

ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF PERIODIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH POTENTIALS IN THE KATO CLASS

ZHONGWEI SHEN

ABSTRACT. We consider the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$ in \mathbb{R}^d with periodic potential V in the Kato class. We show that, if $d = 2$ or $d = 3$, the spectrum of $-\Delta + V$ is purely absolutely continuous.

1. Introduction

Let V be a real valued measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$. V is said to belong to the Kato class K_d if

$$(1.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}| \leq r} \frac{|V(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^{d-2}} = 0, \quad \text{for } d \geq 3,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}| \leq r} |V(\mathbf{y})| \ln \{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^{-1}\} d\mathbf{y} = 0, \quad \text{for } d = 2.$$

It is well known that, if $V \in K_d$, then the quadratic form associated with $-\Delta + V$ defines a unique self-adjoint operator which we also denote by $-\Delta + V$ [7]. We refer the reader to [18] for the naturalness of the Kato class in the study of L^p properties of the semigroup $e^{-t(-\Delta + V)}$. The purpose of this paper is to show that, if $d = 2$ or $d = 3$ and $V \in K_d$ is a real periodic function on \mathbb{R}^d , then the spectrum of $-\Delta + V$ is purely absolutely continuous.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let $A = (a_{jk})_{d \times d}$ be a symmetric, positive-definite matrix with real constant entries. Let $V \in K_d$ be a real periodic function on \mathbb{R}^d . If $d = 2$ or $d = 3$, then the spectrum of operator $DAD^T + V$ is purely absolutely continuous where $D = -i\nabla$ and $DAD^T = \sum_{j,k} D_j a_{jk} D_k$.*

A few remarks are in order.

REMARK 1.3. For a Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$ with periodic potential V , the absolute continuity of the spectrum was first established by

Received July 3, 2000; received in final form October 25, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J10.

Research supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-9732894.

L. Thomas [21] in \mathbb{R}^3 under the assumption $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thomas' result was subsequently extended to \mathbb{R}^d by M. Reed and B. Simon [13] under the assumption $V \in L^r_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $r > d - 1$ if $d \geq 4$ and $r = 2$ if $d = 2$ or $d = 3$. In [4] L. Danilov applied the approach of Thomas to the Dirac operator with a periodic potential. Recently, the absolute continuity of the magnetic Schrödinger operator $(-i\nabla - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))^2 + V(\mathbf{x})$ with periodic potentials \mathbf{A} and V was investigated by R. Hempel and I. Herbst [5], [6], M. Birman and T. Suslina [1], [2], [3], A. Morame [12], and A. Sobolev [19]. In particular, the results in [2] and [3], pertaining to the case $-\Delta + V$, give the absolute continuity for $V \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $p > 1$ if $d = 2$, $p = d/2$ if $d = 3$ or $d = 4$, and $p = d - 2$ if $d \geq 5$. In [16], the author established the absolute continuity of $-\Delta + V$ under the condition $V \in L^{d/2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 3$. This is best possible in the context of the L^p spaces, in the sense that, under the periodicity condition, $L^{d/2}_{\text{loc}}$ is the largest space for which the self-adjoint operator $-\Delta + V$ may be defined by a quadratic form. The case $V \in \text{weak-}L^{d/2}$ was also studied in [16].

REMARK 1.4. In [17] the author investigated the periodic Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$ with potential V in the Morrey–Campanato class. The author showed that, if $d \geq 3$, $p \in ((d - 1)/2, d/2]$, and

$$(1.5) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} r^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{r^d} \int_{|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}| \leq r} |V(\mathbf{y})|^p d\mathbf{y} \right\}^{1/p} < \varepsilon(p, d, \Omega),$$

where $\varepsilon(p, d, \Omega) > 0$ and Ω is a periodic cell for V , then $-\Delta + V$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum. This improves the $L^{d/2}$ and weak- $L^{d/2}$ results in [16]. We point out that the Kato class considered in this paper is not comparable with the Morrey–Campanato class for $d \geq 3$ and $p > 1$. Indeed, one can construct a periodic potential V in \mathbb{R}^3 such that

$$(1.6) \quad |V(x)| \sim \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}'|^2 |\ln(|\mathbf{x}'|)|^\delta} \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathbf{x}' = (x_2, x_3)$. Then $V \in K_3$ if $\delta > 2$, but V does not satisfy (1.5) since $V \notin L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $p > 1$. On the other hand, if

$$(1.7) \quad |V(\mathbf{x})| \sim \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^2 |\ln(|\mathbf{x}|)|^\delta} \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0,$$

then V satisfies (1.5) for $1 < p < 3/2$, if $\delta > 0$. However, $V \in K_3$ if and only if $\delta > 1$. Clearly, in the two-dimensional case, our result improves the L^p ($p > 1$) result in [2].

REMARK 1.8. By a change of coordinates, we may assume that V is periodic with respect to the lattice $(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$.

