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#### Abstract

The classical Whitney formula relates the algebraic number of times that a generic immersed plane curve cuts itself to the index ("rotation number") of this curve. Both of these invariants are generalized to higher dimension for the immersions of an $n$-dimensional manifold into an open ( $n+1$ )-manifold with the null-homologous image. We give a version of the Whitney formula if $n$ is even. We pay special attention to immersions of $S^{2}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In this case the formula is stated in the same terms which were used by Whitney for immersions of $S^{1}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a generic immersion (i.e., an immersion without triple points and self-tangencies). The index of $f$ is the degree of the Gauss map (which maps $S^{1}$ to the direction of $d f(v)$ where $v$ is a tangent vector field positive with respect to the standard orientation of $S^{1}$ ). Whitney in [7] showed that the index is the only invariant of $f$ up to deformation in the class of immersions.

Fix a generic point $x \in S^{1}$. The cyclic order on $S^{1}$ determined by the orientation defines a linear order on $S^{1}-\{x\}$. This determines an ordering of the positive vectors tangent to the two branches of $f$ at every double point $d$ of $f$. Following Whitney we define the $\operatorname{sign} \epsilon_{x}(d)$ of $d$ to be +1 (resp. -1) if the frame composed of these tangent vectors is negative (resp. positive) in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

We define the function ind : $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$ in the following way. The (integer) value of ind at $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}-f\left(S^{1}\right)$ is defined as the linking number of the oriented cycle $f\left(S^{1}\right)$ and the 0 -dimensional cycle composed of the point $y$ taken with the positive orientation and a point near infinity taken with the negative

[^0]orientation. The value of ind at $y \in f\left(S^{1}\right)$ is defined as the average of the indices of the components of $\mathbb{R}^{2}-f\left(S^{1}\right)$ adjacent to $y$.

Theorem 1 (Whitney [7]).

$$
\operatorname{index}(f)=\sum_{d} \epsilon_{x}(d)+2 \operatorname{ind}(f(x))
$$

This formula was found in 1937. However, no high-dimensional versions have been known though the problem of generalization of the Whitney formula is not new (see Arnold [2]). Both the left-hand side and the right-hand side can be defined for codimension- 1 immersions of $n$-manifolds $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. A straightforward approach to generalize the left-hand side is to define it as the degree of the Gauss map (i.e., the map $S \rightarrow S^{n}$ defined by the coorienting unit vector field normal to $f(S) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ). Unfortunately, already for $n=2$ this number does not depend on immersion - it equals to $\frac{1}{2} \chi(S)$ for any even $n$. This reveals the important difference between the immersions of even- and odd-dimensional manifolds. We use another natural way of generalizing the left-hand side of the Whitney formula; the outcome coincides with the degree of the Gauss map for odd $n$ (when it is non-trivial), but it is also non-trivial for even $n$. Our generalization makes sense not only for immersions to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ but also for the immersions to an open $(n+1)$-manifold with null-homologous image. For its definition we use the integral calculus based on the Euler characteristic $\chi$ (developed by Viro [6]).

Let $M$ be a simplicial complex. A stratification of $M$ is a decomposition of $M$ into a disjoint finite union of (open) strata where each stratum $\tau$ is a union of open simplices of $M$. Let $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function constant on each stratum (and, therefore, on each open simplex) which vanishes on all but finitely many simplices. The integral $\int_{M} F d \chi$ is defined by the following summation over all strata $\tau$ of $M$

$$
\int_{M} F d \chi=\sum_{\tau} F(\tau) \chi(\tau)
$$

where by $\chi(\tau)$ we mean the combinatorial Euler characteristic of $\tau$ - the alternated (by dimension) number of simplices of $\tau$.

Lemma 1.1 (cf. Pukhlikov-Khovanskii [5]). Let $M$ be a simplicial manifold. Then $\int_{M} F d \chi$ depends neither on the stratification of $M$ nor on the simplicial structure of $M$.

