2. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) The inclusion $L \supset N$ is irreducible and of depth 2.
(2) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}\right)=1$ and $\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}=\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}} \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Sect}(L)$.

Recall that for inclusions $L \supset M \supset N$ of properly infinite isomorphic factors, we have endomorphisms $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{End}(L)$ such that $M=\rho_{1}(L)$ and $N=\rho_{1} \rho_{2}(L)$ $([\mathbf{1 5}])$. We remark that $\rho_{1}(L) \supset \rho_{1} \rho_{2}(L)$ is isomorphic to $L \supset \rho_{2}(L)$ and both of the irreducibility and the depth 2 condition for the endomorphism $\rho_{1}$ correspond to those for the conjugate $\overline{\rho_{1}}$. Therefore, we apply Theorem 2.1 to get Corollary 2.2.

Remark 1. As in Theorem 2.1, let us consider the inclusion $L \supset \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}(L)$ and assume that each of the inclusions

$$
L \supset \rho_{1}(L), \quad L \supset \rho_{2}(L)
$$

is of depth 2 but not necessarily irreducible. If $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}$, then it follows from direct calculations of $\rho \bar{\rho} \rho$ that the inclusion $L \supset \rho(L)$ is of depth 2.

In order to show Theorem 2.1, we prepare lemmas. At first, recall the following: an inclusion $L \supset \varphi(L)$ is irreducible if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(\varphi, \varphi)=1$ and an irreducible inclusion $L \supset \varphi(L)$ is of depth 2 if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(\varphi \bar{\varphi}, \varphi \bar{\varphi})=$ $(d \varphi)^{2}$, where $d \varphi$ means the statistical dimension of $\varphi([4,15])$. Thanks to the Frobenius reciprocity, for the endomorphism $\rho=\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(\rho, \rho)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}, \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(\rho \bar{\rho}, \rho \bar{\rho}) & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}} \rho_{1}, \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}} \rho_{1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the discussion so far, we have
Lemma 2.3. The inclusion $L \supset \rho(L)=\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}(L)$ is irreducible and of depth 2 if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)=(d \rho)^{2}=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2}$.

Next we recall the following Cauchy-Schwarz type inequality:
Lemma 2.4 ([5, Lemma 16]). For $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{Sect}(L)$, we have

$$
\left(\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right)^{2} \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{2}\right) .
$$

When $d \rho_{1}=d \rho_{2}$, the equality occurs here if and only if $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2} \in \operatorname{Sect}(L)$.
This lemma gives the following characterization on the commutativity of canonical endomorphisms:

Lemma 2.5. We assume that $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{Sect}(L)$. Then we have $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} \in$ $\operatorname{Sect}(L)$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}, \gamma_{2}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. Assume the latter condition holds. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)\right)^{2} & \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right) \times \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}, \gamma_{2}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The assumption means that this inequality becomes the equality. And remark that $d\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)=d\left(\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)\left(=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2}\right)$. Hence, applying Lemma 2.4, we get the commutativity of the canonical endomorphisms $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. The other implication follows from direct calculations.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 2.1.
Proof. We only show the implication from (1) to (2). The depth 2 assumption of $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ means that

$$
\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2} \rho_{1}, \quad \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}} \rho_{2}=\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2} \rho_{2}
$$

so that we have

$$
\gamma_{1}^{2}=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2} \gamma_{1}, \quad \gamma_{2}^{2}=\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{2}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}, \gamma_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

by the irreducibility, i.e., $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=1$. The depth 2 assumption for the irreducible inclusion $L \supset \rho(L)$ corresponds to

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)=(d \rho)^{2}=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

by Lemma 2.3. Hence, combining these equations, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}, \gamma_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

therefore, applying Lemma 2.5, we get the commutativity of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$.

