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## Introduction

Definition. A finite graph $\Gamma$ is abstractly planar if it is homeomorphic to a graph lying in $S^{2}$. A finite graph $\Gamma$ imbedded in $S^{3}$ is planar if $\Gamma$ lies on an embedded surface in $S^{3}$ which is homeomorphic to $S^{2}$.

In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a finite graph $\Gamma$ in $S^{3}$ to be planar. (All imbeddings will be tame, e.g., PL or smooth.) This can be viewed as an unknotting theorem in the spirit of Papakyriakopolous [12]: a simple closed curve in $S^{3}$ is unknotted if and only if its complement has free fundamental group.
[12] can be viewed as a solution for $\Gamma$ having one vertex and one edge. In [6] or [3, §2.3] this is extended: a figure-eight (bouquet of two circles) in $S^{3}$ is planar if and only if its complement has free fundamental group and each circle is unknotted. Gordon [4] generalizes this to all graphs with a single vertex: a bouquet of circles $\Gamma$ in $S^{3}$ is planar if and only if its complement and that of any subgraph of $\Gamma$ has free fundamental group. If fact, Gordon shows that this generalization of [6] is a fairly direct consequence of Jaco's handle addition lemma [8]. Far more difficult is Gordon's extension to the case in which $\Gamma$ has two vertices, and no loops. We will require only the solution of the one-vertex case for our proof.

We will show:
7.5. Theorem. A finite graph $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ is planar if and only if
(i) $\Gamma$ is abstractly planar,
(ii) every graph properly contained in $\Gamma$ is planar, and
(iii) $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-\Gamma\right)$ is free.

There is an alternative formulation:
Theorem. A finite graph $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ is planar if and only if
(a) $\Gamma$ is abstractly planar and
(b) for every subgraph $\Gamma^{\prime} \subseteq \Gamma, \pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ is free.

[^0]The equivalence of this formulation follows easily by induction: conditions (a) and (b), if true for $\Gamma$, are true for any subgraph of $\Gamma$.

Theorem 7.5 has been conjectured by J. Simon [15]. He and Wolcott [16] demonstrated it in two cases (the handcuff and the double-theta-curve) not covered by Gordon's theorem. It is fairly easy to show that no two of the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) suffice to ensure planarity:
0.1. Example. An embedding of $K_{5}$ in $S^{3}$ satisfying (ii) and (iii) but not (i).


Figure 0.1
0.2. Example. An embedding of a theta-curve satisfying (i) and (iii) but not (ii).


Figure 0.2
0.3. Example [10]. An embedding of a theta-curve satisfying (i) and (ii) but not (iii).


Figure 0.3
We have the following corollary, of independent interest.
7.6. Corollary. There is an algorithm to determine if a graph in $S^{3}$ is planar.

There are two other versions of 7.5 available: Condition 7.5 (iii) can be replaced with the condition that the complement of a regular neighborhood of $\Gamma$ is a $\partial$-reducible. This vastly improves the efficiency of the algorithm of 7.6 (see [18] for details). Alternatively, 7.5 (ii) and (iii) can be replaced with the following condition: There is an edge $e$ in $\Gamma$ not a loop, such that the graph $\Gamma / e$ obtained by collapsing $e$ and the graph $\Gamma-e$ are both planar (see [14] for some applications).

The bulk of the argument for 7.5 consists of induction lemmas for various types of graphs: e.g., $\S 1$ treats graphs $\Gamma$ containing "cut" edges, with §2 providing a technical lemma needed in that proof. The main theorem is not proven until $\S 7$, where the proof consists mostly of references to previous cases.

## 1. Cut edges

1.1. Definitions. Let $\Gamma$ be a finite graph in $S^{3}$ with vertices $v(\Gamma)$ and edges $e(\Gamma)$. Let $\eta(\Gamma)$ denote a handlebody neighborhood of $\Gamma$, with interior ${ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma) . \quad \eta(\Gamma)$ is the union of three-cells with disjoint interiors constructed as follows: For each vertex $v$ in $\Gamma$ let $\eta(v)=B^{3}$ be a threecell neighborhood of $v$ in $S^{3}$, transverse to the edges of $\Gamma$, so that $\eta(v) \cap$ $\Gamma=\operatorname{cone}(\partial \eta(v) \cap \Gamma)$. Let $\eta^{0}(\Gamma)=\bigcup\{\eta(v) \mid v \in \Gamma\}$. For each edge $e \in \Gamma$ let $\eta(e)$ be a three-cell with a product structure $\eta(e)=B^{2} \times I$ such that $\eta(e) \cap \Gamma=e-\eta^{0}(\Gamma)=\{0\} \times I$, and $\eta(e) \cap \eta^{0}(\Gamma)=B^{2} \times\{\partial I\}$. These latter disks are called the attaching disks of $\eta(e)$. Any $B^{2} \times\{$ point $\}$ (or $\partial B^{2} \times\{$ point $\}$ ) is a meridian disk $\bar{\mu}(e)$ (or circle $\mu(e)$ ) of $\eta(e)$. Let $\eta^{1}(\Gamma)=\bigcup\{\eta(e) \mid e \in \Gamma\}$. An embedded curve in $\partial \eta(\Gamma)$ is normal if its interior intersects meridian circles only transversally and intersects $\partial \eta^{0}(\Gamma)$ only in arcs essential in $\partial \eta^{0}(\Gamma)-\eta^{1}(\Gamma)$. Any curve in $\partial \eta(\Gamma)$ is isotopic rel $\partial$ to a normal curve, and this isotopy does not increase the intersection number with any meridian.

A handlebody neighborhood $\eta(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$ provides a handlebody neighborhood for any subgraph $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$; just take the union of cells associated to vertices or edges in $\Gamma^{\prime}$. If $\Gamma$ lies in a sphere $P$ (so is planar) one can define similarly a handlebody neighborhood $\nu(\Gamma)$ in $P$, where 0 -handles are disks and 1-handles are homeomorphs of $I \times I$. A standard handlebody neighborhood for $\Gamma \subset P \subset S^{3}$ is a handlebody neighborhood $\eta(\Gamma)$ which is a bicollar $\nu(\Gamma) \times[-1,1]$ of a handlebody neighborhood $\nu(\Gamma)$ in $P$. In particular, $P$ bisects each handle of a standard handlebody neighborhood and for any vertex $v$ in $\Gamma, P \cap \eta(v)$ is the cone to $v$ of $P \cap \partial \eta(v)$.

For $M$ a compact manifold (typically 0 or 1-dimensional), $|M|$ denotes the number of components of $M$.
1.2. Definitions. $\Gamma$ is split if $S^{3}-\Gamma$ is reducible. $\Gamma$ is decomposable if there is a vertex $v$ such that $\partial \eta(v)-\eta^{1}(\Gamma)$ compresses in $\left.S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma)\right)$.
1.3. Lemma. If $\Gamma$ is split or decomposable, and every graph properly contained in $\Gamma$ is planar, then $\Gamma$ is planar.

Proof. A reducing sphere for $S^{3}-\Gamma$ divides $S^{3}$ into two balls, each of which contains a subgraph of $\Gamma$. Each subgraph is planar, so can be
imbedded in a sphere in the ball. Tube together the spheres to get a sphere containing $\Gamma$.

A decomposing disk $(D, \partial D) \subset\left(S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma), \partial \eta(v)-\eta^{1}(\Gamma)\right)$ divides $S^{3}-\eta(v)$ into two balls $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. Then $\eta(\Gamma) \cup B_{2}$ and $\eta(\Gamma) \cup B_{1}$ can be viewed as handlebody neighborhoods of subgraphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ of $\Gamma$, with $\Gamma_{1} \cap \Gamma_{2}=v$. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are planar, there are disjoint disks $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ in $S^{3}-\eta(v)$ containing $\Gamma_{1}-\eta(v)$ and $\Gamma_{2}-\eta(v)$. Piping these together produces a single disk containing $\Gamma-\eta(v)$; coning the boundary of the disk gives a sphere containing $\Gamma$.
1.4. Definitions. For $e$ an edge of a graph $\Gamma$, let $\Gamma-e$ denote the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by removing the interior of $e$. Let $\hat{e}$ denote the subgraph of $\Gamma$ which is the union of $e$ and its incident vertex (or vertices). Let $\Gamma / e$ denote the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by identifying $\hat{e}$ to a point, which is then a vertex of $\Gamma / e$. If $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ and $e$ is not a loop, then $\hat{e}$ is a tame arc in $S^{3}$. Hence $S^{3} / \hat{e} \cong S^{3}$, so the imbedding $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ gives rise to an imbedding $\Gamma / \hat{e} \subset S^{3}$.

