

## NEW FUNCTION SPACES OF MORREY-CAMPANATO TYPE ON SPACES OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

LIN TANG

ABSTRACT. In the context of spaces of homogeneous type, we introduce and develop some new function spaces of Morrey-Campanato type. The new function spaces are defined by variants of maximal functions associated with generalized approximations to the identity, and they generalize the classical Morrey-Campanato spaces. We show that the John-Nirenberg inequality holds on these spaces. We also establish the endpoint boundedness of fractional integrals.

### 1. Introduction

The Morrey-Campanato spaces on Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  play an important role in the study of partial differential equation; see [11], [13] and [15]. The concept of spaces of homogeneous type, which is a natural generalization of Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , was introduced in [3]. In this paper, we will study Morrey-Campanato spaces on spaces of homogeneous type. Let  $\chi$  be a space of homogeneous type equipped with a metric  $d$  and measure  $\mu$  satisfying the doubling property. Following [14], we will say that a locally integral function  $f$  is a Morrey-Campanato space  $L(\alpha, \chi)$  function ( $\alpha > 0$ ) on  $\chi$  if

$$\sup_B \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls  $B \subset \chi$  and  $f_B$  stands for the mean of  $f$  over  $B$  with respect to  $\mu$ , that is,

$$f_B = \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B f(y) d\mu(y).$$

It is well known that for  $\alpha = 0$  the space  $L(\alpha, \chi)$  coincides with the  $BMO(\chi)$  space. Moreover,  $L(\alpha, \chi)$  coincides with  $Lip(\alpha, \chi)$ , the Lipschitz integral space, when  $0 < \alpha < 1/n$ , where  $n$  denotes the homogeneous dimension

---

Received July 2, 2005; received in final form July 25, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25, 42B20.

The research was supported by the NNSF (10401002) and NNSF (10371004) of China.

of homogeneous space  $\chi$ . Recently, X. T. Duong and L. X. Yan [7] introduced new function spaces of *BMO* type that generalize the classical *BMO* space in the context of spaces of homogeneous type. More precisely, they considered  $A_t f(x)$ , for certain families of operators  $A_t$  with kernel  $a_t(x, y)$  with appropriate decay, as an average version of  $f$  and used

$$A_{t_B} f(x) = \int_{\chi} a_{t_B}(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

in place of the mean value  $f_B$  in the definition of the classical *BMO* space, where  $t_B$  is scaled to the radius of the ball  $B$ . Similarly, D. G. Deng, X. T. Duong and L. X. Yan [4] also gave a new characterization of the Morrey-Campanato spaces on the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In this paper, motivated by [4] and [7], we introduce new function spaces of Morrey-Campanato type on spaces of homogeneous type. We study and establish important features for these spaces such as the John-Nirenberg inequality on spaces of homogeneous type. Finally, we prove endpoint estimates for new fractional integrals.

In the sequel,  $C$  is a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters and not necessary the same at each occurrence.

## 2. Definition of $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ and basic properties

**2.1. Preliminaries.** We briefly recall some basic definitions and facts about spaces of homogeneous type. A quasi-metric  $d$  on a set  $\chi$  is a function from  $\chi \times \chi$  to  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying the following:

- (i)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ .
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in \chi$ .
- (iii) There exists a constant  $C_1 \geq 1$  such that

$$d(x, y) \leq C_1(d(x, z) + d(z, y)), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \chi.$$

By a result in [14], for any quasi-metric  $d$  there exists another quasi-metric  $d'$ , continuous and equivalent to  $d$ , for which every ball is open. So, without loss of generality, the quasi-metric  $d$  can be assumed to be continuous and the balls to be open.

A space of homogeneous type  $(\chi, d, \mu)$  is a set  $\chi$  together with a quasi-metric  $d$  and a nonnegative Borel measure  $\mu$  such that the doubling property

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C_2 \mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$$

holds for all  $x \in \chi$  and  $r > 0$ , where the constant  $C_2 \geq 1$  is independent of  $x$  and  $r$ , and  $B(x, r) = \{y \in \chi : d(x, y) < r\}$  is the ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ .

Note that the doubling property implies the following strong homogeneity property:

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(B(x, \lambda r)) \leq C \lambda^n \mu(B(x, r))$$

for some  $C$ ,  $n > 0$ , uniformly for all  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $x \in \chi$ . The parameter  $n$  is a measure of the dimension of the space. There also exist  $C$  and  $N$ ,  $0 \leq N \leq n$ , such that

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(B(y, r)) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{d(x, y)}{r}\right)^N \mu(B(x, r))$$

uniformly for all  $x, y \in \chi$  and  $r > 0$ . See also [7].

As in [7], we will work with a class of integral operators  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ , which plays the role of generalized approximations to the identity. We assume that the operators  $A_t$  are defined by kernels  $a_t(x, y)$  in the sense that

$$A_t f(x) = \int_{\chi} a_t(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

for every function  $f$  that satisfies the growth condition (2.5) below.

We also assume that the kernels  $a_t(x, y)$  satisfy the estimate

$$|a_t(x, y)| \leq h_t(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \chi$ , where  $h_t(x, y)$  is given by

$$(2.3) \quad h_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right),$$

in which  $m$  is a positive constant and  $g$  is a positive, bounded, decreasing function satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n+2N+(n+N)\alpha+\epsilon} g(r^m) = 0$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $N$  is the power appearing in property (2.2), and  $n$  the dimension entering the strong homogeneity property. Here and in the sequel  $\alpha$  denotes a positive constant.

We will also use the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $Mf$ , which is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f(y)| d\mu(y),$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls containing  $x$ .