Proof. By additivity of the combinatorial Euler characteristic

$$
\int_{M} F d \chi=\sum_{\sigma}(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)} F(\sigma)
$$

where the sum is taken over all the simplices $\sigma$ of $M$. Therefore, $\int_{M} F d \chi$ does not depend on the stratification. The independence on the symplicial structure follows from the Alexander theorem [1] connecting any triangulation with the star moves, since $\int_{M} F d \chi$ is invariant under the Alexander moves.

We may express index $(f)$ for $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ in terms of such integral. Denote by $\widehat{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$ the smoothening of the curve $f\left(S^{1}\right)$ respecting the orientation. The singularities of a generic $f$ are ordinary double points, so in local coordinates $(x, y) f\left(S^{1}\right)$ is given by $x y=0$, and $\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$ is given by $x y-\epsilon=0$. Define $\widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}-\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$ as the linking number of the oriented cycle $\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$ and the 0-dimensional cycle composed of $y$ taken with the positive orientation and a point near infinity taken with the negative orientation.

Lemma 1.2 (cf. McIntyre-Cairns [4]).

$$
\operatorname{index}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}-\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}} d \chi
$$

Proof. Note that index $(f)$ does not change after smoothening (by the index of a multicomponent curve we mean the sum of indices of its components). To establish the equality index $\tilde{f}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}-\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}}$ ind $d \chi$ we use induction on the number of components of $\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$.

This allows us to rewrite the Whitney formula.
Theorem 1'.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}-\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}} d \chi=\sum_{d} \epsilon_{x}(d)+2 \operatorname{ind}(f(x))
$$

The following corollary is a well-known application of the Whitney formula. Let $n$ be the number of the double points of $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Corollary 1.

$$
|\operatorname{index}(f)| \leq n+1
$$

Proof. To deduce the corollary from Theorem 1 it suffices to choose the base point $x \in S^{1}$ with exterior image (sitting on the boundary of the component of $\mathbb{R}^{2}-f\left(S^{1}\right)$ with the non-compact closure) so that $|\operatorname{ind}(f(x))|=\frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 1.3. Presentation of the Whitney formula in the form of Theorem 1' helps to generalize the formula to generic planar fronts. The front is a smooth map $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ equipped with a coorienting normal direction defined on $f\left(S^{1}\right)$, where $f$ is an immersion except for a finite set of (semicubical) cusp points. We define index $(f)$ as the degree of the Gauss
map given by the coorientation. To obtain the "smoothened" (multicomponent) front (which has cusps but no double points) $\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$ we smoothen the double points of $f\left(S^{1}\right)$ respecting both the orientation and the coorientation; see Figure 1. Other definitions stay the same.


Figure 1.Smoothening of a double point of a front
Define the sign $\epsilon(c)$ of a cusp $c$ to be +1 if the coorienting vector turns in the positive direction while going through a neighborhood of $c$ in the orientation direction and -1 otherwise. Then
where $c$ goes over all cusps of $f\left(S^{1}\right)$. Note that $\sum_{c}(\epsilon(c)$ is equal to the sum of the signs of all cusps of $\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$ since the cusps appearing after smoothening are of opposite signs. Theorem 1', which also works for fronts, produces $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}-\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}} \operatorname{ind} d \chi=\sum_{d} \epsilon_{x}(d)+2 \operatorname{ind}(f(x))$, so

$$
\operatorname{index}(f)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{c} \epsilon(c)+\sum_{d} \epsilon_{x}(d)+2 \operatorname{ind}(f(x))
$$

Note that one can also incorporate the contribution of cusps into the integral by the following modification $\chi^{\prime}$ of the Euler characteristics. For a component $\tau$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\widehat{f\left(S^{1}\right)}$ we add $+\frac{1}{2}$ to $\chi(\tau)$ for each cusp of $\partial \tau$ turned inwards $\tau$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ for each cusp turned outwards. Then index $(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}-\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}} \widetilde{\text { ind }} d \chi^{\prime}$.

