

RIGIDITY OF EQUALITY OF LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR GEODESIC FLOWS

CLARK BUTLER

Abstract

We study the relationship between the Lyapunov exponents of the geodesic flow of a closed negatively curved manifold and the geometry of the manifold. We show that if each periodic orbit of the geodesic flow has exactly one Lyapunov exponent on the unstable bundle then the manifold has constant negative curvature. We also show under a curvature pinching condition that equality of all Lyapunov exponents with respect to volume on the unstable bundle also implies that the manifold has constant negative curvature. We then study the degree to which one can emulate these rigidity theorems for the hyperbolic spaces of nonconstant negative curvature when the Lyapunov exponents with respect to volume match those of the appropriate symmetric space and obtain rigidity results under additional technical assumptions. The proofs use new results from hyperbolic dynamics including the nonlinear invariance principle of Avila and Viana and the approximation of Lyapunov exponents of invariant measures by Lyapunov exponents associated to periodic orbits which was developed by Kalinin in his proof of the Livsic theorem for matrix cocycles. We also employ rigidity results of Capogna and Pansu on quasiconformal mappings of certain nilpotent Lie groups.

1. Introduction

A central question in geometric rigidity theory is the following: Suppose that a negatively curved Riemannian manifold M shares some property P with a negatively curved locally symmetric space N . Is M isometric to N ? The most famous example of such a rigidity theorem is the Mostow rigidity theorem: if M and N are real hyperbolic manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups, then M and N are isometric.

We can ask a different, more dynamical rigidity question: Suppose that the geodesic flow of a negatively curved Riemannian manifold M shares some property P with the geodesic flow of a negatively curved

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant # DGE-1144082.

Received April 23, 2015.

locally symmetric space N . Is there a C^1 time-preserving conjugacy between the geodesic flows of M and N ? A remarkable consequence of the minimal entropy rigidity theorem of Besson, Courtois, and Gallot [6] is that *dynamical rigidity implies geometric rigidity*, in the sense that if there is a C^1 time preserving conjugacy between the geodesic flows of M and N , then M and N are homothetic. Recall that two Riemannian manifolds (M, d) and (N, ρ) with distances d and ρ , respectively, are *homothetic* if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that (M, d) is isometric to $(N, c\rho)$. This implies that it is possible to characterize the geometry of a locally symmetric space N purely by the dynamics of its geodesic flow. For some examples of the numerous rigidity problems to which this has been applied, see [5], [4], and [15] as well as the survey articles [7], [34]. There are other more geometric methods of using dynamically defined invariants of the geodesic flow to prove rigidity theorems in negative curvature without passing through the step of constructing a C^1 time preserving conjugacy between the geodesic flows of M and N ; we refer to the work of Hamenstädt [16] on hyperbolic rank rigidity as well as the work of Ledrappier and Wang [28] for examples of some of these techniques.

The particular property we will be interested in studying is the conformal geometry and dynamics of the geodesic flow of M acting on the unstable foliation of the unit tangent bundle of M . We will show that if this action of the geodesic flow sufficiently resembles that of the corresponding action for the geodesic flow of a negatively curved locally symmetric space then M is actually homothetic to a negatively curved locally symmetric space. Here “sufficiently resembles” will be measured by the Lyapunov exponents of the geodesic flow and, in the case of negatively curved locally symmetric spaces of nonconstant negative curvature, the regularity of certain dynamically defined subbundles of the unstable bundle. Lyapunov exponents have previously been considered by Connell [12] as a means of characterizing negatively curved locally symmetric spaces, however, our methods are quite different as Connell builds on the work of Hamenstädt [16] to establish rigidity while we use the work of Besson, Courtois, and Gallot [6].

Before proceeding further, we fix some notation. Throughout this paper M will denote an m -dimensional closed Riemannian manifold of negative curvature with universal cover \widetilde{M} . We will always assume $m \geq 3$. We write SM for the unit tangent bundle of M . The time- t map of the geodesic flow on SM will be denoted by g^t . We endow SM with the Sasaki metric, giving SM the structure of a closed Riemannian manifold with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and distance d . We let θ be the canonical contact 1-form on SM preserved by g^t . The letter C will be used freely as a multiplicative constant which is independent of whatever parameters are under consideration.

Since M is negatively curved, g^t is an Anosov flow: there is a Dg^t -invariant splitting $TSM = E^u \oplus E^c \oplus E^s$ with E^c tangent to the vector field generating g^t , and there exist $0 < \nu < 1$, $C > 0$ such that for $v^u \in E^u$, $v^s \in E^s$, and $t > 0$,

$$\|Dg^t(v^s)\| \leq C\nu^t\|v^s\|, \quad \|Dg^{-t}(v^u)\| \leq C\nu^t\|v^u\|,$$

E^u , E^s , and E^c are called the *unstable*, *stable*, and *center* subbundles, respectively. We write $E^{cu} := E^u \oplus E^c$ and $E^{cs} := E^c \oplus E^s$ for the *center unstable* and *center stable* subbundles, respectively. Each of the distributions $E^u, E^c, E^s, E^{cu}, E^{cs}$ is uniquely integrable; we denote the corresponding foliations by W^* , $* = u, c, s, cu, cs$, with $W^*(x)$ being the leaf containing x . We consider each leaf of these foliations to carry the induced Riemannian metric from the Sasaki metric on SM . We let $W_r^*(x)$ be a ball of radius r centered at x in the leaf $W^*(x)$. For a g^t -invariant subbundle \mathcal{E} of TSM , we write $Dg^t|_{\mathcal{E}}$ for the restriction of Dg^t to \mathcal{E} .

Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, or \mathbb{O} be the division algebra of real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions, and octonions, respectively. Associated to each of these are the complex, quaternionic, and Cayley hyperbolic spaces $H_{\mathbb{K}}^k$ of dimension k , $2k$, $4k$, and 8 , respectively. These give the complete list of negatively curved Riemannian symmetric spaces. We normalize the metrics of these spaces so that they have maximal curvature -1 . Define $r_{\mathbb{K}} := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{K}$.

We say that $Dg^t|_{E^u}$ is *uniformly quasiconformal* if there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of the point $p \in SM$ and t such that for any pair of unit vectors $v, w \in E_p^u$,

$$\|Dg^t(v)\| \cdot \|Dg^t(w)\|^{-1} \leq C.$$

For closed negatively curved Riemannian manifolds of dimension at least 3, combined work of Gromov, Kanai, Sullivan and Tukia shows that if the sectional curvatures K of M satisfy $-4 < K \leq -1$ and the action of the geodesic flow on E^u is uniformly quasiconformal, then M is homotopy equivalent to a real hyperbolic manifold N , and there is a C^1 time preserving conjugacy between the geodesic flows of M and N ([14],[25],[35],[37]). When combined with the minimal entropy rigidity theorem of Besson, Courtois, and Gallot [6], this implies that M is homothetic to N .

Our first theorem is an improvement of this result. For a periodic point p of g^t , let $\ell(p)$ be the period of p . Let $\chi_1^{(p)}, \dots, \chi_{m-1}^{(p)}$ be the complex eigenvalues of $Dg_p^{\ell(p)} : E_p^u \rightarrow E_p^u$, counted with the multiplicity of their generalized eigenspaces.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an m -dimensional closed negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Suppose that*

$$\left| \chi_i^{(p)} \right| = \left| \chi_j^{(p)} \right|, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m-1,$$

for every periodic point p of the geodesic flow on SM . Then M is homothetic to a compact quotient of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^m$.

Theorem 1.1 implies that we can characterize a real hyperbolic manifold by the behavior of a countable collection of linear maps $Dg_p^{\ell(p)} : E_p^u \rightarrow E_p^u$ associated to its geodesic flow. Furthermore, we do not even require these linear maps to be conformal; we only require that for each map $Dg_p^{\ell(p)}$, all of its eigenvalues have the same absolute value. We've also removed the curvature assumption on M .

We next state a more ergodic theoretic formulation of Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle over SM carrying a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow SM$ be the projection map. A *linear cocycle* over g^t is a map $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ satisfying for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\pi(\mathcal{A}(v, t)) = g^t(\pi(v)),$$

$$\mathcal{A}(-, t)|_{\mathcal{E}_x} : \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{g^t(x)} \text{ is a linear isomorphism,}$$

and for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}(v, s), t) = \mathcal{A}(v, t + s).$$

We adopt the notation A^t for the map $\mathcal{A}(-, t)$. We will principally be concerned with the linear cocycles obtained by restricting Dg^t to invariant subbundles \mathcal{E} of $T(SM)$. For a linear cocycle A^t over g^t and an ergodic g^t -invariant measure μ , we define the *extremal Lyapunov exponents* of A^t with respect to μ to be

$$\lambda_+(A^t, \mu) := \inf_{t > 0} \frac{1}{t} \int \log \|A^t\| d\mu(x),$$

$$\lambda_-(A^t, \mu) = \sup_{t > 0} \frac{1}{t} \int \log \|A^{-t}\|^{-1} d\mu(x).$$

We next introduce our hypotheses on the g^t -invariant measures that we will consider. For each point $x \in SM$ there is an open neighborhood U_x of x such that there is a homeomorphism

$$\iota_x : W_r^{cu}(x) \times W_r^s(x) \rightarrow U_x,$$

for some $r > 0$ given by mapping a pair $(y, z) \in W_r^{cu}(x) \times W_r^s(x)$ to the unique intersection point of $W^s(y)$ and $W^{cu}(z)$. A g^t -invariant probability measure μ has *local product structure* if for each $x \in SM$ there are measures μ_x^{cu} and μ_x^s on $W_r^{cu}(x)$ and $W_r^s(x)$, respectively, and a continuous function $\psi_x : U_x \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$(\iota_x)_*(d\mu_x^{cu} \times d\mu_x^s) = \psi_x d\mu|_{U_x}.$$

This says that locally μ may be expressed as the product of measures on the stable and center-unstable manifolds. Any equilibrium state associated to a Hölder continuous potential for the geodesic flow has local

product structure [8]; these include the Liouville volume on SM , the Bowen–Margulis measure of maximal entropy for the geodesic flow, and the harmonic measure corresponding to the hitting probability of Brownian motion inside the universal cover \widetilde{M} of M on the visual boundary $\partial\widetilde{M}$.

A negatively curved Riemannian manifold M has relatively 1/4-pinched sectional curvatures if for each $p \in M$ and each quadruple of tangent vectors $X, Y, W, Z \in T_p M$ such that X and Y are linearly independent and W and Z are linearly independent, we have

$$K(X, Y) > 4K(W, Z),$$

where $K(X, Y)$ is the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by X and Y .

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a closed negatively curved Riemannian manifold with relatively 1/4-pinched sectional curvatures. Let μ be an ergodic, fully supported g^t -invariant measure with local product structure. If $\lambda_+(Dg^t|E^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|E^u, \mu)$, then M is homothetic to a compact quotient of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^m$.*

A natural follow-up question to Theorem 1.2 is the following,

Question: Is M homothetic to a compact quotient of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ if we only assume that $\lambda_+(Dg^t|E^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|E^u, \mu)$?

A result related to this question was proven by Yue [39], who showed that if E^u has no measurable subbundles invariant under Dg^t then M is homothetic to a real hyperbolic manifold, without any pinching assumptions on the curvature of M . However, the proof presented in [39] appears to have a significant gap which is discussed in Remark 3.2 at the end of Section 3. In a recent preprint [10] we corrected this gap to recover Yue’s original result.

Our final two theorems are extensions of Theorem 1.2 to the negatively curved symmetric spaces of nonconstant negative curvature. We first recall the structure of the geodesic flow on $H_{\mathbb{K}}^{m/r_{\mathbb{K}}}$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$. The horospheres in $H_{\mathbb{K}}^{m/r_{\mathbb{K}}}$ naturally have the structure of an $(m-1)$ -dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie group $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. We fix a left-invariant $(m-r_{\mathbb{K}})$ -dimensional distribution $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ on $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ which is transverse to the tangent distribution of the center (as a Lie group) of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. We denote the tangent distribution of the center of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$.

When we identify the horospheres of $H_{\mathbb{K}}^{m/r_{\mathbb{K}}}$ with $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ the geodesic flow $g_{\mathbb{K}}^t$ of the symmetric metric $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ acts by an expanding automorphism of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ which leaves the splitting $TG_{\mathbb{K}}^m = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m \oplus \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ invariant, expands $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ by a factor of e^t , and expands $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ by a factor of e^{2t} .

We next need an additional dynamical definition: a *dominated splitting* for a linear cocycle $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ over g^t is an \mathcal{A} -invariant direct sum splitting $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^1 \oplus \mathcal{E}^2$ such that there is some norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathcal{E} , some

$C > 0$ and some $0 < \lambda < 1$ satisfying for every $p \in SM$,

$$\|A_p^t|\mathcal{E}_p^2\| \leq C\lambda^t\|A_{g^tp}^{-t}|\mathcal{E}_p^1\|^{-1}, \quad t > 0.$$

Dominated splittings are stable under C^0 -perturbations of \mathcal{A} [33]. As we saw in the previous paragraph, the geodesic flow of the symmetric metric $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^{m/r_{\mathbb{K}}}$ admits a dominated splitting of the unstable bundle given in horospherical coordinates by $TG_{\mathbb{K}}^m = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m \oplus \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. Hence there is a C^2 -open neighborhood \mathcal{O} of the symmetric metric $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ on a cocompact quotient $M = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^{m/r_{\mathbb{K}}}/\Gamma$ such that if $d \in \mathcal{O}$ then the geodesic flow g^t of d also admits a dominated splitting on the unstable bundle, $E^u = H^u \oplus V^u$, where H^u is the weaker of the two expanding directions.

The Lie group $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ carries a natural left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric which is the Carnot–Caratheodory metric defined by the distribution $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. With respect to this metric the expanding automorphism $g_{\mathbb{K}}^t$ is conformal. Conformal mappings with respect to this metric exhibit strong rigidity properties much like the conformal maps of \mathbb{R}^k for $k \geq 3$; all conformal maps from one domain of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ to another are the restrictions of a projective automorphism of the group. For further discussion and details we refer to [30].

In order to apply the rigidity of conformal maps of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ to the study of the rigidity of the geodesic flow g^t of metrics close to $d_{\mathbb{K}}$, there are two requirements: we need that $Dg^t|H^u$ is conformal we also need charts which identify H^u with the left-invariant distribution $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ in $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. Our next theorem states that these two requirements are sufficient to obtain a rigidity theorem. As in Theorem 1.2, it's sufficient to require only that the extremal Lyapunov exponents of $Dg^t|H^u$ with respect to a sufficiently structured measure μ are equal in order to guarantee conformality of $Dg^t|H^u$. Recall that \mathcal{O} is a C^2 open neighborhood of $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that a dominated splitting $E^u = H^u \oplus V^u$ exists for the geodesic flow of all Riemannian metrics d in this neighborhood.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $M = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^{m/r_{\mathbb{K}}}/\Gamma$ be a cocompact quotient of a symmetric space of nonconstant negative curvature with symmetric metric $d_{\mathbb{K}}$. There is a C^2 open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}$ of $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ in the space of Riemannian metrics on M such that if $d \in \mathcal{U}$ has geodesic flow g^t which satisfies*

- 1) $\lambda_+(Dg^t|H^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|H^u, \mu)$ for some ergodic, fully supported g^t -invariant measure μ with local product structure; and
- 2) There is an $r > 0$ such that for every $p \in SM$ there is a C^1 embedding $\Psi_p : W_r^u(p) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $D\Psi_p(H_q^u) = (\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m)_{\Psi_p(q)}$.

Then d is homothetic to $d_{\mathbb{K}}$.

