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## 1. Introduction

The Toponogov Splitting Theorem [6] states that a complete Riemannian manifold ( $H, h$ ) of nonnegative sectional curvatures which contains a line $\gamma$ : $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow H$ (i.e., a complete absolutely minimizing geodesic) must be isometric to a product $\mathbf{R} \times H^{\prime}$, the first factor being represented by $\gamma$. In [6] Cheeger and Gromoll gave a proof of this theorem stemming from their soul construction. Subsequently, Cheeger and Gromoll [5] were able to generalize this Riemannian splitting theorem to the case of nonnegative Ricci curvatures. In [17, p. 696], S. T. Yau raised the question of showing that a geodesically complete Lorentzian 4-manifold of nonnegative timelike Ricci curvature which contains a timelike line (i.e., a complete absolutely maximizing timelike geodesic) is isometrically the Cartesian product of that geodesic and a spacelike hypersurface.

Galloway [9] has recently considered this question for space-times which are spatially closed, i.e., which admit a smooth time function whose level sets are compact (smooth) Cauchy surfaces. Let ( $M, g$ ) be such a globally hyperbolic space-time which satisfies the strong energy condition $\operatorname{Ric}(v, v) \geqslant 0$ for all timelike vectors $v$ in $T M$. Suppose further that $(M, g)$ contains a timelike curve which is both future and past complete and that for each $p \in M$, every null geodesic emanating from $p$ contains a past and future null cut point to $p$.

[^0]Then Galloway shows $(M, g)$ splits isometrically as a Lorentzian product $\left(\mathbf{R} \times H,-d t^{2} \otimes h\right)$, where $(H, h)$ is a compact Riemannian manifold. The proof employs and extends some results of [1] and [10].

In the present paper, we consider a different class of space-times than those studied in [9] and we use quite different techniques to obtain the following splitting theorem.

Theorem 5.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time of dimension $\geqslant 2$ with everywhere nonpositive timelike sectional curvatures $K \leqslant 0$ which contains a complete timelike line $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow(M, g)$. Then $(M, g)$ is isometric to a product $\left(\mathbf{R} \times H,-d t^{2} \otimes h\right)$, where $(H, h)$ is a complete Riemannian manifold. The factor $\left(\mathbf{R},-d t^{2}\right)$ is represented by $\gamma$ and $(H, h)$ is represented by a level set of a Busemann function associated to $\gamma$.

This theorem provides an affirmative answer to the question raised by Yau for globally hyperbolic space-times with nonpositive timelike sectional curvatures without imposing the assumption of geodesic completeness.

We work directly with the Busemann function in obtaining Theorem 5.2 as in [5] rather than dealing with direct geometric constructions as in the Riemannian proof in [6]. We have also been influenced by a series of papers by R. Greene and H. Wu (cf. [16] for a survey) and by a paper of Eschenburg and Heintze [7].

We would like to thank J.-H. Eschenburg and E. Heintze for providing us with a preprint of [7].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper $(M, g)$ will always be a connected, time oriented Lorentzian manifold which is globally hyperbolic with metric $g$ of signature $(-,+, \cdots,+)$. If $A$ is a subset of $M$, then $I^{+}(A)=\{q \in M \mid a \ll q$ for some $a \in A\}$ and $I^{-}(A)$ is defined dually. The sets $I^{+}(p), I^{-}(p), I^{+}(A)$, and $I^{-}(A)$ are always open. Furthermore, we set $I(A)=I^{+}(A) \cap I^{-}(A)$.

Given $p, q \in M$, set $d(p, q)=0$ if $q \notin J^{+}(p)$ and let $d(p, q)$ be the supremum of lengths of future directed causal curves from $p$ to $q$ if $q \in J^{+}(p)$. The Lorentzian distance function $d$ satisfies the reverse triangle inequality $d(p, q) \geqslant d(p, r)+d(r, q)$ whenever $p \leqslant r \leqslant q$. Since $(M, g)$ is globally hyperbolic, the Lorentzian distance function is both finite valued and continuous, (cf. [13]).

A causal geodesic is maximal if the length between any pair of its points is equal to the Lorentzian distance between these points. For a unit speed future
directed timelike geodesic $\gamma:(a, b) \rightarrow M$, this means that $d\left(\gamma\left(t_{1}\right), \gamma\left(t_{2}\right)\right)=t_{2}$ $-t_{1}$ for all $a<t_{1}<t_{2}<b$. A maximal timelike geodesic $\gamma$ is a line if it is complete (i.e., $a=-\infty$ and $b=\infty$ ). A maximal causal geodesic of the form $\gamma$ : $[a, \infty) \rightarrow M$ is called a causal ray.

Most of our notational conventions are standard and may be found in [2], [13], and [14].

## 3. Busemann functions

If $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$ is a future directed timelike line, then for each fixed $r \geqslant 0$ we define $b_{r}^{+}: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $b_{r}^{+}(x)=r-d(x, \gamma(r))$. (cf. [3, p. 131], [5, p. 119]). These functions are continuous functions of both $x$ and $r$ because ( $M, g$ ) is globally hyperbolic.

If $\gamma(r) \notin I^{+}(x)$, then $d(x, \gamma(r))=0$ and $b_{r}^{+}(x)=r$. Thus $b_{r}^{+}(x)$ is an increasing function of $r$ for fixed $x$ as long as $\gamma(r) \notin I^{+}(x)$. On the other hand, if $x \ll \gamma(r)$ for some $r \geqslant 0$, then there is a smallest $r_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that $x \ll \gamma(r)$ for all $r_{0}<r<\infty$. Assuming such an $r_{0}$ exists, the reverse triangle inequality implies that $b_{r}^{+}(x)$ is a monotone decreasing function of $r$ for all $r>r_{0}$. If we then allow the possible values of $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, the Busemann function

$$
b^{+}(x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} b_{r}^{+}(x)
$$

exists for all $x \in M$. In the case $x \notin I^{-}(\gamma)$ we have $b^{+}(x)=+\infty$. In general, $b^{+}$need not be a continuous function of $x$ for globally hyperbolic space-times. In fact, examples conformal to a subset of the Minkowski plane $L^{2}$ may be constructed with $b^{+}$discontinuous.