Remark 1.4. The definitions of the function ind and the signs $\epsilon_{x}(d)$ make sense as well for a generic immersion $f$ of $S^{1}$ into a connected open oriented surface $F$ other than $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ if $f\left(S^{1}\right)$ is homologous to zero. This leads to a new integer-valued invariant gen defined on the set of classes of nullhomologous loops on $F$ up to free homotopy. We define

$$
\operatorname{gen}(f)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{d} \epsilon_{x}(d)+2 \operatorname{ind}(f(x))-\int_{F-\widetilde{f\left(S^{1}\right)}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind} d \chi)}\right.
$$



Figure 2. Smoothening of a double curve
for any choice of a base point $x \in S^{1}$. Note that if $f$ is an embedding, then $|\operatorname{gen}(f)|$ equals the genus of the compact surface in $F$ bounded by $f\left(S^{1}\right)$, so gen can be viewed as an "algebraic" version of genus which makes sense for immersed curves as well.

## 2. Immersions $S^{2} \rightarrow R^{3}$

Let $f: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a generic immersion. Denote $\Sigma=f\left(S^{2}\right)$.
The inverse image of the double points $\Delta \subset \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is an immersed (multicomponent) curve $D \subset S^{2}$. The orientation of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the orientation of $S^{2}$ determine a coorientation of the image $\Sigma-\Delta=f\left(S^{2}-D\right)$, i.e., an orientation of the normal bundle $N_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\Sigma-\Delta)$ of $\Sigma-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, via the identity

$$
T \mathbb{R}^{3}=N_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\Sigma-\Delta) \oplus T(\Sigma-\Delta)
$$

The set of non-singular points $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ is equipped with the free involution $j: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ such that $f j=f$. The curve $D^{\prime}$ admits a natural coorientation in $S^{2}$ which comes from the coorientation of $\Sigma-\Delta$ via the identity

$$
N_{S^{2}}\left(D^{\prime}\right)=\left.N_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Sigma\right|_{j D^{\prime}}
$$

The singular surface $\Sigma$ admits a canonical smoothening $\tilde{\Sigma}$ respecting the coorientation (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). Choose local coordinates ( $x, y, z$ ) at a point of $D^{\prime}$ so that $\Sigma$ is given by $x y=0$, and the coorientation of $\Sigma$ is positive (given by the gradient of the coordinates). Then $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is given by $x y-\epsilon=0$ for a small $\epsilon>0$. Similarily, at a triple point $\Sigma$ is given by $x y z=0$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is given by $x y z-\epsilon(x+y+z)=0$.

Definition 2.1. The value of the function ind $: \mathbb{R}^{3}-\tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ at $y \in \mathbb{R}^{3}-\tilde{\Sigma}$ is defined as the linking number of the cooriented surface $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and the 0 -dimensional cycle $[y]-[\infty]$ composed of $y$ taken with the positive orientation and a point near infinity taken with the negative orientation.


Figure 3. Smoothening of a triple point

Fix a base point $x \in S-D$. Define $\operatorname{ind}(f(x))$ as the average of the indices of the components of $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\Sigma$ adjacent to $f(x)$.

The singular curve $D \subset \Sigma$ admits a canonical smoothening $\tilde{D} \subset \Sigma$ respecting the coorientation.

Definition 2.2. The $\operatorname{sign} \epsilon_{x}(\tilde{d})$ of a component $\tilde{d}$ of $\tilde{D}$ is 1 (resp. -1) if the coorientation of $\tilde{d}$ induced from $\Sigma$ coincides with (resp. opposite to) the coorientation of $\tilde{d}$ determined by the outer vector field of the component of $S^{2}-\tilde{d}$ not containing $x$ (i.e., by the normal vector field to $\tilde{d}$ pointing out to $x$ ).