Example 2.6. Let $P$ be a properly infinite factor and let $H$ and $K$ be
finite groups with outer actions $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}_{h \in H}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ on $P$. For the inclusions

$$
L:=P \rtimes_{\alpha} H \supset P \supset P^{(K, \beta)}:=\left\{x \in P ; \beta_{k}(x)=x(k \in K)\right\}
$$

([1], [7], [8]), we choose endomorphisms $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ on $L$ satisfying $P=\rho_{1}(L)$ and $P^{(K, \beta)}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2}(L)$. In this case, $\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}(\in \operatorname{Sect}(L))$ corresponds to $\bigoplus_{k \in K}\left[\beta_{k}\right]$ $(\in \operatorname{Sect}(P))$ via the identification $L \supset \rho_{2}(L)$ with $P\left(=\rho_{1}(L)\right) \supset P^{(K, \beta)}\left(=\rho_{1} \rho_{2}(L)\right)$, and $\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}$ may similarly be identified with $\bigoplus_{h \in H}\left[\alpha_{h}\right]$ via the identification $L \supset$ $\overline{\rho_{1}}(L)$ with $P\left(=\rho_{1}(L)\right) \supset \rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}}(L) \cong P^{(H, \alpha)}$. Hence, we may think that $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are endomorphisms on $P$ with $\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}=\oplus\left[\alpha_{h}\right]$ and $\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}=\oplus\left[\beta_{k}\right]$. Then the irreducibility $P \cap \rho_{1} \rho_{2}(P)^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{C}$ is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\left[\alpha_{h}\right]\right\}_{h \in H} \cap\left\{\left[\beta_{k}\right]\right\}_{k \in K}=\{[\mathrm{id}]\}
$$

in $\operatorname{Sect}(P)=\operatorname{Out}(P)=\operatorname{Aut}(P) / \operatorname{Int}(P)$, and the commutativity of $\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}$ just corresponds to

$$
\left\{\left[\alpha_{h}\right]\left[\beta_{k}\right]\right\}_{h \in H, k \in K}=\left\{\left[\beta_{k}\right]\left[\alpha_{h}\right]\right\}_{h \in H, k \in K}
$$

Therefore, applying Corollary 2.2, we have
Proposition 2.7. Let $P$ be a properly infinite factor and let $H$ and $K$ be finite groups with outer actions $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}_{h \in H}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ on $P$, and we assume that these two groups $\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}_{h \in H} \cong H$ and $\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k \in K} \cong K$ have the trivial intersection $(H \cap K=\{e\})$ in $\operatorname{Out}(P)=\operatorname{Aut}(P) / \operatorname{Int}(P)$. Then the irreducible inclusion

$$
P \rtimes_{\alpha} H \supset P^{(K, \beta)}:=\left\{x \in P ; \beta_{k}(x)=x(k \in K)\right\}
$$

is of depth 2 if and only if the product HK forms a group, that is, $H K=K H$ holds in $\operatorname{Out}(P)$.

We remark that Izumi and Kosaki give an intensive study on finite dimensional Kac algebras by considering this kind of inclusions and more general ones in which commuting canonical endomorphisms appear. (See [7], [8].)

## 3. Simultaneous canonical endomorphisms and commuting co-commuting squares.

Let

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \supset & M \\
\cup & & \cup \\
N & \supset & K
\end{array}
$$

be inclusions of properly infinite factors with finite index, and we simply denote these by $(L, M, N, K)$. In this section, we treat only minimal conditional expec-
tations and denote the minimal expectation from $L$ onto $K$ (resp. $M, N$ ) by $E_{K}^{L}$ (resp. $E_{M}^{L}, E_{N}^{L}$ ). We call $(L, M, N, K)$ a commuting square ([18], [2]) if $E_{M}^{L} E_{N}^{L}=E_{N}^{L} E_{M}^{L}=E_{K}^{L}$ is satisfied. If a commuting square $(L, M, N, K)$ satisfies $[L: M]_{0}=[N: K]_{0}$ (and/or $[L: N]_{0}=[M: K]_{0}$ ), it is said to be co-commuting or non-degenerate ([19], [21]).

