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#### Abstract

For a real number $p$ with $1<p<\infty$ we consider the spectrum of the $p$-Laplacian on graphs, $p$-harmonic morphisms between two graphs, and estimates for the solutions of $p$-Laplace equations on graphs. More precisely, we prove a Cheeger type inequality and a Brooks type inequality for infinite graphs. We also define $p$-harmonic morphisms and horizontally conformal maps between two graphs and prove that these two concepts are equivalent. Finally, we give some estimates for the solutions of $p$-Laplace equations, which coincide with Green kernels in the case $p=2$.


## 1. Introduction

In the last decade there has been an increasing interest in the $p$-Laplacian, which plays an important role in geometry and partial differential equations. The $p$-Laplacian is a natural generalization of the Laplacian, which corresponds to $p=2$. Although the Laplacian has been much studied, little is known about the nonlinear case $p \neq 2$. On the other hand, the discrete analogue of the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds has recently been investigated. For the Laplacian on an infinite graph, Dodziuk and Kendall [3] proved a discrete analogue of a Cheeger type inequality, which gave a lower bound for the bottom of the spectrum in terms of an isoperimetric constant. Dodziuk and Karp [2], Ohno and Urakawa [6], and Fujiwara [4] gave an upper bound for the essential spectrum. Urakawa [9] introduced a discrete analogue of harmonic morphisms and horizontally conformal maps between two graphs and proved that those concepts are equivalent. He [8] also gave estimates for Green kernels of infinite graphs.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results to the $p$-Laplacian and $p$-harmonic morphisms for graphs, and to estimate the solutions of $p$ Laplace equations, which coincide with Green kernels in the case $p=2$.

[^0]This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the bottom of the spectrum of the $p$-Laplacian for an infinite graph. In Sections 3 and 4, we estimate the bottom of the spectrum in terms of an isoperimetric constant (Theorem 1), and the essential spectrum in terms of the exponential growth rate of the graph (Theorem 2). In Section 5, we define $p$-harmonic morphisms and horizontally conformal maps between two graphs, and we show that these two concepts are equivalent. In Section 7, we estimate the solutions of $p$ Laplace equations, which coincide with the Green kernel in the case $p=2$.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professors Takashi Sakai and Atsushi Katsuda for their encouragement and valuable suggestions, and the referee for helpful suggestions on improving the exposition.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected simple graph, with $V$ as the vertex set and $E$ as the edge set. We give an orientation to each edge. Vertices $x$ and $y$ are called neighbors, denoted by $x \sim y$, if $x$ and $y$ are connected by an edge. $e=[x, y]$ denotes a directed edge from $x$ to $y$. The degree of the vertex $x$, denoted by $m(x)$, is the number of edges incident with $x$. For any real-valued functions $u, v$ on vertices, we define the inner product and the norm as follows:

$$
(u, v)=\sum_{x \in V} m(x) u(x) v(x), \quad\|u\|^{2}=(u, u)
$$

A vector field $F$ is a map $F: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with the property

$$
F([x, y])=-F([y, x]) \quad \text { for every } x \sim y
$$

Given vector fields $F$ and $G$, the inner product and the norm are defined by

$$
(F, G)=\sum_{e} F(e) G(e), \quad\|F\|^{2}=(F, F)
$$

For $1<p<\infty$ we define a Banach space $\ell_{0}^{p}$ of functions on vertices as

$$
\ell_{0}^{p}=\left\{u: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}: \sum_{x} m(x)|u(x)|^{p}<\infty\right\}
$$

and a Banach space $\ell_{1}^{p}$ of functions on the directed edges $e=[x, y]$ as

$$
\ell_{1}^{p}=\left\{F: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}: F([x, y])=-F([y, x]) \text { for all }[x, y], \sum_{e}|F(e)|^{p}<\infty\right\}
$$

The linear operators $d: \ell_{0}^{p} \rightarrow \ell_{1}^{p}$ and $d^{*}: \ell_{1}^{p} \rightarrow \ell_{0}^{p}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
(d u)([x, y]) & =u(y)-u(x)  \tag{1}\\
\left(d^{*} F\right)(x) & =-\frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{x \sim y} F([x, y]) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The discrete $p$-Laplacian $\Delta_{p}$ acting on a real-valued function $u: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{p} u(x) & =-d^{*}\left(|d u|^{p-2} d u\right)(x)=\frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{x \sim y}|d u([x, y])|^{p-2} d u([x, y])  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{x \sim y}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)) .
\end{align*}
$$

We define the bottom of the spectrum $\lambda_{0, p}$ of the $p$-Laplacian $\Delta_{p}$ as follows:

$$
\lambda_{0, p}=\inf \left(\frac{\sum_{x \sim y}|d u([x, y])|^{p}}{\sum_{x} m(x)|u(x)|^{p}}: u \in \ell_{0}^{p}\right)=\inf \left(\frac{\|d u\|^{p}}{\sum_{x} m(x)|u(x)|^{p}}: u \in \ell_{0}^{p}\right) .
$$

For a finite subset $F$, denote by $\chi_{F}$ its characteristic function. Since

$$
\frac{\sum_{x \sim y}\left|d \chi_{z}([x, y])\right|^{p}}{\sum_{x} m(x)\left|\chi_{z}(x)\right|^{p}}=1 \text { for } x, y, z \in V
$$

we have $\lambda_{0, p} \leq 1$.

