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#### Abstract

In this note we are going to generalize Prudnikov's method of using a double integral to deduce relations between the Riemann zeta-values, so as to prove intriguing relations between double zeta-values of depth 2 . Prior to this, we shall deduce the most well-known relation that expresses the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \zeta(j+1) \zeta(m-j)$ in terms of $\zeta_{2}(1, m)$.


## 1. Introduction and statement of results.

If the Mellin transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M} W(n)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n-1} W(x) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is to yield (or at least, to be related to) zeta values, is computable in finite form for a suitable weight function $W$, then we may express the double integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x+y)^{s-1} W(x) W(y) d x d y \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for positive integer values of $s=m$, as a convolution of zeta-values:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(m)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{j} \mathscr{M} W(j+1) \mathscr{M} W(m-j) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, further, it so happens that, when we express (1.2) as a repeated integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{s-1} B(z) d z \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(z)$ is the beta-type integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(z)=\int_{0}^{z} W(z-y) W(y) d y \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may express $B(z)$ in tractable form, then we may expect to obtain a relation among zeta-values.

We consider the case where $W(x)$ can be expressed as a series (finite or infinite). Then the implementation of the above method is a well-known one in number theory, i.e. expanding the product $W(x) W(y)$ into a series, extracting the diagonal terms (which is of the form (1.1)), being thereby left with non-diagonal terms to be summed accordingly.
A. P. Prudnikov [6] (cf. also Srivastava-Choi [7]) was the first who put the above idea into practice, using the (elliptic) theta series as $W(x)$.

In this note we shall use the weight functions

$$
w_{N}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{-k x}
$$

and

$$
W_{n}(x)=x^{n} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} w_{N}(x)=x^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k x}\left(=\frac{x^{n}}{e^{x}-1}\right), \quad(x>0)
$$

to deduce the well-known fundamental relation among Riemann zeta-values anew (Theorem 1) and an intriguing relation between Riemann zeta-values and the multiple zeta-values of depth 2 (Theorem 2), respectively.

We use the following notation:
For a positive integer $N$ let $\zeta_{N}(s)$ denote the $N$-th partial sum $\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-s}$ of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}(\sigma=\Re s>1)$, let $H_{N}$ denote the $N$-th harmonic number $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n}$, and let $\zeta_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ denote the double zeta-function defined for $\Re s_{2}>$ $1, \Re\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)>2$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\sum_{m<n} \frac{1}{m^{s_{1}} n^{s_{2}}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\zeta_{2}(1, s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{n-1} n^{-s}$. For double and multiple zeta-functions, cf. [2], [5], [10], [11].

We are now in a position to state the results.
Theorem 1. For each positive integer $m \geq 2$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have the intermediate formula

$$
m \zeta_{N}(m+1)-2 \sum_{h \leq N} \frac{H_{h-1}}{h^{m}}+o(1)=\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \zeta_{N}(j+1) \zeta_{N}(m-j)
$$

and in the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \zeta_{2}(1, m)=m \zeta(m+1)-\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \zeta(j+1) \zeta(m-j) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. The identity (1.7) is equivalent to Euler's sum formula

$$
\zeta(k)=\sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \zeta_{2}(k-j, j) \quad(k \geq 2)
$$

which is derived easily from the relation

$$
\zeta(p) \zeta(q)=\zeta(p+q)+\zeta_{2}(p, q)+\zeta_{2}(q, p)
$$

Theorem 2. (1) For integers $1 \leq n_{1}<n_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n_{2}-n_{1}}\binom{n_{1}+j}{n_{1}}\binom{n_{2}-j}{n_{1}} \zeta\left(n_{1}+1+j\right) \zeta\left(n_{2}+1-j\right) \\
& \quad-2 \sum_{j=0}^{n_{1}}(-1)^{j}\binom{n_{1}+j}{n_{1}}\binom{n_{2}-j}{n_{2}-n_{1}} \zeta\left(n_{1}+1+j\right) \zeta\left(n_{2}+1-j\right) \\
& \quad=\binom{n_{1}+n_{2}+1}{n_{2}-n_{1}} \zeta\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right) \\
& \quad-2(-1)^{n_{1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n_{1}}\binom{n_{1}+j}{n_{1}}\binom{n_{2}-j}{n_{2}-n_{1}} \zeta_{2}\left(n_{1}+1+j, n_{2}+1-j\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For integers $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{n+j}{n} \zeta(n+1+j) \zeta(n+1-j) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{n-1}\binom{2 n}{n}\left\{\zeta(2 n+2)+\zeta_{2}(1,2 n+1)\right\}+\zeta_{2}(n+1, n+1) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n+j}{n} \zeta_{2}(n+1+j, n+1-j)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Proofs.

