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We show that the center-one configuration is always solvable in the Lights Out puzzle on a square grid with odd vertices.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma=(V, E)$ be a finite undirected simple graph, $n=\# V$ the number of vertices, and $\mathscr{F}$ the set of functions on $V$ with values in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, the field with two elements. We define the Laplacian $\Delta: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ by

$$
(\Delta f)(v):=f(v)+\sum_{(v, w) \in E} f(w)
$$

for $f \in \mathscr{F}, v \in V$. Let $\boldsymbol{e}_{v}$ denote the characteristic function of $v \in V$. Then $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{v}: v \in V\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathscr{F}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, and by means of this basis we identify $\mathscr{F}$ with $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. Under this identification, $\Delta$ is a linear map represented by $I_{n}+\operatorname{adj}(\Gamma)$, where $I_{n}$ denotes the identity matrix of degree $n$ and $\operatorname{adj}(\Gamma)$ the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma$. Let the image and the kernel of $\Delta$ be denoted by $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{H}$, respectively. $\mathscr{C}$ is the set of solvable configurations of the Lights Out puzzle on $\Gamma$; see [Fleischer and Yu 2013; Goldwasser and Klostermeyer 1997; Goshima and Yamagishi 2010]. It is known that the all-one configuration is always solvable:

Theorem 1.1 [Sutner 1989]. For any $\Gamma$, it holds that $(11 \cdots 1) \in \mathscr{C}$.
Since $\mathscr{C}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, we may regard it as a binary linear code; see [Goldwasser and Klostermeyer 1997] for this point of view. The weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$ is defined by

$$
W_{\mathscr{C}}(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} x^{n-i} y^{i}
$$

[^0]where $A_{i}$ is the number of vectors in $\mathscr{C}$ which have Hamming weight $i$. By Sutner's theorem, we have $A_{n-i}=A_{i}$. If $\Delta$ is bijective, then $\mathscr{C}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and we have
$$
A_{i}=\binom{n}{i}, \quad W_{\mathscr{C}}(x, y)=(x+y)^{n} .
$$

In this paper, we are interested in $A_{1}$ of the classical $n \times n$ Lights Out puzzle. Our main result is Theorem 3.1, which states that the center-one configuration is always solvable when $n$ is odd. Our proof is a neat application of Sutner's theorem and is not constructive. Theorem 3.1 implies in particular that the minimal distance of $\mathscr{C}$ is 1 when $n$ is odd. For even $n$, it turns out that the minimal distance is at most 2.

We then look at the case $A_{1} \leq 1$ more closely, and make some conjectures based on numerical computations. We also make an attempt to "explain" the value of $A_{1}$.

## 2. Path and cycle graphs

Before proceeding to the main result, we consider the case of path and cycle graphs as first examples.

Let $\Gamma=\boldsymbol{P}_{n}$ be the path graph with $n$ vertices. We have

$$
\operatorname{adj}(\Gamma)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

under an obvious ordering of vertices. It is well known, see [Yamagishi 2015, Lemma 3.1], that the characteristic polynomial of $\operatorname{adj}(\Gamma)$ is $S_{n}(x)$, the $n$-th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind, defined by

$$
S_{0}(x)=1, \quad S_{1}(x)=x, \quad S_{n}(x)=x S_{n-1}(x)-S_{n-2}(x) \quad(n \geq 2) .
$$

So we see that $\Delta$ is bijective if and only if $S_{n}(-1) \not \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $n \neq 2(\bmod 3)$.

In the case $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, it is easy to see that $\mathscr{H}$ is one-dimensional, spanned by the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1 } 101100 \cdots 011 \text { ), } \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
W_{\mathscr{H}}(x, y)=x^{n}+x^{(n-2) / 3} y^{(2 n+2) / 3} .
$$

Since $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{H}^{\perp}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathscr{C}}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left((x+y)^{n}+(x+y)^{(n-2) / 3}(x-y)^{(2 n+2) / 3}\right) \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the MacWilliams identity [MacWilliams and Sloane 1977, p. 127]. In particular, expanding (2-2), we find that

$$
A_{1}=\frac{1}{3}(n-2), \quad A_{2}=\frac{1}{18}\left(5 n^{2}-5 n+8\right) .
$$