## 3. A Cheeger type inequality

If $N \subset V$ is a finite subset, we define $\partial N$, the edge boundary of $N$, to be the set of edges of the graph $G$ joining a vertex of $N$ with a vertex in the complement of $N$, i.e.,

$$
\partial N=\{e=[x, y] \in E:(x \in N \text { and } y \notin N) \text { or }(x \notin N \text { and } y \in N)\}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{align*}
A(N) & =\sum_{x \in N} m(x)  \tag{4}\\
L(\partial N) & =\#(\partial N) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The isoperimetric constant $h(G)$ of the graph $G$ is defined by

$$
h(G)=\inf \left\{\frac{L(\partial N)}{A(N)}: N \text { is a finite subset of } V\right\}
$$

THEOREM 1. For every infinite graph $G$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{h(G)}{2 p}\right)^{p} \leq \lambda_{0, p} \leq h(G)
$$

Proof. We use an argument similar to that in [3]. Taking $u \in \ell_{0}^{p}$ of finite support and $\sum m(x)|u(x)|^{p}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \sim y}|d u([x, y])|^{p} & =\sum_{x \sim y}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x))^{2} \\
& \geq \sum_{x \sim y}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(|u(y)|-|u(x)|)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we may assume $u \geq 0$. For such a function $u$, set

$$
A=\sum_{x \sim y}\left|u(x)^{p}-u(y)^{p}\right| .
$$

Using Hölder inequality, we get
(6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \leq \sum_{x \sim y} p\left(u(x)^{p-1}+u(y)^{p-1}\right)|u(x)-u(y)| \\
& \leq p\left(\sum_{x \sim y}\left\{u(x)^{p-1}+u(y)^{p-1}\right\}^{p /(p-1)}\right)^{(p-1) / p} \cdot\left(\sum_{x \sim y}\{|u(x)-u(y)|\}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq 2 p\left(\sum_{x \sim y}\left\{\left(|u(x)|^{p}\right)+\left(|u(y)|^{p}\right)\right\}\right)^{(p-1) / p}\left(\sum_{x \sim y}\{|u(x)-u(y)|\}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq 2 p\left(\sum_{x} m(x) u(x)^{p}\right)^{p-1) / p}\left(\sum_{x \sim y}|d u([x, y])|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we estimate $A$ from below. Let $0=\beta_{0}<\beta_{1}<\beta_{2}<\cdots<\beta_{M}$ be the sequence of all values of $u$, and set

$$
K_{i}=\left\{x \in V: u(x) \geq \beta_{i}\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\partial K_{i}=\left\{e \in E: e=[x, y], u(x) \geq \beta_{i}, u(y)<\beta_{i}\right\}
$$

and

$$
A=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{u(x)=\beta_{i}} \sum_{y,(y \sim x), u(y)<\beta_{i}}\left|u(x)^{p}-u(y)^{p}\right| .
$$

If $x \sim y, u(x)=\beta_{i}$ and $u(y)=\beta_{i-k}<\beta_{i}$, then

$$
[x, y] \in \partial K_{i} \cap \partial K_{i-1} \cap \cdots \cap \partial K_{i-k+1}
$$

and

$$
u(x)^{p}-u(y)^{p}=\left(\beta_{i}^{p}-\beta_{i-1}^{p}\right)+\left(\beta_{i-1}^{p}-\beta_{i-2}^{p}\right)+\cdots+\left(\beta_{i-k+1}^{p}-\beta_{i-k}^{p}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{[x, y] \in \partial K_{i}}\left(\beta_{i}^{p}-\beta_{i-1}^{p}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{M} L\left(\partial K_{i}\right)\left(\beta_{i}^{p}-\beta_{i-1}^{p}\right) \geq h(G) \sum_{i=1}^{M} A\left(K_{i}\right)\left(\beta_{i}^{p}-\beta_{i-1}^{p}\right) \\
= & h(G) \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{x \in K_{i}} m(x)\left(\beta_{i}^{p}-\beta_{i-1}^{p}\right) \\
= & h(G)\left(\beta_{1}^{p} \sum_{x \in K_{1}} m(x)+\cdots+\beta_{M-1}^{p} \sum_{x \in K_{M-1}} m(x)+\beta_{M}^{p} \sum_{x \in K_{M}} m(x)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\beta_{1}^{p} \sum_{x \in K_{2}} m(x)-\cdots-\beta_{M-1}^{p} \sum_{x \in K_{M}} m(x)\right) \\
= & h(G)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{x \in K_{i} \backslash K_{i+1}} m(x) \beta_{i}^{p}+\sum_{x \in K_{M}} m(x) \beta_{M}^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
K_{i} \backslash K_{i-1}=\left\{x \in V: \beta_{i} \leq u(x)<\beta_{i+1}\right\}=\left\{x \in V: u(x)=\beta_{i}\right\}
$$