**2.2. Definition of  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ .** Let  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  be a generalized approximation to the identity whose kernels  $a_t(x, y)$  satisfy conditions (2.3) and (2.4). For a ball  $B$  we will use the notation  $2^k B$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , to denote the ball having the same center as  $B$  and radius  $2^k r_B$ , and  $2^{-1} B$  denotes the empty set  $\emptyset$ .

Let  $\epsilon$  be the constant in (2.4) and  $0 < \beta < \epsilon$ . A function  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\chi)$  is said to be a function of type  $(x_0, \beta)$  centered at  $x_0 \in \chi$  if  $f$  satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\chi} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1 + d(x_0, x))^{2N+(n+N)\alpha+\beta} \mu(B(x_0, 1 + d(x_0, x)))} d\mu(x) \leq C < \infty.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta}$  the collection of all function of type  $(x_0, \beta)$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta}$ , the norm of  $f$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta}$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta}} = \inf\{C \geq 0 : (2.5) \text{ holds}\}.$$

For a fixed  $x_0 \in \chi$  it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta}$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta}} < \infty$ . For any  $x_1 \in \chi$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{x_1, \beta} = \mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta}$  with equivalent norms. We set

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{x_0 \in \chi} \bigcup_{\beta: 0 < \beta < \epsilon} \mathcal{M}_{x_0, \beta},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the constant in (2.4).

LEMMA 2.1. *We have the following properties:*

- (i) *If  $f \in L(\alpha, \chi)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $t > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  we have  $|A_t f(x)| < \infty$  for almost all  $x \in \chi$ .*
- (iii) *For each  $t, s > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  we have  $|A_t(A_s f)(x)| < \infty$  for almost all  $x \in \chi$ .*

As a consequence, if

$$a_{t+s}(x, y) = \int_{\chi} a_t(x, z) a_s(z, y) d\mu(z),$$

then for any  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A_{t+s} f(x) = A_t(A_s f)(x)$  for almost all  $x \in \chi$ , and we say that the class  $A_t$  satisfies the semigroup property.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is similar to that of Lemma 2.3 in [7]. We omit the details.

We now introduce the space  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$  associated with a generalized approximation to the identity  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  is in  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ , the space of functions of Lipschitz type associated with a generalized approximation to the identity  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ , if there exists some  $C$  such that for any ball  $B$

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_B \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C,$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$  and  $r_B$  is the radius of the ball  $B$ .

The smallest bound  $C$  for which (2.6) is satisfied is then taken to be the norm of  $f$  in this space and is denoted by  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}$ .

Note that when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\text{Lip}_A(0, \chi) = BMO_A(\chi)$ ; see [7].

Next, we give a relation between  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$  and  $L(\alpha, \chi)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Assume that for every  $t > 0$ ,  $A_t(1) = 1$  almost everywhere, that is,  $\int_{\chi} a_t(x, y) d\mu(y) = 1$  for almost all  $x \in \chi$ . Then, we have  $L(\alpha, \chi) \subset \text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$  and there exists a positive constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \leq C \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)}.$$

However, the converse inequality does not hold in general.

*Proof.* We fix  $f \in L(\alpha, \chi)$ ,  $x_0 \in \chi$  and a ball  $B \ni x_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B \int_{\chi} h_{t_B}(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ & = \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B \int_{2B} h_{t_B}(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} \\ & \quad \quad \times h_{t_B}(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ & = \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate I. By the doubling property (2.1), we know that  $\mu(B) \leq 2^N \mu(B(x, r_B))$  since  $x \in B$ . For  $y \in 2B$  we then have

$$h_{t_B}(x, y) = \frac{g(d^m(x, y)t_B^{-1})}{\mu(B(x, t_B^{1/m}))} \leq \frac{g(0)}{\mu(B(x, r_B))} \leq \frac{C}{\mu(2B)}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} & \leq \frac{C}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \mu(2B)} \int_B \int_{2B} |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \mu(2B)} \int_B \int_{2B} |f(x) - f_{2B}| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + \frac{C}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \mu(2B)} \int_B \int_{2B} |f(y) - f_{2B}| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - f_{2B}| d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + C \frac{\mu(2B)^\alpha}{\mu(B)^\alpha} \frac{1}{\mu(2B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2B} |f(y) - f_{2B}| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Regarding II, for  $x \in B$  and  $y \in 2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B$ , we have  $d(x, y) \geq 2^{k-1}r_B$ . Therefore,

$$h_{t_B} = \frac{g(d^m(x, y)r_B^{-m})}{\mu(B(x, r_B))} \leq C \frac{g(2^{(k-1)m})}{\mu(B)} \leq C \frac{g(2^{(k-1)m})2^{(k+1)n}}{\mu(2^{k+1}B)},$$

where we used (2.1). Thus,

$$\text{II} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{kn} \frac{g(2^{(k-1)m})}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \mu(2^{k+1}B)} \int_B \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) du(x).$$

We estimate each term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \mu(2^{k+1}B)} \int_B \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) du(x) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)^\alpha \mu(2^{k+1}B)} \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{k+1}B}| d\mu(y) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - f_{2^{k+1}B}| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq 2^{k\alpha n} \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)} + \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\mu(B)^\alpha} |f_B - f_{2B}| + \dots + \frac{1}{\mu(B)^\alpha} |f_{2^k B} - f_{2^{k+1}B}| \\ & \leq 2^{k\alpha n} \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)} + \sum_{l=0}^k 2^{ln\alpha} \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)} \\ & \leq C 2^{k\alpha n} \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.4), we obtain

$$\text{I} \leq C \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(\alpha+1)n} g(2^{(k-1)m}) \leq C \|f\|_{L(\alpha, \chi)}.$$