It is possible to give an explicit description of the set \mathcal{U} in terms of norm bounds on $Dg^t|H^u$ and $Dg^t|V^u$; this is discussed in detail at the beginning of Section 5. A natural question which is important for

potential applications is whether Assumption (2) of Theorem 1.3 follows from Assumption (1). We are able to show in the case of complex hyperbolic space that if one assumes that the bundle H^u has sufficiently high regularity along the unstable foliation W^u then Assumption (2) follows from Assumption (1).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $M = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{m/2}/\Gamma$ be a cocompact quotient complex hyperbolic space with symmetric metric $d_{\mathbb{C}}$. There is a C^2 open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}$ of $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the space of Riemannian metrics on M such that if $d \in \mathcal{U}$ has geodesic flow g^t which satisfies*

- 1) $\lambda_+(Dg^t|H^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|H^u, \mu)$ for some ergodic, fully supported g^t -invariant measure μ with local product structure; and
- 2) The restriction of H^u to unstable leaves W^u is C^1 with Hölder continuous derivative.

Then Assumption (2) of Theorem 1.3 holds and, consequently, d is homothetic to $d_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The high regularity assumption on H^u is likely too strong; on the open neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ given in Theorem 1.4, H^u will typically be no better than Hölder continuous along W^u with exponent $1/2 - \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. We expect that Theorem 1.4 also holds for perturbations of the geodesic flow quaternionic hyperbolic and octonionic hyperbolic manifolds. Lastly we make the important remark that verifying Assumption (2) of Theorem 1.3 does not require proving higher regularity of H^u as assumed in Theorem 1.4.

The proofs of these theorems make use of two powerful tools recently developed in smooth dynamics. The first is the method of approximation of Lyapunov exponents of invariant measures over a system by Lyapunov exponents of periodic points developed by Kalinin in his recent solution of the Livsic problem for $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -cocycles over hyperbolic systems [21]. We use this to transfer information about the periodic exponents of g^t to exponents of any invariant measure for g^t .

The second is a far-reaching nonlinear generalization of Furstenberg's theorem on nonvanishing Lyapunov exponents for random $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -cocycles which characterizes when the Lyapunov exponents of a cocycle over a partially hyperbolic system vanish under suitable hypotheses. Inspired by an alternative proof by Ledrappier [27] of Furstenberg's theorem, Avila and Viana proved a nonlinear generalization [2], and then later with Santamaria showed how this nonlinear generalization could be applied to cocycles over partially hyperbolic systems [1]. We apply a further distillation of this tool by Kalinin and Sadovskaya in [24] which is adapted to the study of cocycles which are close to being conformal. They have applied this to the study of linear cocycles with uniformly quasiconformal behavior and asymptotically conformal Anosov diffeomorphisms [23],[22].

In Section 2, we adapt the main results of Kalinin and Sadovskaya [24] regarding conformal structures for linear cocycles to our setting. We also review the concepts of fiber bunching and stable and unstable holonomies from partially hyperbolic dynamics. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we analyze the case of a dominated splitting $E^u = H^u \oplus V^u$ and develop the dynamical tools needed for the proofs of the remaining results. In Section 5, we use these tools to prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgments. We thank Amie Wilkinson for numerous discussions which improved the paper greatly, as well as continued guidance and support. We thank Boris Kalinin for bringing to our attention the gap in [39] discussed in Remark 3.2. We thank Ursula Hamenstädt for providing the closing argument in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1.3. We are grateful to Alex Eskin for pointing out an error in the proof of Lemma 2.5 in an earlier draft of the paper.

2. Background on linear cocycles over Anosov flows

For this section we take g^t to be an Anosov flow on a Riemannian manifold X . We will specialize when necessary to the case that $X = SM$ is the unit tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold and g^t is the geodesic flow.

A d -dimensional vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is β -Hölder continuous if there is an open cover of X by open sets U_i which admit linear trivializations $\varphi_i : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the transition maps $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1}$ are β -Hölder continuous with respect to the Riemannian metric on X and the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^d . A cocycle $A^t : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ over g^t is β -Hölder if \mathcal{E} is a β -Hölder vector bundle and A^t is β -Hölder in any β -Hölder family of trivializations. A standard example of a linear cocycle defined on a Hölder continuous vector bundle is the restriction of Dg^t to the unstable bundle E^u .

2.1. Holonomies for linear cocycles. We begin with a definition,

Definition 2.1. A β -Hölder continuous cocycle A^t is α -fiber bunched if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and there is some $T > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_p^t\| \cdot \|A_p^{-t}\| \cdot \|Dg_p^t|E^s\|^\alpha &< 1, \quad p \in SM, \quad t \geq T, \\ \|A_p^t\| \cdot \|A_p^{-t}\| \cdot \|Dg_p^{-t}|E^u\|^\alpha &< 1, \quad p \in SM, \quad t \geq T. \end{aligned}$$

Fiber bunching guarantees the existence of A^t -equivariant identifications of the fibers of \mathcal{E} along stable and unstable manifolds of g^t known as *holonomies*. These identifications are essential for everything that follows in this paper.

We define here unstable holonomies. Stable holonomies are defined similarly. Stable holonomies could also be defined as the unstable

holonomies of the inverse cocycle A^{-t} over g^{-t} . The definition below is from [24], adapted to the setting of flows.

Definition 2.2. An *unstable holonomy* for a linear cocycle $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ over g^t is a continuous map $h^u : (x, y) \rightarrow h_{xy}^u$, where $x \in X$, $y \in W_r^u(x)$, such that

- 1) h_{xy}^u is a linear map from \mathcal{E}_x to \mathcal{E}_y ;
- 2) $h_{xx}^u = Id$ and $h_{yz}^u \circ h_{xy}^u = h_{xz}^u$;
- 3) $h_{xy}^u = (A_y^t)^{-1} \circ h_{g^t x g^t y}^u \circ A_x^t$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The next proposition gives a sufficient condition for the existence of holonomies. For a β -Hölder vector bundle \mathcal{E} , it is always possible to find a β -Hölder continuous system of linear identifications $I_{xy} : \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_y$ with $I_{xx} = Id_{\mathcal{E}_x}$ and $d(x, y) \leq r$ for some constant $r > 0$ [24].

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is β -Hölder and fiber bunched. Then there is an unstable holonomy h^u for \mathcal{A} which satisfies*

$$(1) \quad \|h_{xy}^u - I_{xy}\| \leq C d(x, y)^\beta,$$

for $x \in SM$, $y \in W_r^u(x)$, and some $C > 0$. Furthermore, the unstable holonomy satisfying (1) for some $C > 0$ is unique.

The proof of Proposition 2.3 for fiber bunched cocycles over partially hyperbolic maps is given in [24]; an identical proof works for fiber bunched cocycles over Anosov flows instead.

Let $r > 0$ be small enough that for every $x \in X$, all of the foliations W_r^* are trivial on the ball of radius r . Given an unstable holonomy h^u for a linear cocycle \mathcal{A} over g^t , we can locally extend it to a *center unstable holonomy* h^{cu} by defining for $y \in W_r^{cu}(x)$,

$$h_{xy}^{cu} = A_{g^{-\tau}y}^\tau \circ h_{xg^{-\tau}y}^u = h_{g^\tau xy}^u \circ A_x^\tau,$$

where $\tau = \tau(x, y)$ is the unique real number such that $g^{-\tau}y \in W_r^u(x)$. It is easily checked using the properties in Definition 2.2 that h^{cu} satisfies properties analogous to those of h^u on a ball of radius r . In particular, for $y \in W_{r/2}^{cu}(x)$ and $z \in W_{r/2}^{cu}(y)$, we have that $h_{yz}^{cu} \circ h_{xy}^{cu} = h_{xz}^{cu}$. We also see that if $y \in W_r^{cu}(x)$ and $d(g^t y, g^t x) \leq r$, then $h_{xy}^{cu} = (A_y^t)^{-1} \circ h_{g^t x g^t y}^{cu} \circ A_x^t$.

We specialize to the case $X = SM$ and g^t a geodesic flow for the rest of this subsection. It is not immediately clear from the formula defining the center unstable holonomies that these extend to be globally defined on a center unstable leaf $W^{cu}(x)$; to prove this we use some of the special structure of the geodesic flow. Since $m \geq 3$, the universal cover of SM is the unit tangent bundle \widetilde{SM} of the universal cover \widetilde{M} of M , and $\pi_1(SM)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\pi_1(M)$ by the projection $SM \rightarrow M$. The foliations W^* lift to foliations \widetilde{W}^* of \widetilde{SM}

which have *global product structure*: for each $x, y, z \in \widetilde{SM}$, the leaves $\widetilde{W}^c(x), \widetilde{W}^u(y), \widetilde{W}^s(z)$ intersect in exactly one point.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the lift of the vector bundle \mathcal{E} to a vector bundle over \widetilde{SM} . For two points $x \in \widetilde{SM}$, $y \in \widetilde{W}^{cu}(x)$, the center unstable holonomy map $h_{xy}^{cu} : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_x \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_y$ is defined by the formula

$$h_{xy}^{cu} = \widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{g}_y^{-\tau}}^\tau \circ h_{x\widetilde{g}^{-\tau}y}^u = h_{\widetilde{g}^\tau xy}^u \circ \widetilde{A}_x^\tau,$$

where $\tau = \tau(x, y)$ is the unique time $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widetilde{g}^{-\tau}y \in \widetilde{W}^u(x)$. It's easy to check that this locally agrees with the previously defined center unstable holonomy, and gives a global extension of h^{cu} satisfying the analogous properties in Definition 2.2.

Let $\partial\widetilde{M}$ be the visual boundary of \widetilde{M} . This global product structure corresponds to the *Hopf parametrization*,

$$S\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \partial\widetilde{M} \times \partial\widetilde{M} \setminus \Delta(\partial\widetilde{M} \times \partial\widetilde{M}),$$

given as follows: Fix a basepoint $x \in \widetilde{M}$. Let $v \in S\widetilde{M}$. v is tangent to a geodesic γ_v which has endpoints $v_+, v_- \in \partial\widetilde{M}$, where v_+ corresponds to the forward endpoint of γ_v , and v_- the backward endpoint. Let x_v be the orthogonal projection of x onto γ_v , and let s be the distance from x_v to $P(v)$, where $P : S\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is projection. Then the identification is given by $v \rightarrow (s, v_+, v_-)$. In this identification, the action of the geodesic flow is given by translation in the \mathbb{R} -coordinate. This parametrization of $S\widetilde{M}$ will be important in Sections 3 and 4.

2.2. Continuous amenable reduction. We now adapt the main results of [24] to our setting. Let \mathcal{E} be a d -dimensional Hölder continuous vector bundle over a Riemannian manifold X with an Anosov flow g^t . We let μ be a fully supported ergodic g^t -invariant measure with local product structure. For the results in this section we will assume that the stable and unstable distributions E^s and E^u for g^t are not jointly integrable; this is true for the geodesic flow because the geodesic flow is a contact Anosov flow.

Two Riemannian metrics τ and σ on \mathcal{E} are *conformally equivalent* if there is a function $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tau_p = a(p)\sigma_p$. A *conformal structure* on \mathcal{E} is a conformal equivalence class of Riemannian metrics on \mathcal{E} . A^t transforms a conformal structure by pulling back the associated Riemannian metric. A conformal structure represented by a Riemannian metric τ is *invariant* under \mathcal{A} if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a map $\psi^t : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(A^t)^*\tau = \psi^t\tau.$$

In this case we say that ψ^t is the multiplicative cocycle associated to the invariant conformal structure τ . ψ^t satisfies the cocycle property

$$\psi^{t+s}(p) = \psi^t(p)\psi^s(g^t(p)),$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Two multiplicative cocycles ψ^t and φ^t are *cohomologous* if there is a map $\zeta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\psi^t}{\varphi^t} = \frac{\zeta \circ g^t}{\zeta},$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If a cocycle \mathcal{A} over X admits stable and unstable holonomies, we say that a subbundle $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is *holonomy invariant* if for $y \in W^*(x)$ we have $h_{xy}^*(\mathcal{V}_x) = \mathcal{V}_y$ for $*$ = u or s . Similarly, we say that a conformal structure is holonomy invariant if it is invariant under pulling back by stable and unstable holonomies.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a fiber bunched cocycle over an Anosov flow g^t for which E^u and E^s are not jointly integrable. Suppose that*

$$\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = \lambda_-(\mathcal{A}, \mu).$$

Then any measurable \mathcal{A} -invariant subbundle $V \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ coincides μ -a.e. with a \mathcal{A} -invariant holonomy invariant continuous subbundle. Under the same hypotheses, any \mathcal{A} -invariant measurable conformal structure τ on \mathcal{E} coincides μ -a.e. with a \mathcal{A} -invariant holonomy invariant continuous conformal structure.

Proof. The cocycle generated by A^1 is a fiber bunched cocycle over the partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism g^1 . Since E^u and E^s are not jointly integrable, g^1 is accessible as a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism [9]. In the first case V is a measurable invariant subbundle for A^1 ; in the second case, τ is an invariant measurable conformal structure for A^1 . Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.1, respectively, from [24] then apply to give the desired result. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a fiber bunched cocycle over an Anosov flow g^t such that E^u and E^s are not jointly integrable. Suppose that*

$$\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = \lambda_-(\mathcal{A}, \mu).$$

Then there is a finite cover \mathcal{X} of X and a flag

$$0 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}^1 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}^2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{E}^k = \tilde{\mathcal{E}},$$

of continuous holonomy-invariant subbundles \mathcal{E}^i which are invariant under the action of the lifted cocycle $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on the lifted bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ over \mathcal{X} . Furthermore, the induced action of the cocycle $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i$ on $\mathcal{E}^i/\mathcal{E}^{i-1}$ preserves a continuous holonomy invariant conformal structure.

Proof. The vector bundle \mathcal{E} admits a measurable trivialization on a set of full μ -measure by Proposition 2.12 in [3]. Since μ is fully supported on X , this implies that there is a measurable map $P : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}^d$ commuting with the projections onto X and which is linear on the fibers. $\mathcal{B} = PAP^{-1}$ is a measurable linear cocycle over g^t on the trivial vector bundle $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$. We can apply Zimmer's amenable reduction

theorem [40] for \mathbb{R} -cocycles to conclude that there is a measurable map $C : X \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ such that the cocycle $\mathcal{F} = CBC^{-1}$ takes values in an amenable subgroup G of $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$.

The maximal amenable subgroups of $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ are classified in [29]. Any such group G contains a finite index subgroup K which is conjugate to a subgroup of a group of the form

$$H(d_1, \dots, d_k) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & A_2 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = d$ and $A_i \in \mathbb{R} \cdot SO(d_i, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, by conjugating the cocycle \mathcal{F} if necessary, we may assume that \mathcal{F} takes values in a group G which contains a finite index subgroup K that is contained in one of the groups $H(d_1, \dots, d_k)$. Let G_* be the stabilizer in G of the flag $V^1 \subset V^2 \subset \dots \subset V^k = \mathbb{R}^d$ corresponding to the group $H(d_1, \dots, d_k)$ containing K . Thus V^j is the span of the first $\sum_{i=1}^j d_i$ coordinate axes in \mathbb{R}^d . Let ℓ be the index of G_* in G , which is finite since K has finite index in G and $K \subset G_*$.

Let $V^{i,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ be the at most ℓ distinct images of the subspace V^i under the action of G . Let $U^i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} V^{i,j}$. Then let $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_x^{i,j} = (C \circ P)^{-1}(x) \cdot V^{i,j}$, $\widehat{U}^i = (C \circ P)^{-1}(x) \cdot U^i$. The proof of Theorem 3.4 in [24] shows that if the union of measurable subbundles \widehat{U}^i is invariant under a fiber bunched cocycle with equal extremal exponents over an accessible partially hyperbolic system (which we can take to be the time 1 map A^1 of the cocycle \mathcal{A} over g^1), then there is a finite cover \mathcal{X} of X such that the individual subbundles $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_x^{i,j}$ lift to subbundles $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}$ of the lifted bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ over \mathcal{X} which agree μ -a.e. with continuous subbundles which we will also denote $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}$. By construction the lifts U^i are invariant μ -a.e. under the action of the lift $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of the cocycle \mathcal{A} . This is because we constructed these unions of subbundles using amenable reduction over the \mathbb{R} action given by \mathcal{A} , and under our measurable trivialization \mathcal{A} takes values in the group G . Since \mathcal{A} is continuous and the lifts U^i are continuous after modification on a μ -null set, we conclude that each U^i is everywhere invariant under \mathcal{A} .