A vertex $v$ in a connected graph $\Gamma$ is a cut vertex if $\Gamma$ is the union of two subgraphs $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$, each containing at least one edge, such that $\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{1}=v$ [19]. An edge $e$ in a connected graph $\Gamma$ is a cut edge if $e$ is not a loop and the vertex $\hat{e} / e$ is a cut vertex of $\Gamma / e$. Equivalently, $e$ is a cut edge if it is not a loop and $\Gamma-\hat{e}$ is a disconnected topological space. (The graph $\Gamma-e$ may still be connected.)
1.5. Examples. (a) If $\Gamma$ is a connected graph properly containing a two-cycle (i.e., a bigon), then either edge of the two-cycle is a cut edge.
(b) suppose $\Gamma$ is a connected graph containing a cut vertex $v$ and at least one other vertex, of valence $>1$. Then there is an edge $e$ with one end on the cut vertex and the other at another vertex of valence $>1$. Then $e$ is a cut edge. In particular:
(c) If a connected graph $\Gamma$ contains a loop and a vertex with valence $\geq 2$ other than the base of the loop, then $\Gamma$ contains a cut edge.
1.6. Proposition. Suppose $e$ is a cut edge in a connected graph $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ such that
(a) the graph $\bar{\Gamma}=\Gamma / e$ is planar,
(b) every graph properly contained in $\Gamma$ is planar.

Then $\Gamma$ is planar.
Proof. Let $v_{ \pm}$be the distinct vertices incident to $e$. Denote by $v_{0}$ the cut vertex $\hat{e} / e$, the image of $\hat{e}$ in $\bar{\Gamma}$. Since $\bar{\Gamma}$ is planar, there is a compressing disk for $\partial \eta\left(v_{0}\right)-\bar{\Gamma}$ in $S^{3}-\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$. Hence there is a compressing
disk for $\partial \eta(\hat{e})$ in $S^{3}-\eta(\Gamma)$. Choose $D$ to be such a compressing disk for which $\partial D$ is normal in $\eta(\Gamma)$ and $|\partial D \cap \mu(e)|$ is minimal for every meridian circle $\mu(e)$ of $\eta(e)$.

If $|\partial D \cap \mu(e)|=0$, then $\partial D$ lies entirely in $\partial \eta\left(v_{+}\right)$, say. Then $\Gamma$ is decomposable, hence planar. So henceforth we will
1.6.1. Assume $|\partial D \cap \mu(e)|>0$.

By assumption, $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma-e$ is planar, so lies in a two-sphere $P \subset$ $S^{3}$. We may take each $\eta\left(v_{ \pm}\right)$to be a ball which is bisected by $P$. In particular, $P-\eta\left(v_{+} \cup V_{-}\right)$is an annulus in $S^{3}-\eta\left(v_{+} \cup v_{-}\right)$containing all of $\Gamma^{\prime}-\eta\left(v_{+} \cup v_{-}\right)$. Let $W$ denote the closure of $S^{3}-\eta\left(v_{+} \cup v_{-}\right)$with boundary components the spheres $V_{ \pm}=\partial \eta\left(v_{ \pm}\right)$. Let $\Gamma_{W}$ denote the one-complex $\Gamma \cap W$, and similarly for $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ and $P_{W}$. Note that $D \subset W$, with part of $\partial D$ lying on each of $V_{ \pm}($since $|\partial D \cap \mu(e)|>0)$ and part on $\partial \eta(e)$. The interior of $D$ is disjoint from $\Gamma$ (not just $\Gamma^{\prime}$ ).

Let $Q$ be a properly imbedded finite union of disks and at most a single annulus in $W$, in general position with respect to $e$ and $D$, chosen so that
(a) $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime} \subset Q$,
(b) no component of $Q$ is disjoint from $\Gamma^{\prime}$, and
(c) $|D \cap Q|$ is minimal among all $Q$ satisfying (a) and (b).

Note that $P_{W}$, for example, satisfies (a) and (b).
Claim. If $e$ is disjoint from $Q$ or any disk component of $Q, \Gamma$ is planar.

Proof of Claim. If $Q$ contains a disk $q$ disjoint from $e$, push $\Gamma_{W} \cap q$ slightly into the component of $W-q$ not containing $e$. Since $\partial q \subset V_{+}$, say, $q$ is a decomposing disk $\Gamma$ at $v_{+}$and the proof concludes as above. So suppose $Q$ is an annulus and $e$ is disjoint from $Q$. Let $W_{0}$ be the component of $W-Q$ in which $e$ does not lie. $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ has at least two components, since $e$ is a cut edge.

If any component $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ is incident to only one of $V_{ \pm}$, say $V_{+}$, push $\Gamma_{0}$ slightly into $W_{0}$. Then a disk with boundary in $V_{+}$can be imbedded between $\Gamma_{0}$ and $Q$, hence between $\Gamma_{0}$ and the result of $\Gamma$. This is a decomposing disk for $\Gamma$, so, by $1.3, \Gamma$ is planar.

On the other hand, if every component of $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ is incident to both of $V_{ \pm}$, then there is a path $\gamma$ in $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ from $V_{+}$to $V_{-}$. Since $\Gamma$ is connected, every component of $Q-\Gamma_{W}^{\prime} \subset Q-\gamma$ must be a disk. Since $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ is disconnected, there is a component $Q_{0}$ of $Q-\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ whose boundary $\partial Q_{n}$ intersects more than one component of $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$. Each arc component of $\partial Q_{0} \cap \Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ must be a
spanning arc of the annulus $Q$, since every component of $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ is incident to both of $V_{ \pm}$. Pushing the interior of one of these arcs slightly into $Q_{0}$ gives a spanning arc $\alpha$ in $Q$ disjoint from $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$. Now $\gamma \cup e$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma$, hence is unknotted. $\gamma$ is parallel to $\alpha$ in the annulus $Q$, so $\alpha \cup e$ is unknotted. An unknotting disk can be found disjoint from $Q$, and provides an isotopy from $e$ to $\alpha$. After the isotopy, $\Gamma \subset Q$, so $\Gamma$ is planar. This proves the claim.

Following the claim, it suffices to derive a contradiction if we
1.6.2. Assume that $|e \cap Q|=p>0$, and that $e$ intersects every disk component of $Q$.

Label the points in $e \cap Q$ by $e_{1}, \cdots e_{p}$ in order from $V_{-}$to $V_{+} . Q$ can be isotoped so it intersects $\eta(e)$ in meridia, whose boundary circles we similarly denote $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{p}$.
$Q \cap D$ is a one-manifold. If it contained a simple curve, then an innermost such curve $c$ would bound a disk $F$ in $D$. Consider the union $U$ of a collar neighborhood of $Q$ on the side away from $F$ and a bicollar neighborhood of $F . \partial U$ is the union of a surface parallel to $Q$ and a surface $Q^{\prime} \supset \Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$. If $c$ is essential in $Q$, then $Q^{\prime}$ is now a union of disks. Discard any disjoint from $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime} . Q^{\prime}$ still satisfies (a) and (b) in our definition of $Q$, but has at least one fewer component of intersection with $D$. Since $D$ was chosen to minimize $|Q \cap D|$, this is impossible. If $c$ is inessential in $Q$, then $Q^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to $Q$ union a sphere. The sphere is the union of a disk parallel to $F$ and the disk $F^{\prime}$ in $Q$ which $c$ bounds. Since $c \cap \Gamma=\varnothing$ and each component of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ contains either $v_{+}$or $v_{-}$, it follows that $\Gamma^{\prime} \cap F^{\prime}=\varnothing$. Then $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is disjoint also form the sphere, so discard it. Again we get the contradiction that $Q^{\prime}$ still satisfies (a) and (b), but has at least one fewer component of intersection with $D$. We conclude that $Q \cap D$ contains no simple closed curves. In particular
1.6.3. $|Q \cap \partial D|=2|Q \cap D|$ must be minimal.