If $x \ll \gamma(r)$ for all $r_{0}<r<\infty$, given a sequence of points $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging to $x$ and a sequence of numbers $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ diverging to $+\infty$ we will have $x_{n} \ll \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)$ for all sufficiently large $n$ by the openness of chronological sets. We will implicitly use the properties of limit curves (cf. [2]) to define the notion of co-ray to $\gamma$ as follows. A (future) co-ray to $\gamma$ from $x$ will be a causal curve starting at $x$ which is future inextendible and is the limit curve of a sequence of maximal length timelike geodesic segments from $x_{n}$ to $\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)$ for two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ with $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [2, pp. 33-45]). Past co-rays are defined dually. This definition of co-ray corresponds to that used by Busemann [3, p. 130]. A (future) co-ray is always a maximal length causal
geodesic starting at a point $x$. However, there may be more than one co-ray to $\gamma$ from $x$. Furthermore, a co-ray to $\gamma$ from $x$ may be a null geodesic. To rule out this possibility, we impose the following condition on $(M, g)$.

Definition 3.1. The globally hyperbolic space-time $(M, g)$ satisfies the timelike co-ray condition for the timelike line $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$ if for each $x \in I^{+}(\gamma) \cup I^{-}(\gamma)$ all future and past co-rays to $\gamma$ from $x$ are timelike.

The timelike co-ray condition has the following technical consequences which may be obtained using standard arguments.

Lemma 3.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time which satisfies the timelike co-ray condition for the timelike line $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow(M, g)$. Let $x \in I^{-}(\gamma)$ be arbitrary and $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists an integer $N>0$, a neighborhood $U(x)$ of $x$ with $U(x) \subset I^{-}(\gamma)$ and an open set $V$ with compact closure $K=\bar{V} \subset I^{-}(x)$ satisfying the following properties:
(a) $K \subset I^{+}(y)$ for all $y \in U(x)$.
(b) Given any $y \in U(x)$ and $q \in K$, we have $d(y, q)<\varepsilon$.
(c) If $y \in U(x)$ and $t \geqslant N$, then any maximal timelike geodesic segment from $y$ to $\gamma(t)$ intersects $K$.

We now show $b^{+}$is continuous on the set $I^{-}(\gamma)$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $(M, g)$ be globally hyperbolic. If $(M, g)$ satisfies the timelike co-ray condition for the timelike line $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$, then the Busemann function $b^{+}$is continuous and finite on $I^{-}(\gamma)$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given and fix $x \in I^{-}(\gamma)$. Let $U(x), N$ and $K$ be as in Lemma 3.2. Choose any two points $y_{1}, y_{2} \in U(x)$ and any $r$ with $N<r<\infty$. Let $G_{i}$ be a maximal length timelike geodesic from $y_{i}$ to $\gamma(r)$ and let $q_{i} \in G_{i} \cap K$ for $i=1,2$. Then $d\left(y_{1}, \gamma(r)\right)=d\left(y_{1}, q_{1}\right)+d\left(q_{1}, \gamma(r)\right)$ and $d\left(y_{1}, q_{1}\right)<\varepsilon$ yield $d\left(q_{1}, \gamma(r)\right)>d\left(y_{1}, \gamma(r)\right)-\varepsilon$. Since $y_{2} \ll q_{1} \ll \gamma(r)$ by Lemma 3.2(a), the reverse triangle inequality yields

$$
d\left(y_{2}, \gamma(r)\right) \geqslant d\left(y_{2}, q_{1}\right)+d\left(q_{1}, \gamma(r)\right)
$$

Thus

$$
d\left(y_{2}, \gamma(r)\right)>0+d\left(y_{1}, \gamma(r)\right)-\varepsilon
$$

which implies $\varepsilon>b_{r}^{+}\left(y_{2}\right)-b_{r}^{+}\left(y_{1}\right)$. Since also $q_{2} \in I^{+}\left(y_{1}\right)$, we may reverse the roles of $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ to obtain

$$
\left|b_{r}^{+}\left(y_{1}\right)+b_{r}^{+}\left(y_{2}\right)\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

This establishes the equicontinuity of the functions $b_{r}^{+}$on $U(x)$ for all $N<r$ $<\infty$. Since $b_{r}^{+}(x)$ is monotone decreasing for large $r$, the limit $b^{+}(x)$ is an element of $\mathbf{R} \cup\{-\infty\}$. If $b^{+}(x)=-\infty$, then $b_{r}^{+}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and the last inequality yields $b^{+}(y)=-\infty$ for all $y \in U(x)$. It follows that $b^{+}$equals
$-\infty$ on an open subset $V_{1}$ of $I^{-}(\gamma)$. On the other hand, if $b^{+}(x)$ is finite, then the last inequality yields $\left|b^{+}(x)-b^{+}(y)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all $y \in U(x)$. In this case the equicontinuous family $\left\{b_{r}^{+}\right\}$for $N<r<\infty$ is bounded on $U(x)$ and hence converges to a finite valued continuous function $b^{+}$on $U(x)$. Thus $b^{+}$is a continuous finite valued function on an open subset $V_{2}$ of $I^{-}(\gamma)$ and is equal to $-\infty$ on the open subset $V_{1}$ of $I^{-}(\gamma)$, where $I^{-}(\gamma)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. Using $b^{+}\left(\gamma\left(r_{1}\right)\right)=r_{1}$ on $\gamma$ and the connectedness of $I^{-}(\gamma)$ it follows that $V_{2}=I^{-}(\gamma)$ which establishes the lemma. q.e.d.