For a commuting co-commuting square, Guido and Longo show the existence of a simultaneous canonical endomorphism:

Proposition 3.1 ([3, Proposition 2.3]). Let $(L, M, N, K)$ be a commuting co-commuting square of properly infinite factors with finite index and $L \cap K^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{C}$. Then there exists a canonical endomorphism $\gamma_{M}^{L}$ for $L \supset M$ whose restriction to $N$ is a canonical endomorphism for $N \supset K$.

A simultaneous canonical endomorphism is obtained as follows ([3]): let $\varphi$ be a bicyclic state for $M \supset K$ and let us consider the faithful state $\varphi \circ E_{M}^{L}=$ $\omega_{\xi} \in L_{*}\left(\xi \in L^{2}(L)_{+}\right)$. We set $e:=[M \xi]=[K \xi]$ and take an isometry $v \in\langle N, e\rangle$ with $v v^{*}=e$. Then $\gamma_{M}^{L}:=\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi$ turns out to be a common canonical endomorphism for both $L \supset M$ and $N \supset K$, where $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ are $*$-isomorphisms given by $\Psi=A d v: L \rightarrow M e$ and $\Phi: M \cong M e$. (See [16, Proposition 2.9].)

In this case, we get the inclusions

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
L_{1}:=\langle L, e\rangle & \supset & L & \supset M=\gamma_{1}\left(L_{1}\right) & \supset & \gamma(L) \\
\cup & & \cup & & \cup & \\
N_{1}:=\langle N, e\rangle & \supset & N & \supset & K=\gamma_{1}\left(N_{1}\right) & \supset \\
\cup & \gamma(K),
\end{array}
$$

where the $*$-isomorphism $\gamma_{1}: L_{1} \rightarrow L$ is defined as the extension of $\gamma$ with $\gamma_{1}(e) \in K$. Since the inclusions $\left(L_{1}, L, N_{1}, N\right)$ is commuting and co-commuting, so is the inclusions $(M, \gamma(L), K, \gamma(N))$.

For two simultaneous canonical endomorphisms for $(L, M, N, K)$, we have
Lemma 3.2. Let $(L, M, N, K)$ be inclusions of properly infinite factors with canonical endomorphisms $\gamma_{1}: L \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma_{2}: L \rightarrow N$ satisfying that $\left.\gamma_{1}\right|_{N}$ (resp. $\left.\left.\gamma_{2}\right|_{M}\right)$ is a canonical endomorphism for $N \supset K($ resp. $M \supset K)$. Then the products $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}$ are canonical endomorphisms for $L \supset K$ and their difference is in $\operatorname{Int}(K)$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A d J_{K} J_{L} & =A d J_{K} J_{N} \circ A d J_{N} J_{L} \\
& =\left(\left.A d u_{K} \circ \gamma_{1}\right|_{N}\right) \circ A d J_{N} J_{L} \\
& =\left(A d u_{K} \circ \gamma_{1}\right) \circ\left(A d u_{N} \circ \gamma_{2}\right) \\
& =A d u_{K} \gamma_{1}\left(u_{N}\right) \circ \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{K} \in K$ and $u_{N} \in N$ are suitable unitaries. We remark that $u_{K} \gamma_{1}\left(u_{N}\right) \in K$. Similarly, we have

$$
A d J_{K} J_{L}=A d J_{K} J_{M} \circ A d J_{M} J_{L}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(v_{K} \gamma_{2}\left(u_{M}\right)\right) \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}
$$

for unitaries $v_{K} \in K$ and $u_{M} \in M$, and we also have $v_{K} \gamma_{2}\left(v_{M}\right) \in K$. Hence, we get the conclusion.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(L, M, N, K)$ be inclusions of properly infinite factors with simultaneous canonical endomorphisms $\gamma_{1}: L \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma_{2}: L \rightarrow N$. Suppose that $L \cap K^{\prime}=C$. Then we have

$$
M \cap \gamma_{1}(N)^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{C}
$$