Finally, we show that the converse inequality does not hold in general. We consider  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Lebesgue measure  $dx$  and the approximation of the identity  $\{D_t : t > 0\}$  given by the kernel

$$a_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{2t^{1/m}} \chi_{(x-t^{1/m}, x+t^{1/m})}(y).$$

Let us take the function  $f(x) = x$ . For every  $t > 0$ ,  $D_t f(x) = x$  and  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \mathbb{R})} = 0$  for  $\alpha > 0$ , but  $\|f\|_{L(\alpha, \mathbb{R})} = +\infty$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Thus,  $L(\alpha, \mathbb{R}) \subset \text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \mathbb{R})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Basic properties of  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ .** In this section, let  $\chi$  be a space of homogeneous type equipped with a quasi-metric  $d$  and a measure  $\mu$ . We assume that:

- (a)  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  is a generalized approximation to the identity with kernels  $a_t(x, y)$  satisfying conditions (2.3) and (2.4).
- (b)  $A_0$  is the identity operator and the operators  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  form a semi-group, that is, for any  $t, s > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A_t A_s f(x) = A_{t+s} f(x)$  for almost all  $x \in \chi$ .

We first prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Assume that  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  satisfies assumptions (a) and (b) above. If  $f \in \text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$  with  $\alpha > 0$ , then for any  $t > 0$  and  $K > 1$ , we have*

$$|A_t f(x) - A_{Kt} f(x)| \leq C \left( K^n \mu(B(x, t^{1/m})) \right)^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}$$

for almost all  $x \in \chi$ , where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $x$  and  $K$ .

To prove Proposition 2.2, we first recall a result of Christ [2], which gives an analogue of the Euclidean dyadic cubes.

LEMMA 2.2. *There exists a collection of open subsets  $\{Q_\alpha^k \subset \chi : k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in I_k\}$ , where  $I_k$  denotes some index set depending on  $k$ , and constants  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ , and  $0 < D < \infty$ , such that:*

- (i)  $\mu(\chi \setminus \bigcup_\alpha Q_\alpha^k) = 0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii) If  $l \geq k$ , then either  $Q_\beta^l \subset Q_\alpha^k$  or  $Q_\beta^l \cap Q_\alpha^k = \emptyset$ .
- (iii) For each  $(k, \alpha)$  and each  $l < k$  there is a unique  $\beta$  such that  $Q_\alpha^k \subset Q_\beta^l$ .
- (iv) The diameter of  $(Q_\alpha^k)^c$  is  $\leq D\delta^k$ .
- (v) Each  $Q_\alpha^k$  contains some ball  $B(z_\alpha^k, \alpha_0 \delta^k)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.2.* For any  $t > 0$  we choose  $s$  such that  $t/4 \leq s \leq t$  with the notation as in Lemma 2.2. First fix  $l_0$  such that  $D\delta^{l_0} \leq s^{1/m} \leq D\delta^{l_0-1}$  and fix a point  $x \in \chi$ . From conditions (i) and (iv) of Lemma 2.2, we can find a  $Q_{\alpha_0}^{l_0}$  such that  $x \in Q_{\alpha_0}^{l_0}$  and  $Q_{\alpha_0}^{l_0} \subset B(x, D\delta^{l_0})$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $M_k$  by

$$M_k = \{\beta : Q_\beta^{l_0} \cap B(x, D\delta^{l_0}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Again, by (i) and (iv) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$B(x, D\delta^{l_0-k}) \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in M_k} Q_\beta^{l_0} \subset B(x, D\delta^{l_0-(k+k_0)}),$$

where  $k_0$  is an integer such that  $\delta^{-k_0} \geq 2C_1$  and  $C_1$  is the constant appearing in the definition of a quasi-metric  $d$ .

In [7], X. T. Duong and L. X. Yan proved that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $k$  such that the number of open subsets  $\{Q_\beta^{l_0}\}_{\beta \in M_k}$  is less than  $C\delta^{-k(n+N)}$ , that is,

$$m_k = \#\{Q_\beta^{l_0} : \beta \in M_k\} \leq C\delta^{-k(n+N)},$$

where  $N$  is the power that appeared in property (2.2) and  $n$  the “dimension” entering the strong homogeneity property.

We now estimate the term  $|A_t f(x) - A_{t+s} f(x)|$  for the case  $t/4 \leq s \leq t$ . By property (b) of the semigroup  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ , we can write

$$A_t f(x) - A_{t+s} f(x) = A_t(f - A_s f)(x).$$

Since  $f \in \text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_t f(x) - A_{t+s} f(x)| \\ & \leq \int_{\chi} h_t(x, y) |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))} \int_{\chi} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right) |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))} \int_{B(x, t^{1/m})} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right) |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \quad + \frac{c}{\mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))} \int_{\chi \setminus B(x, t^{1/m})} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right) |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C\mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} + \text{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that for any  $y \in B(x, D\delta^{l_0 - (k+1)}) \setminus B(x, D\delta^{l_0 - k})$ , we have  $d(x, y) \geq D\delta^{l_0 - k}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\chi \setminus B(x, t^{1/m})} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right) |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \int_{\chi \setminus B(x, D\delta^{l_0})} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right) |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{B(x, D\delta^{l_0 - (k+1)}) \setminus B(x, D\delta^{l_0 - k})} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right) \\ & \quad \times |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(\delta^{-(k-1)m}/4) \int_{B(x, D\delta^{l_0 - (k+1)})} |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta \in M_k} g(\delta^{-(k-1)m}/4) \int_{Q_\beta^{l_0}} |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (iv) of Lemma 2.2, we get  $Q_\beta^{l_0} \subset B(z_\beta^{l_0}, s^{1/m})$ . From property (2.2), we have