A point in $\partial D \cap Q$ either lies in $\partial_{ \pm} Q=\partial Q \cap V_{ \pm}$or on one of the meridian circles $\mu_{i}$. Consider an outermost arc $\alpha$ of $\partial D \cap Q$ in $D . \alpha$ cuts off from $D$ a disk $F$ such that interior $(F)$ is disjoint from $Q$ and $\partial F=\alpha \cup \beta$, for $\beta$ some subarc of $\partial D$. The ends of $\alpha$ either both lie in $\partial_{ \pm} Q$, or one end lies in $\partial_{ \pm} Q$ and one end on a $\mu_{i}$, or both ends lie on the $\mu_{i}$. Consider each possibility in turn:

If both ends of $\alpha$ lie in $\partial Q$, then $\beta$ must not intersect any of the meridia of $\eta(e)$, so we may assume $\beta$ lies entirely in $V_{+}$, say. Consider the union $U$ of a collar neighborhood of $Q$ on the side away from $F$ and a bicollar neighborhood of $F . \partial U$ is the union of a surface parallel to $Q$
and a surface $Q^{\prime} \supset \Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$. Discard any component of $Q^{\prime}$ which is disjoint from $\Gamma_{W}^{\prime} . Q^{\prime}$ still satisfies (a) and (b) in our definition of $Q$, but has at least one fewer component of intersection with $D$. This contradicts (c).

Suppose $\alpha$ has one end on $V_{+}$say, and other end on a meridian $\mu_{i}$. The arc $\beta$ is then an arc, disjoint from all other meridian, running between $\mu_{i}$ and $\partial Q \subset V_{+}$. Hence $i=p$. The arc $\beta$ it self consists of two arcs, $\beta_{e}$ running from $\mu_{p}$ to the end of $\eta(e)$ in $V_{+}$and $\beta_{+}$running from $\eta(e)$ to $\partial Q$ in $V_{+}$. The arc $\beta_{e}$ and the subarc of $e$ lying between $e_{p}$ and $V_{+}$are parallel in $\eta(e)$; attach to $F$ the rectangle in $\eta(e)$ lying between them, replacing $\beta_{e}$ in $\partial F$ with the parallel section of $e$. As above, consider the union $U$ of a collar neighborhood of $Q$ on the side away from $F$ and a bicollar neighborhood of $F . \partial U$ is the union of a surface parallel to $Q$ and a surface $Q^{\prime} \supset \Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ (in fact $U \cong Q \times I$ ). But $e$ no longer intersects $Q^{\prime}$ at $e_{p}$. This eliminates $\left|\partial D \cap \mu\left(e_{p}\right)\right|$ points of intersection of $\partial D$ with $Q . \partial D$ intersects $Q^{\prime}$ in at most $|\partial D \cap \mu(e)|-1$ points near the end of $e$ in $V_{+}$, since $Q^{\prime} \cap \beta_{+}=\varnothing$, and no longer intersects $Q^{\prime}$ at the end of $\alpha$ in $V_{+}$. Hence $\left|Q^{\prime} \cap \partial D\right| \leq|Q \cap \partial D|-2$, contradicting 1.6.3.
We conclude that $\alpha$ has one end on $\mu_{i}$ and the other end on $\mu_{j}$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq p$. The arc $\beta$ is disjoint from the meridia and connects $\mu_{i}$ to $\mu_{j}$. Hence $|i-j| \leq 1$. If $i=j \pm 1$, then proceed much as above: Attach to $F$ a rectangle in $\eta(e)$ lying between $\beta$ and the subarc of $e$ lying between $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$. Consider the union $U$ of a collar neighborhood of $Q$ on the side away from $F$ and a bicollar neighborhood of $F . \partial U$ is the union of a surface parallel to $Q$ and a surface $Q^{\prime} \supset \Gamma_{W}^{\prime}$ (again, $U \cong Q \times I)$. But $e$ does not intersect $Q^{\prime}$ at $e_{i}$ or $e_{j}$, so $|Q \cap \partial D|$ has been reduced by at least $2|\partial D \cap \mu(e)|$, contradicting 1.6.3. Hence $i=j$. If $i \neq 1$ or $p$, then $\beta$ must lie entirely between $\mu_{i}$ and $\mu_{i \pm 1}$ on $\partial \eta(e)$, and so be inessential in that annulus. Then $\partial D$ is not in normal form (alternatively, an isotopy of $\partial D$ near $\beta$ reduces $|Q \cap \partial D|$ by 2 , violating 1.6.3).

Hence $i=j=1$ (or $p$ ). Moreover, the argument shows that $\beta$ must contain a subarc lying in $V_{-}$(or $V_{+}$). This subarc must be essential in $V_{-}-\eta(\Gamma)$, hence in $V_{-}-\partial Q$, since $\partial D$ is normal in $\partial \eta(\Gamma)$. Therefore $\partial Q$ must have more than one component on $V_{-}$; in particular
1.6.4. $Q$ contains disk components.
[Note that the contradiction is now complete if $\Gamma$ is a graph in which all edges have one end incident to each of $V_{ \pm}$.]

Let $\Lambda \subset D$ be the set of arcs $D \cap Q$. An end of such an arc either lies in $\partial_{ \pm} Q=\partial Q \cap V_{ \pm}$or it lies in some $\mu_{i}$. To any end of an arc of $\Lambda$ lying
in $\mu_{i}$ assign the label $i, 1 \leq i \leq p$, and to an end lying in $\partial_{ \pm} Q$ assign the label $\pm$. We have seen that
1.6.5. Any outermost arc in $D$ has both ends labelled 1 or both ends labelled $p$.
1.6.6. Claim. For every label $i, 1 \leq i \leq p$, there is a component of $\Lambda$ which has both ends labelled $i$.

Proof. This is the main point of $\S 2$; we defer the proof to Lemma 2.3.
The arcs $\Lambda=D \cap Q$, when viewed in $Q$, are the edges of a graph $\Lambda^{\prime}$ in $Q$ whose edges are disjoint from $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and whose vertices are $\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{p}\right\}$. The latter $p$-vertices $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{p}\right\}=e \cap Q$ are called $\varepsilon$ vertices. An arc in $\Lambda$ with ends labelled $i$ and $j$ corresponds in $\Lambda^{\prime}$ to an edge running from $e_{i}$ to $e_{j}$. We have from 1.6.6 that every $\varepsilon$-vertex is the base of a loop in $\Lambda^{\prime} \subset Q$.

Let $q$ be a disk component of $Q$ (one exists by 1.6.4). By 1.6.2 there are $\varepsilon$-vertices on $q$. Choose an innermost loop in $q$ based at an $\varepsilon$-vertex $e_{i}$. The interior of the loop is disjoint from $\Gamma^{\prime}$ since $\Gamma$ is connected and from $e$ since any $\varepsilon$-vertex is the base of a loop. Hence the interior of the loop is an empty disk $E$. The union of $D$ and $E$ along the arc of $\Lambda$ forming the loop has a regular neighborhood whose boundary consists of three disks, one parallel to $D$ and the others $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ each having boundaries intersecting the meridian of $\eta(e)$ at $e_{i}$ in fewer points than did $D$. At least one of $D^{\prime}$ or $D^{\prime \prime}$ must be a compressing disk for $\partial \eta(\hat{e})$ in $S^{3}-\eta(\Gamma)$ since $D$ was. This contradicts our choice of $D$.

## 2. Outermost forks

2.1. Definitions [13]. Let $T$ be a finite tree. An outermost vertex of $T$ is a vertex of valence one. A fork is a vertex of valence $\geq 3$. If $T$ has forks, let $F$ be the collection of forks of $T$, and remove from $T$ all components of $T-F$ which contains an outermost vertex of $T$. An outermost vertex of the resulting tree (possibly just a vertex) is called an outermost fork of $T$. If $\nu$ is an outermost fork, then all but at most one component of $T-\nu$ contains no forks. Call each of these components a tine of $T$. By a tine of $T$ we mean either a tine of an outermost fork, or all of $T$ if $T$ is linear and an end of $T$ is specified. Define the distance between two vertices in $T$ to be the number of edges in the path between them. Define the distance from a vertex $v$ to an edge $\varepsilon$ to be the distance from $v$ to the nearest end of $\varepsilon$. Hence if $\varepsilon$ is incident to $v$, the distance is zero.