we get

$$
\sum_{x \in K_{i} \backslash K_{i+1}} m(x) \beta_{i}^{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} m(x)|u(x)|^{p}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \geq h(G) \sum_{x} m(x)|u(x)|^{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (6) and (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(G) \sum_{x} m(x)|u(x)|^{p} \leq A \leq 2 p\left(\sum_{x}\right. & \left.m(x)|u(x)|^{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{x \sim y}|d u([x, y])|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left(\frac{h(G)}{2 p}\right)^{p} \leq \frac{\sum_{e}|d u(e)|^{p}}{\sum_{x} m(x)|u(x)|^{p}}
$$

Taking the infimum over all $u$ on the right hand side, we get

$$
\left(\frac{h(G)}{2 p}\right)^{p} \leq \lambda_{0, p}
$$

which is the first inequality of the theorem.
Next, we prove the second inequality in the theorem. For any subset $S \subset V$ with $S \neq \emptyset$ and $\# S<\infty$ set

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \in S \\ 0, & x \notin S\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
\sum_{x \in V} m(x)|u(x)|^{p}=\sum_{x \in S} m(x)=A(S)
$$

and

$$
\|d u\|^{p}=\sum_{x \sim y}|d u([x, y])|^{p}=\sum_{x \sim y}|u(x)-u(y)|^{p} \leq L(\partial S)=\#(\partial S)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lambda_{0, p} \leq \frac{\sum_{x \sim y}|d u([x, y])|^{p}}{\sum_{x} m(x) u(x)^{p}} \leq \frac{L(\partial S)}{A(S)}=h(G)
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4. A Brooks type inequality

We assign the path metric 1 to every edge of $G$. Let $B(r)=B_{x_{0}}(r)$ denote the ball of radius $r$ and with center $x_{0} \in V$. Put $V(r)=\sum_{x \in B(r)} m(x)$. The exponential growth rate of $G$ is defined as $\mu=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}(\log V(r)) / r$. For a finite subgraph $K$ of $G$, the $p$-Laplacian $\Delta_{p, G \backslash K}$ on $G \backslash K$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition is given by

$$
\Delta_{p, G \backslash K} f(x)= \begin{cases}\Delta_{p, G} f(x) & \text { on } G \backslash K \\ 0 & \text { on } K\end{cases}
$$

for $f \in \ell^{p}(G \backslash K)=\left\{f \in \ell^{p}(G):\left.f\right|_{K}=0\right\}$. Let $\lambda_{0, p}(G \backslash K)$ denote the infimum of the spectrum of $\Delta_{p, G \backslash K}$. We define $\lambda_{0, p}^{\text {ess }}=\lim _{K} \lambda_{0, p}(G \backslash K)$, where $K$ runs through all finite subgraphs of $G$. Set $\rho(x)=\rho_{x_{0}}(x)=d\left(x_{0}, x\right)$, where $d\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is the distance between two vertices $x_{0}$ and $x$. The following theorem generalizes a result proved in [4] for the case $p=2$.

Theorem 2. If $G$ is an infinite graph, then

$$
\lambda_{0, p}^{\mathrm{ess}} \leq \frac{(\exp (\mu / p)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (\mu)}
$$

For the proof we need the following lemmas, which can be proved in the same way as the corresponding results in [4] by replacing 2 by $p$.