$$\mu(B(x, s^{1/m}))^{-1} \leq C\delta^{-kN} \mu(B(z_\beta^{l_0}, s^{1/m}))$$

for any  $\beta \in M_{k+1}$ . Thus, using the decay of function  $g$  and the estimate  $m_k \leq C\delta^{-(k+N)}$ , we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta \in M_{k+1}} g(\delta^{-(k-1)m}/4) \int_{Q_{\beta}^{t_0}} |f(y) - A_s f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k+1} \delta^{-kN} g(\delta^{-(k-1)m}/4) \mu(B(z_{\beta}^{t_0}, s^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta^{-k(n+2N)} \delta^{-kN\alpha} g(\delta^{-(k-1)m}/4) \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta^{-k(n+2N+2N\alpha)} g(\delta^{-km}) \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \\ &\leq C \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $0 < s < t/4$  we write

$$A_t f(x) - A_{t+s} f(x) = (A_t f(x) - A_{2t} f(x)) - A_{t+s}(f - A_{t-s} f)(x).$$

Noting that  $(t+s)/4 \leq t-s < t+s$ , the same argument as above applies. In general, for any  $K > 1$ , we let  $l$  be the integer satisfying  $2^l \leq K < 2^{l+1}$ , so that  $l \leq \log_2 K$ . Thus, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $t$  and  $K$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|A_t f(x) - A_{2t} f(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} |A_{2^k t} f(x) - A_{2^{k+1} t} f(x)| + |A_{2^l t} f(x) - A_{2^{l+1} t} f(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \mu(B(x, 2^k t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} + C \mu(B(x, 2^l t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} 2^{kn\alpha} \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} + C 2^{ln\alpha} \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \\ &\leq CK^{n\alpha} \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \chi$ . The proof of Proposition 2.2 is complete. □

Using Proposition 2.2, we can prove the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $m$  be the positive constant in (2.3). Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\sup_{t>0, x \in \chi} \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{-\alpha} |A_t(|f - A_t f|)(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \in \text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ . For any fixed  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \chi$  we choose a ball  $B$  centered at  $x$  and of radius  $r_B = t^{1/m}$ . Let  $t_{2^k B} = r_{2^k B}^m$ . By Proposition 2.2 we have, for all  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(2^k B)} \int_{2^k B} |f(x) - A_t f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\mu(2^k B)} \int_{2^k B} |f(x) - A_{t_{2^k B}} f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + C \sup_{x \in 2^k B} |A_{t_{2^k B}} f(x) - A_t f(x)| \\ & \leq C 2^{nk\alpha} \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A_t(|f - A_t f|)(x)| & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{2^k B \setminus 2^{k-1} B} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t}\right) \\ & \quad \times |f(x) - A_t f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kn} g(2^{(k-1)m}) \frac{1}{\mu(2^k B)} \\ & \quad \times \int_{2^k B} |f(x) - A_t f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kn(1+\alpha)} g(2^{(k-1)m}) \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \\ & \leq C \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Proposition 2.3 is proved.  $\square$

We next show that the average value  $A_{t_B} f$  in Definition 2.1 of  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$  can be replaced by other value  $f^B$  that satisfies appropriate estimates.

**DEFINITION 2.2.** Suppose that for a given  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  there exists a constant  $C$  and a collection of functions  $\{f^B(x)\}_B$  (that is, for each ball  $B$ , there exists a function  $f^B(x)$ ) such that

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_B \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

$$(2.8) \quad |f^{B_2}(x) - f^{B_1}(x)| \leq C \left(\frac{r_{B_2}}{r_{B_1}}\right)^{n\alpha} \mu(B(x, r_{B_1}))^\alpha$$

for any two balls  $B_1 = B(x, r_{B_1}) \subset B_2 = B(x, r_{B_2})$ , and for almost all  $x \in \chi$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad |f^B(x) - A_{t_B} f^B(x)| \leq C \mu(B(x, r_B))^\alpha,$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$ . We define

$$\|f\|_{\widetilde{\text{Lip}}_A} = \inf\{C : C \text{ satisfies (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9)}\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all constants  $C$  and the sets of functions  $\{f^B(x)\}$  that satisfy (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9).

We have the following equivalence of norms.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha,\chi)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\text{Lip}}_A(\alpha,\chi)}$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ . To see that  $\|f\|_{\widetilde{\text{Lip}}_A(\alpha,\chi)} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha,\chi)}$ , we set  $f^B(x) = A_{t_B}f(x)$  for each ball  $B$ . Applying Proposition 2.2, the estimates (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8) hold with the constant  $C = C_1\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha,\chi)}$ .

It remains to prove that, for any fixed ball  $B$  centered at  $x_0$  and the radius  $r_B$ ,

$$\sup_B \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C\|f\|_{\widetilde{\text{Lip}}_A(\alpha,\chi)},$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$ . For any  $x \in B$ , by (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_{t_B}(f - f^B)(x)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, t_B^{1/m}))} \int_{\chi} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t_B}\right) |f(y) - f^B(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{2^k B \setminus 2^{k-1} B} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t_B}\right) |f(y) - f^B(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kn(1+\alpha)} g(2^{(k-2)m}) \frac{\mu(B)^\alpha}{\mu(2^k B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2^k B} |f(y) - f^{2^k B}(y)| d\mu(y) \\ & \quad + C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kn} g(2^{(k-2)m}) \sup_{y \in 2^k B} |f^B(y) - f^{2^k B}(y)| \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kn(1+\alpha)} g(2^{(k-2)m}) \mu(B)^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha,\chi)} \\ & \quad + C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kn+(n+N)\alpha} g(2^{(k-2)m}) \mu(B)^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha,\chi)} \\ & \leq C\mu(B)^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha,\chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7), (2.9), and the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f(x) - f^B(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |f^B(x) - A_{t_B} f^B(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |A_{t_B}(f - A_{t_B} f)(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of Proposition 2.4 is complete. □