Since $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$ is a timelike line rather than just a ray, one may also define a Busemann function $b^{-}$on $I^{+}(\gamma)$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{r}^{-}(x)=r-d(\gamma(-r), x), \quad r \geqslant 0 \\
b^{-}(x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} b_{r}^{-}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Arguments similar to Lemma 3.3 imply that $b$ is continuous on $I^{+}(\gamma)$. Thus both $b^{+}$and $b^{-}$are continuous on $I(\gamma)=I^{+}(\gamma) \cap I^{-}(\gamma)$. Given any $x \in I(\gamma)$, choose positive numbers $s$ and $t$ such that $\gamma(-s) \ll x \ll \gamma(t)$. The reverse triangle inequality and $d(\gamma(-s), \gamma(t))=s+t$ yield $b_{t}^{+}(x)+b_{s}^{-}(x) \geqslant 0$. Letting $s, t \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain the following inequality on $I(\gamma)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x):=b^{+}(x)+b^{-}(x) \geqslant 0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The significance of nonpositive timelike sectional curvature

The two-plane $E=\{u, v\}$ is timelike if the metric induced on $E$ is Lorentzian. Thus $(M, g)$ has nonpositive timelike sectional curvatures if for each timelike plane $E$ we have $\langle R(u, v) v, u\rangle \geqslant 0$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time of dimension $\geqslant 2$ with everywhere nonpositive timelike sectional curvatures $K \leqslant 0$ which contains a complete timelike line $\gamma$. Then the timelike co-ray condition holds on $I(\gamma)$. Thus $b^{+}$and $b^{-}$are both continuous on $I(\gamma)$.

Proof. Assume that $x \in I(\gamma)$ has a future co-ray $\sigma$ to $\gamma$ such that $\sigma$ is null. Then there exist sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ with $x_{n} \rightarrow x, r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that each $\sigma_{n}$ is a maximal timelike geodesic segment from $x_{n}$ to $\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)$ and $\sigma$ is a limit curve of the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$. Choose $q \in \gamma \cap I^{-}(x)$ and let $\mu_{n}$ be a maximal timelike geodesic segment from $q$ to $x_{n}$. The segments $\mu_{n}$ are defined for all large $n$ and we may assume that $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ converges to a timelike geodesic from $q$ to $x$. Let $\gamma_{n}$ be the segment of $\gamma$ from $q$ to $\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)$ and set $a_{n}=L\left(\mu_{n}\right)$, $b_{n}=L\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$ and $c_{n}=L\left(\gamma_{n}\right)$, where $L$ denotes arc length. Assuming $\mu_{n}, \sigma_{n}$ and
$\gamma_{n}$ are parametrized by arclength, define $\beta_{n}=g\left(\mu_{n}^{\prime}(0), \gamma_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ and $\theta_{n}=$ $g\left(-\mu_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right), \sigma_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right)$. Then $a_{n} \rightarrow a=d(q, x)$ and $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta=g\left(\mu^{\prime}(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)$. We now apply Harris' triangle comparison theorem (cf. [2, p. 430], [11, p. 303]) to the timelike geodesic triangle ( $\mu_{n}, \sigma_{n}, \gamma_{n}$ ) using the two-dimensional Minkowski plane as model space. Thus for each $n$ there is a timelike geodesic triangle ( $\bar{\mu}_{n}$, $\bar{\sigma}_{n}, \bar{\gamma}_{n}$ ) in $L^{2}$ with

$$
a_{n}=L\left(\bar{\mu}_{n}\right), \quad b_{n}=L\left(\bar{\sigma}_{n}\right), \quad c_{n}=L\left(\bar{\gamma}_{n}\right), \quad\left|\bar{\beta}_{n}\right| \leqslant\left|\beta_{n}\right|, \quad \bar{\theta}_{n} \geqslant \theta_{n}
$$

where $\bar{\beta}_{n}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{n}$ are defined analogously to $\beta_{n}$ and $\theta_{n}$. Using $\left|\bar{\beta}_{n}\right| \leqslant\left|\beta_{n}\right|$ and $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta$, we find there is some positive $C$ with $\left|\bar{\beta}_{n}\right| \leqslant C$ for all $n$. The law of cosines for $L^{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{n}^{2}=a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}+2 a_{n} b_{n} \bar{\theta}_{n},  \tag{4.1}\\
& b_{n}^{2}=a_{n}^{2}+c_{n}^{2}+2 a_{n} c_{n} \bar{\beta}_{n} . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding (4.2) to (4.1) and solving for $\bar{\theta}_{n}$ we obtain

$$
\bar{\theta}_{n}=-\frac{c_{n} \bar{\beta}_{n}}{b_{n}}-\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}} .
$$

Since $a_{n} \rightarrow a, c_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left|\bar{\beta}_{n}\right| \leqslant C$, equation (4.2) implies $b_{n} / c_{n} \rightarrow 1$ and $b_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, there is some constant $C_{1}$ such that $\bar{\theta}_{n} \leqslant C_{1}$ for all $n$. Using $\theta_{n} \leqslant \bar{\theta}_{n}$ we find

$$
g\left(-\mu_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right), \sigma_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right) \leqslant C_{1}
$$

which implies that the Lorentzian angles between the segments $\mu_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ are all bounded by some constant. On the other hand, since $\sigma$ is assumed to be null, then $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \mu$ and $\sigma_{n} \rightarrow \sigma$ imply these angles must approach $\infty$. This contradiction establishes the result. q.e.d.