Lemma 1. If $\mu<p \alpha$ for some $\alpha>0$, then $\sum_{x} m(x) \exp (-p \alpha \rho(x))<\infty$. For any integer $j \in \mathbf{N}$ define functions $h_{j}$ and $f_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{j}(x) & = \begin{cases}\alpha \rho(x) & \text { if } \rho(x) \leq j, \\
2 \alpha j-\alpha \rho(x) & \text { if } \rho(x)>j,\end{cases} \\
f_{j}(x) & =\exp \left(h_{j}(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. If $x \sim y$, then for all $j$,

$$
\left(f_{j}(x)-f_{j}(y)\right)^{p} \leq \frac{(\exp (\alpha)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (p \alpha)}\left(f_{j}(x)^{p}+f_{j}(y)^{p}\right) .
$$

Lemma 3. For all j,

$$
\left\|d f_{j}\right\|^{p}=\sum_{x \sim y}\left|d f_{j}([x, y])\right|^{p} \leq \frac{(\exp (\alpha)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (p \alpha)} \sum_{x} m_{j}(x)\left|f_{j}(x)\right|^{p} .
$$

Let $K$ be a finite subset of $V$, let $\chi$ be its characteristic function, and set

$$
g_{j}=f_{j}\left(1-\chi_{K}\right) .
$$

Lemma 4. If $\sum_{x} m(x) \exp (-p \alpha \rho(x))<\infty$, then for all $j$,

$$
\sum_{x} m(x)\left|g_{j}(x)\right|^{p}<\infty, \quad \lim _{j} \sum_{x} m(x)\left|g_{j}(x)\right|^{p}=\infty .
$$

Lemma 5. Suppose $k$ satisfies $K \subset B(k)$. Then for all $j$,

$$
\left\|d g_{j}\right\|^{p}=\sum_{x \sim y}\left|d g_{j}([x, y])\right|^{p} \leq C_{1}(k)+\frac{(\exp (\alpha)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (p \alpha)} \sum_{x} m(x)\left|g_{j}(x)\right|^{p},
$$

where

$$
C_{1}(k)=\exp (p \alpha(k+1)) V(k+1)\left\{2^{p-1}+\frac{(\exp (\alpha)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (p \alpha)}\right\}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose

$$
\frac{(\exp (\mu / p)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (\mu)}<\lambda_{0, p}^{\operatorname{ess}}(G)
$$

Then there exists a finite subset $K$ with

$$
\frac{(\exp (\mu / p)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (\mu)}<\lambda_{0, p}(G \backslash K) .
$$

Fix $K$ and define $g_{j}=f_{j}\left(1-\chi_{K}\right)$. Since $(\exp (\mu / p)-1)^{p} /(1+\exp (\mu))$ is a monotone increasing function of $\mu$, we can take $\alpha$ such that

$$
\mu<p \alpha, \quad \frac{(\exp (\alpha)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (p \alpha)}<\lambda_{0, p}(G \backslash K) .
$$

From Lemmas 1 and 4 we get

$$
\sum_{x} m(x)\left|g_{j}(x)\right|^{p}<\infty, \quad \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x} m(x)\left|g_{j}(x)\right|^{p}=\infty
$$

From Lemma 5 we obtain

$$
\frac{\left\|d g_{j}\right\|^{p}}{\sum_{x} m(x)\left|g_{j}(x)\right|^{p}} \leq \frac{C_{1}(k)}{\sum_{x} m(x)\left|g_{j}(x)\right|^{p}}+\frac{(\exp (\alpha)-1)^{p}}{1+\exp (p \alpha)}
$$

Since the first term of the right hand side goes to 0 , it follows that for all sufficiently large $j$

$$
\frac{\left\|d g_{j}\right\|^{p}}{\sum_{x} m(x) g_{j}(x)^{p}}<\lambda_{0, p}(G \backslash K)
$$

This contradicts the definition of $\lambda_{0, p}^{\text {ess }}(G \backslash K)$ and thus completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 5. A p-harmonic morphism

In this section we define the notion of $p$-harmonic morphisms and horizontally conformal maps between two graphs. We show that these concepts are equivalent. Urakawa [9] introduced a discrete analogue of a harmonic morphism and characterized it in terms of horizontal conformality. Here we further generalize these results to $p$-harmonic morphisms. Let $G_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ $(i=1,2)$ be two graphs and $\varphi: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ an onto mapping.

## Definition 1.

(1) $\varphi$ is said to be a $p$-harmonic morphism from $G_{1}$ to $G_{2}$ if, for any $p$ harmonic function $f$ at $y=\varphi(x) \in V_{2}$, the composition $\varphi^{*} f=f \circ \varphi$ is $p$-harmonic at $x \in V_{1}$.
(2) $\varphi$ is said to be horizontally conformal if the following two conditions hold:
(a) For all $z, x \in V_{1}$ such that $z \sim x$, we have either $\varphi(x)=\varphi(z)$ or $\varphi(z) \sim \varphi(x)$.
(b) For all $y \in V_{2}$ and $x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)$, the number of elements in $\varphi^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ connected to $x, \#\left\{z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right): z \sim x\right\}$, is the same for all choices of $y^{\prime} \in V_{2}$ with $y^{\prime} \sim y$.