Theorem 2.

$$
-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-\tilde{\Sigma}} \tilde{\operatorname{ind}} d \chi=\sum_{\tilde{d}} \epsilon_{x}(\tilde{d})+2 \operatorname{ind}(f(x))
$$

This theorem is a special case of Theorem 4 proven in Section 4.
Remark 2.3. Recall that the left-hand side of the original Whitney formula (Theorem 1) is the only degree-0 Vassiliev invariant of immersions of $S^{1}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. In the paper of Gorunov [3] $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-\tilde{\Sigma}} \widetilde{\text { ind }} d \chi$ appeared as the only non-trivial (apart from the number of double curves and triple points) degree-1 Vassiliev invariant of immersions of $S^{2}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$; note that there are no non-trivial degree-0 invariants since the space of immersions $S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is connected.

The following corollary is similar to Corollary 1. Let $n_{\delta}$ be the number of double curves of $f$ (i.e., the number of components of $\Delta$ after normalization). Let $n_{\tau}$ be the number of triple points of $f$.

Corollary 2.

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-\tilde{\Sigma}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}} d \chi\right| \leq 2 n_{\delta}+2 n_{\tau}+1
$$

Proof. Similarily to the proof of Corollary 1 we choose an exterior base
point $x$ so that $|\operatorname{ind}(f(x))|=\frac{1}{2}$. Theorem 2 implies that

Note that $\sum_{\tilde{d}} \epsilon_{x}(\tilde{d})$ is equal to $\int_{S^{2}-\{x\}} \widetilde{\text { ind }}^{\prime} d \chi$, where $\widetilde{\text { ind }}^{\prime}(y), y \in S^{2}-\{x\}$, is the linking number of $\tilde{D}$ and $[y]-[\infty]$ in $S^{2}-\{x\} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2}$. By Lemma 1.2 the latter is equal to the sum $\sum_{d} \operatorname{index}(d)$ over all the components $d \subset$ $S^{2}-\{x\} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2}$ of $D$. Corollary 1 yields that $|\operatorname{index}(d)|$ is not greater than one plus the number of self-intersections of $d$. Combining all this we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-\tilde{\Sigma}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}} d \chi\right| \leq\left|\sum_{d} \operatorname{index}(d)\right|+1 \leq n_{d}+n_{t}+1
$$

where $n_{d}$ is the number of components of $D$ after normalization, and $n_{t}$ is the total number of self-intersections of components of $D$. The following lemmas imply that $n_{d}=2 n_{\delta}$ and $n_{t} \leq 2 n_{\tau}$ finishing the proof of the corollary.

Lemma 2.4. The inverse image of every component $\delta$ of $\Delta$ consists of two components. ${ }^{1}$

Proof. Let $p \in \delta$ be a generic point. The coorientation of $\Sigma$ equips $p$ with two vectors normal to $\delta$ and allows us to translate these vectors over $\delta$. Since $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ does not contain disorienting loops, the monodromy at $p$ does not swap the vectors and therefore they correspond to different components of the inverse image of $\delta$.

Lemma 2.5. Not more than two out of the three points in the inverse image of a triple point $\tau$ of $f$ correspond to self-intersection points of components of $D$.

Proof. Suppose all the three points $t_{x}, t_{y}, t_{z}$ of the inverse image of $\tau$ correspond to self-intersection points of the components of $D$. Let $t_{x}$ be a self-intersection point of a component $a$ of $D$. Then Lemma 2.4 implies that $t_{y}$ and $t_{z}$ are self-intersection points of a component $b \neq a$ of $D$ which maps onto the same component of $\Delta$ as $a$. In a similar way Lemma 2.4 leads to that $t_{x}$ and $t_{z}$ are self-intersection points of $a$ and we get a contradiction.