Proof. We may write $M=\rho_{1}(L)$ and $N=\rho_{2}(L)$ for $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{End}(L)$. Since $\gamma_{1}=\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \in \operatorname{Sect}(L, M)$, we have

$$
M \cap \gamma_{1}(N)^{\prime} \cong \rho_{1}(L) \cap \rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}(L)^{\prime}=\rho_{1}\left(L \cap \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2}(L)^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show the irreducibility of $\rho:=\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2} \in \operatorname{Sect}(L)$. By the Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(\rho, \rho)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\rho_{1} \bar{\rho}_{1} \rho_{2} \bar{\rho}_{2}, \operatorname{id}_{L}\right)
$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.2, we have $\rho_{1} \bar{\rho}_{1} \rho_{2} \bar{\rho}_{2}=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{K}^{L}$. Hence, the irreducibility of $L \supset K$ implies the last dimension is 1 ; therefore, we get the conclusion.

This proposition means that an irreducible commuting co-commuting square gives another irreducible one: starting from a commuting co-commuting square, we have three kinds of other extensions. Applying Theorems [2.1 and 2.2 in [20], we get that if one of them is irreducible and of depth 2 then so are the others. As an application of Lemma 3.2, we have an alternative proof:

Proposition 3.4 ([20, Theorem 2.2]). Let $(L, M, N, K)$ be a commuting co-commuting square of properly infinite factors with $L \cap K^{\prime}=C$. Suppose that both of the inclusions $L \supset M$ and $L \supset N$ are of depth 2 . Then so is the inclusion $L \supset K$.

Proof. Let us denote

$$
M=\rho_{1}(L), \quad N=\rho_{2}(L), \quad K=\rho_{1} \rho_{3}(L)=\rho_{2} \rho_{4}(L)=\rho(L)
$$

for $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}, \rho_{4}, \rho \in \operatorname{End}(L)$. Then the assumption means

$$
\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2} \rho_{1}, \quad \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}} \rho_{2}=\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2} \rho_{2}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho \bar{\rho} \rho & =\left(\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}\right) \rho=\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}}\left(\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}} \rho_{2}\right) \rho_{4} \\
& =\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}}\left(\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2} \rho_{2} \rho_{4}\right)=\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\rho_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}\right) \rho_{3} \\
& =\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{3}\right)=\left(d \rho_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \rho_{2}\right)^{2} \rho=(d \rho)^{2} \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we are done.
We remark that Theorem 2.1 in [20] and similar results in [11], [23] can be proved similarly.

In the remainder of this section, we would like to show that the existence of common canonical endomorphisms implies the commuting co-commuting property: let

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \supset & M \\
\cup & & \cup \\
N & \supset & K
\end{array}
$$

be inclusions of properly infinite factors with finite index. And assume that
(i) there is a canonical endomorphism $\gamma_{1}: L \rightarrow M$ such that $\left.\gamma_{1}\right|_{N}$ is a canonical endomorphism of $N \supset K$,
(ii) there is a canonical endomorphism $\gamma_{2}: L \rightarrow N$ such that $\left.\gamma_{2}\right|_{M}$ is a canonical endomorphism of $M \supset K$,
(iii) the inclusion $L \supset K$ is irreducible.

In this case, we have

$$
[L: M]_{0}=[N: K]_{0} \quad\left(\text { and /or }[L: N]_{0}=[M: K]_{0}\right) .
$$

And moreover, we have
Theorem 3.5. The set of inclusions $(L, M, N, K)$ forms a commuting co-commuting square.

The following lemma is due to H . Kosaki.
Lemma 3.6. There exists a non-zero isometry $u$ in $K$ such that $\gamma_{1}(x) u=u x$ for each $x \in M$.