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 3. Let $G_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ be two graphs. Let $\varphi: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ be an onto mapping. Then $\varphi$ is a p-harmonic morphism if and only if it is horizontally conformal.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be a $p$-harmonic morphism and let $y=\varphi(x)$. Consider a function $f$ on $V_{2}$ defined by

$$
f(w)= \begin{cases}1, & w=y \\ 1, & w \sim y \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\left(\Delta_{p} f\right)(y)=\frac{1}{m(y)} \sum_{w \sim y}|f(w)-f(y)|^{p-2}(f(w)-f(y))=0
$$

the function $f$ is $p$-harmonic at $y$. Since $\varphi$ is a $p$-harmonic morphism, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left(\Delta_{p} f\right)(y)=\Delta_{p}(f \circ \varphi)(x) \\
= & \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{x \sim z}|f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x))|^{p-2}(f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x)) \\
= & \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(y), x \sim z}|f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x))|^{p-2}(f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x)) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{z \notin \varphi^{-1}(y), x \sim z}|f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x))|^{p-2}(f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x)) \\
= & \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{z \notin \varphi^{-1}(y), x \sim z}|f(\varphi(z))-1|^{p-2}(f(\varphi(z))-1) \\
= & \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{z \notin \varphi^{-1}(y), x \sim z}(1-f(\varphi(z)))^{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus when $z \notin \varphi^{-1}(y)$ and $x \sim z$, the value of $f(\varphi(z))$ is necessarily 1. By the definition of $f$ and since $\varphi(z) \neq y$, we obtain $\varphi(z) \sim y=\varphi(x)$. This proves condition (a) of horizontal conformality.

Next we prove condition (b). Let $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}\right\}$ be the set $\left\{y^{\prime} \in V_{2}: y^{\prime} \sim\right.$ $y\}$ with $n=m(y), y=\varphi(x)$. Set $m_{i}=\#\left\{z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(y_{i}\right): z \sim x\right\}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. We will show that $m_{1}=m_{2}=\cdots=m_{n}$, which is condition (b). We first prove that $m_{1}=m_{2}$. Take $0<d_{1}<d_{2}$ and define a function $f$ on $V_{2}$ by

$$
f(y)= \begin{cases}d_{1}, & w=y_{1} \\ d_{2}, & w=y_{2} \\ \left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) / 2, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $f$ is a $p$-harmonic. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(y)\left(\Delta_{p} f\right)(y)=\sum_{y^{\prime} \sim y}\left|f\left(y^{\prime}\right)-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(f\left(y^{\prime}\right)-f(y)\right) \\
&=\left|f\left(y_{1}\right)-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(f\left(y_{1}\right)-f(y)\right)+\left|f\left(y_{2}\right)-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(f\left(y_{2}\right)-f(y)\right) \\
&+\sum_{i=3}^{n}\left|f\left(y_{i}\right)-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(f\left(y_{i}\right)-f\left(y_{1}\right)\right) \\
&=\left|d_{1}-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(d_{1}-f(y)\right)+\left|d_{2}-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(d_{2}-f(y)\right) \\
&=\left|d_{1}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right|^{p-2}\left(d_{1}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right)+\left|d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right|^{p-2}\left(d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right) \\
&=-\left(\frac{d_{2}-d_{1}}{2}\right)^{p-1}+\left(\frac{d_{2}-d_{1}}{2}\right)^{p-1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is a $p$-harmonic morphism, the function $\varphi^{*} f(x)=f \circ \varphi(x)=f(y)$ on $V_{2}$ is a $p$-harmonic function at $y=\varphi(x)$ for the $p$-harmonic function $f$ at $x \in V_{1}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{rl}
0 & m(x) \Delta_{p}(f \circ \varphi)(x)=\sum_{z \sim x}|f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x))|^{p-2}(f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x)) \\
= & \sum_{z \sim x, \varphi(z)=y_{1}}\left|f\left(y_{1}\right)-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(f\left(y_{1}\right)-f(y)\right. \\
& +\sum_{z \sim x, \varphi(z)=y_{2}}\left|f\left(y_{2}\right)-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(f\left(y_{2}\right)-f(y)\right. \\
& +\sum_{z \sim x, \varphi(z) \neq y_{1}, y_{2}}|f(\varphi(z))-f(y)|^{p-2}(f(\varphi(z))-f(y) \\
= & m_{1}\left\{\left|d_{1}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right|^{p-2}\left(d_{1}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+m_{2}\left\{\left|d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right|^{p-2}\left(d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2}\right)\right\} \\
= & -m_{1}\left(\frac{d_{2}-d_{1}}{2}\right)^{p-1}+m_{2}\left(\frac{d_{2}-d_{1}}{2}\right)^{p-1} \\
= & \left(\frac{d_{2}-d_{1}}{2}\right)^{p-1}\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right) .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $m_{1}=m_{2}$. In a similar fashion we get $m_{i}=m_{i+1}$ for $i=2, \ldots, n-1$. Thus $m_{1}=m_{2}=\cdots=m_{n}$.