### 3. A variant of the John-Nirenberg inequality on $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$

We continue to assume that the operators  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  satisfy properties (a) and (b) in Section 2. In this section, we will prove a variant of the John-Nirenberg inequality for the space  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$  associated with the semigroup  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  by using Proposition 2.2 and adapting the arguments of pages 1398–1400 in [7].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $f \in \text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ , there exists positive constant  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that for every ball  $B$  and every  $\lambda > 0$ , we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \mu\{x \in B : |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| > \lambda\} \leq c_1 \mu(B) \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_2 \lambda}{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \mu(B)^\alpha} \right\},$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$ .

*Proof.* In order to prove (3.1), it is enough to consider the case  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} > 0$ . We may assume that  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} = 1$  because inequality (3.1) does not change if we replace  $f$  by  $Cf$ , where  $C$  is a constant. We need to prove that for a fixed  $B \subset \chi$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \mu\{x \in B : |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| > \lambda\} \leq c_1 \mu(B) \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_2 \lambda}{\mu(B)^\alpha} \right\},$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$ .

Denote by  $B = B(x_0, r_B)$  a ball centered at  $x_0$  and of radius  $r_B$ . We fix the ball  $B$  in  $\chi$  and set  $f_0 = (f - A_{t_B} f)\chi_{10C_1^4 B}$ , where  $C_1$  is the constant appearing in the definition of a quasi-metric  $d$  in Section 2. By Proposition 2.2 we have

$$\|f_0\|_{L^1(\chi)} \leq \int_{10C_1^4 B} |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C \mu(B)^{1+\alpha}.$$

Denote by  $M$  the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Take  $\beta > 1$  and define two sets  $F$  and  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$F = \{x : M(f_0) \leq \beta\mu(B)^\alpha\} \text{ and } \Omega = F^c = \{x : M(f_0) > \beta\mu(B)^\alpha\}.$$

By Theorem 1.3 of Chapter III in [3] there exists a collection of balls  $B_{1,1}, B_{1,2}, \dots, B_{1,i}, \dots$ , satisfying;

- (i)  $\bigcup_i B_{1,i} = \Omega$ .
- (ii) Each point of  $\Omega$  is contained in at most a fine number  $L$  of the balls  $B_{1,i}$ .
- (iii) There exists  $c > 1$  such that  $cB_{1,i} \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i$ .

Property (i) implies that for any  $x \in B \setminus (\bigcup_i B_{1,i})$ ,

$$|f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| = |f_0(x)|\chi_F(x) \leq M(f_0)(x)\chi_F(x) \leq \beta\mu(B)^\alpha.$$

Since the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is of weak type (1,1), it follows from (i) and (ii) that

$$\sum_i \mu(B_{1,i}) \leq L\mu(\Omega) \leq \frac{C}{\beta\mu(B)^\alpha} \|f_0\|_1 \leq \frac{c_3}{\beta} \mu(B)$$

for some  $c_3 > 0$ .

For any  $B_{1,i} \cap B \neq \emptyset$  we denote by  $B_{1,i} = B(x_{B_{1,i}}, r_{B_{1,i}})$  a ball centered at  $x_{B_{1,i}}$  and of radius  $r_{B_{1,i}}$ . Then we have

$$\mu(B) \leq C \left(\frac{r_B}{r_{B_{1,i}}}\right)^n \mu(B(x_0, r_{B_{1,i}})) \leq \frac{c_4}{\beta} \left(\frac{r_B}{r_{B_{1,i}}}\right)^{n+N} \mu(B)$$

for some  $c_4 > 0$  and  $n$  and  $N$  as above.

We choose  $\beta$  such that  $\beta > \min\{c_4(10C_1)^{n+N}, c_3^2\}$ . Obviously,  $r_B > 10C_1r_{B_{1,i}}$ . This implies that for any  $B_{1,i} \cap B \neq \emptyset$  we have  $B_{1,i} \subset 2C_1B$ . We now prove that for any  $B_{1,i} \cap B \neq \emptyset$  there exists a constant  $c_5$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad |A_{t_{B_{1,i}}}f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| \leq c_5\beta\mu(B)^\alpha \text{ for all } x \in B_{1,i}.$$

Using property (b) of the semigroup  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ , we write

$$A_{t_{B_{1,i}}}f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x) = A_{t_{B_{1,i}}}(f - A_{t_B}f)(x) + (A_{t_{B_{1,i}}+t_B}f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)).$$

Because  $t_{B_{1,i}} + t_B$  and  $t_B$  have comparable sizes, applying Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$|A_{t_{B_{1,i}}+t_B}f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| \leq C\mu(B(x, r_B))^\alpha \leq C\beta\mu(B(x_0, r_B))^\alpha \text{ for } x \in B_{1,i}.$$

Hence, in order to prove (3.3), we need only to show that

$$(3.4) \quad |A_{t_{B_{1,i}}}(f - A_{t_B}f)(x)| \leq C\mu(B(x_0, r_B))^\alpha \text{ for all } x \in B_{1,i}.$$