Figure 2.1
Suppose the tree $T$ is imbedded in a disk. If $\nu$ is an outermost fork, then two tines are adjacent if a small circle around $\nu$ in the plane contains an arc intersecting only those two components of $T-\nu$.

Now let $Q$ and $D$ be as in $\S 1$ and consider the tree $T$ in $D$ constructed from $\Lambda=Q \cap D$ as follows. For vertices of $T$ take a single point $\nu$ in the interior of each component of $D-\Lambda$. Connect with edges those vertices representing components of $D-\Lambda$ which have a common component of $\Lambda$ in their closures. To each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there then corresponds a dual edge in $T$ (see Figure 2.1).

Let $\Phi$ be a tine of $T$. The outermost edge of $T$ is dual to an outermost arc of $\Gamma$ in $D$, which has both ends labelled either both 1 or both $p$. In the former case, say, $\Phi$ is a 1-tine, in the latter, a $p$-tine.

We have the following:
2.2. Lemma. Let $\Phi$ be a 1 -tine (resp. p-tine) of $\Phi$. Then the component of $\Lambda$ dual to the edge $\varepsilon$ in $\Phi$ a distance $d<p$ from the end of $\Phi$ has both ends labelled $d+1$ (resp. $p-d$ ).

Proof. Let $F$ be the cell corresponding to the end of the 1-tine containing $\varepsilon$, and $\lambda$ be the component of $\Lambda$ dual to $\varepsilon$. According to the remarks preceding 1.6.4, $\partial F=\alpha \cup \beta$, where $\beta$ is an arc running from $e_{1}$ to $V_{-}$, around $V_{-}$, and back up to $e_{1} . \alpha$ has both ends labelled 1 . Now the arc $\lambda$ divides $D$ into two disks; let $D^{\prime}$ be the one which contains $F$. $\partial D^{\prime}$ is the union of $\lambda, \beta$, and two other arcs $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$, each of which runs from an end of $\beta$ at $e_{1}$ to an end of $\lambda$ (see Figure 2.2, next page). Since $|\partial D \cap Q|$ has been minimized (1.6.3), both $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ must run straight up $\partial \eta(e)$, crossing in order $e_{2}, e_{3}, \cdots$. By assumption, the path from the end of $\Phi$ to $\varepsilon$ contains $d+1 \leq p$ edges, if we include $\varepsilon$. Hence each of $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ begin at $e_{1}$ and end at $e_{d+1}$, so both ends of $\lambda$ are labelled $d+1$.
2.3. Lemma. For every label $i, 1 \leq i \leq p$, there is a component of $\Lambda$ which has both ends labelled $i$.


Figure 2.2


Figure 2.3
Proof. If some tine has length $\geq p$ (e.g., $T$ itself if $T$ is linear), then 2.2 shows the outermost $p$ edges of $T$ correspond in $\Lambda$ to arcs with both ends having the same label, and with all labels from 1 to $p$ included.

If all tines have length $<p$, then $T$ is not linear. Consider two adjacent tines $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ of an outermost fork $\nu$, and suppose they both have lengths $d$ and $d^{\prime}<p$. Let $F_{\nu}$ be the component of $D-\Lambda$ corresponding to $\nu$. The edges of $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ incident to $\nu$ correspond to subarcs $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ of $\partial F$ which are component of $\Lambda$; we know from 2.2 that both ends of $\lambda\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ have the same label $l\left(l^{\prime}\right)$ (see Figure 2.3).

We know from above that $l=d$ or $p-d+1$, and similarly for $l^{\prime}$. Since the tines are adjacent, there is a component $\beta$ of $\partial F \cap \partial D$ running from an end of $\lambda$ to an end of $\lambda^{\prime}$. Hence (with no loss of generality) $l^{\prime}=l+1$, and $\beta$ runs along $\partial \eta(\varepsilon)$ from $e_{l}$ to $e_{l+1}$. This means that $\Phi$ must be a 1 -tine, $\Phi^{\prime}$ must be a $p$-tine, $d=l$, and $d^{\prime}=p-l$. Then the $d$ edges in $\Phi$ (resp. $\Phi^{\prime}$ ) are dual to arcs in $\Lambda$ each having the same label on both ends, with labels running from 1 to $d$ (resp. $d+1$ to $p$ ).

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3, hence of the proofs of Claim 1.6.6 and Proposition 1.6.

## 3. The tetrahedral graph

We begin with a familiar observation from "tangle theory" [2].
3.1. Lemma. Suppose $\gamma \subset S^{3}$ is the unlink of two components, $S \subset$ $S^{3}$ is a two-sphere dividing $S^{3}$ into two three-balls $B_{ \pm}$, and $\gamma$ intersects each of $B_{ \pm}$in an unknotted pair of arcs. Then there is a unique essential simple closed curve in $S-\gamma$ which bounds a disk in $B_{+}-\gamma$. It also bounds a disk in $B_{-}-\gamma$.

Proof. This is best seen by considering the two-fold branched cover $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ of $\gamma$. The link $\gamma$ lifts to $\tilde{\gamma}$, a pair of curves each of which is an equator of sphere fiber. $S$ lifts to a Heegaard splitting $F$ of $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ into solid tori $T_{ \pm}=S^{1} \times D_{ \pm}^{2}$. A proper disk $D$ in $B_{+}$is essential if and only if $D$ separates the strands of $\gamma \cap B_{+}$. Such a disk lifts to a meridian of $T_{+}$disjoint from $\tilde{\gamma}$. The same is true for disks in $B_{-}$. But a curve in $F$ bounds a meridian of $T_{+}$disjoint from $\tilde{\gamma}$ if and only if it bounds a meridian of $T_{-}$disjoint from $\tilde{\gamma}$.
3.2. Corollary. Let $S$ be a two-sphere in $S^{3}$ dividing $S^{3}$ into two balls $B_{ \pm}$. Suppose $\tau$ is an unknotted pair of arcs in $B_{+}$. Then, up to isotopy rel end points, there is a unique imbedded pair of curves $\sigma$ in $S$ such that $\partial \sigma=\partial \tau$ and $\sigma \cup \tau$ is the unlink of two components.

Proof. Since $\tau$ is unknotted, it is isotopic rel end points to some pair of curves $\sigma$ in $S$; then $\sigma \cup \tau$ is clearly the unlink. Suppose $\sigma^{\prime}$ is another pair of curves in $S$ such that $\partial \sigma^{\prime}=\partial \tau$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \cup \tau$ is the unlink of two components. There is a simple closed curve $c\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ in $S$ separating the pair of curves $\sigma\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Push $\sigma$ slightly into $B_{-}$and apply 3.1: the curve $c$ bounds an essential disk in $B_{-}-\sigma$, hence $c$ bounds an essential disk in $B_{+}-\tau$. Similarly $c^{\prime}$ bounds an essential disk in $B_{+}-\tau$. But a standard innermost disk, outermost arc argument shows that such a disk is unique up to isotopy in $B_{+}$rel $\tau$. Hence $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are isotopic rel $\partial \tau$. But then $c=c^{\prime}$ divides $S$ into two disks, each of them containing a single arc of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. Since in a disk any two imbedded arcs with the same end points are isotopic rel $\partial, \sigma^{\prime}$ is isotopic to $\sigma$ rel $\partial \sigma$ (via an isotopy disjoint from $c=c^{\prime}$ ).
3.3. Theorem. Let $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ be homeomorphic to the one-skeleton of a tetrahedron, and let $e$ be an edge of $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma / e$ and $\Gamma-e$ are planar, so is $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\bar{\Gamma}=\Gamma / e$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma-e$. Denote the end vertices of $e$ by $w_{l}$ and $w_{r}$. Let $f$ be the edge of $\Gamma$ which is disjoint from $e$, with end vertices $v_{ \pm}$. Denote by $\varepsilon_{l \pm}\left(\varepsilon_{r \pm}\right)$ the four other edges, with ends
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respectively at $w_{l}\left(w_{r}\right)$ and $v_{ \pm}$(see Figure 3.1).
Recall that $\hat{e}$ denotes the subgraph $\left\{e \cup w_{l} \cup w_{r}\right\}$ of $\Gamma$. Choose an imbedding of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in the sphere $P$ and a standard handlebody neighborhood $\eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in $S^{3} . \quad \eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \cap P$ is a three punctured sphere and $\partial \eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \cap P$ consists of three simple closed curves. Let $\partial_{l}$ (resp. $\gamma_{r}$ ) be the curve which runs along $\partial \eta(f)$ and $\partial \eta\left(\varepsilon_{l \pm}\right)$ (resp. $\partial \eta\left(\varepsilon_{r \pm}\right)$ ) and let $\gamma$ be the unlink $\gamma_{l} \cup \gamma_{r}$ (see Figure 3.2). The disks on which $\eta(e)$ is attached to $\eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ can be taken to be disjoint from the curves $\gamma_{l}$ and $\gamma_{r}$, so henceforth we will regard $\gamma$ as lying in $\partial \eta(\Gamma)$.