Remark 2.6. Theorem 2 extends to generic maps $f: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ which are not necessarily immersions. The definitions of this section make also sense in this situation. The (integer) number ind $(u)$, where $u$ is a Whitney umbrella point is the average of the indices of the 3 components of $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\Sigma$ adjacent to $u$ (it equals the index of the component which is "the most

[^1]adjacent" to $u$ ). The coorientation does not extend to the Whitney umbrella points, but the smoothening $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of $\Sigma=f\left(S^{2}\right)$ is still a smooth surface which is defined by the coorientation at other points. Theorem 2 extends to
$$
-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}-\tilde{\Sigma}} \tilde{\operatorname{ind}} d \chi=\sum_{u} \operatorname{ind}(u)+\sum_{\tilde{d}} \epsilon_{x}(\tilde{d})+2 \operatorname{ind}(f(x))
$$
where $u$ and $\tilde{d}$ go over respectively all Whitney umbrellas and all components of $\tilde{D}$ (some of them contain Whitney points).

## 3. Indices and smoothening of the image of immersion in higher dimensions

Let $f: S \rightarrow R$ be a generic immersion of an oriented $n$-dimensional manifold $S$ to an open oriented ( $n+1$ )-manifold $R$, and assume that $\Sigma=$ $f(S)$ is homologous to zero in $R$. The definitions from the previous section generalize in the following way.

The inverse image of the double points $\Delta \subset \Sigma \subset R$ is a singular hypersurface $D \subset S$ equipped with the free involution $j: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ defined by the property $f j=f$ on the set $D^{\prime}$ of the non-singular points of $D$. The orientation of $R$ and the orientation of $S$ determine a coorientation of $\Sigma-\Delta=f(S-D)$ via the identity $T R=N_{R}(\Sigma) \oplus T \Sigma$ at non-singular points of $\Sigma$.

The hypersurface $D^{\prime}$ admits a natural coorientation in $S$, which comes from the coorientation of $\Sigma$ via the identity $N_{S}\left(D^{\prime}\right)=\left.N_{R} \Sigma\right|_{j D^{\prime}}$. The coorientation of $D^{\prime}$ determines an orientation of $D$ via $\left.T S\right|_{D}=N_{S}(D) \oplus T D$.

The singular hypersurface $\Sigma \subset R$ admits a canonical smoothening $\tilde{\Sigma}$ respecting the coorientation. We may obtain this smoothening by the following inductive procedure.

The multiplicity of $x \in \Sigma$ is the cardinality of $f^{-1}(x)$. The multiplicity induces a stratification of $\Sigma$. A stratum $\Sigma_{k}$ of multiplicity $k$ is a smooth open manifold of dimension $n-k+1$. The stratum $\Sigma_{2}$ is the singular locus of $U_{2}=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$. The proper regular neighborhood of $\Sigma_{2}$ in $\left(R, U_{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_{2} \times\left(D^{2}, C_{2}\right)$, where $D^{2}$ is the 2-disk and $C_{2}$ is the cone over 4 points. The coorientation of $C_{2}$ in $D^{2}$ induced by the coorientation of $\Sigma$ determines a smoothening of $C_{2}$ in $D^{2}$ and, therefore, it determines a smoothening of the regular neighborhood of $\Sigma_{2}$ (similar to the previous section, see Figure 2). Denote the resulting smoothening of $U_{2}$ by $\tilde{U}_{2}$.

Inductively we assume that $\tilde{U}_{m}$ is the smoothening of $U_{m}$. Denote $U_{m+1}=\tilde{U}_{m} \cup \Sigma_{m+1}$. The singular locus of $U_{m+1}$ is $\Sigma_{m+1}$. The regular


Figure 4.The smoothening of $\Sigma_{m+1}$
neighborhood of $\Sigma_{m+1}$ in $\left(R, U_{m+1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_{m+1} \times\left(D^{m+1}, C_{m+1}\right)$, where $D^{m+1}$ is the ( $m+1$ )-disk, and $C_{m+1}$ is the cone over $m+1$ copies of $S^{m-1}$ (see Figure 4). The coorientation of $C_{m+1}$ in $D^{m+1}$ induced by the coorientation of $\Sigma$ determines a smoothening of $C_{m+1}$ in $D^{m+1}$ (see Figure 4) and therefore a smoothening of the regular neighborhood of $\Sigma_{m+1}$. Finally $\tilde{\Sigma}=\tilde{U}_{n+1}$ is a smooth (multicomponent) manifold.