Note that $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ can be seen more quickly as follows. In the general setting, we have $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{H}^{\perp}$ since $\operatorname{adj}(\Gamma)$ is a symmetric matrix. Suppose $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{C}=k<n$, so that $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}=n-k>0$. Any basis of $\mathscr{H}$ gives a parity check matrix $H$ (of size $(n-k) \times n)$ of $\mathscr{C}$, and $A_{i}$ is the number of unordered $i$-tuples of columns of $H$ whose sum is the zero vector. In the case $\Gamma=\boldsymbol{P}_{n}, n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, the vector (2-1) itself is a parity check matrix, and one easily sees that

$$
A_{1}=\frac{1}{3}(n-2), \quad A_{2}=\binom{\frac{1}{3}(n-2)}{2}+\binom{\frac{1}{3}(2 n+2)}{2}
$$

Next let $\Gamma=\boldsymbol{C}_{n}$ be the cycle graph with $n$ vertices ( $n \geq 3$ ). It is also well known, see [Yamagishi 2015, Lemma 3.1], that $\Delta$ is bijective if and only if $C_{n}(-1) \not \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, where $C_{n}(x)$ is the $n$-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, defined by

$$
C_{0}(x)=2, \quad C_{1}(x)=x, \quad C_{n}(x)=x C_{n-1}(x)-C_{n-2}(x) \quad(n \geq 2) .
$$

In the case $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, it is easy to see that $\mathscr{H}$ is two-dimensional, spanned by the row vectors of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0  \tag{2-3}\\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\mathscr{H}}(x, y) & =x^{n}+3 x^{n / 3} y^{2 n / 3}, \\
W_{\mathscr{C}}(x, y) & =\frac{1}{4}\left((x+y)^{n}+3(x+y)^{n / 3}(x-y)^{2 n / 3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we obtain

$$
A_{1}=0, \quad A_{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}-3 n\right)
$$

As explained above, $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ can be seen directly from (2-3). This is clear for $A_{1}$. Since $i$-th and $j$-th columns add to zero if and only if $i \equiv j(\bmod 3)$, we see that $A_{2}=\frac{1}{2} n\left(\frac{1}{3} n-1\right)$. We also have an alternative proof for $A_{1}=0$ as follows. Suppose there is a vector in $\mathscr{C}$ with Hamming weight 1 . Then any vector with Hamming weight 1 belongs to $\mathscr{C}$ since $\Delta$ commutes with "shifts". This implies $\mathscr{C}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, which contradicts $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.

## 3. The main theorem

In the following, we let $\Gamma$ be the Cartesian product $\boldsymbol{P}_{n} \times \boldsymbol{P}_{n}$, forgetting the previous meaning of $n$ as the number of vertices. The corresponding objects $V, \mathscr{F}, \Delta, \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{H}$,
and $A_{i}$ will be denoted by $V_{n}, \mathscr{F}_{n}, \Delta_{n}, \mathscr{C}_{n}, \mathscr{H}_{n}$, and $A_{i}(n)$, respectively. We use double indices for the vertices in a natural way:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{n}=\left\{v_{i, j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\}, \\
v_{i, j} \text { and } v_{k, l} \text { are adjacent } \Longleftrightarrow|i-k|+|j-l|=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j}$ denote the characteristic function of $v_{i, j}$.
The main result of this paper is the following, which states that the center-one configuration is always solvable in the Lights Out puzzle on $\boldsymbol{P}_{n} \times \boldsymbol{P}_{n}$ when $n$ is odd.

Theorem 3.1. If $n=2 m+1(m \geq 0)$, then $\boldsymbol{e}_{m+1, m+1} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$.
Proof. The case $m=0$ is trivial since $\Delta_{1}$ is the identity map, so we suppose $m \geq 1$. We identify a function $f \in \mathscr{F}_{n}$ with the matrix $\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ such that

$$
f=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{i, j} \boldsymbol{e}_{i, j} \quad\left(a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathbf{1}_{a, b}$ denote the $a \times b$ matrix whose entries are all 1 , and $\mathbf{0}$ the zero matrix whose size will be clear from the context. Sutner's theorem states that $\mathbf{1}_{n, n} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$. Applying Sutner's theorem to $\boldsymbol{P}_{m} \times \boldsymbol{P}_{m}$, we see that

$$
f_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{1}_{m, m} & \boldsymbol{x} & \mathbf{0} \\
\boldsymbol{y} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{n}
$$

for a suitable column vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ and a row vector $\boldsymbol{y}$. Since $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ is invariant under horizontal reflection, say $\alpha$, and vertical reflection, say $\beta$, we find that

$$
f_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{1}_{m, m} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{m, m} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{1}_{m, m} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{m, m}
\end{array}\right)=f_{1}+\alpha\left(f_{1}\right)+\beta\left(f_{1}\right)+\alpha \beta\left(f_{1}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{n} .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
f_{3}:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{1}_{n, m} & z & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{n}
$$

for a suitable column vector $z$, so that

$$
f_{4}:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{1}_{n, m} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{n, m}
\end{array}\right)=f_{3}+\alpha\left(f_{3}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{n},
$$

and likewise,

$$
f_{5}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{1}_{m, n} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{1}_{m, n}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{n} .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\boldsymbol{e}_{m+1, m+1}=f_{2}+f_{4}+f_{5}+\mathbf{1}_{n, n} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}
$$

as desired.