Consider now the planar graph $\bar{\Gamma}$. There vertices of $\bar{\Gamma}$ are $v_{ \pm}$and a third vertex $W_{0}=\hat{e} / e$. The edges of $\bar{\Gamma}$ are $f, \varepsilon_{l \pm}$, and $\varepsilon_{r \pm}$. Choose an imbedding of $\Gamma$ in a sphere $P$ and a standard handlebody neighborhood $\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$ in $S^{3}$. Since $\bar{\Gamma}=\Gamma / e$, the three-manifolds $\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$ and $\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$ are isotopic in $S^{3}$, for $\eta(\Gamma)$ is a handlebody neighborhood of $\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$ if we set $\eta(\hat{e})=\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$. Then identify corresponding handles in $\eta(\Gamma-\hat{e})$ and $\eta\left(\bar{\Gamma}-w_{0}\right)$. In particular, $\eta(\Gamma)=\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$ and so we can regard $\gamma$ as lying on $\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$.
$\eta(\bar{\Gamma}) \cap P$ is a four-punctured sphere, and $\partial \eta(\bar{\Gamma}) \cap P$ consists of four simple closed curves. Let $\bar{\gamma}_{l}$ (resp. $\bar{\gamma}_{r}$ ) be the curve which runs along $\partial \eta(f)$ and $\partial \eta\left(\varepsilon_{l \pm}\right)$ (resp. $\partial \eta\left(\varepsilon_{r \pm}\right)$ ), and let $\bar{\gamma}=\bar{\gamma}_{l} \cup \bar{\gamma}_{r}$, also the unlink (see Figure 3.3). The curves $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\gamma$ both intersect the four-punctured
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sphere $\partial \eta(\bar{\Gamma})-\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ in two arcs, one running between the attaching disks of each of $\eta\left(\varepsilon_{r \pm}\right)$ on $\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ and the other running between the attaching disks of each of $\eta\left(\varepsilon_{l \pm}\right)$ on $\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$. Each component of $\gamma$ and of $\bar{\gamma}$ also intersects a meridian $\mu(f)$ of $\eta(f)$ in exactly one point. Hence $\gamma$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ differ in $\partial \eta(\bar{\Gamma})-\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ by at most some twists around $\mu(f)$ and some twists around the attaching disks. The latter twists can be pushed into $\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ and so off of $\partial \eta(\bar{\Gamma})-\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$. Now consider the choice of imbedding of $\bar{\Gamma}$ in the sphere $P$ : Each bigon of $\bar{\Gamma}$ with one end at $w_{0}$ and other end at $v_{ \pm}$may be rotated about $w_{0}$ and $v_{ \pm}$. The effect is to alter $\bar{\gamma}$ by a twist around $\mu(f)$. It follows that the imbedding of $\bar{\Gamma}$ in $P$ can be chosen so that $\gamma=\bar{\gamma}$ on $\partial \eta(\bar{\Gamma})-\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ and the from 3.2 that also $\gamma \cap \partial \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ is isotopic in $\partial \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ to $\bar{\gamma} \cap \partial \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ rel end points. (Note that this last isotopy absorbs a difference in twists around the attaching disks of $\eta\left(\varepsilon_{l \pm}\right)$ and $\eta\left(\varepsilon_{r \pm}\right)$ in $\partial \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ because the isotopy may sweep across these attaching disks. In particular, this isotopy does not necessarily lie entirely on $\partial \eta(\bar{\Gamma})$.)

Return now to $\eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ and $\eta(\Gamma)$. A graph $G^{\prime}$ isotopic to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ can be recovered from the unlink $\gamma \subset \eta(\Gamma)$ as follows: Remove the arc $\gamma_{r} \cap \partial \eta(f)$ and attach arcs which connect the points of intersection of $\gamma_{r}$ and $\gamma_{l}$ in each of the two attaching disks of $\eta(f)$ at $\eta\left(v_{ \pm}\right)$. A graph $G$ isotopic to $\Gamma$ can then be recovered from $G^{\prime}$ by attaching an unknotted arc in the ball $\eta(\hat{e})$ with one end on each of $\gamma_{l} \cap \partial \eta(\hat{e})$ and $\gamma_{r} \cap \partial \eta(\hat{e})$.

Let us view how this construction appears in $\eta(\bar{\Gamma})$, using the facts that $\gamma=\bar{\gamma}$ outside of $\eta\left(w_{0}\right)=\eta(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}})$, and that the pair of arcs $\gamma \cap \partial \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ is isotopic in $\partial \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ to $\bar{\gamma} \cap \partial \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ rel end points. First note that $\eta(f)$ intersects $P$ in a rectangle $I \times I$, with $\partial I \times I$ corresponding to $\eta(f) \cap$ $\bar{\gamma}=\eta(f) \cap \gamma$ and with $I \times \partial I$ corresponding to two arcs, one in each of the attaching disks of $\eta(f)$, connecting the points of intersection of $\bar{\gamma}_{l}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{r}$ in each of the attaching disks. Thus a graph $G$ isotopic to
$\Gamma$ can be obtained from $\bar{\gamma}$ by replaced the arc $\{1\} \times I$ with $I \times \partial I$ in $I \times I=\eta(f) \cap P$, and attaching an unknotted arc in $\eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ connecting the two arc components of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \eta\left(w_{0}\right.$. But $\bar{\gamma} \cap \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$ consists of two arcs in the boundary of the disk $P \cap \eta\left(w_{0}\right)$, so they can be connected by an unknotted arc $\alpha$ in the disk $P \cap \eta\left(v_{0}\right)$. Since $G=\bar{\gamma} \cup \alpha \subset P, \Gamma$ is planar.
3.4. Remark. The argument above, while apparently God-given for the proof of the tetrahedral graph, in fact generalizes. Indeed, our original proof of 7.5 consisted of two parts: Graphs with cut edges were covered much as in $\S 1$. Graphs without cut edges, but with $\geq 4$ vertices, were covered by a generalization of Lemma 2.1 above to braids of many strands. This generalization, in turn, can be proven from 7.5. Details appear in [14].

## 4. Special three-cycles

4.1. Definition. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph in $S^{3}$ and $\sigma$ a cycle in $\Gamma$. If there is an imbedded disk $D$ is $S^{3}$ for which $D \cap \Gamma=\partial D=\sigma$ we say $\sigma$ is flat. $D$ is called a flattening disk for $\sigma$.
4.2. Definition. An imbedded three-cycle $\sigma$ in a graph $\Gamma$ is special if at least one of its vertices (called the apex) has valence $=3$. The edge not incident to the apex is called the base of the three-cycle.
4.3. Lemma. Suppose $\Gamma$ is a graph in $S^{3}$ containing a special threecycle $\sigma$ with base $e$. If $\Gamma-e$ is planar and $\sigma$ is flat, then $\Gamma$ is planar.