Let  $q_i$  be minimal so that  $2C_1^2B \subset 2^{q_i+1}B_{1,i}$  and  $2C_1^2B \cap (2^{q_i+1}B_{1,i})^c \neq \emptyset$ . For any  $z \in 2^{q_i+1}B_{1,i}$  we have  $d(x_0, z) \leq 10C_1^4r_B$  and  $2^{q_i+1}B_{1,i} \subset 10C_1^4B$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A_{t_{B_{1,i}}}(f - A_{t_B}f)(x)| \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B_{1,i})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} g\left(\frac{d^m(x,y)}{t_{B_{1,i}}}\right) |f(y) - A_{t_B}f(y)| d\mu(y) \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B_{1,i})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} g\left(\frac{d^m(x,y)}{t_{B_{1,i}}}\right) |f(y) - A_{t_B}f(y)| d\mu(y) \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{q_i+1} \frac{1}{\mu(B_{1,i})} \int_{2^k B_{1,i} \setminus 2^{k-1} B_{1,i}} g\left(\frac{d^m(x,y)}{t_{B_{1,i}}}\right) \\
& \quad \times |f(y) - A_{t_B}f(y)| d\mu(y) \\
& \quad + C \frac{1}{\mu(B_{1,i})} \int_{\mathcal{X} \setminus 2^{q_i+1} B_{1,i}} g\left(\frac{d^m(x,y)}{t_{B_{1,i}}}\right) \\
& \quad \times |f(y) - A_{t_B}f(y)| d\mu(y) \\
& = \text{I} + \text{II}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately from property (iii) of the balls  $B_{1,i}$  that there is a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $k$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(2^k B_{1,i})} \int_{2^k B_{1,i}} |f_0(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C\beta\mu(B)^\alpha.$$

Hence, for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q_i + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & \frac{1}{\mu(2^k B_{1,i})} \int_{2^k B_{1,i}} |f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| d\mu(x) \\
& = \frac{1}{\mu(2^k B_{1,i})} \int_{2^k B_{1,i}} |f_0(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C\beta\mu(B)^\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $2^{q_i+1} B_{1,i} \subset 10C_1^4 B$ . For any  $x \in B_{1,i}$  and  $y \in 2^k B_{1,i} \setminus 2^{k-1} B_{1,i}$ ,  $k = [\log_2 C_1] + 2, \dots$  there exists a constant  $c_6 > 0$  such that  $d(y, x) \geq c_6 2^k r_{B_{1,i}}$ . (Here  $[\log_2 C_1]$  denotes the integer part of  $\log_2 C_1$ .) Hence, from (3.5) and (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{I} & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{[\log_2 C_1]+1} 2^{kn} g(0) \frac{1}{\mu(2^k B_{1,i})} \int_{2^k B_{1,i}} |f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| d\mu(x) \\
& \quad + \sum_{k=[\log_2 C_1]+2}^{q_i+1} 2^{kn} g(c_6^m 2^{km}) \frac{1}{\mu(2^k B_{1,i})} \int_{2^k B_{1,i}} |f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| d\mu(x) \\
& \leq C\beta\mu(B)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{[\log_2 C_1]+1} 2^{kn} g(0) + C\beta\mu(B)^\alpha \sum_{k=[\log_2 C_1]+2}^{q_i+1} 2^{kn} g(c_6^m 2^{km}) \\
& \leq C\beta\mu(B)^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate II, we let  $p_i$  be an integer such that  $2^{p_i}r_{B_{1,i}} \leq r_B < 2^{p_i+1}r_{B_{1,i}}$ . Set  $2^{-1}B_{1,i} = \emptyset$ . We then have  $\mu(B(x_0, r_{B_{1,i}})) \leq C2^{p_i N}\mu(B_{1,i})$ . For any  $x \in B_{1,i}$  and  $y \in 2^k B_{1,i} \setminus 2^{k-1}B_{1,i}$ ,  $k = [2 \log_2 C_1] + 2, \dots$  there exists a constant  $c_7 > 0$  such that  $d(y, x) \geq c_7 2^{k+p_i}r_{B_{1,i}}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &\leq C \sum_{k=[2 \log_2 C_1]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(B_{1,i})} \int_{2^k B_{1,i} \setminus 2^{k-1} B_{1,i}} g\left(\frac{d^m(x, y)}{t_{B_{1,i}}}\right) \\ &\quad \times |f(y) - A_{t_B} f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=[2 \log_2 C_1]+1}^{\infty} 2^{p_i N} 2^{(k+p_i)n} g(c_7^m 2^{(k+p_i)m}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\mu(2^{k+1}B)} \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=[2 \log_2 C_1]+1}^{\infty} 2^{(k+p_i)(n+N+(n+N)\alpha)} g(c_7^m 2^{(k+p_i)m}) \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \\ &\leq C\mu(B)^\alpha \leq C\beta\mu(B)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates of I and II, we obtain (3.4). Estimate (3.3) then follows.

On each  $B_{1,i}$ , we again use the decomposition in Theorem 1.3 of Chapter III in [2] of the function

$$f_{1,i}(x) = (f - A_{B_{1,i}} f)(x) \chi_{10C_1^4 B_{1,i}}(x)$$

with same value  $\beta\mu(B)^\alpha$ . We then obtain a collection of balls  $\{B_{2,m}\}$  for any  $x \in B_{1,i} \setminus (\bigcup_m B_{2,m})$  such that  $|f(x) - A_{t_{B_{1,i}}} f(x)| \leq \beta\mu(B)^\alpha$  and

$$\sum_m \mu(B_{2,m}) \leq \frac{c_3}{\beta\mu(B)^\alpha} \mu(B_{1,i})^{1+\alpha} \leq \frac{c_3}{\beta} \mu(B_{1,i}).$$

Also, for any  $B_{2,m} \cap B_{1,i} \neq \emptyset$  we have

$$|A_{t_{B_{1,i}}} f(x) - A_{t_{B_{2,m}}} f(x)| \leq c_5 \beta\mu(B)^\alpha \text{ for all } x \in B_{2,m}.$$