Remark 3.2. Our proof is not constructive; in the context of Lights Out puzzle, we only know that $\boldsymbol{e}_{m+1, m+1}$ is solvable, but do not know any solution (an inverse image of $\boldsymbol{e}_{m+1, m+1}$ under $\Delta_{n}$ ). It would be interesting to find out a unified description of a solution of $\boldsymbol{e}_{m+1, m+1}$.

Remark 3.3. The center-one configuration is the only universal solvable configuration of weight 1 , since $A_{1}(n)=1$ for some (infinitely many, under Conjecture 4.4 below) odd integers $n$.

Since $A_{1}(n)$ is the number of $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j}$ 's contained in $\mathscr{C}_{n}$, taking symmetry (i.e., invariance of $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ under the horizontal and vertical reflections) into account, we have:

Corollary 3.4. $A_{1}(n) \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ if $n$ is odd. $A_{1}(n) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ if $n$ is even.
Let $d_{n}$ denote the minimal distance of the linear code $\mathscr{C}_{n}$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $d_{n}=1$ for odd $n$. We see that $d_{n} \leq 2$ in general by the following:

Lemma 3.5. For $n \geq 4$, we have $\boldsymbol{e}_{1,4}+\boldsymbol{e}_{3,2} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$.
Proof. We have $\boldsymbol{e}_{1,4}+\boldsymbol{e}_{3,2}=\Delta_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1,1}+\boldsymbol{e}_{1,2}+\boldsymbol{e}_{1,3}+\boldsymbol{e}_{2,2}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$.
Note that $d_{2}=1$ since $\Delta_{2}$ is bijective. Thus the determination of $d_{n}$ is equivalent to answering the following:

Problem 3.6. Characterize (necessarily even) $n$ such that $A_{1}(n)=0$.

## 4. The case $A_{1}(n) \leq 1$

With the same notation as in the previous section, we consider the case $A_{1}(n) \leq 1$.
A first look at Table 1 leads to the following two conjectures.
Conjecture 4.1. If $A_{1}(n)=0$, then $n+1=2^{l} \pm 1$ for some $l \geq 2$.
Conjecture 4.2. Let $n \geq 2$. We have $A_{1}(n) \leq 1$ if and only if $A_{1}(2 n+1) \leq 1$.
The "if" part of Conjecture 4.2 follows from:
Proposition 4.3. We have $A_{i}(n) \leq A_{i}(2 n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$.
Proof. We define a map $\iota_{n}: \mathscr{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}_{2 n+1}$ by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1, n} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n, 1} & a_{n, 2} & \cdots & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1,1} & 0 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1, n} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2,1} & 0 & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2, n} & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & a_{n, 1} & 0 & a_{n, 2} & \cdots & a_{n, n} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is an analog of $\iota_{m, n}^{ \pm}$used in [Goshima and Yamagishi 2010] for $\boldsymbol{C}_{m} \times \boldsymbol{C}_{n}$. One can then verify the identity $\iota_{n} \Delta_{n}=\Delta_{2 n+1}^{2} \iota_{n}$, so it follows that $\iota_{n}\left(\mathscr{C}_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{C}_{2 n+1}$. Since $\iota_{n}$ preserves the Hamming weight, we have $A_{i}(n) \leq A_{i}(2 n+1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. $\square$