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, there is thus an integer $S_i(x, t, j)$ such that $A^t(\mathcal{E}_x^{i,j}) = \mathcal{E}_{g^t x}^{i, S_i(x, t, j)}$. For a fixed i and j , $S_i(x, t, j)$ depends continuously on x and t since both $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^t$ and all of the subbundles $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}$ are continuous. Since for a fixed i and j we have that $S_i(x, t, j)$ is continuous, integer valued, and has connected domain $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$, we conclude that $S_i(x, t, j) := S_i(j)$ is constant in x and t . Furthermore, since $S_i(x, 0, j) = j$, we conclude that $S_i(j) = j$. Hence

all of the subbundles $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}$ are invariant under $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ as well. In particular, \mathcal{A} preserves the flag $\mathcal{E}^1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{E}^k$ which arises as the continuous extension of the lift of the flag coming from the standard flag $V^1 \subset V^2 \subset \dots \subset V^k$.

To prove the second claim, note that for any $r \geq 1$, the induced action of the cocycle \mathcal{F} on $V^{\sum_{i=1}^r d_i} / V^{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} d_i} = \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$ preserves the standard Euclidean conformal structure on \mathbb{R}^{d_r} . This immediately implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ preserves a measurable conformal structure on the corresponding quotient bundle $\mathcal{E}^j / \mathcal{E}^{j-1}$. By Lemma 2.4, this measurable conformal structure coincides μ -a.e. with a holonomy invariant continuous conformal structure. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that there is a finite cover \mathcal{X} of X such that the lifted cocycle $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on the lifted bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ preserves a continuous holonomy-invariant conformal structure. Then \mathcal{A} also preserves a continuous holonomy-invariant conformal structure.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_x$ be the space of conformal structures on the vector space $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_x$. $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_x$ can be identified with the Riemannian symmetric space $SL(d, \mathbb{R}) / SO(d, \mathbb{R})$ and, in fact, carries a canonical Riemannian metric of nonpositive curvature for which the induced map $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_x \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{g^t x}$ over the cocycle $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isometry [24]. In particular, for compact subsets $K \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_x$ there is a natural barycenter map $K \rightarrow \text{bar}(K)$ mapping K to its center of mass.

Let τ be the continuous holonomy-invariant conformal structure preserved by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Let H be the group of covering transformations for \mathcal{X} over X , which also acts as the group of covering transformations for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ over \mathcal{E} . Let $K_x = \bigcup_{\rho \in H} \{\rho \cdot \tau_{\rho^{-1}(x)}\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_x$. The collection of compact subsets K_x depends continuously on x , is holonomy-invariant, and is invariant under \mathcal{A} . Hence all of the same is true of the family of barycenters $\sigma_x := \text{bar}(K_x)$. We thus get a conformal structure σ that is continuous, holonomy-invariant, invariant under $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and also invariant under the action of the deck group H . σ then descends to the desired conformal structure on \mathcal{E} . q.e.d.

In subsequent sections we will use Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 together to construct invariant conformal structures for our cocycles of interest. We will first use Lemma 2.5 to construct an invariant flag on a finite cover, then we will show this flag must be trivial, then lastly we will use Lemma 2.6 to push the invariant conformal structure back down to our original bundle.

REMARK 2.7. The assumption that the stable and unstable distributions E^u and E^s of g^t are not jointly integrable is likely unnecessary in Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. Different arguments are needed in the case that E^u and E^s are jointly integrable, however, as one cannot use accessibility of the time one map g^1 in this case.

2.3. Lyapunov exponents and periodic approximation. For a cocycle $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ over g^t and an ergodic g^t -invariant measure μ , the multiplicative ergodic theorem [3] implies that there is a g^t -invariant subset $\Lambda \subset X$ with $\mu(\Lambda) = 1$ such that over Λ there is a measurable g^t -invariant splitting

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^1 \oplus \mathcal{E}^2 \oplus \dots \mathcal{E}^k,$$

and numbers $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|A^t(v)\| = \lambda_i, \quad v \in \mathcal{E}^i.$$

The numbers λ_i are the *Lyapunov exponents* of \mathcal{A} . The extremal Lyapunov exponents λ_+ and λ_- of \mathcal{A} with respect to μ correspond to the top and bottom exponents λ_k and λ_1 , respectively.

For each periodic point p , we let μ_p denote the unique g^t -invariant probability measure supported on the orbit of p , which may be obtained as the normalized pushforward of Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} by the map $t \rightarrow g^t(p)$. The following theorem of Kalinin enables us to approximate the Lyapunov exponents of any g^t -invariant measure by the Lyapunov exponents of measures concentrated on a periodic orbit. This theorem is the essential new tool needed for the proof of the Livsic theorem in the case of matrix cocycles. The fact that g^t satisfies the closing property necessary in the hypothesis of the theorem as stated in [21] is the well known Anosov closing lemma for flows which can be found in Chapter 18 of [26]. The statement of Theorem 2.8 in [21] assumes that the vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X is trivial, but as remarked by Kalinin in the paper, this hypothesis is easily removed since the proof of the theorem only uses local comparisons between fibers. When we say that the Lyapunov exponents are counted with multiplicity, we mean that each exponent appears a number of times equal to the dimension $\dim \mathcal{E}^i$ of its corresponding measurable invariant subbundle.

Theorem 2.8. [21] *Let \mathcal{E} be a d -dimensional Hölder continuous vector bundle over X , and \mathcal{A} a Hölder continuous cocycle on \mathcal{E} over g^t . Let μ be an ergodic g^t -invariant measure, and let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_d$ be the Lyapunov exponents of \mathcal{A} with respect to μ , counted with multiplicity. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a periodic point p of g^t such that the Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1^{(p)} \leq \lambda_2^{(p)} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_d^{(p)}$ of \mathcal{A} with respect to μ_p satisfy*

$$|\lambda_i - \lambda_i^{(p)}| < \varepsilon,$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq d$.

For a periodic point p there is a simple relationship between the Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_i^{(p)}$ associated to μ_p and the complex eigenvalues $\chi_i^{(p)}$ of the map $A_p^{\ell(p)} : \mathcal{E}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_p$. Let $\mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{E}_p^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}_p^k$ be the direct sum decomposition of \mathcal{E}_p from the multiplicative ergodic theorem and

let $\mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{V}^1 \oplus \dots \mathcal{V}^r$ be the primary decomposition of the linear transformation $A_p^{\ell(p)} : \mathcal{E}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_p$, where each \mathcal{V}^i corresponds to an irreducible factor of the minimal polynomial $A_p^{\ell(p)}$. An easy linear algebra exercise shows that the primary decomposition is subordinate to the Oseledec decomposition, i.e., for each $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\mathcal{E}^i = \mathcal{V}^{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{V}^{i_n},$$

for some integers $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r$. Furthermore, we have the relationship

$$\frac{1}{\ell(p)} \log |\chi_{i_j}^{(p)}| = \lambda_i^{(p)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

for the real eigenvalues (or conjugate pairs of complex eigenvalues) corresponding to the subspaces \mathcal{V}^{i_j} . Thus the Lyapunov exponents of μ_p are given by the logarithms of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of $A_p^{\ell(p)}$, normalized by the period of p .

3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. A subbundle $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is *proper* if $0 < \dim \mathcal{V} < \dim \mathcal{E}$. For $0 < \alpha < 2$ we say that g^t is α -bunched if there is some $T > 0$ such that for $t \geq T$

$$\|Dg_p^t|E_p^u\|^\alpha \cdot \|Dg_p^t|E_p^s\| \cdot \|(Dg_p^t)^{-1}|E_{g^t(p)}^u\| < 1, \quad t \geq T, p \in SM.$$

For an in-depth discussion of the relationship between α -bunching and the regularity of the Anosov splitting $T(SM) = E^u \oplus E^c \oplus E^s$, see [18].

Lemma 3.1. *Let g^t be the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of a closed negatively curved manifold. Suppose that g^t is 1-bunched and that there is a g^t -invariant fully supported ergodic probability measure μ with local product structure such that $\lambda_+(Dg^t|E^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|E^u, \mu)$. Then E^u has no proper measurable g^t -invariant subbundles.*

Proof. Let $V \subset E^u$ be a k -dimensional measurable invariant subbundle. Since g^t is 1-bunched the Anosov splitting of g^t is C^1 [18] and thus E^u is a C^1 -subbundle of $T(SM)$. 1-bunching of g^t also implies that the cocycle $Dg^t|E^u$ is fiber bunched. By Lemma 2.4, V thus coincides μ -a.e. with a continuous holonomy invariant subbundle which we will still denote by V .

We now describe an alternative realization of the holonomies for $Dg^t|E^u$. Recall that θ denotes the invariant contact form for g^t . Since the Anosov splitting of g^t is C^1 , and g^t preserves θ , there is a unique g^t -invariant connection ∇ on SM such that the torsion of ∇ is given by $\theta \otimes \dot{g}$, where \dot{g} is the vector field generating g^t on SM . This connection is called the *Kanai connection* and was constructed for contact Anosov flows with C^1 Anosov splitting in [25].

In Lemma 1.1 of [25], it is shown that the unstable foliation W^u is totally geodesic for ∇ , and that ∇ is C^1 when restricted to the leaves of the unstable foliation, and further that ∇ is flat when restricted to W^u leaves. The parallel transport induced by ∇ on unstable leaves is thus a C^1 unstable holonomy for g^t . From the uniqueness clause of Proposition 2.3, parallel transport by ∇ coincides with the unstable holonomy constructed in Proposition 2.3, and thus V is parallel with respect to ∇ along unstable leaves.

For a given unstable leaf $W^u(p)$ we can then find parallel vector fields X_1, \dots, X_k spanning the restriction of V to $W^u(p)$. These vector fields are C^1 since $\nabla|_{W^u(p)}$ is C^1 . The restriction of ∇ to $W^u(p)$ is torsion-free since η vanishes on W^u . For C^1 vector fields, there is still a well-defined Lie bracket, and the Frobenius theorem characterizing integrability of a distribution remains true [32]. Since

$$0 = \nabla_{X_i} X_j - \nabla_{X_j} X_i = [X_i, X_j], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k,$$

we then conclude via the C^1 Frobenius theorem that V is a uniquely integrable subbundle of TW^u . Hence there is a C^2 foliation \mathcal{V} of SM which is tangent to V , such that each of the leaves $\mathcal{V}(p)$ is contained within the corresponding unstable leaf $W^u(p)$.

Then \mathcal{V} lifts to a foliation $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ of $S\widetilde{M}$ which is invariant under the lifted action of g^t and the action of $\pi_1(M)$. We adopt the notation of the Hopf parametrization described in Section 2. For each $x \in S\widetilde{M}$ there is a homeomorphism $\pi_x : \widetilde{W}^u(x) \rightarrow \partial\widetilde{M} \setminus \{x_-\}$ given by projection, where x_- is the negative endpoint of the geodesic through x on $\partial\widetilde{M}$. Then for a pair of points $x, y \in S\widetilde{M}$, we consider the homeomorphism

$$\pi_y^{-1} \circ \pi_x : \widetilde{W}^u(x) \setminus \{\pi_x^{-1}(y_-)\} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}^u(y) \setminus \{\pi_y^{-1}(x_-)\}.$$

The homeomorphism $\pi_y^{-1} \circ \pi_x$ is easily described in terms of the global product structure of $S\widetilde{M}$: for a point $z \in \widetilde{W}^u(x) \setminus \{\pi_x^{-1}(y_-)\}$, $\pi_y^{-1}(\pi_x(z))$ is the unique intersection point of $\widetilde{W}^{cs}(z)$ and $\widetilde{W}^u(y)$.

Since the Anosov splitting of g^t is C^1 , the map $\pi_y^{-1} \circ \pi_x$ is C^1 and the derivative is given by parallel transport with respect to the Kanai connection ∇ , which coincides with the global center stable holonomy map h^{cs} for $Dg^t|_{E^u}$ by the uniqueness statement in Proposition 2.3. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is invariant under the action of g^t and stable holonomy, $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is invariant under center stable holonomy and, therefore, $D(\pi_y^{-1} \circ \pi_x)(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is uniquely integrable, this implies for every $z \in \widetilde{W}^u(x)$ that $\pi_y^{-1}(\pi_x(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(z))) = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}(\pi_y^{-1}(\pi_x(z)))$.

The homeomorphisms $\{\pi_x : x \in S\widetilde{M}\}$ form a system of charts for $\partial\widetilde{M}$ which give $\partial\widetilde{M}$ the structure of a C^1 manifold. The equivariance property of the foliation $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ with respect to these charts implies that $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$

descends to a C^1 foliation \mathcal{F} of $\partial\widetilde{M}$. Furthermore, since $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is equivariant under the action of $\pi_1(M)$ (as it was lifted from a foliation \mathcal{V} on SM), the foliation \mathcal{F} is invariant under the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on $\partial\widetilde{M}$. But every $\pi_1(M)$ -invariant continuous foliation of $\partial\widetilde{M}$ must be trivial, i.e., either for every $\xi \in \partial\widetilde{M}$ we have $\mathcal{F}(\xi) = \{\xi\}$ or for every $\xi \in \partial\widetilde{M}$ we have $\mathcal{F}(\xi) = \partial\widetilde{M}$. This is proved in Section 4 of [16]; see also [13]. This implies that $V = \{0\}$ or $V = E^u$, which completes the proof. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since g^t is a contact Anosov flow preserving the contact form θ with $\ker \theta = E^u \oplus E^s$ and $d\theta|_{\ker \theta}$ being nondegenerate, the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 imply that for any periodic point p , the eigenvalues of $Dg_p^{\ell(p)} : E_p^s \rightarrow E_p^s$ are all equal in absolute value, and their common absolute value is the reciprocal of the absolute value of the eigenvalues of $Dg_p^{\ell(p)} : E_p^u \rightarrow E_p^u$. As a consequence, for any periodic point p , g^t is α -bunched along the orbit of p for any $\alpha < 2$. The main result of Hasselblatt in [17] then implies that g^t is 1-bunched, so that the Anosov splitting of g^t is C^1 .

Theorem 2.8 implies that for every ergodic g^t -invariant measure μ , $\lambda_+(Dg^t|E^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|E^u, \mu)$. In particular, this holds when μ is the Liouville measure on SM , which as remarked earlier, is a fully supported ergodic invariant measure with local product measure for g^t . As remarked in Lemma 3.1, $Dg^t|E^u$ is fiber bunched and so we can apply Lemma 2.5: there is a finite cover \widetilde{SM} of SM for which the conclusions of Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Since any lift of g^t to a finite cover of SM is itself the geodesic flow of a closed negatively curved manifold \mathcal{M} , we see that by Lemma 3.1, the invariant flag constructed in Lemma 2.5 must be trivial, and thus by Lemma 2.6 there must be a continuous holonomy invariant conformal structure on E^u preserved by Dg^t . By Theorem 1 of [25], if $Dg^t|E^u$ preserves a continuous conformal structure then M is homotopy equivalent to a real hyperbolic manifold N and there is a C^1 time-preserving conjugacy of the geodesic flow of M to the geodesic flow of N . The minimal entropy rigidity theorem from [6] then implies that M is homothetic to N . q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Hasselblatt [18] proved that if the sectional curvatures of M are relatively 1/4-pinched, then g^t is 1-bunched and so the Anosov splitting of g^t is C^1 . The hypothesis that $\lambda_+(Dg^t|E^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|E^u, \mu)$ for the measure μ together with Lemma 3.1 then implies that Dg^t preserves a conformal structure on E^u . The proof then concludes in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 1.1 above. q.e.d.