Now we combine all families  $\{B_{2,m}\}$  corresponding to different  $B_{1,i}$ 's and still call the resulting family  $\{B_{2,m}\}$ . Then we have

$$|f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| \leq |f(x) - A_{t_{B_{1,i}}} f(x)| + |A_{t_B} f(x) - A_{t_{B_{1,i}}} f(x)| \leq 2c_5 \beta\mu(B)^\alpha$$

for  $x \in B \setminus (\bigcup_m B_{2,m})$ , and so

$$\sum_m \mu(B_{2,m}) \leq \left(\frac{c_3}{\beta}\right)^2 \mu(B).$$

We then obtain for each natural number  $K$  a family of balls  $\{B_{k,m}\}$  such that outside of their union we have

$$|f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| \leq Kc_5\beta\mu(B)^\alpha, \quad x \in B \setminus \left(\bigcup_m B_{K,m}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_m \mu(B_{K,m}) \leq \left(\frac{c_3}{\beta}\right)^K \mu(B).$$

If  $Kc_5\beta\mu(B)^\alpha \leq \lambda < (K+1)c_5\beta\mu(B)^\alpha$  with  $K = 1, 2, \dots$ , using the condition  $\beta > c_3^2$ , we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{x \in B : |f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| > \lambda\} &\leq \sum_m \mu(B_{K,m}) \leq \left(\frac{c_3}{\beta}\right)^K \mu(B) \\ &\leq e^{-(K \log_2)/2} \mu(B) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\beta} e^{-\frac{\lambda \log \beta}{4c_5\beta}} \mu(B). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\lambda < c_5\beta\mu(B)^\alpha$ , we have

$$\mu\{x \in B : |f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| > \lambda\} \leq \mu(B) \leq e^{1 - \frac{\lambda}{c_5\beta\mu(B)^\alpha}} \mu(B).$$

Thus, we obtain (3.2) by choosing

$$c_1 = \max(e, \sqrt{\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = \frac{\min\{(\log \beta)/4, 1\}}{c_5\beta}.$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 is proved. □

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following theorem, which is equivalent to Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that  $f$  is in  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ . There exist positive constants  $\lambda$  and  $C$  such that*

$$\sup_B \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \mu(B)^\alpha} |f(x) - A_{t_B}f(x)| \right\} d\mu(x) \leq C,$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$ .

*Proof.* We choose  $\lambda = c_2/2$ , where  $c_2$  is the constant in Theorem 3.1. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_B \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \mu(B)^\alpha} |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| \right\} d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \mu \left\{ x \in B : \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \mu(B)^\alpha} |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| \right\} > t \right\} dt \\
 &\leq \mu(B) \\
 &\quad + \int_1^\infty \mu \left\{ x \in B : |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| > \frac{\log t \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \mu(B)^\alpha}{\lambda} \right\} dt \\
 &\leq \mu(B) + c_1 \mu(B) \int_1^\infty \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_2 \log t}{\lambda} \right\} dt \\
 &\leq \mu(B) + c_1 \mu(B) \int_1^\infty t^{-c_2/\lambda} dt \\
 &\leq C \mu(B).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 3.2 is proved. □

DEFINITION 3.1. Given  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we now define the space  $\text{Lip}_A^p(\alpha, \chi)$  as follows:  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  is in  $\text{Lip}_A^p(\alpha, \chi)$  if there exists some constant  $C$  such that for any ball  $B$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_B \frac{1}{\mu(B)^\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)|^p \right)^{1/p} \mu(x) < \infty,$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$  and  $r_B$  is the radius of the ball.

The smallest bound  $C$  for which (3.6) is satisfied is then taken to be the norm of  $f$  in this space and is denoted by  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A^p(\alpha, \chi)}$ .

We have the following result.

THEOREM 3.3. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  the spaces  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A^p(\alpha, \chi)}$  coincide, and the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}_A^p}$  are equivalent for different values of  $p$ .

*Proof.* For any  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ , by Hölder’s inequality we have  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A^p(\alpha, \chi)}$ . To obtain the converse inequality, we apply Theorem 3.1. If  $f \in \text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_B |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \\
 &= p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \mu \{ x \in B : |f(x) - A_{t_B} f(x)| > \lambda \} d\lambda \\
 &\leq C_p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_2 \lambda}{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \mu(B)^\alpha} \right\} d\lambda \mu(B) \\
 &\leq C_p \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}^p \mu(B)^{p\alpha} \mu(B).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A^p(\alpha, \chi)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)}$ . □

#### 4. Applications

In this section, we consider the  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ -boundedness ( $\alpha > 0$ ) of a fractional integral that is similar to the singular integral introduced in [5]; see also [8]. The fractional integral is defined in the following way:

$$I_\beta f(x) = \int_\chi k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \quad \text{for } 0 < \beta < 1,$$

if the kernel  $k(x, y)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

(a) There exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$|k(x, y)| \leq C_1 \mu(x, d(x, y))^{\beta-1} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \chi;$$

(b) There exists a generalized approximation to the identity  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  satisfying (2.3) and (2.4) such that the operator  $(I_\beta - A_t I_\beta)$  has associated kernels  $k_t(x, y)$  and

$$|k_t(x, y)| \leq C_2 \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))^{1-\beta}} \frac{t^{\delta/m}}{d^\delta(x, y)}, \quad \text{when } d(x, y) \geq C_3 t^{1/m},$$

for some  $C_2, C_3, \delta > 0$ . (In fact, without loss of generality, in what follows we will assume that  $C_3 = 1$ .)

It is well known that  $I_\beta$  is bounded from  $L^p(\chi)$  to  $L^q(\chi)$  with  $1/q = 1/p - \beta$  and  $1 < p < 1/\beta$ . See page 91 in [1].