In this section we shall carry out the proof of our results in the lines indicated in $\S 1$.
Proof of Theorem 1. We choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{N}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{-k x} \quad(x>0) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a weight function. Then its Mellin transform (1.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M} w_{N}(s)=\Gamma(s) \zeta_{N}(s), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the convolution formula (1.3) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
I(m) & =I_{N}(m) \\
& =2 \Gamma(m) H_{N} \zeta_{N}(m)+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}\binom{m-1}{j} \Gamma(j+1) \Gamma(m-j) \zeta_{N}(j+1) \zeta_{N}(m-j) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
w_{N}(z-y) w_{N}(y)=w_{N}(z)+\sum_{\substack{h, k \leq N \\ h \neq k}} \sum^{-k z} e^{(k-h) y},
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(z)=\int_{0}^{z} w_{N}(z-y) w_{N}(y) d y=z w_{N}(z)+2 \sum_{\substack{h, k \leq N \\ h \neq k}} \frac{e^{-h z}}{k-h} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence its Mellin transform $I_{N}(s)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{N}(s) & =\mathscr{M} w_{N}(s+1)+2 \sum_{\substack{h, k \leq N \\
h \neq k}} \frac{1}{k-h} \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{s-1} e^{-h z} d z \\
& =\Gamma(s+1) \zeta_{N}(s+1)+2 \Gamma(s) J_{N}(s) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

say, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}(s)=\sum_{\substack{h, k \leq N \\ h \neq k}} \sum_{h^{s}(k-h)} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the sum over $k$ in (2.6) concretely, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{N}(s) & =\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{1}{h^{s}}\left(-H_{h-1}+H_{N-h}\right) \\
& =\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{1}{h^{s}}\left\{-H_{h-1}+H_{N}-\left(\frac{1}{N-h+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{N}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}(s)=H_{N} \zeta_{N}(s)-\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{H_{h-1}}{h^{s}}-J_{N}^{(1)}(s), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
J_{N}^{(1)}(s)=\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{1}{h^{s}}\left(\frac{1}{N-h+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{N}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\left|J_{N}^{(1)}(s)\right| \leq \sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{1}{h^{\sigma}} \frac{h}{N-h+1},
$$

we derive, on dividing the sum into two at $h=\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$, that

$$
\left|J_{N}^{(1)}(s)\right| \ll N^{1-\sigma} \log N=o(1), \quad N \rightarrow \infty
$$

for $\sigma>1$, and therefore that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}(s)=H_{N} \zeta_{N}(s)-\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{H_{h-1}}{h^{s}}+o(1), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $\sigma>1$.
Substituting (2.8) into (2.5) and combining it with (2.3), thereby $s=m \geq 2$ an integer, we complete the proof of the intermediate formula. Letting then $N \rightarrow \infty$, (1.7) follows, and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. First we consider the case $\sigma>1$. Corresponding to (2.1), we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=W_{n}(x)=x^{n} w(x), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k x}=\frac{1}{e^{x}-1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then its Mellin transform is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M} W_{n}(s)=\Gamma(n+s) \zeta(n+s) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to (2.2), and the convolution formula takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
I(m) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x+y)^{m-1} W_{n}(x) W_{n}(y) d x d y \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{j} \Gamma(n+j+1) \Gamma(m+n-j) \zeta(n+j+1) \zeta(m+n-j), \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

corresponding to (2.3).
On the other hand, the same reasoning that led to (2.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(z)=\int_{0}^{z} W_{n}(z-y) W_{n}(y) d y=B_{1}(z)+B_{2}(z), \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{1}(z)=w(z) \int_{0}^{z}(z-y)^{n} y^{n} d y
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}(z)=\sum_{h \neq k} \sum e^{-k z} \int_{0}^{z}(z-y)^{n} y^{n} e^{(k-h) y} d y \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in $B_{1}(z)$ is

$$
z^{2 n+1} B(n+1, n+1)=\frac{\Gamma(n+1)^{2}}{\Gamma(2 n+2)} z^{2 n+1}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}(z)=\frac{\Gamma(n+1)^{2}}{\Gamma(2 n+2)} z^{2 n+1} w(z) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in $B_{2}(z)$ can be treated by the well-known formulas involving the modified Bessel function $I_{\mu}$ (cf. e.g. Erdélyi [3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{u}(x(u-x))^{\mu-1} e^{\beta x} d x=\sqrt{\pi}\left(\frac{u}{\beta}\right)^{\mu-1 / 2} \exp \left(\frac{\beta u}{2}\right) \Gamma(\mu) I_{\mu-1 / 2}\left(\frac{\beta u}{2}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\beta>0)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n+1 / 2}(x)=\frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi x}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!}\left(\frac{1}{2 x}\right)^{j}\left((-1)^{j} e^{2 x}+(-1)^{n+1}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(x>0, n \in \boldsymbol{N})$.
Combining these, we deduce that