Proof. Since $\gamma_{1}: L \rightarrow M$ is a canonical endomorphism, there is an isometry $u \in M$ such that

$$
\gamma_{1}(x) u=u x \quad \text { for each } x \in M
$$

We have to show that $u$ actually belongs to the smaller factor $K$. Since $M \supset K$, we obviously have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}(x) u=u x \quad \text { for each } x \in K \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the assumption (i) $\left.\gamma_{1}\right|_{N}: N \rightarrow K$ is also a canonical endomorphism, and hence we can also find an isometry $\tilde{u}$ in $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}(x) \tilde{u}=\tilde{u} x \quad \text { for each } x \in K \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{K} \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion map considered as an element in $\operatorname{Sect}(K, M)$. Let $\gamma=\left.\gamma_{1}\right|_{K}$ be the restriction considered as an element in $\operatorname{Sect}(K)$ (so that $l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ$ $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sect}(K, M)$ ). Then, (3.1) and (3.2) mean

$$
l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \gamma(x) u=x u \quad \text { and } \quad l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \gamma(x) \tilde{u}=\tilde{u} x \quad \text { for each } x \in K .
$$

This means that both of $u \in M$ and $\tilde{u} \in K \subseteq M$ are intertwiners between the two $K-M$ sectors $l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \gamma, l_{K \hookrightarrow M}$. By the assumption (ii), $\gamma\left(=\left.\gamma_{1}\right|_{N}\right)=\rho \bar{\rho}$ with $\rho \in \operatorname{Sect}(K)$ and $\gamma_{1}(N)=\rho(K), \quad$ a downward basic extension of $N \supset K$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \gamma, l_{K \hookrightarrow M}\right) & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \rho \bar{\rho}, l_{K \hookrightarrow M}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \rho, l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \rho\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The algebra of self-intertwiners of $l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \rho \in \operatorname{Sect}(K, M)$ is

$$
M \cap\left(l_{K \hookrightarrow M} \circ \rho(K)\right)^{\prime}=M \cap \rho(K)^{\prime} .
$$

Since $\rho(K)=\gamma_{1}(N)$, the above relative commutant is one-dimensional by Theorem 3.3. Therefore, $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ differ only by a scalar, and we conclude $u \in K$.

By the symmetric arguments, we can also take an isometry $v \in K$ such that $\gamma_{2}(x) v=v x$ for each $x \in N$.

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3.5) Taking intertwiners $u, v \in K$ as above, we set

$$
E:=u^{*} \gamma_{1}(\cdot) u, \quad F:=v^{*} \gamma_{2}(\cdot) v
$$

By Proposition 5.1 in [14] and the assumption (iii), the map $E$ is the common conditional expectation for both $L \supset M$ and $N \supset K$, and the map $F$ is one for both $L \supset N$ and $M \supset K$. Therefore, the products $E F$ and $F E$ coincide with the conditional expectation $E_{K}^{L}$ from $L$ onto $K$ because of the uniqueness of a conditional expectation for the irreducible inclusion $L \supset K$. Hence, we get the conclusion.

Remark 2. Let $(H, \alpha),(K, \beta)$ be as in Proposition 2.7, and we consider the following inclusions of fixed point algebras:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
P & \supset & P^{(H, \alpha)} \\
\cup & & \cup \\
P^{(K, \beta)} & \supset & P^{(H, \alpha)} \cap P^{(K, \beta)} .
\end{array}
$$

We point out that these inclusions have the commuting canonical endomorphisms $\overline{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2} \overline{\rho_{2}}$, and actually we have the following:

Proposition 3.7. Let $P$ be a type II or type III factor, and let $H$ and $K$ be finite groups with outer actions $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}_{h \in H}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ on $P$. We consider the implementing unitaries $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h \in H}$ of $\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}_{h \in H}$ and $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ of $\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ on the standard space $L^{2}(P)$, and we set $M:=\left\{P \vee\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h \in H}\right\}^{\prime \prime}, N:=\left\{P \vee\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$, and $L:=M \vee N$. Assume that $\varepsilon\left(\alpha_{H}\right)$ and $\varepsilon\left(\beta_{K}\right)$ have the trivial intersection in $\operatorname{Out}(P)$ and that the inclusion

$$
P \supset P^{(H, \alpha)} \cap P^{(K, \beta)}
$$

or $L \supset P$ has finite index. Then the extensions

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \supset & M \\
\cup & & \cup \\
N & \supset & P
\end{array}
$$

form a commuting square and $[L: P]=\left|\varepsilon\left(\alpha_{H}\right) \vee \varepsilon\left(\beta_{K}\right)\right|$. Moreover, these extensions or

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
P & \supset & P^{(H, \alpha)} \\
\cup & & \cup \\
P^{(K, \beta)} & \supset & P^{(H, \alpha)} \cap P^{(K, \beta)}
\end{array}
$$

form a commuting co-commuting square if and only if $[L: P]=|H K|$ or $H \vee K=$ $H K(=K H)$ in $\operatorname{Out}(P)$.

We just give a sketch of a proof for a type III inclusion, because the argument is similar to that of Theorem 6.2 in [21]: let $G$ be the group generated by $\varepsilon\left(\alpha_{H}\right)$ and $\varepsilon\left(\beta_{K}\right)$. For each $g \in G$, we choose a unitary $w_{g} \in L$ and an automorphism $\theta_{g} \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ such that $\theta_{g}=a d w_{g}, \varepsilon\left(\theta_{g}\right)=g, \quad w_{e}=e, \quad \theta_{e}=e$, $w_{\varepsilon\left(\alpha_{h}\right)}=u_{h}, w_{\varepsilon\left(\beta_{k}\right)}=v_{k}$, and $w_{g}$ is a word consisting of $u_{h}$ and $v_{k}$. For a conditional expectation $E=E_{P}^{L}$, we have $E\left(w_{g}^{*} w_{h}\right)=0(g \neq h)$ to obtain the projection $q:=\sum_{g} w_{g} e_{P}^{L} w_{g}^{*} \in\left\langle L, e_{K}^{L}\right\rangle$. The finite index assumption implies that

$$
\infty>E^{-1}(1) \geq E^{-1}(J q J)=E^{-1}\left(\sum J w_{g} J e_{P}^{L} J w_{g}^{*} J\right)=|G|
$$

hence $G$ is finite. Each element of the *-algebra

$$
L_{0}:=\left\{x=\sum_{g \in G} x_{g} w_{g}: x_{g} \in P\right\}
$$

has the unique expression; $x_{g}=E\left(x w_{g}^{*}\right)$, so the ${ }^{*}$-algebra $L_{0}$ is closed with respect to the strong operator topology, hence we have $L=L_{0}$. To see the relative commutant $L \cap P^{\prime}$, we have $y\left(\sum_{g} x_{g} w_{g}\right)=\left(\sum_{g} x_{g} w_{g}\right) y$, or $y x_{g}=x_{g} \theta_{g}(y)$. Because of the freeness of $\theta_{g}(g \neq e), x_{g}=0(g \neq e)$ and $x_{e} \in P \cap P^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{C}$, that is, $L \cap P^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{C}$. This implies $w_{g} w_{h} \in P w_{g h}$, and we have a unitary representation $y_{g}$ with $y_{g} \in P w_{g}([9]$, [22]) to get the outer action $\gamma$ of $G$ on $P$ such that $\gamma_{g}=a d y_{g}$. In this case, we can show that

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \supset & M \\
\cup & & \cup \\
N & \supset & P
\end{array}
$$

is isomorphic to

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
P \rtimes_{\gamma} G & \supset & P \rtimes_{\gamma} H \\
\cup & & \cup \\
P \rtimes_{\gamma} K & \supset & P,
\end{array}
$$

and this forms a commuting square. The latter part of the proposition follows from Theorem 7.1 in [21].
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