Proof. Let $\eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ be a standard handlebody neighborhood for the graph $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma-e$ imbedded in a sphere $P$. Let $v$ denote the apex of $\sigma$, $w_{ \pm}$the other two vertices, and $f_{ \pm}$the edges of $\sigma$ with ends on $v$ and $w_{+}$respectively. Let $(D, \partial D) \subset\left(S^{3}, \sigma\right)$ be a flattening disk for $\sigma$, so $D \cap \Gamma=\partial D=\sigma$. We can isotope $D$ near $\partial D$ so that $\gamma=D \cap \eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ is a normal curve running from $e \cap \partial \eta\left(w_{+}\right)$to $e \cap \partial \eta\left(w_{-}\right)$.

Let $N$ be the three-holed sphere in $\eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ constructed by attaching the annuli $\partial \eta\left(f_{ \pm}\right)-\eta^{0}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ to the three-holed sphere $\partial \eta(v)-\eta^{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$. The normal curve $\gamma$ consists of three arcs: $\gamma_{0}=\gamma \cap N$ and the two arcs $\gamma_{ \pm}=$ $\gamma \cap\left[\partial \eta\left(w_{ \pm}\right)-\eta\left(f_{ \pm}\right)\right]$. Since; $\eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ is a standard handlebody neighborhood of $\Gamma^{\prime}, P \cap N$ also contains a proper arc $\bar{\gamma}_{0}$ running from $\partial N \cap \eta\left(w_{+}\right)$to $\partial N \cap \eta\left(w_{+}\right)$. Since $N$ is a three-holed sphere, we may isotope $\gamma_{0}$ (perhaps changing $\gamma_{ \pm}$by some twists about the attaching disks of $\eta\left(f_{ \pm}\right)$to $\left.\eta\left(w_{ \pm}\right)\right)$ so that $\gamma_{0}=\bar{\gamma}_{0}$. We can now view the disk $D^{\prime}=D-\eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ as giving an isotopy from the arc $e-\eta\left(w_{ \pm}\right)$to the arc $\bar{\gamma}_{0}$. During the course of this isotopy the end points $e \cap \partial\left(w_{ \pm}\right)$move along $\gamma_{ \pm}$to the end points of $\bar{\gamma}_{0}$.

This motion of the end points can be coned in $\eta\left(w_{ \pm}\right)$, extending it to an isotopy from $e$ to the union of $\bar{\gamma}_{0}$ and the arcs in $\eta\left(w_{ \pm}\right)$obtained by coning the end of $\gamma_{0}$. The latter lies in $P$, so, after the isotopy, $\Gamma \subset P$.
4.4. Proposition. Suppose $\Gamma$ is a graph in $S^{3}$ containing a special three-cycle $\sigma$ with base $e$. Suppose $f$ is an edge of $\Gamma$ such that $f$ is not a loop and is not incident to $\sigma$. If $\Gamma / f$ and $\Gamma-e$ are planar, then so is $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a two-sphere containing $\bar{\Gamma}=\Gamma / f$. The image of $\sigma$ remains a three-cycle $\bar{\sigma}$ in $\bar{\Gamma}$, which divides $P$ into two disks. Push the interior of one of them slightly off of $P$. Since $f$ and its end points are disjoint from $\sigma$, the preimage of the disk before $f$ is shrunk remains a disk $D$ with boundary $\sigma$, whose interior is disjoint from $\Gamma$. This shows that $\sigma$ is flat. Apply 4.3.

## 5. Two-separable graphs

5.1. Definitions [19]. If $\Gamma$ is connected and has a cut vertex we say $\Gamma$ is one-separable. If $\Gamma$ is connected but not one-separable it is twoconnected. A pair of vertices $v_{ \pm}$in a two-connected graph $\Gamma$ is twoseparating if $\Gamma$ is the union of two subgraphs $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$, each containing at least two edges, such that $\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{1}=\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$. If $\Gamma$ is one-connected and has a two-separating pair of vertices, $\Gamma$ is two-separable. A twoconnected graph which is not two-separable is called three-connected.
5.2. Definition. Let $M$ be a three-manifold with boundary, and let $(\alpha, \partial \alpha) \subset(M, \partial M)$ be a properly imbedded arc in $M$. A flange $\varphi$ from $\alpha$ is an imbedding $\varphi: I \times I \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)=I \times\{0\}$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\partial M)=\partial I \times I$.
5.3. Lemma. The image of any two flanges from the same arc in $M$ are isotopic in $M$ rel $\alpha$, via an isotopy fixed outside a neighborhood of the images.

Proof. Suppose $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are two flanges based at $\alpha$. By a small isotopy of $\psi$ whose support lies near $\alpha$ we can make $\psi=\varphi$ on a neighborhood of $I \times\{0\}$. Let $f_{t}: I \times I \rightarrow I \times I$ be the map $f_{t}(u, v)=(u, t v)$, and let $\varphi_{t}: I \times I \rightarrow S^{3} \quad\left(\psi_{t}: I \times I \rightarrow S^{3}\right)$ be the map $\varphi_{t}=\varphi f_{t}$ (resp. $\psi_{t}=\psi f_{t}$ ), which is an imbedding as long as $t>0$. then for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, $\varphi_{\varepsilon}=\psi_{\varepsilon}$. The required isotopy is then obtained by following the isotopy $\psi_{t}, 1 \geq t \geq \varepsilon$, by $\varphi_{s}, \varepsilon \leq s \leq 1$. q.e.d.

Suppose $\left\{v_{ \pm}\right\}$are a two-separating pair of vertices in a two-connected graph $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ be the subgraphs of the two-separation. Suppose
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$\Gamma_{1}$ contains an edge $f$ with distinct end vertices, neither of which are $v_{ \pm}$, and suppose $\Gamma_{0}$ contains an edge $e$ for which $\Gamma_{0}-e$ is connected.
5.4. Lemma. If $\Gamma / f$ and $\Gamma-e$ are planar, so is $\Gamma$.

Proof. The idea will be to show that there is an arc in $\Gamma_{0}$ such that all of $\Gamma_{0}$ lies inside a flange on that arc.

Let $\bar{\Gamma}=\Gamma / f, \bar{\Gamma}_{1}=\Gamma_{1} / f$, and $P$ be a two-sphere that coritains $\Gamma \supset$ $\Gamma_{0}$. Since $\Gamma$ is two-connected, $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ are connected. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ is connected, $\bar{\Gamma}_{1}-v_{ \pm}$lies entirely in one component of $P-\Gamma_{0}$ whose boundary contains both $v_{ \pm}$. Since $\Gamma_{0}$ is connected, that component is a disk $D$. Though $\partial D \subset \Gamma_{0}$ may not be an imbedded circuit, it follows from the two-connectivity of $\Gamma$ that $\partial D$ is the union of two imbedded $\operatorname{arcs} \alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\Gamma_{0}$, each running from $v_{+}$to $v_{-}$. One of them, $\alpha$ say, does not contain $e$, since $\Gamma_{0}-e$ is connected. Remove from $D$ a collar of $\beta$ disjoint from $\bar{\Gamma}_{1}$, so that $D$ is an imbedded disk in $P$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{1}-\left\{v_{ \pm}\right\} \subset \operatorname{interior}(D)$, and $\alpha \subset \partial D$. The other disk $D^{\prime}$ (see Figure 5.1) which $\partial D$ bounds in the sphere $P$ then has the following properties:
(a) $\Gamma_{0} \subset D^{\prime}$,
(b) $D^{\prime} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{1}=\left\{v_{ \pm}\right\}$, and
(c) $\alpha \subset \partial D^{\prime}$.

Let $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma_{1} \cup \alpha$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma-e, \Gamma^{\prime}$ is planar, so lies in a sphere $Q$. Let $\eta\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ be a standard handlebody neighborhood of $\Gamma_{1} \subset Q$ and $W=S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. A neighborhood of the arc $\alpha \cap W$ in $Q$ contains a flange $F$ on $\alpha \cap W . D^{\prime} \cap W$ is also a flange on $\alpha \cap W$ and contains $\Gamma_{0} \cap W$. Both flanges $F$ and $D^{\prime}$ intersect $\partial W=\partial \eta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ on arcs lying in $\partial \eta\left(v_{ \pm}\right)$. By 5.3, $D^{\prime}$ can be isotoped rel $\alpha$ onto $F$, forcing $\Gamma_{0} \cap W$ onto $Q$ as well. Coning the isotopy of the points $\Gamma_{0} \cap \partial \eta\left(v_{ \pm}\right)$to $v_{ \pm}$extends the isotopy to $\Gamma_{0}-W=\Gamma_{0} \cap \eta\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$, after which $\Gamma \subset Q$.