Next assume (a) and (b). For all $x \in V_{1}$ and $y=\varphi(x)$ we have

$$
\left\{z \notin \varphi^{-1}(y): z \sim x\right\}=\bigcup_{y^{\prime} \sim y}\left\{z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right): z \sim x\right\}
$$

and by (b)

$$
\#\left\{z \notin \varphi^{-1}(y): z \sim x\right\}=m(y) \#\left\{z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right): z \sim x\right\}
$$

Assume that a function $f$ on $V_{2}$ is $p$-harmonic at $y=\varphi(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(x) \Delta_{p}(f \circ \varphi)(x) & =\sum_{\substack{z \in \varphi_{z \sim x}^{-1}(y)}}|f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x))|^{p-2} f((\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x)) \\
& +\sum_{z \notin \varphi^{-1}(y), z \sim x}|f(\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x))|^{p-2} f((\varphi(z))-f(\varphi(x)) \\
& =\sum_{y^{\prime} \sim y} \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right), z \sim x}|f(\varphi(z))-f(y)|^{p-2}(f(\varphi(z))-f(y)) \\
& =\frac{1}{m(y)} \#\left\{z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right): z \sim x\right\} \sum_{y^{\prime} \sim y}\left|f\left(y^{\prime}\right)-f(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(f\left(y^{\prime}\right)-f(y)\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

because $f$ is $p$-harmonic. This completes the proof.

## 6. Green's formula

In this section we prove a discrete analogue of Green's formula for the $p$-Laplacian on a graph.

Proposition 1. For every finite subset $N \subset V$ and any functions $u, v:$ $V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in N, x \sim y}|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x))(v(y)-v(x)) \\
& \quad=-\sum_{x \in N} m(x) v(x) \Delta_{p} u(x)+\sum_{x \in N} \sum_{\substack{y \in V \backslash N \\
x \sim y}} v(x)|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By the definition of $\Delta_{p}$, the first term of the right hand side is

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\sum_{x \in N} v(x) m(x) \Delta_{p} u(x)  \tag{8}\\
&=-\sum_{x \in N} v(x) \sum_{x \sim y}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)) \\
&=-\sum_{x \in N} v(x) \sum_{y \in N, x \sim y}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)) \\
&-\sum_{x \in N} v(x) \sum_{\substack{y \in V \backslash N \\
x \sim y}}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the last term is equal to the second term of the right hand side of the asserted formula, these two terms cancel. On the other hand, the left hand side of the formula to be proved is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in N} v(y) & \sum_{x \in N, y \sim x}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in N} v(x) \sum_{y \in N, y \sim x}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By interchanging $x$ and $y$ in the first term, we see that this term is equal to the second one. Therefore the sum of these terms becomes

$$
-\sum_{x \in N} v(x) \sum_{y \in N, y \sim x}|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x)),
$$

which is equal to the first term of the right hand side of (8). This completes the proof.

## 7. Estimates

Let $(V, E)$ be an infinite graph. For a subset $D \subset V$ we define the vertex boundary $\partial D$ to be the set of all vertices $y$ that are not in $D$, but connected to some vertex in $D$, i.e., $\partial D=\{y \notin D: x \sim y, x \in D\}$. We consider the following equation on a finite graph with boundary $\partial D$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle_{p} g(x, y) & =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
-1 & \text { if } & x=y \\
0 & \text { if } & x \neq y
\end{array}\right. & & (x, y \in D \cup \partial D), \\
g(x, y) & =0 & &
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $G_{D}(x, y)$ the solutions of the above equation, which become Green kernels in the case $p=2$. We define the function $G(x, y)$ on an infinite graph $(V, E)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{B_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)}(x, y) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for $x_{0} \in V, B_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in V: \rho_{x_{0}}(x)=d\left(x, x_{0}\right)<n\right\}$ is a ball with center $x_{0}$ and radius $n$. For a graph $G=(V, E)$ and $x \in V$, we consider functions $R_{x}$ and $K_{x}$ on the natural numbers $\mathbf{N}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x}(t) \leq 1-\frac{m_{x}^{+}(\sigma(t))}{m_{x}^{-}(\sigma(t))} \leq K_{x}(t)<1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any geodesic $\sigma(t)$ starting at $\sigma(0)=x$. Here a path $\sigma$ from $x \in V$ to $y \in V$ is called a geodesic if its length, the number of edges in the path, is the minimum of the lengths of all paths from $x$ to $y$, and we define for $y \in V$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{x}^{-}(y)=\#\left\{z \in V: z \sim y, \rho_{x}(z)=\rho_{x}(y)-1\right\} \\
& m_{x}^{+}(y)=\#\left\{z \in V, z \sim y, \rho_{x}(z)=\rho_{x}(y)+1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given such a function $R_{x}$, we let $f_{x}: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the unique solution of the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x}(t+1)-f_{x}(t)=-R_{x}(t) f_{x}(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t=1,2, \ldots, l$, with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x}(1)=1, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
f_{x}(t+1)=\left(1-R_{x}(t)\right) f_{x}(t), \quad t=1,2, \ldots, l
$$