Next we will prove the boundedness of fractional integrals on the space  $\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $1/\beta \leq p < \infty$ , and  $\alpha = \beta - 1/p$ . Assume that  $I_\beta$  is an operator satisfying the above conditions (a) and (b) with  $\delta > \alpha$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|I_\beta f\|_{\text{Lip}_A(\alpha, \chi)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\chi)}$$

for all  $f \in L^1(\chi) \cap L^p(\chi)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that for any ball

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1+\alpha}} \int_B |I_\beta f(x) - A_{t_B} I_\beta f(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\chi)},$$

where  $t_B = r_B^m$ .

Let  $f \in L^1(\chi) \cap L^p(\chi)$ . Since

$$A_{t_B} I_\beta f(x) = \int_\chi a_{t_B}(x, y) I_\beta f(y) d\mu(y)$$

and the kernels  $a_{t_B}(x, y)$  of  $A_{t_B}$  satisfy (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$|A_{t_B} I_\beta f(x)| \leq CM(I_\beta f)(x)$$

for all  $x \in \chi$ , where  $M$  denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator; see [12] and [7]. Let  $f_1 = f\chi_{4C_1B}$  and  $f_2 = f - f_1$ . We write

$$I_\beta f - A_{t_B} I_\beta f = (I_\beta f_1 - A_{t_B} I_\beta f_1) + (I_\beta - A_{t_B} I_\beta) f_2.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B |I_\beta f(x) - A_{t_B} I_\beta f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \int_B |I_\beta f_1(x) - A_{t_B} I_\beta f_1(x)| + |(I_\beta - A_{t_B} I_\beta) f_2(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \int_B M(I_\beta f_1)(x) d\mu(x) + \int_B |(I_\beta - A_{t_B} I_\beta) f_2(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & = \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

For I, let  $1/q = 1/p_1 - \beta$  and  $1 < p_1 < 1/\beta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} & \leq C\mu(B)^{1/q'} \left( \int_\chi M^q(I_\beta f_1)(x) d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq C\mu(B)^{1/q'} \left( \int_\chi |I_\beta f_1(x)|^q d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq C\mu(B)^{1/q'} \left( \int_{4C_1B} |f(x)|^{p_1} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p_1} \\ & \leq C\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\chi)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ .

For II, using (2.1), condition (b), and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} & \leq \int_B \int_{(4C_1B)^c} |k_t(x, y)| |f(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\chi)} \int_B \left( \int_{(4C_1B)^c} |k_t(x, y)|^{1/p'} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p'} d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\chi)} \int_B \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty r_B^{\delta p'} \int_{2^{k-1}r_B \leq d(x, y) < 2^k r_B} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mu(B(x, d(x, y)))^{(\beta-1)p'} d(x, y)^{-\delta p'} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p'} d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\chi)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-k\delta p'} 2^{kn[1+p'(\beta-1)]} \right)^{1/p'} \\ & \leq C\mu(B)^{1+\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\chi)}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\delta > n(\beta - 1/p)$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .

Thus, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. □

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for some valuable suggestions and corrections.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bramanti and M. C. Cerutti, *Commutators of singular integrals and fractional integrals on homogeneous spaces*, Harmonic analysis and operator theory (Caracas, 1994), Contemp. Math., vol. 189, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 81–94. MR 1347007 (96m:42024)
- [2] M. Christ, *A  $T(b)$  theorem with remarks on analytic capacity and the Cauchy integral*, Colloq. Math. **60/61** (1990), 601–628. MR 1096400 (92k:42020)
- [3] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, Étude de certaines intégrales singulières, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 242. MR 0499948 (58 #17690)
- [4] D. Deng, X. T. Duong, and L. Yan, *A characterization of the Morrey-Campanato spaces*, Math. Z. **250** (2005), 641–655. MR 2179615 (2006g:42039)
- [5] X. T. Duong and A. MacIntosh, *Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), 233–265. MR 1715407 (2001e:42017a)
- [6] X. T. Duong and D. W. Robinson, *Semigroup kernels, Poisson bounds, and holomorphic functional calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. **142** (1996), 89–128. MR 1419418 (97j:47056)
- [7] X. T. Duong and L. Yan, *New function spaces of BMO type, the John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation, and applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), 1375–1420. MR 2162784 (2006i:26012)
- [8] ———, *On commutators of fractional integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 3549–3557. MR 2084076 (2005e:42046)
- [9] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 116, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. MR 807149 (87d:42023)
- [10] F. John and L. Nirenberg, *On functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961), 415–426. MR 0131498 (24 #A1348)
- [11] S. Janson, M. Taibleson, and G. Weiss, *Elementary characterizations of the Morrey-Campanato spaces*, Harmonic analysis (Cortona, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 992, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 101–114. MR 729349 (85k:46033)
- [12] J. M. Martell, *Sharp maximal functions associated with approximations of the identity in spaces of homogeneous type and applications*, Studia Math. **161** (2004), 113–145. MR 2033231 (2005b:42016)
- [13] C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Partial regularity results for non-linear elliptic systems*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967/1968), 649–670. MR 0237947 (38 #6224)
- [14] R. A. Macías and C. Segovia, *Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Adv. in Math. **33** (1979), 257–270. MR 546295 (81c:32017a)
- [15] J. Peetre, *On the theory of  $\mathcal{L}_{p, \lambda}$  spaces*, J. Functional Analysis **4** (1969), 71–87. MR 0241965 (39 #3300)
- [16] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. MR 1232192 (95c:42002)

LIN TANG, LMAM, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, P.R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* tanglin@math.pku.edu.cn