## 6. Three-connected graphs

6.1. Definition. Let $\Omega_{n}, n \geq 3$, be the wheel with $n$ spokes. Its vertices are the central vertex $w$ and vertices $\left\{w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}\right\}$ lying in order on a cycle $C_{n}$. Its edges are those of $C_{n}$ together with the $n$ spokes, each incident to $w$ and one of the $w_{i}$. Denote by $\sigma_{i}, i \in \mathbf{Z}_{n}$, the circuit $w-w_{i}-w_{i+1}$ in $\Omega_{n}$. Note each $\sigma_{i}$ is a special three-cycle, with at least two apexes $w_{i}$ and $w_{i+1}$.
6.2. Lemma. Let $\Gamma$ be the graph obtained by adjoining to $\Omega_{n}, n \geq 3$, an edge $e$ with (perhaps new) distinct end vertices $v_{ \pm} \subset C_{n}$. Either $\Gamma$ contains a two-cycle or $\Gamma$ contains a special three-cycle $\sigma$ and an edge $f$ not incident to $\sigma$.

Proof. Let $\bar{C}_{n} \subset \Gamma$ be $C_{n} \cup\left\{v_{ \pm}\right\}$. The vertices $w_{i}$ and $w_{i+1}$ in $\sigma_{i}$ are adjacent in $C_{n}$. If $\left\{w_{i}, w_{i+1}\right\}=\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$for some $i \in \mathbf{Z}_{n}$, then $\Gamma$ contains a two-cycle. If not, then at least one apex of each $\sigma_{i}$ persists as a valence three vertex in $\Gamma$. It follows that each $\sigma_{i}$ remains a special three-cycle in $\Gamma$ unless $v_{ \pm}$is in the interior of the edge of $\sigma_{i}$ on $C_{n}$. Hence at least $n-2 \geq 1$ of the $\sigma_{1}$ remain as special three-cycles in $\Gamma$. Also, since $n \geq 3$, there must be at least four vertices in $\bar{C}_{n}$ or $\Gamma$ would contains a two-cycle. Hence in $\bar{C}_{n}$ there is an edge disjoint from one of the remaining special three-cycles.
6.3. Definition. A graph is strict if it has no loops or two-cycles and every vertex is of valence $\geq 3$.
6.4. Lemma. Suppose $\Gamma$ is a three-connected strict graph lying in a sphere $P$, and $F$ is a face of $\Gamma$ in $P$. Either there is an edge of $\Gamma$ not incident to $\partial F$ or $\Gamma$ is a wheel $\Omega_{n}, n \geq 3$, whose circuit $C_{n}=\partial F$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma$ is strict it contains at least one vertex not in $\partial F$. Suppose $\Gamma$ contains exactly one vertex $w$ not in $\partial F$. Since $\Gamma$ is strict, every edge incident to $w$ is incident to a vertex in $\partial F$ and every edge incident to $\partial F$ but not in $\partial F$ is incident to $w$. Hence $\Gamma$ is a wheel $\Omega_{n}$ with $n=$ valence $(w) \geq 3$ and circuit $\partial F$.

Suppose $\Gamma$ contains more than one vertex not in $\partial F$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a face of $\Gamma$ whose boundary contains vertices $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ not in $\partial F$. If any edge of $\Gamma$ is not incident to $\partial F$ we are done. If every edge is incident to $\partial F$, then there is an arc $\alpha$ properly imbedded in $F^{\prime}$, separating $w$ from $w^{\prime}$, whose boundary lies on vertices $w_{i}$ and $w_{j}$ of $\partial F$. There is also an arc $\beta$ in $F$ with $\partial \beta=\partial \alpha$. Then the circle $\alpha \cup \beta$ shows that $w_{i}$ and $w_{j}$ two-separate $\Gamma$, contradicting the hypothesis that $\Gamma$ is three-connected.
6.5. Proposition. Let $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ be a three-connected strict graph contained in a sphere $P \subset S^{3}$. Let $F$ be a face of $\Gamma$ in $P$. Suppose $\bar{F} \subset S^{3}$
is a disk such that $\partial \bar{F}=\bar{F} \cap \Gamma=\partial F$. Then there is a sphere $\bar{P} \subset S^{3}$ so that $\Gamma \subset \bar{P}$ and $\bar{F} \subset \bar{P}$ is a face of $\Gamma$ in $\bar{P}$.

Proof. Applying general position and isotopies which taper as they approach $\Gamma$, we can assume that $P$ intersects the interior of $\bar{F}$ in a properly imbedded one-manifold $\Lambda$, and that the closure in $\bar{F}$ of any arc component of $\Lambda$ is either an imbedded properly imbedded arc in $\bar{F}$ with ends at vertices of $\partial F$, or a circle containing a vertex of $\partial F$. Let $\bar{\Lambda}$ denote this closure of $\bar{\Lambda}$ in $F$, and call the circles of $\bar{\Lambda}$ which contains a vertex of $\partial F$ loops.

We will induct on $|\Lambda|$. If $|\Lambda|=0$ so $\bar{F} \cap P=\varnothing$, just replace $F$ with $\bar{F}$, yielding a new sphere $\bar{P}$. So we suppose $\bar{F} \cap P \neq \varnothing$.

Suppose first that there were a simple closed curve in $\Lambda$, and let $D$ be a disk in $\bar{F}$ cut off by an innermost such curve. Since $\Gamma$ is connected, $\partial D$ also bounds a disk $D^{\prime}$ in $P-\Gamma$. Replace $D^{\prime}$ by a slight push-off of $D$ to eliminate $\partial D$ (and perhaps more) from $\Lambda$, reducing $|\Lambda|$. So henceforth assume $\Lambda$ consists of arcs. Then $\bar{\lambda}$ consists of arcs and loops.

In each case below, we will replace some disk in $P$ with a slight pushoff of a disk in $\bar{F}$, obtaining a new two-sphere $P^{\prime}$ containing $\Gamma$ and intersecting $\bar{F}$ in at least one fewer component.

An arc of $\Lambda$ outermost in $\bar{F}$ cuts off a disk $D$ in $\bar{F}$ such that the interior of $D$ is disjoint from $P$. Among all such outermost arcs, choose $\alpha$ to be one for which $\partial D$ contains as few edges in $\partial F$ as possible.

Case 1: $\alpha$ is not a loop. The ends of $\alpha$ are two vertices $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ of $\partial F .\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ separates $\partial F$ into two arcs $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ with $\partial D=\alpha \cup d_{1}$, say, and $d_{1}$ having no more edges than $d_{2}$. If $\alpha \subset F$, then $\alpha$ also cuts $F$ into two disks, one of which also has boundary $\alpha \cup d_{1}$. Replace that disk in $F$ with a copy of $D$, then push $F$ slightly rel $\partial F$ to eliminate $\alpha$ (and perhaps more) from $\Lambda$.

If $\alpha \subset P-F$, then consider a slight push-off $\beta$ of $d_{1}$ onto $F . \alpha \cup \beta$ is a simple closed curve in $P$ intersecting $\Gamma$ in the vertices $w_{1} \cup w_{2}$ and containing edges of $\Gamma$ on both sides. Since $\Gamma$ is three-connected, one side must contain precisely one edge. Hence $\alpha$ lies in a face $F^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ adjacent to $F$ and $F^{\prime} \cap F$ is a single edge, either $d_{1}$ or $d_{2}$. If $F^{\prime} \cap F=d_{1}$ proceed as above using $F^{\prime}$ instead of $F$. If $F^{\prime} \cap F=d_{2}$, then $d_{1}$ can have no more than one edge. But then $\partial F$ would have no more than two-edges, contradicting the assumption that $\Gamma$ is strict.

Case 2: $\alpha$ is a loop. The ends of $\alpha$ lie on a vertex $w$ in $\partial F$. Let $D$ be the disk in $\bar{F}$ bounded by the loop $\alpha \cup w$.