We now show that co-rays to $\gamma$ are complete given $K \leqslant 0$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time with everywhere nonpositive timelike sectional curvatures $K \leqslant 0$ which contains a complete timelike line $\gamma$. If $\eta$ is a future (resp. past) directed timelike co-ray from $x \in I(\gamma)$, then $\eta$ is future (resp. past) complete.

Proof. Assume $w \log \eta$ is future directed. Suppose $\eta$ has finite length $L$. Let $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$ and $\eta:[0, L) \rightarrow M$ be parametrized with respect to arclength.

By Lemma 3.2 there exists a constant $K>0$ and "time" $T$ such that if $t>T$ and $\mu$ is a maximal segment from $x$ to $\gamma(t)$, then

$$
\left|\left\langle\mu^{\prime}(0), \eta^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle\right| \leqslant K
$$

Also, by the reverse triangle inequality,

$$
d(x, \gamma(t)) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Thus, we can choose $t_{0} \geqslant T$ such that

$$
d(x, \gamma(t)) \geqslant 3 L K \quad \text { for } t \geqslant t_{0} .
$$

Pick a point $y \in \eta$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right) \notin I^{+}(y)$. (If no such point existed, then $\eta$ would be imprisoned in $J^{-}\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \cap J^{+}(x)$.) Choose $y_{1}$ on $\eta$ with $y_{1} \in I^{+}(y)$. Since $\eta$ is a co-ray to $\gamma$ there exist points $p_{n} \rightarrow y_{1}$ such that each $p_{n}$ lies on some maximal timelike geodesic from $x$ to a point $q_{n}$ of $\gamma$. It follows that for sufficiently large $n$ we have $p_{n} \in I^{+}(y)$ which implies $q_{n} \in I^{+}(y)$. Consequently, there exists a time $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right) \in \partial I^{+}(y)$. By the global hyperbolicity of $M, \partial I^{+}(y)=J^{+}(y)-I^{+}(y)$, and hence $d\left(y, \gamma\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=0$. Thus one can choose a time $t_{2}>t_{1}$ such that

$$
0<d\left(y, \gamma\left(t_{2}\right)\right)<3 L K / 2
$$

since the Lorentzian distance function is continuous for globally hyperbolic space-times. Let $\mu$ be a maximal segment from $x$ to $\gamma\left(t_{2}\right)$ and let $\sigma$ be a maximal segment from $y$ to $\gamma\left(t_{2}\right)$. Let $\nu$ be the portion of $\eta$ from $x$ to $y$. Consider the timelike triangle $(\nu, \mu, \sigma)$. Let $a, b$ and $c$ be the lengths of $\nu, \sigma$ and $\mu$, respectively. We have
(1) $c \geqslant 3 L K, b<c / 2$ and $a<L$. Also, $\beta=\left\langle\mu^{\prime}(0), \eta^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle$ satisfies
(2) $|\beta| \leqslant K$.

By Harris' triangle comparison theorem there exists a corresponding timelike triangle $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\sigma})$ in Minkowski space such that $L(\bar{\nu})=a, L(\bar{\mu})=b, L(\bar{\sigma})=c$ and $|\bar{\beta}| \leqslant|\beta|$. By the law of cosines,

$$
b^{2}=a^{2}+c^{2}-2 a c|\bar{\beta}| .
$$

Using (1), (2) and $|\bar{\beta}| \leqslant|\beta|$, we obtain

$$
b^{2} \geqslant c^{2}-2 L K c=c^{2}\left(1-\frac{2 L K}{c}\right) \geqslant \frac{c^{2}}{3}
$$

which contradicts the second inequality in (1). Thus $\eta$ must be infinite in length. q.e.d.

At this juncture, we do not know that the functions $B, b^{=}$and $b^{-}$defined in $\S 3$ are differentiable functions. Thus as in [7], we now need to define smooth local support functions at each $p \in I(\gamma)$ for $b^{+}$and $b^{-}$. Fix $p \in I(\gamma)$ and a
sequence of real numbers $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ with $r_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$. Set $\alpha_{n}=d\left(p, \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)\right)$. Then $\alpha_{n}$ is positive for all sufficiently large $n, \alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ by the reverse triangle inequality, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{+}(p)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for each sufficiently large $n$ there is some unit future directed timelike vector $v_{n}^{+} \in T_{p} M$ such that $\exp _{p}\left(\alpha_{n} v_{n}^{+}\right)=\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)$. Using the timelike co-ray condition, we may assume that $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow v^{+}$, where $v^{+}$is a unit future directed timelike vector at $p$. The future inextendible timelike geodesic with initial velocity vector $v^{+}$is a co-ray to $\gamma$ from $p$ and is future complete by Lemma 4.2. Choose a sufficiently small neighborhood $U(p)$ of $p$, such that $x \ll \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)$ for all $n$ larger than some $N$ and all $x \in U(p)$. Fixing $U(p)$ and $N$ there is some $0<a_{0}<\alpha_{n}$ such that

$$
x \ll \exp _{p}\left(a_{0} v_{n}^{+}\right) \ll \exp _{P}\left(a v_{n}^{+}\right) \ll \exp _{p}\left(\alpha_{n} v_{n}^{+}\right)=\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)
$$