| $n$ | $A_{1}(n)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{n}$ | $n$ | $A_{1}(n)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{n}$ | $n$ | $A_{1}(n)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{n}$ | $n$ | $A_{1}(n)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 701 | 2 | 81 | 6561 | 0 | 121 | 14641 | 0 |
| 2 | 4 | 0 | 42 | 1764 | 0 | 82 | 6724 | 0 | 122 | 14884 | 0 |
| 3 | 9 | 0 | 43 | 1849 | 0 | 83 | 1401 | 6 | 123 | 1 | 80 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 44 | 640 | 4 | 84 | 128 | 12 | 124 | 5376 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 2 | 45 | 2025 | 0 | 85 | 7225 | 0 | 125 | 1 | 50 |
| 6 | 36 | 0 | 46 | 2116 | 0 | 86 | 7396 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 56 |
| 7 | 49 | 0 | 47 | 9 | 30 | 87 | 7569 | 0 | 127 | 16129 | 0 |
| 8 | 64 | 0 | 48 | 2304 | 0 | 88 | 7744 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 56 |
| 9 | 1 | 8 | 49 | 401 | 8 | 89 | 829 | 10 | 129 | 1 | 56 |
| 10 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 196 | 8 | 90 | 8100 | 0 | 130 | 16900 | 0 |
| 11 | 9 | 6 | 51 | 2601 | 0 | 91 | 8281 | 0 | 131 | 1 | 86 |
| 12 | 144 | 0 | 52 | 2704 | 0 | 92 | 364 | 20 | 132 | 17424 | 0 |
| 13 | 169 | 0 | 53 | 1189 | 2 | 93 | 8649 | 0 | 133 | 17689 | 0 |
| 14 | 52 | 4 | 54 | 980 | 4 | 94 | 3060 | 4 | 134 | 6292 | 4 |
| 15 | 225 | 0 | 55 | 3025 | 0 | 95 | 9 | 62 | 135 | 1 | 64 |
| 16 | 0 | 8 | 56 | 3136 | 0 | 96 | 9216 | 0 | 136 | 18496 | 0 |
| 17 | 109 | 2 | 57 | 3249 | 0 | 97 | 9409 | 0 | 137 | 8189 | 2 |
| 18 | 324 | 0 | 58 | 3364 | 0 | 98 | 388 | 20 | 138 | 19044 | 0 |
| 19 | 1 | 16 | 59 | 53 | 22 | 99 | 801 | 16 | 139 | 1681 | 16 |
| 20 | 400 | 0 | 60 | 3600 | 0 | 100 | 10000 | 0 | 140 | 19600 | 0 |
| 21 | 441 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 40 | 101 | 197 | 18 | 141 | 19881 | 0 |
| 22 | 484 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 24 | 102 | 10404 | 0 | 142 | 20164 | 0 |
| 23 | 9 | 14 | 63 | 3969 | 0 | 103 | 10609 | 0 | 143 | 649 | 30 |
| 24 | 176 | 4 | 64 | 0 | 28 | 104 | 3760 | 4 | 144 | 7280 | 4 |
| 25 | 625 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 42 | 105 | 11025 | 0 | 145 | 21025 | 0 |
| 26 | 676 | 0 | 66 | 4356 | 0 | 106 | 11236 | 0 | 146 | 21316 | 0 |
| 27 | 729 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 32 | 107 | 2377 | 6 | 147 | 21609 | 0 |
| 28 | 784 | 0 | 68 | 4624 | 0 | 108 | 11664 | 0 | 148 | 21904 | 0 |
| 29 | 53 | 10 | 69 | 841 | 8 | 109 | 2201 | 8 | 149 | 2501 | 10 |
| 30 | 0 | 20 | 70 | 4900 | 0 | 110 | 12100 | 0 | 150 | 22500 | 0 |
| 31 | 961 | 0 | 71 | 361 | 14 | 111 | 12321 | 0 | 151 | 22801 | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | 20 | 72 | 5184 | 0 | 112 | 12544 | 0 | 152 | 2368 | 8 |
| 33 | 1 | 16 | 73 | 5329 | 0 | 113 | 5549 | 2 | 153 | 23409 | 0 |
| 34 | 372 | 4 | 74 | 1876 | 4 | 114 | 4532 | 4 | 154 | 240 | 24 |
| 35 | 217 | 6 | 75 | 5625 | 0 | 115 | 13225 | 0 | 155 | 5097 | 6 |
| 36 | 1296 | 0 | 76 | 5776 | 0 | 116 | 13456 | 0 | 156 | 24336 | 0 |
| 37 | 1369 | 0 | 77 | 2549 | 2 | 117 | 13689 | 0 | 157 | 24649 | 0 |
| 38 | 1444 | 0 | 78 | 6084 | 0 | 118 | 1380 | 8 | 158 | 24964 | 0 |
| 39 | 1 | 32 | 79 | 1 | 64 | 119 | 53 | 46 | 159 | 1 | 128 |
| 40 | 1600 | 0 | 80 | 6400 | 0 | 120 | 14400 | 0 | 160 | 25600 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1