Remark 3.1. We can also describe the smoothening of $\Sigma$ locally without going through the above inductive procedure. Choose local coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)$ at $x \in \Sigma_{k}$ so that $\Sigma$ is given by equation $x_{1} \ldots x_{k}=0$, and the coorientation of $\Sigma$ is positive (given by the gradient of the coordinates). Then $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is described by

$$
x_{1} \ldots x_{k}+\sum_{m=1}^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]}(-\epsilon)^{m}\left(\sum_{j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k-2 m}} x_{j_{1}} \ldots x_{j_{k-2 m}}\right)=0
$$

for a small $\epsilon>0$.
Definition 3.2. The value of the function $\widetilde{\text { ind }}_{R}: R \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$ at $y$ is defined as the linking number of the cooriented (null-homologous) hypersurface $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and the 0 -dimensional cycle $[y]-[\infty]$ composed of $y$ taken with the positive orientation and a point near infinity taken with the negative orientation (if $y \in \Sigma$ then $\widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{R}(y)$ is the average of the indices of the components of $R-\tilde{\Sigma}$ adjacent to $y$ ). By the point near infinity we mean any point in a component of $R-\Sigma$ with a non-compact closure. Since $\Sigma$ is closed and homologous to zero, the linking number does not depend on the choice of $\infty$.

In a similar way we define $\operatorname{ind}_{R}(y), y \in R$, as the linking number of $\Sigma$ and $[y]-[\infty]$.

## Lemma 3.3.

$$
\int_{R} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi=\int_{R} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{R} d \chi
$$

Proof. Recall our smoothening process. The $m$-th step smoothens the regular neighborhood $\Sigma_{m+1} \times\left(D^{m+1}, C_{m+1}\right)$ of $\Sigma_{m+1}$ in $U_{m+1}$. It suffices to prove that the integral of index does not change after this smoothening. Let a component $A$ of $\Sigma_{m+1}$ be of index $j$ in $R$. In the regular neighborhood of $A$ we have $(n+1)$-dimensional strata of indices $j-\frac{m+1}{2}, \ldots, j+\frac{m+1}{2}$, $n$-dimensional strata of indices $j-\frac{m}{2}, \ldots, j+\frac{m}{2}$ and the core $m$-dimensional stratum $A$. The smoothening adds $(-1)^{m}$ to the Euler characteristics of $\left((n+1)\right.$-dimensional) strata of indices $j-\frac{m-1}{2}, \ldots, \widehat{j}, \ldots, j+\frac{m-1}{2}$ and adds $(-1)^{m-1}$ to the Euler characteristics of ( $n$-dimensional) strata of indices $j-\frac{m}{2}, \ldots, \widehat{j}, \ldots, j+\frac{m}{2}$. The Euler characteristics of stratum of index $j$ decreases by $1+(-1)^{m+1}$. Therefore the total change of the integral is 0 .

## 4. Immersions of even-dimensional manifolds

Lemma 4.1. The oriented hypersurface $D \subset S$ is homologous to zero in $S$.

Proof.

$$
D=\partial \sum_{s}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{R}(s)+\frac{1}{2}\right) \bar{s}
$$

The sum is taken over all the components $s$ of $S-D, \bar{s}$ is the closure of $s$ equipped with the orientation induced from $S$, and $\operatorname{ind}_{R}(s)$ is the value of the (constant) function $\left.\operatorname{ind}_{R}\right|_{s}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right.$ is added to make the coefficients of the chain integer).