for all $n \geqslant N$, each $a$ with $a_{0}<a<\alpha_{n}$, and all $x \in U(p)$. Applying the reverse triangle inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x, \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)\right) & \geqslant d\left(x, \exp _{p}\left(a v_{n}^{+}\right)\right)+d\left(\exp _{p}\left(a v_{n}^{+}\right), \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant d\left(x, \exp _{p}\left(a v_{n}^{+}\right)\right)+\alpha_{n}-a
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain $r_{n}-\alpha_{n}+a-d\left(x, \exp _{p}\left(a v_{n}^{+}\right)\right) \geqslant r_{n}-d\left(x, \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)\right)$. In view of equation (4.3), we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{+}(p)+a-d\left(x, \exp _{p}\left(a v^{+}\right)\right) \geqslant b^{+}(x) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in U(p)$ and $a_{0}<a<\infty$. This inequality motivates the following definition of a family of functions $b_{p, a}^{+}$(cf. [7]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{p, a}^{+}(x)=b^{+}(p)+a-d\left(x, \exp _{p} a v^{+}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.4) shows that for sufficiently large $a$ these functions are continuous local super support functions for the Busemann function $b^{+}$; we have $b_{p, a}^{+}(p)=b^{+}(p)$ and $b_{p, a}^{+}(x) \geqslant b^{+}(x)$ for all $x$ sufficiently close to $p$.

Now given $p \in I(\gamma)$, construct a unit past directed timelike vector $v^{-} \in T_{p} M$ using the same technique as for $v^{+}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{p, a}^{-}(x)=b^{-}(p)+a-d\left(\exp _{p}\left(a v^{-}\right), x\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provides a family of local super support functions for $b^{-}$. That is, $b_{p, a}^{-}(p)=$ $b^{-}(p)$ and $b_{p, a}^{-}(x) \geqslant b^{-}(x)$ for all $x$ near $p$ and large $a$ for any $p \in I(\gamma)$. For any fixed parameter value $a$, the nonspacelike cut locus of $\exp _{p}\left(a v^{+}\right)$is closed (cf. [2, p. 242]) and since $s \rightarrow \exp _{p}\left(s v^{ \pm}\right), s \in[0, a]$ is maximal, we get that there is a neighborhood of $p$ in which $b_{p, a}^{+}$(resp., $b_{p, a}^{-}$) is a smooth super
support function for the continuous Busemann function $b^{+}$(resp., $b^{-}$). Hence $B_{p, a}=b_{p, a}^{+}+b_{p, a}^{-}$is also a smooth super support function for $B=b^{+}+b^{-}$ near $p$.

In view of the definition of $b^{+}(x)$ and $b^{-}(x)$, we now consider functions of the form $f(x)=d(q, x)$ (resp., $d(x, q)$ ), where $q$ is a given point of $M$. In general, these functions will fail to be differentiable across null cones as well as on the timelike cut locus of $q$ (cf. [2, p. 105]). Since the null cut locus and nonspacelike cut locus of each $q \in M$ are closed, if $q \ll p$ and $p$ is not in the (future) cut locus of $q$, then the function $f(x)=d(q, x)$ is smooth on some neighborhood $U(p)$ of $p$ which contains no cut points of $q$. Furthermore, $\langle\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f\rangle=-1$ on $U(p)$. Conversely, let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth function on an arbitrary Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$ with $\langle\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f\rangle \equiv$ -1 . Using the definition of Lorentzian arc length and the reverse Schwartz inequality it is easy to show that any integral curve $c$ of $\operatorname{grad} f$ is a maximal timelike geodesic.

Lemma 4.3. Let $N$ be an open subset of the Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$ and assume $f: N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a smooth function with $\langle\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f\rangle \equiv-1$ on $N$. Let $c$ : $(a, b) \rightarrow N$ be an integral curve of $-\operatorname{grad} f$ and $V$ be any unit parallel vector field which is orthogonal to $c$. Then

$$
-\left\langle R\left(V, c^{\prime}\right) c^{\prime}, V\right\rangle \geqslant-(\operatorname{Hess}(f)(V, V) \circ c)^{\prime}+(\operatorname{Hess}(f)(V, V) \circ c)^{2}
$$

Let $W$ be an arbitrary parallel field along $c$. Set

$$
\alpha=\alpha\left(W, c^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{\langle W, W\rangle+\left(\left\langle W, c^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)^{2}} .
$$

If $W$ is not proportional to $c^{\prime}$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=W+\left\langle W, c^{\prime}\right\rangle c^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad V=V_{1} /\left\|V_{1}\right\| . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha V=W+\left\langle W, c^{\prime}\right\rangle c^{\prime}$ and $V$ is a spacelike unit parallel field which is orthogonal to $c$. Since $\langle\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f\rangle=-1$, one also obtains $\operatorname{Hess}(f)\left(X, c^{\prime}\right)$ $=0$ for any vector field $X$ along $c$. Thus with $\alpha$ and $V$ as above