REMARK 3.2. In this remark we explain the gap in [39] mentioned in the introduction. First we recall the setting of the paper. The claim is that if M is a closed m -dimensional negatively curved manifold and

$Dg^t|E^u$ is measurably irreducible in the sense that there are no Dg^t -invariant measurable subbundles of E^u , then $Dg^t|E^u$ preserves a continuous conformal structure and, therefore, M is homothetic to a real hyperbolic manifold by the same proof as given in Theorem 1.1. In the first part of the remark we explain some flaws in the definition of boundedness for a conformal structure that is given in [39], and in the second part we explain how, even after correcting these flaws in the definition, the proof still appears to have a gap in proving boundedness at a critical step.

As mentioned in Section 2.2, the conformal structures on E^u can be topologized as a fiber bundle \mathcal{C} over SM . Each fiber \mathcal{C}_x may be identified with the nonpositively curved symmetric space $S(n) := SL(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, where $n = \dim E^u = m - 1$. If we take this identification to be induced by a linear trivialization $E_x^u \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, then it is unique up to an isometry of $S(n)$ and, therefore, \mathcal{C}_x carries a canonical metric ρ_x of nonpositive curvature. The bundle \mathcal{C} over SM has no distinguished section $SM \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and, therefore, in order to say that a conformal structure τ is “bounded” we thus have to compare it to a specific conformal structure $\tau_0 : SM \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which we have chosen beforehand. This is handled properly in [23], in which a conformal structure τ is defined to be bounded if there is a *continuous* conformal structure $\tau_0 : SM \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\rho_x(\tau(x), \tau_0(x)) < C \text{ for every } x \in SM.$$

In [39], a measurable trivialization $E^u \rightarrow SM \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is fixed and a conformal structure on E^u is then defined to be a measurable map $\tau : SM \rightarrow S(n)$. A measurable conformal structure is defined to be “bounded” if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\rho(\tau(x), I) < C$, where ρ is the nonpositively curved metric on $S(n)$ and I is the image of the identity matrix in $S(n)$. In the definition of boundedness in [23], this corresponds to taking τ_0 to be the section defined by pulling back the standard Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^n via the measurable trivialization $E^u \rightarrow SM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. This is problematic because on page 747 of [39] it is claimed that boundedness of the invariant conformal structure implies that $Dg^t|E^u$ is uniformly quasiconformal with respect to the *continuous* conformal structure on E^u defined by restricting the Riemannian metric on $T(SM)$ to E^u . But τ being a bounded distance from the measurable section $\tau_0 \equiv I$ does not imply it is a bounded distance from any continuous conformal structure.

Even after repairing this issue with the definition of boundedness, there is still an apparent gap in the argument which occurs on page 747 of [39]. At this point measurable g^t -invariant affine connections D^s and D^u along the W^s and W^u foliations, respectively, have been constructed which are continuous when restricted to an individual W^s and W^u leaf, respectively, but are only measurable in the transverse

direction. We let μ denote the Liouville measure on SM . For $y \in W^u(x)$ we let $P_{xy}^u : E_x^u \rightarrow E_y^u$ be the parallel transport map with respect to D^u , and let $P_{xy}^s : E_x^s \rightarrow E_z^s$ be the analogous parallel transport map for D^s with $z \in W^s(x)$ instead. A measurable conformal structure σ^u has also been constructed on the unstable bundle E^u which is μ -a.e. parallel with respect to D^s and D^u in the following sense: for μ -a.e. pair $x, y \in SM$ with $y \in W^u(x)$, there is a constant $\xi^u(x, y)$ such that for every $v, w \in E_x^u$

$$\xi^u(x, y)\sigma^u(v, w) = \sigma^s(P_{xy}^s(v), P_{xy}^s(w)).$$

An analogous statement is true for parallel transport of σ^u with respect to P_{xy}^u . It is claimed that this data implies that σ^u is “locally essentially bounded” which as we’ve seen must be interpreted to mean that for each $p \in SM$, there is a neighborhood U of p , a constant $C > 0$, and a continuous section $\tau_0 : U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}|_U$ such that

$$\rho_x(\sigma^u(x), \tau_0(x)) < C \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in U.$$

The invariance of σ^u under D^u and D^s together with the fact that P^u and P^s induce isometries between the fibers of \mathcal{C} gives, for $y \in W^u(x)$, $z \in W^s(x)$,

$$\rho_y(\sigma^u(y), (P_{yx}^u)^*\tau_0(x)) = \rho_x(\sigma^u(x), \tau_0(x)) = \rho_z(\sigma^u(z), (P_{zx}^s)^*\tau_0(x)).$$

This does not allow us to compare $\rho_x(\sigma^u(x), \tau_0(x))$ to $\rho_y(\sigma^u(y), \tau_0(y))$ unless we also have uniform bounds on $\rho_y(\tau_0(y), (P_{yx}^u)^*\tau_0(x))$. But the parallel transport maps P_{xy}^u and P_{xz}^s depend only measurably on x, y, z and so, for instance, $\rho_y(\tau_0(y), (P_{yx}^u)^*\tau_0(x))$ could grow arbitrarily large as x, y vary through the neighborhood U of p . In particular, there is no reason for P^u and P^s to behave nicely with respect to some continuous conformal structure on E^u over U . This point is not addressed in [39] and the proof appears incomplete as a result.

4. Horizontal subbundles

In this section, we assume that $g^t : X \rightarrow X$ is an Anosov flow defined on a Riemannian manifold X and that there exists a dominated splitting $E^u = H^u \oplus V^u$ of the unstable bundle for which V^u is the most expanding bundle. We will refer to H^u as the *horizontal* unstable bundle and V^u as the *vertical* unstable bundle.

Proposition 4.1. *V^u is uniquely integrable with smooth leaves. The resulting foliation W^{vu} is smooth when restricted to W^u leaves.*

Proof. Consider $f := g^1$ as a partially hyperbolic map with invariant splitting $E_f^u \oplus E_f^c \oplus E_f^s$, where $E_f^u = V^u$, $E_f^c = H^u \oplus E^c$, and $E_f^s = E^s$. The statements of the proposition then follow from standard results in the theory of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms [19]. q.e.d.

For each $p \in X$, we define an equivalence relation \sim on points $x, y \in W^u(p)$ by $x \sim y$ if $x \in W^{vu}(y)$. We let $Q^u(p)$ be the quotient of $W^u(p)$ by this equivalence relation, which can be identified with the space of W^{vu} leaves inside of $W^u(p)$, and we let $\Pi : W^u \rightarrow Q^u$ be the projection map. The next proposition verifies that the leaves of the W^{vu} foliation are properly embedded in W^u , which implies that $Q^u(p)$ is a smooth manifold diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^k , $k = \dim H^u$.

Proposition 4.2. *For each $p \in X$, there is a smooth embedding $\iota_p : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow W^u(p)$ with $\iota_p(0) = p$ such that $\iota_p(\mathbb{R}^k)$ meets each W^{vu} leaf inside of $W^u(p)$ in exactly one point.*

Proof. Let $f = g^1$ and consider this as a partially hyperbolic map as in Proposition 4.1. The theory of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms then tells us that there is some $r > 0$ such that on any ball of radius r in SM , the foliation tangent to E_f^u is trivial [19]. Furthermore, since there is a foliation tangent to $E_f^u \oplus E_f^c$, and the unstable foliation W_f^u tangent to E_f^u always smoothly subfoliates $E_f^u \oplus E_f^c$, we can choose this trivialization to be smooth along W^u leaves. Choose a sequence of times $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $g^{-t_n}(p) \rightarrow p$ in X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $D_{n,r}$ be the disk of radius r centered at $g^{-t_n}(p)$ in $W^u(g^{-t_n}(p))$.

By shrinking r if necessary, we can assume that g^{-t} is a contracting map on $D_{n,r}$ for each n in the induced Riemannian metric on W^u , which implies that $g^{t_n-t_s}(D_{n,r}) \subset D_{s,r}$ for $s > n$. For each n , choose a compact transversal submanifold $K_n \subset D_{n,r}$ to the W^{vu} foliation which contains $g^{-t_n}(p)$ and is tangent to $H_{g^{-t_n}(p)}^u$ at $g^{-t_n}(p)$. K_n meets each leaf of the induced foliation of $D_{n,r}$ by W^{vu} in exactly one point.

Consider the collection of k -dimensional submanifolds $g^{t_n}(K_n)$ of $W^u(p)$. We make three claims. First we claim that if a W^{vu} leaf intersects $g^{t_n}(K_n)$, then it intersects $g^{t_s}(K_s)$ for any $s > n$. Second, we claim that each W^{vu} leaf meets each submanifold $g^{t_n}(K_n)$ in at most one point. Lastly, we claim that for each W^{vu} leaf in $W^u(p)$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^{t_n}(K_n)$ intersects this leaf.

For the first claim, if $s > n$, then $g^{-t_s}(g^{t_n}(K_n)) \subset D_{s,r}$ by construction. Since K_s is a full transversal inside of $D_{s,r}$, any W^{vu} leaf intersecting $g^{-t_s}(g^{t_n}(K_n))$ also intersects K_s . By g^t -invariance of the W^{vu} foliation, any W^{vu} leaf intersecting $g^{t_n}(K_n)$ thus also intersects $g^{t_s}(K_s)$.

For the second claim, suppose that $W^{vu}(q)$ intersects $g^{t_n}(K_n)$ in the points q and q' , for $q \neq q'$. $W^u(p)$ is exponentially contracted under g^{-t} , so for large enough s , there will be a curve contained entirely in $g^{-t_s}(W^{vu}(q)) \cap D_{s,r}$ which joins $g^{-t_s}(q)$ to $g^{-t_s}(q')$. On the other hand, since the splitting $E^u = V^u \oplus H^u$ is dominated, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, the tangent spaces to $g^{t_n-t_s}(K_n)$ are uniformly asymptotic to the sequence of planes $H_{g^{t_n-t_s}(p)}^u$. Thus for large enough s , $g^{t_n-t_s}(K_n)$ will be a small disk that is

almost parallel to $H_{g^{t_s}(p)}^u$; in particular, it will meet each leaf of $W^{vu} \cap D_{s,r}$ in at most one point. But this contradicts the existence of the segment joining $g^{-t_s}(q)$ to $g^{-t_s}(q')$ inside of $g^{-t_s}(W^{vu}(q)) \cap D_{s,r}$.

For the last claim, recall that $W^u(p)$ is defined as the set of points in X asymptotic to the orbit of p under g^{-t} . Since $g^{-t_n}(p) \rightarrow p$, it follows that for any $q \in W^u(p)$, there is some $n > 0$ such that $g^{-t_n}(q) \in D_{n,r}$; the last claim follows.

Having proven those three claims, we now construct the desired embedding inductively. Set $U_1 := g^{t_1}(K_1)$. To construct U_n from U_{n-1} , take the submanifold $g^{t_n}(K_n)$ of $W^u(p)$ and use the smoothness of the W^{vu} foliation of W^u to map $g^{t_n}(K_n)$ smoothly onto a submanifold of $W^u(p)$ which contains $q \in U_{n-1}$ for each q such that $W^{vu}(q) \cap g^{t_n}(K_n)$ is nonempty. By the first claim $U_n \subset U_s$ for $s \geq n$. By the second and third claim, the submanifold $U := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ meets each W^{vu} leaf in exactly one point. Properness of the embedding follows from the fact that the W^{vu} foliation is locally trivial and that U meets each W^{vu} leaf in only one point. q.e.d.

Next we build a C^1 g^t -invariant connection ∇ on the tangent bundle TQ^u to Q^u which will correspond to a g^t -invariant connection on the bundle E^u/V^u over SM . ∇ will play the same role in the proof of Lemma 5.1 below as the Kanai connection in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $Dg^t|_{H^u}$ is fiber bunched. Then there is a C^1 , flat, torsion-free g^t -invariant connection ∇ on Q^u . For points $p, q \in W^u(p)$ and $w \in H_p^u$,*

$$D\Pi_q^{-1} \circ P_{\Pi_v(p)\Pi_v(q)} \circ D\Pi_p = h_{pq}^u(w),$$

where P is parallel transport with respect to ∇ .

Proof. Since V^u is a smooth subbundle of E^u when restricted to W^u , the quotient bundle E^u/V^u over W^u is smooth. The projection $E^u \rightarrow E^u/V^u$ induces a bundle isomorphism $H^u \rightarrow E^u/V^u$ which is equivariant with respect to the action of Dg^t on H^u and the induced action of Dg^t on E^u/V^u . We push forward the Riemannian metric on H^u to a Riemannian metric on E^u/V^u , with respect to which the induced action of Dg^t is fiber bunched. The isomorphism $H^u \rightarrow E^u/V^u$ also induces an unstable holonomy \bar{h}^u for the action of Dg^t on E^u/V^u . Since E^u/V^u has a smooth structure along W^u leaves with respect to which Dg^t is smooth and the action of Dg^t on E^u/V^u is 1-fiber bunched, the unstable holonomy \bar{h}^u is C^1 along W^u leaves.

By the uniqueness of this unstable holonomy, we have the following alternative construction of \bar{h}^u . Take two compact transversals K_1 and K_2 to the W^{vu} foliation which meet the same collection of W^{vu} leaves (or equivalently, they have the same projection to $Q^u(p)$). The projection $E^u \rightarrow E^u/V^u$ induces natural bundle isomorphisms $TK_i \rightarrow E^u/V^u$ over

each of these transversals. Then the derivative of the chart transition map $(\Pi|_{K_2})^{-1} \circ \Pi|_{K_1}$ is the unstable holonomy \bar{h}^u when we make the identifications $TK_i \cong E^u/V^u$

The projection $\Pi : W^u(p) \rightarrow Q^u(p)$ is smooth and hence induces a derivative map $D\Pi : E^u \rightarrow TQ^u$ with $V^u = \ker D\Pi$. Hence for each $x \in W^u(p)$ the induced map $\overline{D\Pi} : E_x^u/V_x^u \rightarrow TQ_{\Pi(x)}^u$ is an isomorphism. For $w, z \in Q^u(p)$ which are the image of x and $y \in W^u(p)$, respectively, we define $P_{wz} : TQ_w^u \rightarrow TQ_z^u$ by $P_{wz} = \overline{D\Pi}_y \circ \bar{h}_{xy} \circ \overline{D\Pi}_x^{-1}$. We claim that P_{wz} does not depend on the preimages x and y of w and z which were chosen. Suppose that x' and y' are two other points projecting to w and z , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D\Pi}_{y'} \circ \bar{h}_{x'y'} \circ \overline{D\Pi}_{x'}^{-1} &= \overline{D\Pi}_y \circ \bar{h}_{y'y} \circ \bar{h}_{x'y'} \circ \bar{h}_{xx'} \circ \overline{D\Pi}_x^{-1} \\ &= \overline{D\Pi}_y \circ \bar{h}_{xy} \circ \overline{D\Pi}_x^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the observation that the derivatives of the transition maps for Π are given by the unstable holonomy \bar{h}^u , and also the properties of the unstable holonomy \bar{h}^u itself.