$$
\int_{0}^{z}(z-y)^{n} y^{n} e^{\beta y} d y=\Gamma(n+1) \frac{z^{n}}{\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!}\left(\frac{1}{\beta z}\right)^{j}\left((-1)^{j} e^{\beta z}+(-1)^{n+1}\right)
$$

whence further that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z}(z-y)^{n} y^{n} e^{\beta y} d y=\Gamma(n+1)(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!} \frac{z^{n-j}}{\beta^{n+j+1}}\left((-1)^{n+j+1} e^{\beta z}+1\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2.18) remains valid with $\beta$ replaced by $-\beta$, we may substitute (2.18) with $\beta=k-h$ in $B_{2}(z)$ (in (2.14)), whereby we distinguish two cases: $n+j+1$ is odd or even:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}(z)=\Gamma(n+1)(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!} z^{n-j}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{o}}+\sum_{\mathrm{e}}\right), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{o}}=\sum_{\substack{h \neq k \\ n+j+1: \text { odd }}} e^{-k z} \frac{-e^{(k-h) z}+1}{(k-h)^{n+j+1}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{e}}=\sum_{\substack{h \neq k \\ n+j+1: \text { even }}} e^{-k z} \frac{e^{(k-h) z}+1}{(k-h)^{n+j+1}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We transform $\sum_{o}$ as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{o}} & =\sum_{h \neq k} \sum \frac{-e^{-h z}+e^{-k z}}{(k-h)^{n+j+1}} \\
& =-2 \sum_{h \neq k} \sum_{h} \frac{e^{-h z}}{(k-h)^{n+j+1}} \\
& =-2 \sum_{h} e^{-h z} \sum_{\substack{k \\
k \neq h}} \frac{1}{(k-h)^{n+j+1}} \\
& =-2 \sum_{h} e^{-h z} \sum_{l \geq h} \frac{1}{l^{n+j+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which we record as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{o}}=2 \sum_{h} e^{-h z}\left(\sum_{l<h} \frac{1}{l^{n+j+1}}-\zeta(n+j+1)\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum $\sum_{\mathrm{e}}$ is more readily transformed in the form of (2.22):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{e}}=2 \sum_{h} e^{-h z}\left(\sum_{l<h} \frac{1}{l^{n+j+1}}+\zeta(n+j+1)\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.22) and (2.23) give

$$
\sum_{o}+\sum_{e}=2 \sum_{h} e^{-h z}\left(\sum_{l<h} \frac{1}{l^{n+j+1}}+(-1)^{n+j+1} \zeta(n+j+1)\right) .
$$

Thus we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{2}(z)= & 2(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(n+1) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!} z^{n-j} \\
& \times \sum_{h} e^{-h z}\left(\sum_{l<h} \frac{1}{l^{n+j+1}}+(-1)^{n+j+1} \zeta(n+j+1)\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

We substitute (2.15) and (2.24) in (2.13), obtaining the counterpart of (2.3), which we substitute in (1.4), to conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(s)= & \frac{\Gamma(n+1)^{2}}{\Gamma(2 n+2)} \mathscr{M} W_{2 n+1}(s)+2(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(n+1) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!} \\
& \times \sum_{h}\left(\sum_{l<h} \frac{1}{l^{n+j+1}}+(-1)^{n+j+1} \zeta(n+j+1)\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-h z} z^{s+n-j-1} d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using (2.11) for the first term and noting that the integral in the second term is $\Gamma(s+n-j) / h^{s+n-j}$ in the above formula, we finally deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(s)= & \frac{\Gamma(n+1)^{2}}{\Gamma(2 n+2)} \Gamma(s+2 n+1) \zeta(s+2 n+1) \\
& +2(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(n+1) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!} \Gamma(s+n-j) \\
& \times\left\{\zeta_{2}(n+j+1, s+n-j)+(-1)^{n+j+1} \zeta(s+n-j) \zeta(n+j+1)\right\} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $s=m \geq 2$, we have, from (2.12) and (2.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{j} \Gamma(n+1+j) \Gamma(n+m-j) \zeta(n+1+j) \zeta(n+m-j) \\
& \quad=\frac{\Gamma(n+1)^{2}}{\Gamma(2 n+2)} \Gamma(2 n+m+1) \zeta(2 n+m+1) \\
& \quad+2(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(n+1) \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(n+r)!}{r!(n-r)!} \Gamma(n+m-r) \\
& \quad \times\left\{\zeta_{2}(n+r+1, n-r+m)+(-1)^{n+r+1} \zeta(n+r+1) \zeta(n-r+m)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now rewriting $n_{1}=n$ and $n_{2}=n+m-1\left(>n_{1}\right)$, and dividing the both sides by $\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right)!\left(n_{1}!\right)^{2}$, we get the formula (1) of Theorem 2.