Similarly, given a function $K_{x}$, we let $F_{x}: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the unique solution of the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}(t+1)-F_{x}(t)=-K_{x}(t) F_{x}(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t=1,2, \ldots, l$, with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}(1)=1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
F_{x}(t+1)=\left(1-K_{x}(t)\right) F_{x}(t), \quad t=1,2, \ldots, l
$$

## Lemma 6.

(1) Assume that $R_{x}$ satisfies (10). Then the solution $f_{x}(t)$ of (11) and (12) is positive for $t=1,2, \ldots$
(2) Assume that $K_{x}$ satisfies (10). Then the solution $F_{x}(t)$ of (13) and (14) is positive for $t=1,2, \ldots$

Proof. See [8].

For each $n=1,2, \ldots$ define functions $\psi_{n}(t)$ and $\Psi_{n}(t)$ on $\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}\sum_{s=t+1}^{n}\left(1 / f_{x}(s)\right)^{1 /(p-1)} & t=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
0 & t=n\end{cases} \\
& \Psi_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}\sum_{s=t+1}^{n}\left(1 / F_{x}(s)\right)^{1 /(p-1)} & t=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
0 & t=n\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, define functions $\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}$ and $\Psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}$ on the closed ball $B_{n}(x) \cup \partial B_{n}(x)$ of radius $n$ centered at $x \in V$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)=\sum_{s=\rho_{x}(y)+1}^{n}\left(1 / f_{x}(s)\right)^{1 /(p-1)}, \\
& \left(\Psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)=\sum_{s=\rho_{x}(y)+1}^{n}\left(1 / F_{x}(s)\right)^{1 /(p-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $y \in B_{n}(x) \cup \partial B_{n}(x)$, where $\partial B_{n}(x)=\left\{z \in V: \rho_{x}(z)=\rho(x, z)=n\right\}$. The functions $\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}$ and $\Psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}$ decrease in $\rho_{x}$ on $B_{n}(x) \cup \partial B_{n}(x)$ and vanish on the boundary $\partial B_{n}(x)$.

## Proposition 2.

(1) The function $\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}$ is a p-subharmonic function on $B_{n}(x) \backslash\{x\}$, i.e., it satisfies

$$
\triangle_{p}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad 0<\rho(x, y)<n
$$

and

$$
\triangle_{p}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)=-1 \quad \text { at } \quad y=x
$$

(2) The function $\Psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}$ is a p-superharmonic function on $B_{n}(x) \backslash\{x\}$, i.e., it satisfies

$$
\triangle_{p}\left(\Psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad 0<\rho(x, y)<n
$$

and

$$
\triangle_{p}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)=-1 \quad \text { at } \quad y=x
$$

Proof. We prove only (1), since the proof of (2) is similar. Take $y \in V$ with $0<\rho(x, y)<n$, a geodesic $\sigma \in B_{n}(x) \cup \partial B_{n}(x)$ starting at $x$, and let $y=\sigma(t)$. For $0<\rho(x, y)<n$, we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(y) \triangle_{p}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)=\sum_{z \sim y}\left|d\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)([y, z])\right|^{p-2} d\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)([y, z]) \\
& =\sum_{z \sim y}\left|\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(z)-\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(y)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{z \sim y \\
\rho_{x}(y)=\rho_{x}(z)}}\left|\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{z \sim y}\left|\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{z \sim y \\
\rho_{x}(z)=\rho_{x}(y)-1}}\left|\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right) \\
& \rho_{x}(z)=\rho_{x}(y)+1 \\
& =\frac{m^{-}(y)}{f_{x}\left(\rho_{x}(y)\right)}-\frac{m^{+}(y)}{f_{x}\left(\rho_{x}(y)+1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumption on $R_{x}$ we get

$$
\frac{f_{x}\left(\rho_{x}(y)+1\right)}{f_{x}\left(\rho_{x}(y)\right)}=1-R_{x}\left(\rho_{x}(y)\right) \geq \frac{m_{x}^{+}(y)}{m_{x}^{-}(y)} .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\triangle_{p}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