Denote by $\tilde{D}$ the unique smoothening of $D \subset S$ respecting the coorientation. Fixing a base point $x \in S-D$ and substituting $S-\{x\}, \tilde{D}$ and $D$ to the Definition 3.2 give the definitions of $\widetilde{\text { ind }}_{S-\{x\}}: S-(\{x\} \cup \tilde{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{ind}_{S-\{x\}}: S-\{x\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3.

$$
-\int_{R-\tilde{\Sigma}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{R} d \chi=\int_{S-\tilde{D}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{S-\{x\}} d \chi+\chi(S) \operatorname{ind}_{R}(f(x))
$$

Lemma 4.2.

$$
\int_{R} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi=0
$$

Remark 4.3. The proof of the lemma works for any function $p_{0}$ : $R-\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ extended to $p: R \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$ by averaging (cf. Definition 3.2).

Proof of Lemma 4.2. By Lemma 3.3, $\int_{R} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi=\int_{R} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{R} d \chi$. Denote $M_{ \pm j}=\left( \pm{\widetilde{\operatorname{ind}_{R}}}_{R}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{j}{2},+\infty\right), j \in \mathbb{N}$. Following Lebesgue, we decompose

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{R} d \chi & =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \chi\left(M_{j}\right)-\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \chi\left(M_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}\left(\chi\left(M_{2 k-1}\right)+\chi\left(M_{2 k}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(\chi\left(M_{2 k+1}\right)+\chi\left(M_{2 k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $M_{ \pm(2 k-1)}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a compact odd-dimensional manifold with the interior $\operatorname{int}\left(M_{ \pm(2 k-1)}\right)=M_{ \pm 2 k}$. The double $W_{ \pm k}$ of $M_{ \pm(2 k-1)}$ is a closed odd-dimensional manifold, thus $\chi\left(W_{ \pm k}\right)=0$. On the other hand for the (combinatorial) Euler characteristic we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\chi\left(W_{ \pm k}\right) & =\chi\left(M_{ \pm(2 k-1)}\right)+\chi\left(\operatorname{int}\left(M_{ \pm(2 k-1)}\right)\right) \\
& =\chi\left(M_{ \pm(2 k-1)}\right)+\chi\left(M_{ \pm 2 k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the lemma follows.
Proof of Theorem 3. Recall again our smoothening process. The $m$ th step of the smoothening adds $m \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{m+1}} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi$ to $-\int_{R-\Sigma} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{R-\Sigma} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}_{R}} d \chi=-\int_{R-\Sigma} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi+\sum_{j=2}^{n+1}(j-1) \int_{\Sigma_{j}} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2 implies that $-\int_{R-\Sigma} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi=\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi$. Substituting this in (4.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{R-\Sigma} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi} & =\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi+\sum_{j=2}^{n+1}(j-1) \int_{\Sigma_{j}} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j \int_{\Sigma_{j}} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Fubini theorem [6] we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j \int_{\Sigma_{j}} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi=\int_{S} \operatorname{ind}_{R} \circ f d \chi
$$

Note that $\operatorname{ind}_{R} \circ f=\operatorname{ind}_{S-\{x\}}+\operatorname{ind}_{R}(f(x))$, so

$$
\int_{S} \operatorname{ind}_{R} \circ f d \chi=\int_{S} \operatorname{ind}_{S-\{x\}} d \chi+\chi(S) \operatorname{ind}_{R}(f(x))
$$

By Lemma 3.3, $\int_{S} \operatorname{ind}_{S-\{x\}} d \chi=\int_{S} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{S-\{x\}} d \chi$; substituting this in the previous equality and noticing that

$$
\int_{S} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{S-\{x\}} d \chi=\int_{S-\tilde{D}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{S-\{x\}} d \chi
$$

since the dimension of a smooth manifold $\tilde{D}$ is odd, we finally get

$$
-\int_{R-\Sigma} \operatorname{ind}_{R} d \chi=\int_{S-\tilde{D}} \widetilde{\operatorname{ind}}_{S-\{x\}} d \chi+\chi(S) \operatorname{ind}_{R}(f(x))
$$
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