If $\alpha \subset F$, then $\alpha \cup w$ also bounds a disk $D^{\prime}$ in $F$. Replace $D^{\prime}$ with
$D$, then push $F$ slightly rel $\partial F$. This eliminates $\alpha$ (and perhaps more) from $\Lambda$.

If $\alpha \subset P-F$, then the interior of the loop $\alpha$ in $P$ must be disjoint from $\Gamma$, since $\Gamma$ is two-connected. Hence $\alpha \cup w$ also bounds a disk $D^{\prime}$ in a face $F^{\prime}$. Proceed as above, using $F^{\prime}$ instead of $F$.

## 7. Criteria for planarity

7.1. Lemma. Let $\Gamma$ be a finite graph in $S^{3}$ with handlebody neighborhood $\eta(\Gamma)$. Then $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-\Gamma\right)$ is free if and only if $S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma)$ is a connected sum of handlebodies, one for each component of $\Gamma$.

Proof. Stallings theorem [17] shows that a submanifold of $S^{3}$ with free fundamental group is either the solid torus, or a connected sum, or a boundary connected sum of other submanifolds of $S^{3}$ with free fundamental group. By induction, such a manifold must then be a connected sum of handlebodies. Each handlebody summand has connected boundary.
7.2. Lemma. Let $\Gamma$ be a finite graph in $S^{3}$ such that $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-\Gamma\right)$ is free and every graph properly contained in $\Gamma$ is planar. If $\Gamma$ is not connected, it is planar.

Proof. Let $\eta(\Gamma)$ be a handlebody neighborhood of $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is not connected, $S^{3}-\eta(\Gamma)$ has more than one boundary component, and so is a connected sum. In particular, $\Gamma$ is split, and so by 1.3 is planar. q.e.d.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem. We will need the following theorem, due to Barnette and Grünbaum [1, Theorem 1]. If $e$ is an edge in a strict graph $\Gamma$, let $\Gamma \sim e$ denote the graph obtained from $\Gamma-e$ by amalgamating any newly-created valence two vertices at the ends of $e$.
7.3. Theorem. Suppose $\Gamma$ is a three-connected strict graph other than the tetrahedral graph. There is an edge $e$ in $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \sim e$ is also a three-connected strict graph.

We will also need the following special case of a theorem due to Mason [11]:
7.4. Theorem. Suppose that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are planar graphs in $S^{3}$. Any homeomorphism $g: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$ extends to a homeomorphism $H: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$ isotopic to the identity.

If follows that if $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ is planar and $g: \Gamma \rightarrow S^{2}$ is any imbedding of $\Gamma$ in the two-sphere, then there is a sphere $P \subset S^{3}$ such that $\Gamma$ lies in $P$ just as it lies in $S^{2}$. That is, there is a homeomorphism $h: S^{2} \rightarrow P$ so that $h g: \Gamma \rightarrow P \subset S^{3}$ is the inclusion.
7.5. Theorem. A finite graph $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ is planar if and only if
(i) $\Gamma$ is abstractly planar,
(ii) every graph properly contained in $\Gamma$ is planar, and
(iii) $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-\Gamma\right)$ is free.

Proof. Clearly, if $\Gamma$ is planar it satisfies (i)-(iii); the interest is in the other direction. So we will assume $\Gamma$ satisfies (i)-(iii) and try to show it is planar. Nothing is lost by assuming every vertex of $\Gamma$ has valence $\geq 3$.

The proof will be by induction on the number of vertices. In particular, we can assume that if $f$ is an edge in $\Gamma$ which is not a loop, then the graph $\Gamma / f \subset S^{3}$ is planar.

Following 7.2 assume that $\Gamma$ is connected. The case in which $\Gamma$ has a single vertex is [4, Theorem 1], so we will assume $\Gamma$ has more than one vertex. By 1.6 we can assume $\Gamma$ has no cut edge. Hence, by 1.5 we can assume $\Gamma$ is strict and two-connected.

Suppose $\Gamma$ is two-separable, with two-separating vertices $\left\{v_{ \pm}\right\}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ be the connected subgraphs of the two-separation. Consider first $\Gamma_{1}$. Since $\Gamma$ contains no two-cycles, at most one edge of $\Gamma_{1}$ is incident to both $v_{ \pm}$. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ contains more than one edge, it must contains at least one $v \neq v_{ \pm}$. Since $\Gamma$ contains no two-cycles, at most two edges incident to $v$ have their other end on $v_{ \pm}$. Since $v$ has valence $\geq 3$, some edge $f$ incident to $v$ is not incident to $v_{ \pm}$. Now consider $\Gamma_{0} . \Gamma_{0}$ contains more than one edge, so it has at least one other vertex $v$, of valence $\geq 3$ since $\Gamma$ is strict. Thus, $\Gamma_{0}$ is not a tree, for it can have at most two ends, $v_{ \pm}$. Since $\Gamma_{0}$ is not a tree, it contains an edge $e$ with $\Gamma-e$ connected. Then by $5.4 \Gamma$ is planar.

If $\Gamma$ is not two-separable it is a three-connected strict graph. If it is the tetrahedral graph, then by 3.3 it is planar. If it is not tetrahedral, then by 7.3 there is an edge $e$ in $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \sim e$ is also a three-connected strict graph.

If $\Gamma \sim e$ is a wheel, then by $6.2 \Gamma$ contains a special three-cycle $\sigma$ and an edge not incident to $\sigma$. Then by $4.4 \Gamma$ is planar. So assume $\Gamma \sim e$ is not a wheel.
$\Gamma$ is abstractly planar, so imbed $\Gamma$ in a sphere $Q$. By hypothesis, $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma-e$ is also planar, and by Mason's theorem (7.4) we can assume that $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma-e$ lies in a sphere $P \subset S^{3}$ exactly as $\Gamma$ lies in $Q$. In particular, the ends of $e$ lie on the boundary of some face $F$ of $P$. Since $\Gamma \sim e$ is not a wheel, there is, by 6.4, an edge $f$ of $\Gamma$ not incident to $\partial F$. Let $\bar{\Gamma}=\Gamma / f$. By hypothesis, $\bar{\Gamma}$ lies in a sphere $\bar{P} \subset S^{3}$. By 7.4, we can assume that $\bar{\Gamma}$ lies in $\bar{P}$ exactly as $\Gamma / f$ lies in $Q$. In particular, $e$ lies in a face $\bar{F}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$ in $\bar{P}$ with $\partial \bar{F}=\partial F$. Since $f$ is not incident
to $\partial F, \bar{F}$ persists when we "unshrink" $f$. That is, $\bar{F}$ is a disk in $S^{3}$ such that $\partial \bar{F}=\bar{F} \cap \Gamma=\partial F$. Then by 6.5 applied to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\bar{F}$, there is a sphere $P^{\prime \prime} \subset S^{3}$ containing $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\bar{F}$. But $e \subset \bar{F}$, so $\Gamma \subset P^{\prime \prime}$.
7.6. Corollary. There is an algorithm to determine if a graph $\Gamma \subset S^{3}$ is planar.

Proof. Kuratowski's theorem provides an algorithm to determine abstract planarity. In fact, abstract planarity of graphs can be determined in linear time [7].

It suffices to have, then, an algorithm to determine if the fundamental group of the complement of graph $\Gamma$ is free. According to 7.1 this is equivalent to showing $S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma)$ is the connected sum of handlebodies, one for each component of $\Gamma$. Haken's original algorithm [5] can be used to determine if a three-manifold contains a two-sphere separating its boundary components. This reduces the problem to the case in which $\Gamma$ is connected. Then $M=S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma)$ is irreducible. A variant of Haken's algorithm suffices to determine if an irreducible three-manifold is $\partial$-reducible, and gives a $\partial$-reducing disk (cf. [9, 4.1]). Cut $M$ open along a $\partial$-reducing disk, if one exists. Continue this process until $M$ does not have a $\partial$-reducing disk. If $\partial M$ is then a union of spheres, $S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma)$ was a handlebody. If not, then a nonspherical component of $\partial M$ was an incompressible closed surface in $S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma)$, so $S^{3}-{ }^{\circ} \eta(\Gamma)$ was not a handlebody.
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