At $y=x$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(x) & \Delta_{p}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y) \\
& =\sum_{z \sim y}\left|\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(z)-\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}\right)(y)\right|^{p-2}\left(\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(z)-\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(y)\right) \\
& =m^{+}(x)\left[\left|\psi_{n}\left(\rho_{x}(x)+1\right)-\psi_{n}\left(\rho_{x}(x)\right)\right|^{p-2}\left(\psi_{n}\left(\rho_{x}(x)+1\right)-\psi_{n}\left(\rho_{x}(x)\right)\right)\right] \\
& =m^{+}(x)\left[\left|\psi_{n}(1)-\psi_{n}(0)\right|^{p-2}\left(\psi_{n}(1)-\psi_{n}(0)\right)\right] \\
& =-\frac{m^{+}(x)}{f_{x}(1)}=-m^{+}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m(x)=m^{+}(x)$ and $f_{x}(1)=1$, we obtain the result.
Theorem 4. Assume $G=(V, E)$ is an infinite graph such that the functions $G(x, y)$ defined in (9) exist. Assume further that $R_{x}$ satisfies (10). Then for all $n=1,2, \ldots$ we have

$$
G_{B_{n}(x)}(y, x) \geq \psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(y),
$$

for all $y \in B_{n}(x) \cup \partial B_{n}(x)$. In particular, we have

$$
G(y, x) \geq \sum_{s=\rho_{x}(y)+1}^{\infty}\left(1 / f_{x}(s)\right)^{1 /(p-1)} .
$$

LEMMA 7. Let $u$ be a p-superharmonic function (i.e., $\triangle_{p} u \leq 0$ ) and $v$ be a p-subharmonic function (i.e., $\triangle_{p} v \geq 0$ ) defined on a finite set $D$ such that $u \geq v$ on $\partial D$. Then $u \geq v$ in $D$.

Proof. See [5].
From Lemma 7, we have

$$
G_{B_{n^{\prime}}(x)}(x, y) \geq G_{B_{n}(x)}(x, y) \quad \text { for } \quad n^{\prime} \geq n
$$

In fact, putting $w=G_{B_{n^{\prime}}}-G_{B_{n}}$, we have

$$
\Delta_{p} w=0 \quad \text { on } \quad B_{n},
$$

and for $x \in \partial B_{n}$, we have

$$
G_{B_{n^{\prime}}}(x, y)-G_{B_{n}}(x, y)=G_{B_{n^{\prime}}}(x, y) \geq 0
$$

Thus $G_{B_{n^{\prime}}} \geq G_{B_{n}}$ on $B_{n}$.
Proof of Theorem 4. By part (1) of Proposition 2, the function $\psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(y)$ is $p$-subharmonic. Also, $G(y, x)$ is $p$-harmonic by definition, and thus, in particular, $p$-superharmonic. Moreover, we have $G_{B_{n}}(y, x) \geq \psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(y)(=$ $0)$ on $\partial B_{n}(x)$. By Lemma 7 it follows that $G_{B_{n}(x)}(y, x) \geq \psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(y)$ on $B_{n}(x) \cup \partial B_{n}(x)$.

We get similarly the following result.
Theorem 5. Assume $G=(V, E)$ is an infinite graph such that the functions $G(x, y)$ defined in (9) exist. Assume further that $K_{x}$ satisfies (10). Then for all $n=1,2, \ldots$ we have

$$
G_{B_{n}(x)}(y, x) \leq \Psi_{n} \circ \rho_{x}(y),
$$

for all $y \in B_{n}(x) \cup \partial B_{n}(x)$. In particular, we have

$$
G(y, x) \leq \sum_{s=\rho_{x}(y)+1}^{\infty}\left(1 / F_{x}(s)\right)^{1 /(p-1)}
$$

We have the following corollaries; for a proof, see [8].
Corollary 1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a tree satisfying

$$
3 \leq \ell \leq m(x) \leq k \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in V
$$

Then $G(y, x)$ can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(y, x) \geq \frac{(k-1)^{1 /(p-1)}}{(k-1)^{1 /(p-1)}-1}\left(\frac{1}{k-1}\right)^{\rho_{x}(y) /(p-1)} \\
& G(y, x) \leq \frac{(\ell-1)^{1 /(p-1)}}{(\ell-1)^{1 /(p-1)}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\ell-1}\right)^{\rho_{x}(y) /(p-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $(V, E)$ be the homogeneous regular tree $T_{d}(d \geq 3)$. Then we have

$$
G(y, x)=\frac{(d-1)^{1 /(p-1)}}{(d-1)^{1 /(p-1)}-1}\left(\frac{1}{d-1}\right)^{\rho_{x}(y) /(p-1)}
$$
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