It's straightforward to check that P_{wz} is equivariant with respect to the induced derivative action $\overline{Dg}^t : TQ^u(p) \rightarrow TQ^u(g^t(p))$, using the equivariance property of \bar{h}^u . P_{wz} is also C^1 in the variables w and z and has the property that for $x, y, z \in Q^u(p)$, $P_{yz} \circ P_{xy} = P_{xz}$. This implies that for each $X \in TQ^u(p)$,

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{Y \in TQ^u : P_{xy}(X) = Y \text{ for some } x, y \in Q^u(p)\}$$

is a C^1 submanifold of TQ^u which is transverse to the tangent spaces TQ_x^u . The tangent spaces to the foliation of TQ^u by these subfoliations define an Ehresmann connection on $Q^u(p)$ which we can then use to define a connection ∇ on $Q^u(p)$. The parallel transport of a vector by ∇ is given by the linear maps P_{wz} . Thus ∇ is a C^1 flat affine connection on $Q^u(p)$. Since the maps P_{wz} are equivariant with respect to \overline{Dg}^t , ∇ is also g^t invariant. The definition of P immediately implies the equation stated in the lemma.

It only remains to show that ∇ is torsion-free. Let T be the torsion tensor of ∇ . T is a mixed tensor of type $(2, 1)$ on TQ^u which is invariant under g^t . But the fact that $Dg^t|_{H^u}$ is fiber bunched implies that Dg^t acts by exponential contraction on tensors of type $(2, 1)$ on TQ^u . This forces $T \equiv 0$ so that ∇ is torsion-free. q.e.d.

The following lemma is fundamental to everything that follows in this paper. Recall that in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one of the critical steps was to establish that the stable holonomy of the cocycle $Dg^t|_{E^u}$ could be represented as the derivative of the holonomy map between unstable leaves induced by the center stable foliation. Lemma 4.4 establishes the analogous property in our situation.

Let $r > 0$ be small enough that all of the foliations W^* under consideration are trivial on a ball of radius r . Given two points $x, y \in X$ with $y \in W_r^{cs}(x)$, there is then a well-defined W^{cs} -holonomy map $L_{xy} : W_r^u(x) \rightarrow W_r^u(y)$. For $z \in W_r^u(x)$, $L_{xy}(z)$ is defined to be the unique point in the intersection $W_r^{cs}(z) \cap W_r^u(y)$. In general this holonomy map is only Hölder continuous [31]. We establish under proper fiber bunching assumptions on $Dg^t|H^u$ that L_{xy} is differentiable when restricted to curves tangent to H^u . If we think of g^1 as a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism as in Proposition 4.1 with center bundle $H^u \oplus E^c$, Lemma 4.4 can be viewed as an extension of Theorem B in [31] to the case in which there may not be a foliation tangent to the center distribution.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that E^u and H^u are β -Hölder continuous and that $Dg^t|H^u$ is β -fiber bunched. Then the W^{cs} holonomy map $L_{xy} : W_r^u(x) \rightarrow W_r^u(y)$ maps C^1 curves tangent to H^u to C^1 curves tangent to H^u and, therefore, L_{xy} is differentiable along H^u . For $z \in W_r^u(x)$, the derivative of L_{xy} along H^u is given by*

$$D_z L_{xy}|H^u = h_{zL_{xy}(z)}^{cs}.$$

Proof. Let x, y be two points in X such that $x \in W_r^{cs}(y)$. Set $x_n = g^n x$ and $y_n = g^n y$. For each $n \geq 0$, choose a hypersurface S_n of uniform size and biLipschitz to an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{2m} with Lipschitz constants independent of n that is transverse to the direction of the flow E^c , and contains $W_r^u(x_n)$ and $W_r^u(y_n)$. Let $f_n : S_{n-1} \rightarrow S_n$ be the smooth map defined by $f_n(r) = g^{t(r)}(r)$, where $t(r)$ is the unique time, smoothly depending on r , with $t(x_{n-1}) = 1$ and such that $g^{t(r)}(r) \in S_n$. f_n is defined on a neighborhood of x_{n-1} of uniform size, independent of n . Further, f_n is uniformly hyperbolic on the interior of this neighborhood with the same contraction and expansion estimates (up to multiplicative constants) as g^1 on the stable and unstable bundles E^u and E^s . Set $F^n = f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1$. Note that F^n is defined on increasingly small neighborhoods of x as $n \rightarrow \infty$; the only points for which F^n is defined for all $n \geq 1$ are the points on the intersection of $W_r^{cs}(x)$ with $S := S_0$.

Let β be the minimum of the Hölder exponents of H^u and E^u viewed as subbundles of TX . As remarked in the Introduction, there is a β -Hölder system of linear identifications $I_{pq} : E_p^u \rightarrow E_q^u$ defined for p near q with I_{pp} being the identity on E_p^u . We can choose these identifications so that $I_{pq}(H_p^u) = H_q^u$. For each n , let $A_n : W_r^u(x_n) \rightarrow W_r^u(y_n)$ be a diffeomorphism with $A_n(x_n) = y_n$. Since the unstable foliation is Hölder continuous in the C^1 topology with Hölder exponent β , we can choose A_n such that

$$\|I_{qp} \circ DA_n - Id\| \leq Cd(p, q)^\beta,$$

$$\|DA_n \circ I_{pq} - Id\| \leq Cd(p, q)^\beta,$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and $p \in W_r^u(x_n)$, $q \in W_r^u(y_n)$. For $z \in S_n$, let $\widehat{W}^s(z)$ denote the smooth projection of $W_r^s(z)$ onto S_n along the orbit foliation E^c , given by using g^t to flow these leaves onto S_n . Let \widehat{H}^u , \widehat{E}^u , and \widehat{E}^s denote the projection of these subbundles onto TS_n by flowing along the orbit foliation.

Let φ be the holonomy map between $W_r^u(x)$ and $W_r^u(y)$ induced by the projected stable foliation \widehat{W}^s . Let $\varphi_n = F^{-n} \circ A_n \circ F^n$, which is defined on a neighborhood of x (dependent on n) inside of $W_r^u(x)$. Let $\gamma : [-1, 1]$ be a C^1 curve tangent to H^u inside of $W_r^u(x)$ with $\gamma(0) = x$. Our first goal is to prove that $\varphi \circ \gamma$ is differentiable at 0, i.e., that the image of the curve γ under \widehat{W}^s -holonomy along the transversal S is differentiable at p .

We first claim that the sequence of linear maps

$$\{(DF_y^{-n} \circ DA_n \circ DF_x^n)|_{H_x^u} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is Cauchy (note that we have restricted the domain of these maps to H_x^u). We closely follow the proof of Proposition 2.3 given in [24]. We begin with the formula

$$(DF_y^n)^{-1} \circ DA_n \circ DF_x^n = DA_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (DF_y^i)^{-1} \circ R_i \circ DF_x^i,$$

where $R_i = (D_{y_i} f_{i+1})^{-1} \circ DA_{i+1} \circ D_{x_i} f_{i+1} - DA_i$. For the rest of the proof we will consider all linear maps as restricted to \widehat{E}^u for the purpose of calculating norms. We want to estimate the product

$$\begin{aligned} \|(DF_y^n)^{-1}\| \cdot \|DF_x^n|_{\widehat{H}^u}\| &\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \|(D_{y_i} f_i)^{-1}\| \cdot \|D_{x_i} f_i|_{\widehat{H}^u}\| \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \|(D_{y_i} f_i)^{-1}\| \cdot \|(D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1}|_{\widehat{H}^u}\|^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \|(D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1}|_{\widehat{H}^u}\| \cdot \|D_{x_i} f_i|_{\widehat{H}^u}\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

To bound the first factor, we observe that $\|(D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1}|_{\widehat{H}^u}\| = \|(D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1}\|$ since \widehat{H}^u is the less expanded term of the dominated splitting $\widehat{E}^u = \widehat{V}^u \oplus \widehat{H}^u$. We then use the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|(D_{y_i} f_i)^{-1}\|}{\|(D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1}\|} &\leq \frac{\|(D_{y_i} f_i)^{-1} - I_{x_i y_i} \circ (D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1} \circ I_{x_{i+1} y_{i+1}}^{-1}\|}{\|(D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1}\|} \\ &\quad + \frac{\|I_{x_i y_i} \circ (D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1} \circ I_{x_{i+1} y_{i+1}}^{-1}\|}{\|(D_{x_i} f_i)^{-1}\|} \\ &\leq C' d(x_i, y_i)^\beta + 1, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C' . Here we use the fact that $\|I_{pq}\|$ is uniformly bounded when p and q are close (say $d(p, q) \leq r$), and that the derivative $D_p f_i$ is smooth as a function of p , hence when we use the identifications I_{pq} , it becomes Hölder with Hölder exponent β .

To bound the second factor, we note that $Df_i|\widehat{H}^u$ is fiber bunched since the cocycle $Dg^t|H^u$ we derived it from was fiber bunched. Hence there is a constant $\delta < 1$ such that

$$\|(D_p f_i)^{-1}|\widehat{H}^u\| \cdot \|D_p f_i|\widehat{H}^u\| \leq \|D_p f_i|\widehat{E}^s\|^{-\beta} \delta,$$

for all $p \in S_i$, where δ is independent of i .

Putting these two bounds together, we obtain

$$\|(DF_y^n)^{-1}\| \cdot \|DF_x^n|\widehat{H}^u\| \leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (C' d(x_i, y_i)^\beta + 1) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta \|D_{x_i} f_i|\widehat{E}^s\|^{-\beta}.$$

The first product is uniformly bounded since $d(x_i, y_i) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially in i , so we get a constant C'' such that

$$\|(DF_y^n)^{-1}\| \cdot \|DF_x^n|\widehat{H}^u\| \leq C'' \delta^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \|D_{x_i} f_i|\widehat{E}^s\|^{-\beta}.$$

Now we can also estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_i\| &\leq \|(D_{y_i} f_{i+1})^{-1} \circ DA_{i+1}\| \cdot \|D_{x_i} f_{i+1} - DA_{i+1}^{-1} \circ D_{y_i} f_{i+1} \circ DA_i\| \\ &\leq C d(x_i, y_i)^\beta \\ &\leq C d(x, y)^\beta \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \|D_{x_i} f_i|\widehat{E}^s\|^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C . In the first inequality we used the Hölder closeness of DA_i to the identity, together with uniform bounds on the norms of all of the linear maps involved. In the second inequality we use the fact that x and y lie on the same stable manifold in S . We have the basic bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(DF_y^n)^{-1} \circ DA_n \circ DF_x^n - DA_0|\widehat{H}^u\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|(DF_y^i)^{-1} \circ R_i \circ DF_x^i|\widehat{H}^u\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|(DF_y^i)^{-1}\| \cdot \|DF_x^i|\widehat{H}^u\| \cdot \|R_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

We replace the right side with the previously obtained bounds on the factors $\|(DF_y^i)^{-1}\| \cdot \|DF_x^i|\widehat{H}^u\|$ and $\|R_i\|$. This gives an upper bound of

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(C'' \delta^i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \|D_{x_j} f_j|\widehat{E}^s\|^{-\beta} \cdot C d(x, y)^\beta \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \|D_{x_j} f_j|\widehat{E}^s\|^\beta \right) \leq C^* d(x, y)^\beta,$$

for some constant C^* . Also note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (DF_y^{n+1})^{-1} \circ DA_{n+1} \circ DF_x^{n+1} - (DF_y^n)^{-1} \circ DA_n \circ DF_x^n | \widehat{H}_x^u \| \\
&= \| (DF_y^n)^{-1} \circ R_n \circ DF_x^n | \widehat{H}_x^u \| \\
&\leq C^* \delta^n d(x, y)^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

This second inequality immediately implies that the sequence of linear maps

$$\{ (DF_y^n)^{-1} \circ DA_n \circ DF_x^n | H_x^u : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

is Cauchy. Hence this sequence converges to a linear map $T_{xy} : \widehat{H}_x^u \rightarrow \widehat{H}_y^u$. However, for any given vector $v \in \widehat{H}_x^u$, $DA_n \circ DF_x^n(v)$ is a vector which makes an angle θ_n with \widehat{H}_y^u , where θ_n is uniformly bounded away from $\pi/2$, independent of n . Applying DF_y^{-n} exponentially contracts this angle since the splitting $\widehat{E}^u = \widehat{V}^u \oplus \widehat{H}^u$ is dominated, so letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that T_{xy} must have image in \widehat{H}_y^u .

For each $j \geq 0$, we can also consider the sequence of linear maps

$$\{ (DF_y^{n+j} \circ (DF_y^j)^{-1})^{-1} \circ DA_{n+j} \circ DF_x^{n+j} \circ (DF_x^j)^{-1} | H_x^u : n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

For the same reasons as for the original sequence, this sequence is Cauchy and converges to a limit that we denote $T_{x_j y_j}$ which is a linear map from $\widehat{H}_{x_j}^u$ to $\widehat{H}_{y_j}^u$. It is straightforward to check that for each n we have $(DF_y^n)^{-1} \circ T_{x_n y_n} \circ DF_x^n = T_{xy}$ by writing out the limiting expression for $T_{x_n y_n}$. Since we chose the transversal S to contain $W_r^u(x)$ and $W_r^u(y)$, we have $\widehat{H}_x^u = H_x^u$ and the same for y . We now consider the center stable holonomy map $h_{xy}^{cs} : H_x^u \rightarrow H_y^u$. This is equivariant with respect to DF^n as well and also depends in a β -Hölder manner on the points x and y . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\| h_{xy}^{cs} - P_{xy} \| &= \| (DF_y^n)^{-1} \circ (h_{x_n y_n}^{cs} - T_{x_n y_n}) \circ DF_x^n | H_x^u \| \\
&\leq \| (DF_y^n)^{-1} \| \cdot \| DF_x^n | H_x^u \| \cdot \| h_{x_n y_n}^{cs} - T_{x_n y_n} \| \\
&\leq C \delta^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \| D_{x_i} f_i | \widehat{E}^s \|^{-\beta} d(x_n, y_n)^\beta \\
&\leq C^* \delta^n,
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C^* . As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\delta^n \rightarrow 0$, so $h_{xy}^{cs} = T_{xy}$.

To prove differentiability of $\varphi \circ \gamma$, take a coordinate chart on S (as well as each of the transversals S_n) so that we can work with the linear structure on \mathbb{R}^{2m} . Let y correspond to the origin. We will not change the notation for the maps, so they should be understood in this chart. Let $v = \gamma'(0)$. We need to show that $\varphi(\gamma(s))$ agrees with its claimed linearization $s \cdot h_{xy}^{cs}(v)$ to first order at the origin. First observe that the calculations above are valid if we replace x and y by any two points x', y' in S such that $y' \in \widehat{W}_{loc}^s(x')$, whenever n is small enough (relative to x'

and y') that the iterates F, F^2, \dots, F^n are all defined on a neighborhood of x' and y' . This implies that

$$\|(DF^n)^{-1} \circ DA_n \circ DF_{\gamma(s)}^n(\gamma'(s)) - DA_0(\gamma'(s))\| \leq C|s|^\beta,$$

whenever s is small enough that F^n is defined on a neighborhood of $\gamma(s)$ and $A_n(F^n(\gamma(s)))$ lies in the image of F^n . The constant C is independent of n , so $(DF^n)^{-1} \circ DA_n \circ DF_{\gamma(s)}^n(\gamma'(s))$ is a Hölder continuous function of s with Hölder exponent and constant independent of n for $|s|$ small. Note that $A_n(F^n(\gamma(s)))$ will not necessarily lie on $\widehat{W}^s(F^n(\gamma(s)))$, but it will be β -Hölder close to the intersection of $\widehat{W}^s(F^n(\gamma(s)))$ with $W_r^u(y_n)$, so our estimates remain valid. By the mean value inequality, we thus obtain

$$\|\varphi_n(\gamma(s)) - s \cdot D\varphi_n(\gamma'(0))\| \leq C|s|^{1+\beta},$$

for a constant C .