Applying Conjecture 4.2 repeatedly and using Corollary 3.4, we easily arrive at the following:
Conjecture 4.4. Let $n \geq 3$ be odd and let $d$ be the maximal odd divisor of $n+1$. Then we have $A_{1}(n)=1$ if and only if $d>1$ and $A_{1}(d-1)=0$.
Proposition 4.5. Conjectures 4.2 and 4.4 are equivalent.
Proof. It suffices to show the implication Conjecture $4.4 \Rightarrow$ Conjecture 4.2. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $d$ be the maximal odd divisor of $n+1$ (and hence of $2 n+2$ ). By Corollary $3.4, A_{1}(2 n+1) \leq 1$ is equivalent to $A_{1}(2 n+1)=1$, which, in turn, is equivalent to $d>1$ and $A_{1}(d-1)=0$ by Conjecture 4.4. If $n$ is odd, then the same reasoning shows $A_{1}(n) \leq 1 \Longleftrightarrow d>1$ and $A_{1}(d-1)=0$, so we are done. If $n$ is even, then $d=n+1>1$ and we have $A_{1}(n) \leq 1 \Longleftrightarrow A_{1}(d-1)=0$ by Corollary 3.4.

Next we make an attempt to "explain" the value of $A_{1}(n)$. If the Laplacian $\Delta_{n}$ is bijective, then we have $\mathscr{C}_{n}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n^{2}}$ and hence $A_{1}(n)=n^{2}$. We comment here on the bijectivity of $\Delta_{n}$. Sutner [2000] proved

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{n}=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{gcd}\left(S_{n}(x), S_{n}(x+1)\right)
$$

where $S_{n}$ is the $n$-th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind, regarded as a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Some sufficient conditions for the bijectivity of $\Delta_{n}$ follow from this identity and well-known properties of Chebyshev polynomials. For example, $n=2^{l}-1(l \geq 1)$ is sufficient [Yamagishi 2015, Corollary 4.3]. Note that this confirms Conjecture 4.4 for $n=2^{l}-1$, as $A_{1}(n)=n^{2}$ and $d=1$. There seems to be no simple characterization of $n$ for which $\Delta_{n}$ is bijective.

Now we consider the case where $\Delta_{n}$ is not bijective, i.e., $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{n}>0$. As in Conjecture 4.4, the divisors $d$ of $n+1$ with $A_{1}(d-1)=0$ play an important role in the following two conjectures.
Conjecture 4.6. Let $n$ be even. Then $\Delta_{n}$ is not bijective if and only if there exists a (necessarily odd) divisor $d>1$ of $n+1$ such that $A_{1}(d-1)=0$.
Conjecture 4.7. Suppose $n$ is even and $\Delta_{n}$ is not bijective. Assume Conjecture 4.6, and let $d_{k}(1 \leq k \leq t)$ be the divisors of $n+1$ such that $d_{k}>1$ and $A_{1}\left(d_{k}-1\right)=0$. Then for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have $\boldsymbol{e}_{i, j} \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \equiv 0\left(\bmod d_{k}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad j \equiv 0\left(\bmod d_{k}\right) \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, t$.
Example 4.8. If $A_{1}(n)=0$, then we can take $d_{1}=n+1$ and Conjecture 4.7 is trivially true. But this gives no explanation of why $A_{1}(n)=0$. We exclude this case in the following examples.

Example 4.9. Suppose $t=1$ and put $b=(n+1) / d_{1}$. The number of pairs $(i, j)$ for which (4-1) with $k=1$ fails is $(n-b+1)^{2}$, so we have $A_{1}(n)=n^{2}-(n-b+1)^{2}$.

This applies for $n=14,24,34,44,54,74,94,104,114,124,134,144\left(d_{1}=5\right)$, $n=50,118,152\left(d_{1}=17\right), n=92\left(d_{1}=31\right)$, and $n=98\left(d_{1}=33\right)$.

Example 4.10. For $n=84$, we have $t=2, d_{1}=5, d_{2}=17$, and (4-1) for $k=1,2$ reads as $i j \equiv 0(\bmod 85)$. Thus we have $A_{1}(84)=2(5-1)(17-1)=128$. The same reasoning applies for $n=154$ : $t=2, d_{1}=5, d_{2}=31$ and $A_{1}(154)=$ $2(5-1)(31-1)=240$.

Finally, we note that an answer to Problem 3.6 would give, under Conjecture 4.4, a characterization of (necessarily odd) $n$ with $A_{1}(n)=1$, and, under Conjecture 4.6, a characterization of even $n$ with nonbijective $\Delta_{n}$.

We also point out that, in Table 1, there are four exceptions $n=2,6,8,14$ for the converse statement of Conjecture 4.1. Problem 3.6 would be settled if they are the only exceptions.
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