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hess}(f)(W, W)=\alpha^{2} \operatorname{Hess}(f)(V, V) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain the following estimate on the Hessian of $d(q, x)$.
Proposition 4.4. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time of everywhere nonpositive timelike sectional curvature. Fix $p \in M$ and let $q \in I^{+}(p) \cup$ $I^{-}(p)$ be any point which is not a cut point of $p$. Let $c:[0, L] \rightarrow M$ denote a unit speed maximal timelike geodesic from $q$ to $p$. If $q \in I^{+}(p)$, set $f(x)=d(x, q)$
and if $q \in I^{-}(p)$, set $f(x)=d(q, x)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\alpha^{2}(w)}{f(p)} \leqslant\left.\operatorname{Hess}(f)(w, w)\right|_{p} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w \in T_{p} M$, where $\alpha^{2}(w)=\langle w, w\rangle+\left(\left\langle w, c^{\prime}(L)\right\rangle\right)^{2}$.
Proof. As the proofs for $d(x, q)$ and $d(q, x)$ are dual, we only give the proof for $f(x)=d(q, x)$ and $q \in I^{-}(p)$. Let $N$ be an open subset of $I^{+}(q)$ such that $c(s) \in N$ for all $0<s \leqslant d(q, p)$ and such that $N$ contains no cut points of $q$. Then $c$ is an integral curve of $-\operatorname{grad} f$ for all $0<s \leqslant d(q, p)$ and $f$ is $C^{\infty}$ on $N$. Given a fixed $w \in T_{p} M$ let $V$ be the unit parallel field along $c$ which satisfies $\alpha V=w+\left\langle w, c^{\prime}\right\rangle c^{\prime}$ as in equation (4.7). Define $\theta(s)=\operatorname{Hess}(f)(V, V) \circ c(s)$ for all $0<s \leqslant d(q, p)$. At $x=c(s)$ we have $\left.\operatorname{Hess}(f)(V, V)\right|_{x}=-\left.\left\langle\nabla_{V} c^{\prime}, V\right\rangle\right|_{x}=\left.S_{c^{\prime}}(V, V)\right|_{x}$, where $S_{c^{\prime}}$ is the second fundamental form of the distance sphere $\{y \in M \mid d(q, y)=d(q, x)\}$ through $x$. Thus Hess $\left.(f)(V, V)\right|_{c(s)} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $s \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

Lemma 4.3 and the curvature assumption yield $\theta^{2}-\theta^{\prime} \leqslant 0$ which implies that $\theta$ is nondecreasing and for all $\theta(s) \neq 0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{-1}(s) \leqslant-s \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

using $d / d s\left(\theta^{-1}\right)=-\theta^{\prime} / \theta^{2}$ and $\theta(s) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $s \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Thus for all $s>0$, we have $\theta(s) \geqslant s^{-1}$. Setting $s=d(q, p)=f(q)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Hess}(f)(V, V)\right|_{p} \geqslant-\frac{1}{f(p)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows using equations (4.8) and (4.11).
Corollary 4.5. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time with $K \leqslant 0$ and suppose that $(M, g)$ contains a timelike line $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow(M, g)$. Then for any $p \in I(\gamma)$ and $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Hess}\left(b_{p, a}^{+}\right)(w, w) \leqslant \frac{\alpha_{+}^{2}(w)}{a}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \operatorname{Hess}\left(b_{p, a}^{-}\right)(w, w) \leqslant \frac{\alpha_{-}^{2}(w)}{a} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $w \in T_{p} M$, where $\alpha_{+}^{2}(w)=\langle w, w\rangle+\left(\left\langle w, v^{+}\right\rangle\right)^{2}$ and $\alpha_{-}^{2}(w)=\langle w, w\rangle$ $+\left(\left\langle w, v^{-}\right\rangle\right)^{2}$.
Proof. Since the arguments for (4.12) and (4.13) are similar, it suffices to establish (4.12). Consider the function $f(x)=d(x, q)$ with $q:=\exp _{p}\left(a v^{+}\right)$. Since $\gamma$ satisfies the timelike co-ray condition, $c^{+}(t)=\exp _{p}\left(t v^{+}\right), t \geqslant 0$, is a maximal, future directed, future complete timelike geodesic ray. Hence for any $a>0, q=\exp _{p}\left(a v^{+}\right) \in I^{+}(p)$ is not a cut point to $p$ and $d(p, q)=a$. Thus inequality (4.9) may be applied to $f(x)=d(x, q)$ at $x=p$ to yield inequality (4.12) as $c^{\prime}(L)=-v^{+}$. q.e.d.

Using the timelike co-ray condition, Corollary 4.5 and a one-dimensional Calabi-type maximum principle argument, we now show that the function $B$ defined by equation (3.1) vanishes on $I(\gamma)$.

Lemma 4.6. If $y \in I(\gamma)$ and $B(y)=0$, then $B$ vanishes on a neighborhood of $y$. Hence $B \equiv 0$ on $I(\gamma)$.

Proof. If the conclusion fails, there is some geodesic segment $\sigma:[-1,1] \rightarrow$ $I(\gamma)$ of $g$ with $\sigma(0)=y$ and $B\left(\sigma\left(s_{1}\right)\right)>0$, where $0<s_{1}<1$. Let $h:\left[-s_{1}, s_{1}\right]$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be defined by $h(s)=-\varepsilon\left(s+s^{2}\right)$. If $\varepsilon>0$ is chosen sufficiently small, the continuous function $B \circ \sigma+h$ is positive at both endpoints of $\left[-s_{1}, s_{1}\right]$ and zero at $s=0$. Thus $B \circ \sigma+h$ attains a minimum at some $s_{0} \in\left(-s_{1}, s_{1}\right)$. Let $p=\sigma\left(s_{0}\right)$ and choose a future (resp. past) timelike vector $v^{+}$(resp. $v^{-}$) at $p$ tangent to a co-ray to $\gamma$ from $p$. Using equations (4.5) and (4.6) define the local support functions $b_{p, a}^{+}$(resp., $b_{p, a}^{-}$) using $v^{+}$(resp., $v^{-}$). Then the function $B_{p, a}(x)=b_{p, a}^{+}(x)+b_{p, a}^{-}(x)$ is smooth and satisfies $B_{p, a}(p)=B(p)$ and $B_{p, a}(x) \geqslant B(x)$ in some neighborhood $U(p)$ of $p$. Applying Corollary 4.5 with $w=\sigma^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\left.\left(B_{p, a} \circ \sigma\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{p} \leqslant\left(\alpha_{+}^{2}(w)+\alpha_{-}^{2}(w)\right) / a .
$$