We next estimate the difference between φ and φ_n near $\gamma(0)$. Observe that $\varphi = (F^n)^{-1} \circ \psi_n \circ F^n$, where ψ_n is the \widehat{W}^s -holonomy map from $\widehat{W}_r^u(x_n)$ to $W_r^u(y_n)$. Hence for s small enough that $\gamma(s)$ is in the domain of definition of the expressions below,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi_n(\gamma(s)) - \varphi(\gamma(s))\| \\ &= \|((F^n)^{-1} \circ A_n \circ F^n - (F^n)^{-1} \circ \psi_n \circ F^n)(\gamma(s))\| \\ &\leq C\|(DF^n)^{-1}|E^u| \cdot \|A_n \circ F^n(\gamma(s)) - \psi_n \circ F^n(\gamma(s))\|, \end{aligned}$$

since F^{-n} exponentially contracts distances on unstable leaves. Next we note that ψ_n and A_n are β -Hölder close in the C^0 topology. As a consequence, since they both map x to y ,

$$\begin{aligned} & C\|(DF^n)^{-1}|E^u| \cdot \|A_n \circ F^n(\gamma(s)) - \psi_n \circ F^n(\gamma(s))\| \\ &\leq C\|(DF^n)^{-1}|E^u|d(F^n(x), F^n(y))^\beta\|F^n(\gamma(s))\| \\ &\leq C\|(DF^n)^{-1}|E^u| \cdot \|DF^n|E^s\|^\beta \cdot \|F^n(\gamma(s))\| \\ &\leq C\|(DF^n)^{-1}|E^u| \cdot \|DF^n|E^s\|^\beta \cdot \|DF^n|\widehat{H}^u\| \cdot |s| \\ &\leq C\delta^n|s|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have not paid much attention to the constant C in front (which will change from line to line). In the third line we use the exponential contraction of stable leaves by F^n , and in the fourth line we use the fiber bunching property on H^u transferred to the induced bundle \widehat{H}^u , noting that $\|(DF^n)^{-1}|E^u\| = \|(DF^n)^{-1}|\widehat{H}^u\|$.

We now compare $\varphi \circ \gamma$ to the linearization $h_{xy}^{cs}(v) \cdot s$ at 0. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $|s|$ small enough that all of the expressions above are defined for this n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(\gamma(s)) - h_{xy}^{cs}(v) \cdot s\| &\leq \|\varphi(\gamma(s)) - \varphi_n(\gamma(s))\| \\
&\quad + \|\varphi_n(\gamma(s)) - s \cdot D\varphi_n(v)\| \\
&\quad + |s| \cdot \|D\varphi_n(v) - h_{xy}^{cs}(v)\| \\
&\leq C(\delta^n |s| + |s|^{1+\beta} + |s| \cdot \|D\varphi_n(\gamma'(0)) - h_{xy}^{cs}(v)\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing through by $|s|$, we obtain

$$\frac{\|\varphi(\gamma(s)) - h_{xy}^{cs}(v) \cdot s\|}{|s|} \leq C(\delta^n + |s|^\beta + \|D\varphi_n(v) - h_{xy}^{cs}(v)\|).$$

We can consider $n := n(s)$ as an integer function of s such that $n(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. Then as $s \rightarrow 0$, the right side converges to 0. We thus obtain that $\varphi \circ \gamma$ agrees to first order with its linearization at 0, i.e., $\varphi \circ \gamma$ is differentiable at 0, and, furthermore, $(\varphi \circ \gamma)'(0) = h_{\gamma(0)\varphi(\gamma(0))}^{cs}(\gamma'(0))$.

Now observe that holonomy from $W_r^u(x)$ to $W_r^u(y)$ along the projected stable foliation \widehat{W}^s corresponds precisely to W^{cs} -holonomy in X . Hence the curve $\varphi \circ \gamma$ is also the image of γ under the W^{cs} -holonomy L_{xy} . We can apply our calculations to the other points of γ by recentering at each pair of points x', y' lying on γ and $\varphi \circ \gamma$, respectively, with $y' \in W_r^{cs}(x')$. This proves that $\varphi \circ \gamma$ is differentiable for every $t \in [-1, 1]$, and, furthermore, we have the derivative formula

$$(\varphi \circ \gamma)'(t) = h_{\gamma(t)\varphi(\gamma(t))}^{cs}(\gamma'(t)),$$

which completes the proof.

q.e.d.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 using the results of Section 4. We first give a description of the neighborhood \mathcal{U} of the symmetric metric $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ referred to in the hypotheses of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. As described in the Introduction there is a C^2 open neighborhood \mathcal{U}^* of $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that for any $d \in \mathcal{U}^*$ the geodesic flow g^t of d admits dominated splittings $E^u = H^u \oplus V^u$ and $E^s = H^s \oplus V^s$ of the stable and unstable bundles. For each metric d there is a constant $C \geq 1$ and $a < b < c < \ell$ such that for every $t \geq 0$,

$$C^{-1}e^{-bt} \leq \|Dg^{-t}|H^u\| \leq Ce^{-at},$$

$$C^{-1}e^{-\ell t} \leq \|Dg^{-t}|V^u\| \leq Ce^{-ct},$$

with $C \rightarrow 1$, $a, b \rightarrow 1$, and $c, \ell \rightarrow 2$ as $d \rightarrow d_{\mathbb{K}}$ in the C^2 topology on Riemannian metrics. Using the flip map $p \rightarrow -p$ which is an isometry for the Sasaki metric on SM we conclude that the above inequalities also hold with H^s and V^s replacing H^u and V^u and taking $t \geq 0$ instead.

We want to show that for a, b close enough to 1 and c, ℓ close enough to 2 there is a $\beta > 0$ such that both E^u and H^u are β -Hölder continuous subbundles of $T(SM)$ and $Dg^t|H^u$ is β -fiber bunched. This then implies

that we can apply the results of Section 4 to the geodesic flow of metrics d in a small enough C^2 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}^*$ of $d_{\mathbb{K}}$.

First we compute the Hölder regularity of E^u using results of Hasselblatt [18] on the regularity of the stable and unstable bundles for Anosov flows. Recall from the beginning of Section 3 that for $0 < \alpha < 2$ we say that g^t is α -bunched if there is some $T > 0$ such that for $t \geq T$

$$\|Dg_p^t|E_p^u\|^\alpha \cdot \|Dg_p^t|E_p^s\| \cdot \|(Dg_p^t)^{-1}|E_{g^t(p)}^u\| < 1, \quad t \geq T, p \in SM.$$

The results of [18] imply that if g^t is α -bunched then E^u is $C^{\alpha-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Plugging in the bounds on $Dg^t|E^u$ and $Dg^t|E^s$ described above, we see that for $t \geq 0$,

$$\|Dg_p^t|E_p^u\|^\alpha \cdot \|Dg_p^t|E_p^s\| \cdot \|(Dg_p^t)^{-1}|E_{g^t(p)}^u\| \leq C^3 e^{(\ell\alpha-2a)t}.$$

Thus g^t is α -bunched if and only if $\alpha < \frac{2a}{\ell}$. As $a \rightarrow 1$ and $\ell \rightarrow 2$, we see that $\frac{2a}{\ell} \rightarrow 1$, so given any $0 < \alpha < 1$ we can always take the neighborhood \mathcal{U} small enough that E^u is C^α for $d \in \mathcal{U}$.

For the regularity of H^u we refer to the C^r section theorem in [33]. From this theorem we deduce that H^u is β -Hölder continuous for any $\beta < \frac{b}{c}$. The ratio $\frac{b}{c}$ converges to $\frac{1}{2}$ as $b \rightarrow 1$, $c \rightarrow 2$, so by taking d close enough to $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ we can assume H^u is β -Hölder for any given $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$. It is then clear that if a, b are close enough to 1 then $Dg^t|H^u$ is β -fiber bunched for β close to $\frac{1}{2}$.

We take d to lie in the neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ described above. We assume that there is a g^t -invariant ergodic, fully supported measure μ with local product structure such that $\lambda_+(Dg^t|H^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|H^u, \mu)$. We will not need Assumption (2) of 1.3 until the proof of Lemma 5.4.

The first lemma we prove is the analogue of Lemma 3.1 for the horizontal bundle H^u . For a continuous subbundle \mathcal{E} of $T(SM)$ and a point $p \in SM$, we define the \mathcal{E} -accessibility class $\mathcal{A}(p; \mathcal{E})$ of p to be the set of all points $q \in SM$ which can be joined to p by a piecewise C^1 curve γ tangent to \mathcal{E} .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{E} \subset H^u$ be a nonzero measurable g^t -invariant subbundle. Then $\mathcal{E} = H^u$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, \mathcal{E} coincides μ -a.e. with a continuous g^t -invariant, holonomy invariant subbundle of H^u , which we will also denote by \mathcal{E} . We claim that $\mathcal{A}(p; \mathcal{E})$ is dense in $W^u(p)$ for every $p \in SM$. Since \mathcal{E} is g^t -invariant, $\mathcal{A}(g^t p; \mathcal{E}) = g^t(\mathcal{A}(p; \mathcal{E}))$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Pass to the universal cover \widetilde{SM} and note that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma := \pi_1(M)$, we also have $\mathcal{A}(D\gamma(p); \mathcal{E}) = D\gamma(\mathcal{A}(p; \mathcal{E}))$ for $p \in \widetilde{SM}$, since the lifted bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is invariant under Γ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we let $\pi_x : \widetilde{W}^u(x) \rightarrow \partial \widetilde{M} \setminus \{x_-\}$ be the projection homeomorphism onto the

boundary. For $x, y \in \widetilde{SM}$, consider as before the transition homeomorphism

$$\pi_y^{-1} \circ \pi_x : \widetilde{W}^u(x) \setminus \{\pi_x^{-1}(y_-)\} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}^u(y) \setminus \{\pi_y^{-1}(x_-)\}.$$

Lemma 4.4 implies that $\pi_y^{-1} \circ \pi_x$ is differentiable when restricted to C^1 curves tangent to H^u , and that the derivative is given by the global center stable holonomy map h^{cs} for H^u . Since \mathcal{E} is invariant under h^{cs} , this implies that

$$(\pi_y^{-1} \circ \pi_x)(\mathcal{A}(p; \mathcal{E})) = \mathcal{A}(\pi_y^{-1}(\pi_x(p)); \mathcal{E}),$$

for any $p \in \widetilde{W}^u(x)$. We thus conclude that for each $\xi \in \partial \widetilde{M}$, there is a well defined subset $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ of $\partial \widetilde{M}$ consisting of all points $\zeta \in \partial \widetilde{M}$ which can be joined to ξ by a curve γ in $\partial \widetilde{M}$ which is piecewise C^1 and tangent to \mathcal{E} in some π_x coordinate chart (and, therefore, is tangent to \mathcal{E} in any such coordinate chart). Furthermore, the Γ -equivariance of \mathcal{E} accessibility classes translates into the relation $\gamma(\mathcal{A}(\xi)) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma(\xi))$.

We would like to show that $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ is dense in $\partial \widetilde{M}$. Let U be an open set in $\partial \widetilde{M}$ which does not contain ξ . Let x be the image of ξ in an unstable leaf $\widetilde{W}^u(x)$. Take a small open neighborhood A of x which is disjoint from the image of U in $\widetilde{W}^u(x)$. We claim that if A is small enough, then $\mathcal{A}(y; \mathcal{E})$ intersects the topological boundary ∂A of A for every $y \in A$. We begin by reducing this to an equivalent 2-dimensional problem. Take a coordinate chart on $\widetilde{W}^u(x)$ mapping x to the origin of \mathbb{R}^{m-1} and \mathcal{E}_x to the coordinate plane corresponding to the first k coordinates, where $k = \dim \mathcal{E}$. Let C_ε be the cube $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^{m-1}$ centered at p . Take some $q \in C_\varepsilon$ and consider the projected image \bar{q} of q in $\mathbb{R}^k = \mathcal{E}_x$, the first k coordinates. Let L_q be the line through \bar{q} parallel to the first coordinate axis. Choose a direction among the last $m - k - 1$ coordinates (for definiteness, the $(k + 1)$ st coordinate). Let P_q be the plane spanned by L_q and the $(k + 1)$ st coordinate. As long as ε is small enough (uniformly in q), for every $p \in C_\varepsilon$ the intersection of \mathcal{E}_p with P_q will be a line. Fix this ε from now on. Identify P_q with \mathbb{R}^2 . We see then that it suffices to solve the following equivalent problem: Given an ODE $y' = f(x)$ with f continuous and $|f| \leq K$ everywhere on $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^2$ (note this $K > 0$ is uniform in q) show that there is a C^1 solution σ with $\sigma(0) = 0$ such that either $\sigma(t)$ is defined on $[0, \varepsilon]$ or else there is some $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ such that $|\sigma(t)| > \varepsilon$.

By the Cauchy–Peano existence theorem for ODEs with continuous coefficients the uniform bound $|f| \leq K$ ensures that there is a uniform $\delta > 0$ such that a solution to the initial value problem $\sigma(t_0) = y_0$, $\sigma'(t) = f(\sigma(t))$ exists on $[t_0, t_0 + \delta]$ provided $|\sigma(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ on $[t_0, t_0 + \delta]$ and $t \leq \varepsilon$ (Theorem 2.19 of [36]). Thus, starting at $\sigma(0) = 0$, construct a solution existing on $[0, \delta]$, then concatenate this with a solution existing

on $[\delta, 2\delta]$ and so on. This process ends when either $k\delta > \varepsilon$ (which happens after a finite number ε/δ of steps) or when a solution exceeds ε in absolute value. In either case, we are done.

Thus $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ intersects ∂A for A small enough. The pairs of endpoints of axes of the isometries $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of \widetilde{M} are dense in $\partial\widetilde{M} \times \partial\widetilde{M}$, hence we can find an isometry γ with the forward endpoint $\gamma_+ \in U$ of the axis lying in U , and the backward endpoint $\gamma_- \in A$. Since γ gives rise to north-south dynamics on the sphere $\partial\widetilde{M}$ there is some $k > 0$ such that $A \subset \gamma^k A$ and $\gamma^k(\partial A) \subset U$. There is thus some $\zeta \in A$ such that $\gamma^k \zeta = \xi$. But we know that $\mathcal{A}(\zeta)$ intersects ∂A and thus $\gamma^k(\mathcal{A}(\zeta)) = \mathcal{A}(\xi)$ intersects U . This implies the desired conclusion.

Fix a periodic point $p \in SM$ of period T . Since the bundle \mathcal{E} is a holonomy-invariant subbundle of H^u , it descends to a subbundle $D\Pi(\mathcal{E})$ of $TQ^u(p)$. $D\Pi(\mathcal{E})$ is parallel with respect to the connection ∇ constructed in Lemma 4.3, hence since ∇ is torsion-free (as in Lemma 3.1), $D\Pi(\mathcal{E})$ is uniquely integrable and there is thus a foliation \mathcal{F} tangent to $D\Pi(\mathcal{E})$ inside of Q^u . Let \bar{p} be the projection of p in $Q^u(p)$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ be the inverse images of all points in the leaf $\mathcal{F}(\bar{p})$ through \bar{p} of \mathcal{F} inside of $Q^u(p)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A}(p; \mathcal{E}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(p)$, since any piecewise C^1 curve tangent to \mathcal{E} and passing through p must project to a piecewise C^1 curve contained entirely inside of $\mathcal{F}(\bar{p})$. On the other hand, as shown above, $\mathcal{A}(p; \mathcal{E})$ must be dense in $W^u(p)$.