To obtain the formula valid for $s=1$, we rewrite the sum in $B_{2}(z)$ over $h, k$ corresponding to $j=n$ and get

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}(z)=2(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(n+1) \\
& \times\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!} z^{n-j} \sum_{h} e^{-h z}\left(\sum_{l<h} \frac{1}{l^{n+j+1}}+(-1)^{n+j+1} \zeta(n+j+1)\right) \\
&\left.-\frac{(2 n)!}{n!} \sum_{h} e^{-h z}\left(\frac{1}{h^{2 n+1}}+\sum_{l>h} \frac{1}{l^{2 n+1}}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(n & +1)^{2} \zeta(n+1)^{2} \\
= & \Gamma(n+1)^{2} \zeta(2 n+2)+(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(n+1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n+j)!}{j!(n-j)!} \Gamma(n+1-j) \\
& \times\left\{\zeta_{2}(n+1+j, n+1-j)+(-1)^{n+j+1} \zeta(n+1+j) \zeta(n+1-j)\right\} \\
& -2(-1)^{n+1}(2 n)!\left\{\zeta(2 n+2)+\zeta_{2}(1,2 n+1)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both side by $(n!)^{2}$, we obtain the formula (2) of Theorem 2 .
We now illustrate by some examples. From Theorem 2 (1), we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(2,3): & \zeta(7)=3 \zeta_{2}(3,4)+4 \zeta_{2}(4,3)-2 \zeta_{2}(2,5) \\
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(3,4): & 2 \zeta_{2}(4,5)+2 \zeta_{2}(5,4)+10 \zeta_{2}(6,3)+5 \zeta_{2}(3,6)-5 \zeta_{2}(2,7)=0
\end{array}
$$

From Theorem 2 (2), we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=1: & \zeta(4)=2 \zeta_{2}(2,2)-2 \zeta_{2}(1,3) \\
n=2: & 4 \zeta(6)=3 \zeta_{2}(2,4)+6 \zeta_{2}(4,2)-6 \zeta_{2}(1,5) \\
n=3: & 13 \zeta(8)=-20 \zeta_{2}(1,7)+10 \zeta_{2}(2,6)-4 \zeta_{2}(3,5)+2 \zeta_{2}(4,4)+20 \zeta_{2}(6,2) .
\end{array}
$$

Remark 2. We may consider more general integral

$$
I_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x+y)^{s-1} x^{n_{1}} y^{n_{2}} w(x) w(y) d x d y
$$

Since $I_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(s)=I_{n_{2}, n_{1}}(s)$, there is no harm to assume that $n_{1} \leq n_{2}$. From the trivial identity

$$
x^{n_{1}} y^{n_{2}+1}=x^{n_{1}} y^{n_{2}}(x+y-x)
$$

we have

$$
I_{n_{1}, n_{2}+1}(s)=I_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(s+1)-I_{n_{1}+1, n_{2}}(s) .
$$

This means that $I_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(s)$ can be written as a linear combination of $I_{m, m}(s+j)$, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{n, n+1}(s)=\frac{1}{2} I_{n, n}(s+1) \\
& I_{n, n+2}(s)=\frac{1}{2} I_{n, n}(s+2)-I_{n+1, n+1}(s) \\
& I_{n, n+3}(s)=\frac{1}{2} I_{n, n}(s+3)-\frac{3}{2} I_{n+1, n+1}(s+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3. We have not exhausted out the method and hope to return to the study of other possible relations among zeta and $L$-values by similar methods, elsewhere.

For harmonic numbers and their generalizations we refer e.g. to P. Flajolet and B. Salvy [4] and reference therein.

Tornheim's double series $T(r, s, t)[8]$ defined by

$$
T(r, s, t)=\sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{r} n^{s}(m+n)^{t}},
$$

where $r, s, t$ are non-negative integers subject to some conditions has received considerable attention by several authors including T. M. Apsotal and T. H. Vu [1] H. Tsumura [9] et al. We hope to turn to the study of $T(r, s, t)$ subsequently. We remark that Apostal and Vu considered $T(r, s, 1)$ by denoting it by $T(r, s)$, but without referring to Tornheim's paper [8].
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