Thus

$$
\left.\left(B_{p, a} \circ \sigma+h\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{p} \leqslant\left(\alpha_{+}^{2}(w)+\alpha_{-}^{2}(w)\right) a^{-1}-2 \varepsilon<0
$$

for sufficiently large $a$. This contradicts

$$
B_{p, a} \circ \sigma(s)+h(s) \geqslant B \circ \sigma(s)+h(s) \geqslant B_{p, a}(p)+h\left(s_{0}\right)
$$

for all $-s_{1} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{1}$ with $\sigma(s) \in U(p)$. q.e.d.
We have been unable to extend Lemma 4.6 with our proof method to the case of the strong energy condition $\operatorname{Ric}(v, v) \geqslant 0$ for all timelike vectors $v$ because the d'Alembertian operator (which corresponds to the Laplacian in the Riemannian case) is hyperbolic rather than elliptic.

Lemma 4.6 implies $b^{+}(x) \equiv-b^{-}(x)$ on $I(\gamma)$.
Lemma 4.7. The Busemann functions $b^{+}$and $b^{-}$are once differentiable on $I(\gamma)$ and the vector field $V=\operatorname{grad} b^{+}=-\operatorname{grad} B^{-}$is a unit past directed timelike vector field defined on $I(\gamma)$. The vector field $V$ is continuous and at each point $p \in I(\gamma)$ there is a unique future directed co-ray $c^{+}(t)=\exp _{p}(-t V)$ and a unique past directed co-ray $c^{-}(t)=\exp _{p}(t V)$ to $\gamma$. These co-rays to $\gamma$ at $p$ fit together to form a (distance realizing and complete) timelike line.

Proof. Choose $p \in I(\gamma)$ and let $v^{+}$and $v^{-}$be unit timelike vectors at $p$ which determine future and past directed co-rays at $p$, respectively. Let $b_{p, a}^{+}$ and $b_{p, a}^{-}$be defined using $v^{+}$and $v^{-}$, respectively according to equations (4.5)
and (4.6). Now $B_{p, a}(x)=b_{p, a}^{+}(x)+b_{p, a}^{-}(x) \geqslant B(x)=0$ and $B_{p, a}(p)=B(p)$ $=0$ imply the smooth function $B_{p, a}(x)$ satisfies $\left.\operatorname{grad} B_{p, a}\right|_{p}=0$. Thus $v^{+}=$ $-\left.\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{+}\right|_{p}=\left.\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{-}\right|_{p}=-v^{-}$so that $v^{+}=-v^{-}$and the future and past timelike co-rays at $p$ fit together to form a smooth geodesic $c$.

Also, we have $b_{p, a}^{+} \geqslant b^{+} \geqslant-b^{-} \geqslant-b_{p, a}^{-}$near $p$ with equality at $p$. Since $\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{+}(p)=-\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{-}(p)$, it follows that $B^{+}$and $b^{-}$are differentiable at $p$ and $\operatorname{grad} b^{+}(p)=-\operatorname{grad} b^{-}(p)=\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{+}(p)=-\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{-}(p)$. Now as $v^{+}=$ $-\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{+}(p)=-\operatorname{grad} b^{+}(p)$ and $v^{-}=-\operatorname{grad} b_{p, a}^{-}(p)=-\operatorname{grad} b^{-}(p)$ the future and past co-rays to $\gamma$ at $p$ are unique for any $p \in I(\gamma)$. This last fact then implies the continuity of $V=\operatorname{grad} b^{+}=-\operatorname{grad} b^{-}$since the initial tangent to future co-rays to $\gamma$ varies continuously with $p$. Finally, since the restriction to a co-ray is a co-ray, it follows that the geodesic $c$ formed from the union of the co-rays $c^{+}$and $c^{-}$to $\gamma$ at $p$ is distance realizing. Since any timelike co-ray to $\gamma$ has infinite length, $c$ is also complete.

## 5. Splitting $I(\gamma)$ and $M$

We are now ready to show that $I(\gamma)$ is a metric product.
Lemma 5.1. The set $I(\gamma)$ is isometric to a Lorentzian product $\mathbf{R} \times H$, where $(H, h)$ is a spacelike hypersurface of $I(\gamma)$. Furthermore, each spacelike slice $\left\{t_{0}\right\} \times H$ corresponds to the intersection of $I(\gamma)$ with a level set of $b^{+}\left(r e s p ., b^{-}\right)$.

Proof. Fix $p \in I(\gamma)$ and let $c$ be a geodesic with $c(0)=p$. Since $b_{p, a}^{+}(x) \geqslant$ $b^{+}(x)=-b^{-}(x) \geqslant-b_{p, a}^{-}(x)$ for all $x$ near $p, b^{+} \circ c$ has both super support functions $b_{p, a}^{+} \circ c$ and subsupport functions $-b_{p, a}^{-} \circ c$. Corollary 4.5 shows that these support functions have arbitrarily small second derivatives for all $t$ near 0 . If $L: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is any affine function, then the same is true of $b^{+}{ }^{\circ} c-L$. It follows that $b^{+} \circ c$ is an affine function near $t=0$ and this implies $b^{+} \circ c$ is an affine function for any geodesic $c$ with image in $I(\gamma)$. Hence if $H\left(t_{0}\right)=\{q \in$ $\left.I(\gamma) \mid b^{+}(q)=t_{0}\right\}$ is the $t_{0}$ level set of $b^{+}$in $I(\gamma)$, and $c$ is any geodesic segment $c:[0, a] \rightarrow I(\gamma)$ with endpoints in $H\left(t_{0}\right)$, then $b^{+} \circ c(0)=b^{+} \circ c(a)$ implies $b^{+} \circ c(t)=b^{+} \circ c(0)$ for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant a$. Thus $c([0, a]) \subset H\left(t_{0}\right)$, which shows $H\left(t_{0}\right)$ is totally geodesic.