We thus conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ is dense in $W^u(p)$, and, therefore, $\mathcal{F}(\bar{p})$ is dense in $Q^u(p)$. But this is absurd unless $\mathcal{F}(\bar{p}) = Q^u$: let U be a neighborhood of \bar{p} on which g^{-T} acts as an exponential contraction and such that the foliation \mathcal{F} can be trivialized as slices $\mathbb{R}^k \times \{a\}$. Two different slices of the foliation in U have the property that they cannot be connected by a C^1 curve σ lying entirely in U . If $\mathcal{F}(\bar{p})$ is dense in $Q^u(p)$, we can find some slice of \mathcal{F} in U that does not pass through \bar{p} and a unit speed curve $\sigma : [0, \ell] \rightarrow Q^u(p)$ with $\sigma(0) = \bar{p}$ and $\sigma(\ell) = q \in U$ lying in a different slice. Consider $g^{-kT} \circ \sigma$ for $k > 0$ large. By the definition of the unstable leaf, for k large enough the entire curve $g^{-kT} \circ \sigma$ is contained inside of U , and by the g^t -invariance of \mathcal{F} , $g^{-kT} \circ \sigma$ is always contained inside of $\mathcal{F}(\bar{p})$. This implies that $g^{-kT}(\sigma(\ell))$ lies in the slice through \bar{p} of \mathcal{F} in U . The contraction property of g^{-T} on U implies that $g^{-kT}(U) \subset U$ is an open connected subset containing \bar{p} , and the foliation can be trivialized on this open subset, so we can join $g^{-kT}(\sigma(\ell))$ to \bar{p} by a curve τ lying entirely inside of $g^{-kT}(U)$. But then $g^{kT} \circ \tau$ is a curve joining \bar{p} to $\sigma(\ell)$ lying entirely inside of the slice of \mathcal{F} through \bar{p} inside of U , which is the contradiction that completes the proof. q.e.d.

We isolate a corollary of the arguments in the proof of Lemma 5.1 which is of independent interest, obtained by taking $\mathcal{E} = H^u$ and ig-

noring the assumptions on extremal Lyapunov exponents in the above argument.

Corollary 5.2. *Let g^t be the geodesic flow of a closed negatively curved manifold M . Suppose that there is a dominated splitting $E^u = H^u \oplus V^u$, that E^u and H^u are β -Hölder continuous, and $Dg^t|_{H^u}$ is β -fiber bunched. Then $\mathcal{A}(p; H^u)$ is dense in $W^u(p)$ for every $p \in SM$.*

REMARK 5.3. Given two small disjoint open sets U and V in $W^u(p)$, the piecewise C^1 curve γ constructed in Corollary 5.2 which starts in U and ends in V will typically take a long, winding route through $W^u(p)$ which increases exponentially in length as U and V shrink in size, regardless of how close U and V are in $W^u(p)$. Thus it is not immediately clear whether the conclusion in Corollary 5.2 can be improved to $\mathcal{A}(p; H^u) = W^u(p)$.

By combining Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6, and Lemma 5.1, we see that $Dg^t|_{H^u}$ preserves a conformal structure which we represent by a Riemannian metric τ with associated multiplicative cocycle ψ^t . We will use this conformal structure together with the charts $\{\Psi_p\}_{p \in SM}$ given by Assumption (2) of Theorem 1.3 to complete the proof of the theorem. Let $r > 0$ given by Assumption (2) of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $p \in SM$, $q \in W_r^{cs}(p)$. In the coordinates on $W_r^u(p)$ and $W_r^u(q)$ given by Ψ_p and Ψ_q , respectively, the center stable holonomy $W_r^u(p) \rightarrow W_r^u(q)$ is a projective automorphism of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. Consequently, the Anosov splitting of g^t is C^1 .*

Proof. Let $p \in SM$ and $q \in W_r^{cs}(p)$. We claim that the center stable holonomy map $\varphi : W_r^u(p) \rightarrow W_r^u(q)$ is C^1 . Consider the map $F := \Psi_q \circ \varphi \circ \Psi_p^{-1}$ defined on a neighborhood of the identity in $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. By Lemma 4.4 and the assumption that the charts Ψ_p map H^u to the left-invariant distribution $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$, F maps C^1 curves tangent to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ to C^1 curves tangent to \mathcal{H} . It follows that F is differentiable on the distribution $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$, with derivative given by the center stable holonomy h^{cs} in these local coordinates.

This implies that F is Pansu differentiable as a map from $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ into itself [30]. The Pansu derivative at each point of G is a homomorphism $DF_p^{\mathcal{H}} : G_{\mathbb{K}}^m \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ uniquely determined by the derivative action of F on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. Furthermore, since the center stable holonomy preserves the conformal structure τ on H^u , this derivative action on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ is conformal in the induced norm of τ on the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. This implies that F is 1-quasiconformal in the Carnot–Caratheodory metric on $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ associated to the metric τ on the horizontal distribution. But all such 1-quasiconformal maps on any domain in G are given by projective automorphisms of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$: for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ this is a theorem of Capogna [11], and for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$ or \mathbb{O} , Pansu showed that any quasiconformal map of

$G_{\mathbb{K}}$ into itself is a projective automorphism [30]. Thus $\Psi_q \circ \varphi \circ \Psi_p^{-1}$ is smooth and so since Ψ_q and Ψ_p are C^1 , we conclude that φ is C^1 .

This proves that the center stable holonomy between unstable leaves is C^1 . It follows that the center stable bundle E^{cs} is C^1 along the unstable foliation, and since E^s is smooth along the W^{cs} foliation, it follows from Journe's lemma [20] that E^s is C^1 . Applying the same reasoning with the roles of E^s and E^u reversed and using the flip map $p \rightarrow -p$ for the geodesic flow, we can interchange the role of E^s and E^u and apply all of the results of this section to E^u as well. It follows that E^u is C^1 as well and, therefore, the Anosov splitting of g^t is C^1 . q.e.d.

We can find a finite collection of points $p_1, \dots, p_k \in S\widetilde{M}$ such that the image neighborhoods $U_i := \pi_{p_i}(\widetilde{W}_r^u(p_i)) \subset \partial\widetilde{M}$ cover $\partial\widetilde{M}$. For each U_i we have a map to the one point compactification $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m \cup \{\infty\} = \partial H_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ given by $\Psi_{p_i} \circ \pi_{p_i}^{-1}$. By Lemma 5.4 the transition maps $\Psi_{p_j} \circ \pi_{p_j}^{-1} \circ (\Psi_{p_i} \circ \pi_{p_i}^{-1})^{-1}$ are given by projective automorphisms of $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. Hence the visual boundary $\partial\widetilde{M}$ of \widetilde{M} carries a natural C^1 structure for which $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ acts by C^1 maps. In fact, in the coordinates $\Psi_{p_i} \circ \pi_{p_i}^{-1}$ on U_i , Γ acts by projective automorphisms since Γ leaves invariant the lift of the Riemannian metric $\tilde{\tau}$ on H^u and so we can apply the same rigidity theorems used in the proof of Theorem 5.4 for conformal maps on $G_{\mathbb{K}}^m$.

We conclude that the natural map $F : \partial\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \partial H_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ given by the quasi-isometry between the lift \tilde{d} of the metric d to \widetilde{M} and the symmetric metric on $H_{\mathbb{K}}^m$ is C^1 and Γ -equivariant. Since F is a diffeomorphism it maps the Lebesgue measure class on $\partial\widetilde{M}$ to the Lebesgue measure class on $\partial H_{\mathbb{K}}^m$. Corollary 4.6 of [15] implies that there is a C^1 time-preserving (up to scaling) conjugacy between the geodesic flows of (M, d) and $(M, d_{\mathbb{K}})$, and, therefore, by the minimal entropy rigidity theorem of Besson–Courtois–Gallot [6] the metric d on M is homothetic to the symmetric metric $d_{\mathbb{K}}$.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this final section we assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and that d is a metric in the neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ described at the beginning of Section 5. We assume that there is a g^t -invariant ergodic, fully supported probability measure μ on SM with local product structure such that $\lambda_+(Dg^t|H^u, \mu) = \lambda_-(Dg^t|H^u, \mu)$. We will show that if H^u has C^1 regularity along W^u leaves with Hölder continuous derivatives then there is an $r > 0$ such that we can find C^1 charts $\Psi_p : W_r^u(p) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ which map H^u to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Hence by Theorem 1.3 we conclude that (M, d) is homothetic to $(M, d_{\mathbb{K}})$.

We first note that the C^1 regularity of H^u along W^u implies C^1 regularity for the unstable holonomies of W^u .

Lemma 6.1. *On a fixed unstable leaf $W^u(p)$, the unstable holonomy $(x, y) \rightarrow h_{xy}^u$, $x, y \in W^u(p)$ is C^1 as a function of x and y . The derivative of the unstable holonomy is uniformly Hölder continuous in x and y .*

Proof. Since H^u is C^1 along W^u leaves and E^u/V^u is smooth along these leaves, the projection $H^u \rightarrow E^u/V^u$ is C^1 . As remarked in Lemma 4.3, the unstable holonomy of the action of Dg^t on E^u/V^u is induced by the unstable holonomy of $Dg^t|_{H^u}$ by this projection. Since the unstable holonomy on E^u/V^u is C^1 , this implies that the unstable holonomy for H^u is C^1 . q.e.d.

By applying the arguments of Section 5 prior to Lemma 5.4 which do not use the existence of the charts Ψ_p , we conclude that there is a Riemannian metric τ on H^u whose conformal class is invariant under stable and unstable holonomies as well as the action of Dg^t . By Lemma 6.1, we can choose a representative of the conformal class of τ which is C^1 along W^u . We will fix this representative from now on. We let ψ^t be the multiplicative \mathbb{R} -cocycle such that $(g^t)^*\tau = \psi^t\tau$.

We claim we may assume that the line bundle V^u is orientable. If V^u is not orientable, pass to a double cover of SM in which V^u is orientable. This corresponds to the geodesic flow on a Riemannian double cover M^* of M . If we prove that M^* is isometric to a complex hyperbolic manifold, it then follows immediately that M is as well. Hence it suffices to assume V^u is orientable.

Extend τ to a Riemannian metric on E^u by setting V^u and H^u to be orthogonal and taking some continuous section Z of V^u which is smooth along W^u leaves to define the unit length on V^u . τ remains C^1 since H^u is a C^1 subbundle of E^u . Let α be the 1-form on W^u defined by taking the inner product using τ with Z . It's clear that $\ker \alpha \cap E^u = H^u$ and that α is C^1 . Since V^u and H^u are Dg^t -invariant, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there is some C^1 (along W^u leaves) function φ^t such that $(g^t)^*\alpha = \varphi^t\alpha$. φ^t is a multiplicative \mathbb{R} -cocycle.

Lemma 6.2. *$d\alpha|_{H^u}$ is nondegenerate on all of SM .*

Proof. Observe first that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(g^t)^*(d\alpha) = d((g^t)^*(\alpha)) = d(\varphi^t\alpha) = d\varphi^t \wedge \alpha + \varphi^t d\alpha,$$

and hence $(g^t)^*d\alpha|_{H^u} = \varphi^t d\alpha|_{H^u}$. It follows that

$$R_k = \{p \in SM : \dim\{v \in H_p^u : \iota_v d\alpha = 0\} = k\}$$

is a g^t -invariant subset of SM . Since μ is ergodic with respect to the action of g^t and $\bigcup_{k=0}^{m-2} R_k = SM$, $\mu(R_k) = 1$ for some k . If $0 < k < m - 2$, then $p \rightarrow \{v \in H_p^u : \iota_v d\alpha = 0\}$ is a proper measurable g^t invariant subbundle of H^u , which is impossible by Lemma 5.1.

Suppose now that $\mu(R_0) = 1$. Then $d\alpha|H^u = 0$ for a dense set of points in SM since μ has full support in SM , hence $d\alpha|H^u = 0$ for every $p \in SM$ since $d\alpha$ is continuous. This implies by the C^1 Frobenius theorem that H^u is uniquely integrable as a subbundle of E^u over W^u . We claim that this contradicts Corollary 5.2. Fix a periodic point $p \in SM$ of period T and let \mathcal{F} be the C^1 foliation tangent to H^u inside $W^u(p)$. By Corollary 5.2, each leaf of \mathcal{F} is dense in $W^u(p)$.

But this is absurd, for reasons similar to those given in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Let U be a neighborhood of p on which g^{-T} acts as an exponential contraction and such that the foliation \mathcal{F} can be trivialized as plaques $\mathbb{R}^{m-2} \times \{a\}$. Two different plaques of the foliation in U have the property that they cannot be connected by a C^1 curve σ lying entirely in U . If $\mathcal{F}(p)$ is dense in $W^u(p)$, we can find some slice of \mathcal{F} in U that does not pass through p and a unit speed curve $\gamma : [0, \ell] \rightarrow W^u(p)$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(\ell) = q \in U$ lying in a different plaque. Consider $g^{-kT} \circ \gamma$ for $k > 0$ large. By the definition of the unstable leaf, for k large enough the entire curve $g^{-kT} \circ \sigma$ is contained inside of U , and by the g^T -invariance of \mathcal{F} , $g^{-kT} \circ \sigma$ is always contained inside of $\mathcal{F}(p)$. This implies that $g^{-kT}(\sigma(\ell))$ lies in the local leaf through p of \mathcal{F} in U . The contraction property of g^{-T} on U implies that $g^{-kT}(U) \subset U$ is an open connected subset containing p , and the foliation can be trivialized on this open subset, so we can join $g^{-kT}(\sigma(\ell))$ to p by a curve σ lying entirely inside of $g^{-kT}(U)$. But then $g^{kT} \circ \sigma$ is a curve joining p to $\gamma(\ell)$ lying entirely inside of the local leaf of \mathcal{F} through p inside of U , which is a contradiction.

The only remaining possibility is $\mu(R_{m-2}) = 1$. Hence $d\alpha_p|H_p^u$ is nondegenerate for μ -a.e. $p \in SM$. We conclude that m is even. Let ζ_p denote the volume element on H_p^u induced by the inner product τ on H_p^u . Then since $d\alpha|H^u$ is nondegenerate μ -a.e. and the vector space $\bigwedge^{m-2} H^u$ is 1-dimensional, there is a continuous function $F : SM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F \cdot \zeta = d\alpha^{m/2},$$

and F is nonzero on a set of full μ -measure. Note that $(g^t)^*\zeta = (\psi^t)^{\frac{m}{2}}\zeta$ and, therefore, we conclude upon applying $(g^t)^*$ to both sides of the above equation that

$$F(g^t(p))(\psi^t(p))^{\frac{m}{2}}\zeta_p = (\varphi^t(p))^{\frac{m}{2}}d\alpha_p^{\frac{m}{2}} = F(p)(\varphi^t(p))^{\frac{m}{2}}\zeta_p,$$

and, therefore, at every $p \in SM$ for which $F(p) \neq 0$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{2}{m} (\log(|F|(g^t(p))) - \log(|F|(p))) = \log(\varphi^t(p)) - \log(\psi^t(p)).$$

This equation holds on a set of full μ -measure (in fact, on an open and dense subset of full volume in SM) and thus implies that $\frac{2}{m} \log |F|$ is a measurable solution to the cohomological equation for the cocycle $\frac{\varphi^t(p)}{\psi^t(p)}$.

Since φ^t and ψ^t are Hölder continuous cocycles, this implies by the measurable rigidity of the cohomological equation over Anosov flows [38] that $\frac{2}{m} \log |F|$ coincides μ -a.e. with a continuous solution of the cohomological equation. But since $\frac{2}{m} \log |F|$ is continuous on the set R_0 of points at which $d\alpha$ is nondegenerate, and this is an open and dense subset of SM , this then implies that $\log |F|$ extends to a continuous function on SM . It follows that $|F| > 0$ on SM and, therefore, that $d\alpha|_{H^u}$ is nondegenerate on all of SM . q.e.d.