Fixing $p \in I(\gamma)$ we let $e_{1}=-\operatorname{grad} b^{+}(p), e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$ and use this basis to obtain normal coordinates $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ near $p$. Any geodesic $c$ with $c(0)=p$ has a representation as $c(t)=$ $\left(t \alpha_{1}, \cdots, t \alpha_{n}\right)$ near $p$, where $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ are constants. The affine function $b^{+} \circ c$ is given by $b^{+} \circ c(t)=A t+B_{0}$, where $B_{0}=b^{+}(p)$. Using grad $b^{+}=$ $-\partial / \partial x_{1}$ at $p$ we obtain $A=\alpha_{1}$ and thus $b^{+}(x)=x_{1}+b^{+}(p)$ in these local coordinates. This shows $b^{+}$is smooth.

The vector field grad $b^{+}$is everywhere orthogonal to the totally geodesic level surfaces $H\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $X=\operatorname{grad} b^{+}$is a unit normal field to $H\left(t_{0}\right)$. The second fundamental form $S_{X}$ must vanish on $H\left(t_{0}\right)$ because this surface is totally geodesic. Thus if $v, w$ are tangent to $H\left(t_{0}\right), S_{X}(v, w)=\left\langle-\nabla_{v} X, w\right\rangle=0$. On the other hand, $\langle X, X\rangle \equiv-1$ yields that $\nabla_{v} X$ is orthogonal to $X$ and hence tangential to $H\left(t_{0}\right)$. Furthermore, $X$ is the unit tangent to the (geodesic) co-ray to $\gamma$ through each $p \in I(\gamma)$ and hence $\nabla_{X} X \equiv 0$. Thus $X=\operatorname{grad} b^{+}$is a parallel timelike vector field on $I(\gamma)$. Hence $I(\gamma)$ splits locally isometrically by Wu's proof of the local Lorentzian de Rham Theorem (cf. [15, p. 299]).

The vector field grad $b^{+}$is complete since all co-rays to $\gamma$ are complete geodesics which are contained in $I(\gamma)$. Consequently, the map $I(\gamma) \rightarrow I(\gamma)$ given by $p \rightarrow \exp _{p}\left(t \operatorname{grad} b^{+}(p)\right)$ is an isometry of $I(\gamma)$ onto $I(\gamma)$ for each fixed $t \in \mathbf{R}$. This isometry takes level sets of $b^{+}$to level sets of $b^{+}$. Using the induced metric $H$ on $H(0)$ and the product metric $-d t^{2} \otimes h$ on $\mathbf{R} \times H(0)$, we find that $F: \mathbf{R} \times H(0) \rightarrow I(\gamma)$ given by $F\left(t, p_{0}\right)=\exp _{p_{0}}\left(\operatorname{tgrad} b^{+}\left(p_{0}\right)\right)$ is an isometry onto $I(\gamma)$. This establishes the result. q.e.d.

We are finally ready to prove the main theorem.
Theorem 5.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time of dimension $\geqslant 2$ with everywhere nonpositive timelike sectional curvatures $K \leqslant 0$ which contains a complete timelike line $\gamma:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow(M, g)$. Then $(M, g)$ is isometric to a product $\left(\mathbf{R} \times H,-d t^{2} \oplus h\right)$, where $(H, h)$ is a complete Riemannian manifold. The factor $\left(\mathbf{R},-d t^{2}\right)$ is represented by $\gamma$ and $(H, h)$ is represented by a level set of a Busemann function associated to $\gamma$.

Proof. The set $I(\gamma)$ must be strongly causal because it is an open subset of the globally hyperbolic space-time $(M, g)$. Furthermore, $p, q \in I(\gamma)$ implies the compact set $J^{+}(p) \cap J^{-}(q)$ also lies in $I(\gamma)$. Thus $I(\gamma)$ is globally hyperbolic. By Lemma 5.1, $I(\gamma)$ is isometric to $\mathbf{R} \times H$. But $\mathbf{R} \times H$ is globally hyperbolic implies $H$ and $\mathbf{R} \times H$ are geodesically complete (cf. [2, p. 65]). Thus $I(\gamma)$ is geodesically complete and consequently, inextendible (cf. [2, p. 160]). Hence $I(\gamma)=M$.

Corollary 5.3. $(M, g)$ is geodesically complete and the level surfaces of the Busemann functions $b^{+}$and $b^{-}$are complete (spacelike) Cauchy hypersurfaces of ( $M, g$ ).

By somewhat similar techniques, the following related results may be obtained.

Proposition 5.4. Let $(M, g)$ be a globally hyperbolic space-time with $\operatorname{Ric}(v, v) \geqslant 0$ on all timelike vectors $v$. Assume that $(M, g)$ contains a complete timelike line $\gamma$ such that every co-ray to $\gamma$ is timelike and without focal points. Then $(M, g)$ is isometric to a product $\left(\mathbf{R} \times H,-d t^{2} \otimes h\right)$.

Theorem 5.5. Let $(M, g)$ be a space-time with a compact Cauchy surface and everywhere nonpositive timelike sectional curvatures $K \leqslant 0$. Then either $M$ is timelike geodesically incomplete or else $M$ splits as in Theorem 5.2 with $H$ compact.

The proof of Theorem 5.5 uses a result of Harris [12] to show under the given hypotheses that there exists a null cut point along each future or past inextendible null geodesic. This ensures the existence of a timelike line.
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