Put the standard coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z)$ on \mathbb{R}^{m-1} , where $k = (m-2)/2$. Let

$$\nu = dz + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i$$

be the standard contact 1-form on \mathbb{R}^{m-1} . If we identify \mathbb{R}^{m-1} with the Heisenberg group $G_{\mathbb{C}}^m$, then $\ker \nu$ gives the left-invariant distribution $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. The following final lemma constructs the desired charts $\{\Psi_p\}_{p \in SM}$ which completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 6.3. *For each $p \in SM$, there is an $r > 0$ such that there is a C^1 function $\xi_p : W_r^u(p) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and a C^2 diffeomorphism $\Psi_p : W_r^u(p) \rightarrow U$, U a neighborhood of the identity in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} , with $\Psi_p^*(\nu) = \xi_p \alpha$.*

Proof. The line bundle spanned by $d\alpha|_{H^u}$ inside of $\Lambda^2 H^u$ is invariant under g^t and, therefore, by Lemma 2.4 is invariant under unstable holonomy. Hence for every point $p \in SM$ there is a C^1 function $\xi_p : W^u(p) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$(h_{pq}^u)^* d\alpha = \xi_p(q) d\alpha,$$

where $d\alpha$ is restricted to H^u (here we again use Lemma 6.1 for the C^1 regularity assertion). The holonomy relation $Dg_q^t \circ h_{pq}^u = h_{g^t p g^t q}^u \circ Dg_q^t$ implies that $\varphi^t(q) \xi_p(q) = \xi_{g^t(p)}(g^t(q)) \varphi^t(p)$, since $d\alpha|_{H^u}$ scales by φ^t when acted on by Dg^t .

Consider the C^1 1-form $\xi_p \alpha$ on $W_r^u(p)$. For $q \in W^u(g^{-t}p)$, $X \in E_q^u$,

$$\xi_p(g^t(q)) \alpha(Dg^t X) = \xi_p(g^t(q)) \varphi^t(q) \alpha(X) = \xi_{g^{-t}p}(q) \varphi^t(g^{-t}(p)) \alpha(X).$$

Hence $(g^t)^*(\xi_p \alpha) = \varphi^t(g^{-t}(p)) \xi_{g^{-t}(p)} \alpha$. Taking the exterior derivative of each side, we get

$$(g^t)^*(d(\xi_p \alpha)) = \varphi^t(g^{-t}(p)) d(\xi_{g^{-t}(p)} \alpha).$$

Let Z_p be the Reeb vector field for $\xi_p \alpha$ on $W^u(p)$ defined by

$$\xi_p \alpha(Z_p) = 1,$$

$$d(\xi_p \alpha)(Z_p, X) = 0 \text{ for every } X \in H^u.$$

From the exterior derivative relation we obtain

$$Dg^t(Z_p) = \varphi^t(p)Z_{g^t(p)},$$

and thus we can write

$$Z_p = \varphi^{-t}(p)Dg^t(Z_{g^{-t}(p)}).$$

We claim that there is a small $\delta > 0$ such that there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ independent of p such that Z_p makes an angle of at least γ with H^u on $W_\delta^u(p)$. Note that for every $p \in SM$ we have $Z_p \notin H^u$ since $d(\xi_p\alpha)|H^u = d\alpha|H^u$ is nondegenerate.

We first show that there is some $\gamma > 0$ such that $Z_p(p)$ makes an angle of at least 2γ with H^u . If this did not hold, then we could find a sequence of points $p_n \in SM$ converging to $p \in SM$ with $Z_{p_n}/\|Z_{p_n}\| \rightarrow Y \in H_p^u$. Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough that the unstable holonomy h^u of H^u has uniformly Hölder continuous derivative on $W_\delta^u(q)$ for every $q \in SM$. Then the unstable holonomies and their derivatives on $W_\delta^u(p_n)$ converge uniformly to the unstable holonomy and its derivative on $W_\delta^u(p)$. It follows that the C^1 1-forms $\xi_{p_n}\alpha$ on $W_\delta^u(p_n)$ converge uniformly in the C^1 topology to the 1-form $\xi_p\alpha$ on $W_\delta^u(p)$. In particular, $d(\xi_{p_n}\alpha)$ converges uniformly to $d(\xi_p\alpha)$. Hence $Z_{p_n} \rightarrow Z_p$ uniformly in n . But the assumption that $Z_{p_n}/\|Z_{p_n}\| \rightarrow Y \in H_p^u$ implies that $Z_p \in H_p^u$, which is impossible.

We first show that there is some $\gamma > 0$ such that $Z_p(p)$ makes an angle of at least 2γ with H^u . Hence, since the unstable holonomy has uniformly Hölder derivative on $W_\delta^u(p)$, there is some $r > 0$ independent of p such that Z_p makes an angle of at least γ with H^u on $W_r^u(p)$. Since for $t > 0$ large enough $g^{-t}(W_r^u(p)) \subset W_r^u(g^{-t}p)$, $Z_{g^{-t}(p)}$ makes an angle of at least γ with H^u on $g^{-t}(W_r^u(p))$. Thus the expression

$$Z_p = \varphi^{-t}(p)Dg^t(Z_{g^{-t}(p)})$$

implies that Z_p is parallel to V^u , since as $t \rightarrow \infty$ the angle between V^u and $Dg^t(Z_{g^{-t}(p)})$ converges uniformly to zero on $W_r^u(p)$. Here we need that the angle of $Z_{g^{-t}(p)}$ with H^u is uniformly bounded away from zero on $g^{-t}(W_r^u(p))$.

Thus Z_p is parallel to V^u and so $d(\xi_p\alpha)$ vanishes on V^u . Since $d(\xi_p\alpha)|H^u = \xi_p d\alpha$, we conclude that $d(\xi_p\alpha)|H^u$ is invariant under unstable holonomy. In particular, it is C^1 . Since the splitting $E^u = H^u \oplus V^u$ is C^1 , we get that $d(\xi_p\alpha)$ itself is C^1 .

We conclude that $\xi_p\alpha$ is a C^1 contact form on $W_{loc}^u(p)$ with $d(\xi_p\alpha)$ also being C^1 . The foliation associated to the Reeb vector field Z_p is the strong unstable foliation W^{vu} , which is smooth. $d(\xi_p\alpha)$ descends to a C^1 closed 2-form ω on the quotient $Q^u(p)$ of W^u by the W^{vu} foliation.

Recall that $\Pi : W^u(p) \rightarrow Q^u(p)$ denotes projection. Darboux's theorem for symplectic forms implies that there is a neighborhood U of $\Pi(p)$ and a C^2 diffeomorphism $F : U \rightarrow L$ onto a neighborhood $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-2}$

of 0 which pulls back the standard symplectic form $d\nu$ on L to ω on U . Choose a smooth transversal K to the W^{vu} foliation of $W^u(p)$ with $\Pi(K) = U$ and $p \in K$. Let \tilde{U} be the open neighborhood of p given by taking, for each $q \in K$, an open neighborhood of q in $W^{vu}(q)$. Put coordinates on \tilde{U} by smoothly identifying K with a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{m-2} , and smoothly trivializing the W^{vu} foliation to the map to the z coordinate axis (the last coordinate) in a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} .

Using these coordinates we lift F to a C^1 diffeomorphism $\Psi_p : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ of a neighborhood \tilde{U} of $p \in W^u(p)$ to a neighborhood \tilde{L} of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} . Since a contact form is invariant under its Reeb flow, the coordinate representation of $\xi_p\alpha$ in the above defined coordinates on \tilde{U} is constant along the z -axis. It follows that $d(\xi_p\alpha)$ is also constant along the z -axis. Define $\phi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ in these coordinates by $\phi(x, z) = (F(x), z)$, where $x \in U$. Then $\phi^*(d\nu) = d(\xi_p\alpha)$ and, therefore, the 1-form $\beta = \phi^*(\nu) - \xi_p\alpha$ is closed. Define for $x \in U$,

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \beta(tx) dt.$$

Note that the z -component of β is 0 since $\xi_p\alpha$ is independent of the z -coordinate and ϕ is the identity on the z -coordinate. Note also that f does not depend on z .

Set $\Psi_p(x, z) = (\phi(x), z - f(x))$. We claim that $\Psi_p^*(\nu) = \xi_p\alpha$. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ and let σ_t , $t \in [0, 1]$, be the unique radial curve (when projected to U) tangent to $\ker\alpha$ joining a point on the z -axis to $\gamma(1)$. We thus obtain a continuous map $(t, s) \rightarrow \sigma_t(s)$ of $[0, 1]^2$ into \tilde{U} , which we will denote by σ .

Now consider the lift of a radial curve $\eta : s \rightarrow sx$ ($x \in U$) to a curve $\tilde{\eta}$ tangent to $\ker\alpha$. The z -coordinate of $\tilde{\eta}$ is then given by $\int_0^t -\xi_p(sx)\alpha(sx) ds$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\tilde{\eta}(t)) &= \left(\phi(tx), \int_0^t -\xi_p(sx)\alpha(sx) ds - f(tx) \right) \\ &= \left(\phi(tx), \int_0^t -\phi^*\nu(sx) ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the lift of the curve $s \rightarrow \phi(sx)$ in $B(r)$ to a curve tangent to $\ker\nu$. We thus conclude that Ψ maps lifts of radial curves tangent to $\ker\alpha$ to curves tangent to $\ker\nu$. We also note that we still have $\Psi_p^*(d\nu) = d(\xi_p\alpha)$: both $d\nu$ and $d(\xi_p\alpha)$ vanish on vectors parallel to the z -axis, and $D\Psi_p$ has the same action as $D\phi$ on the components of the vectors lying in U . As a consequence, the 1-form $\kappa = \Psi_p^*(\nu) - \xi_p\alpha$ is closed. This implies that the path integral of $\sigma^*\kappa$ around the boundary of $[0, 1]^2$ is zero. We just showed that on radial curves, $\ker\Psi_p^*\nu = \ker\alpha$, so that the path integral of κ over σ_0 and σ_1 is zero. The curve $t \rightarrow \sigma_t(0)$

is tangent to the z -axis, and $\Psi^*(\nu) - \xi_p\alpha$ vanishes on the z -axis, since Ψ restricts to the identity on the z -axis and $\xi_p\alpha(\partial_z) = \nu(\partial_z) = 1$, where ∂_z is the coordinate vector field parallel to the z -axis. Thus we conclude that

$$\int_{\gamma} \kappa = 0.$$

Since this holds for every curve γ in \tilde{U} , we conclude that $\kappa = 0$, so that $\Psi_p^*(\nu) = \xi_p\alpha$. q.e.d.

References

- [1] Artur Avila, Jimmy Santamaria, Marcelo Viana, and Amie Wilkinson. Cocycles over partially hyperbolic maps. *Astérisque*, (358):1–12, 2013.
- [2] Artur Avila and Marcelo Viana. Extremal Lyapunov exponents: an invariance principle and applications. *Invent. Math.*, 181(1):115–189, 2010.
- [3] Luis Barreira and Yakov Pesin. *Nonuniform hyperbolicity*, volume 115 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. Dynamics of systems with nonzero Lyapunov exponents.
- [4] Yves Benoist, Patrick Foulon, and François Labourie. Flots d’Anosov à distributions stable et instable différentiables. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(1):33–74, 1992.
- [5] Yves Benoist and François Labourie. Sur les difféomorphismes d’Anosov affines à feuilletages stable et instable différentiables. *Invent. Math.*, 111(2):285–308, 1993.
- [6] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot. Entropies et rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(5):731–799, 1995.
- [7] Gérard Besson, Gilles Courtois, and Sylvestre Gallot. Minimal entropy and Mostow’s rigidity theorems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 16(4):623–649, 1996.
- [8] Rufus Bowen and David Ruelle. The ergodic theory of Axiom A flows. *Invent. Math.*, 29(3):181–202, 1975.
- [9] Keith Burns, Charles Pugh, and Amie Wilkinson. Stable ergodicity and Anosov flows. *Topology*, 39(1):149–159, 2000.
- [10] Clark Butler. Continuity of measurable invariant conformal structures for linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems. 2015. Preprint arXiv:1510.07719.
- [11] Luca Capogna. Regularity of quasi-linear equations in the Heisenberg group. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 50(9):867–889, 1997.
- [12] Chris Connell. Minimal Lyapunov exponents, quasiconformal structures, and rigidity of non-positively curved manifolds. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 23(2):429–446, 2003.
- [13] Patrick Foulon. Feuilletages des sphères et dynamiques Nord-Sud. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 318(11):1041–1042, 1994.
- [14] M. Gromov. Hyperbolic manifolds, groups and actions. In *Riemann surfaces and related topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1978)*, volume 97 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 183–213. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

- [15] U. Hamenstädt. Cocycles, symplectic structures and intersection. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9(1):90–140, 1999.
- [16] Ursula Hamenstädt. A geometric characterization of negatively curved locally symmetric spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 34(1):193–221, 1991.
- [17] Boris Hasselblatt. Periodic bunching and invariant foliations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1(5):597–600, 1994.
- [18] Boris Hasselblatt. Regularity of the Anosov splitting and of horospheric foliations. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 14(4):645–666, 1994.
- [19] M. W. Hirsch, C. C. Pugh, and M. Shub. *Invariant manifolds*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 583. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1977.
- [20] J.-L. Journé. A regularity lemma for functions of several variables. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 4(2):187–193, 1988.
- [21] Boris Kalinin. Livšic theorem for matrix cocycles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(2):1025–1042, 2011.
- [22] Boris Kalinin and Victoria Sadovskaya. On Anosov diffeomorphisms with asymptotically conformal periodic data. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 29(1):117–136, 2009.
- [23] Boris Kalinin and Victoria Sadovskaya. Linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems and criteria of conformality. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 4(3):419–441, 2010.
- [24] Boris Kalinin and Victoria Sadovskaya. Cocycles with one exponent over partially hyperbolic systems. *Geom. Dedicata*, 167:167–188, 2013.
- [25] Masahiko Kanai. Differential-geometric studies on dynamics of geodesic and frame flows. *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 19(1):1–30, 1993.
- [26] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.
- [27] F. Ledrappier. Positivity of the exponent for stationary sequences of matrices. In *Lyapunov exponents (Bremen, 1984)*, volume 1186 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 56–73. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [28] François Ledrappier and Xiaodong Wang. An integral formula for the volume entropy with applications to rigidity. *J. Differential Geom.*, 85(3):461–477, 2010.
- [29] Calvin C. Moore. Amenable subgroups of semisimple groups and proximal flows. *Israel J. Math.*, 34(1–2):121–138 (1980), 1979.
- [30] Pierre Pansu. Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 129(1):1–60, 1989.
- [31] Charles Pugh, Michael Shub, and Amie Wilkinson. Hölder foliations. *Duke Math. J.*, 86(3):517–546, 1997.
- [32] Franco Rampazzo and Héctor J. Sussmann. Commutators of flow maps of non-smooth vector fields. *J. Differential Equations*, 232(1):134–175, 2007.
- [33] Michael Shub. *Global stability of dynamical systems*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987. With the collaboration of Albert Fathi and Rémi Langevin, Translated from the French by Joseph Christy.
- [34] R. J. Spatzier. An invitation to rigidity theory. In *Modern Dynamical Systems and Applications*, pages 211–231. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [35] Dennis Sullivan. Quasiconformal homeomorphisms in dynamics, topology, and geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol.*

- 1, 2 (*Berkeley, Calif., 1986*), pages 1216–1228. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [36] Gerald Teschl. *Ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems*, volume 140 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [37] Pekka Tukia. On quasiconformal groups. *J. Analyse Math.*, 46:318–346, 1986.
- [38] C. P. Walkden. Livšic theorems for hyperbolic flows. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(3):1299–1313, 2000.
- [39] C. Yue. Quasiconformality in the geodesic flow of negatively curved manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 6(4):740–750, 1996.
- [40] Robert J. Zimmer. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, volume 81 of *Mono-graphs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
5734 S UNIVERSITY AVE
CHICAGO, IL 60637
USA

E-mail address: cbutler@math.uchicago.edu