Our main theorem is proved by using the approach of L. Thomas [21] and a new pointwise estimate on the kernel function of a certain integral operator.

To be more precise, let $\Omega = [0, 2\pi)^d \approx \mathbb{R}^d / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d = \mathbb{T}^d$. We consider a family of operators

$$(1.9) \quad \mathbb{H}_V(z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{D} + z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})A(\mathbf{D} + z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})^T + V, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

defined on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ fixed. Using the Floquet decomposition and Thomas' argument, we may reduce the main theorem to the problem of showing that the family of operators $\{\mathbb{H}_V(z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ has no common eigenvalues. To this end, we will show that, for some appropriately chosen $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(1.10) \quad \left\| \{\mathbb{H}_V(z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})\}^{-1} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0$, $z = \delta + i\rho$, and δ is some fixed number depending on \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} .

To prove (1.10), the key step is to show that

$$(1.11) \quad \left\| V \{\mathbb{H}_0(z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})\}^{-1} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \begin{cases} C \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|V(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \{1 + |\ln |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||\} |V(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y}, & \text{if } d = 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{H}_0(z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{D} + z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})A(\mathbf{D} + z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})^T$. This will be done by establishing the following pointwise estimate on the kernel function $G_\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ of the operator $\{\mathbb{H}_0(z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})\}^{-1}$:

$$(1.12) \quad |G_\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \{1 + |\ln |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||\}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we prove the kernel function estimate (1.12), and in Section 4 we prove the Main Theorem.

Throughout the rest of this paper we assume that $d = 2$ or $d = 3$, and that $V \in K_d$ is periodic with respect to the lattice $(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$. We use $\|\cdot\|_p$ to denote the norm in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Finally we use C and c to denote positive constants, which may depend on the matrix A , and which are not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. Some preliminaries

We begin by choosing $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad |\mathbf{a}| = 1, \quad \mathbf{a}A = (s_0, 0, \dots, 0), \quad s_0 > 0.$$

For $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0$ and $|\mathbf{b}| \leq \sqrt{d}$, let

$$(2.2) \quad \delta = \frac{1}{a_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1 \right).$$

Note that $a_1 > 0$ since $\mathbf{aAa}^T = s_0 a_1 > 0$. We consider the operator

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{H}_0(\mathbf{k}) = (\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^T$$

defined on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, where

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{k} = (\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \geq 1.$$

For $\psi \in L^1(\Omega)$, let

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\Omega} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y}.$$

We may write

$$(2.6) \quad \{\mathbb{H}_0(\mathbf{k})\}^{-1} \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{n}) e^{i\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}}{(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T}$$

for $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Using (2.4) and (2.1), it is easy to see that

$$(2.7) \quad (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T = (\mathbf{n} + \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})A(\mathbf{n} + \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})^T \\ - \rho^2 s_0 a_1 + 2i\rho s_0 (n_1 + \delta a_1 + b_1).$$

By (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T| &\geq 2\rho s_0 |n_1 + \delta a_1 + b_1| \\ &= 2\rho s_0 \left| n_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right| \geq \rho s_0, \end{aligned}$$

since n_1 is an integer.

We now choose $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\eta(r) = 1$ if $r \geq s_0^2$, and $\eta(r) = 0$ if $0 < r < s_0^2/2$. Then,

$$\eta \left(\frac{|(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T|^2}{\rho^2} \right) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

It follows that

$$(2.8) \quad \{\mathbb{H}_0(\mathbf{k})\}^{-1} \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{e^{i\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{n}) \eta \left(|(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T|^2 / \rho^2 \right)}{(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T} \\ = \int_{\Omega} G_\rho(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y},$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad G_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{e^{i\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \eta \left(\left| (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T \right|^2 / \rho^2 \right)}{(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T}.$$

Note that, by the Plancherel theorem, we have $G_\rho \in L^2(\Omega)$ if $d = 2$ or $d = 3$.

Let

$$(2.10) \quad \varphi(\xi, \rho) = \xi A \xi^T - \rho^2 s_0 a_1 + 2i\rho s_0 \xi_1, \quad \text{where } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then,

$$(2.11) \quad h_\rho(\xi) = \frac{\eta \left(\left| \varphi(\xi, \rho) \right|^2 / \rho^2 \right)}{\varphi(\xi, \rho)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We denote its inverse Fourier transform by $F_\rho(\mathbf{x})$, i.e.,

$$(2.12) \quad F_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = (h_\rho)^\vee(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \xi \rangle} h_\rho(\xi) d\xi.$$

Using the fact that $(-\mathbf{x})^\beta F_\rho(\mathbf{x})$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $\mathbf{D}^\beta h_\rho(\xi)$, one sees that

$$F_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = O\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^N}\right) \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$$

for any $N \geq 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta \left(\left| (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T \right|^2 / \rho^2 \right)}{(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T} &= \frac{\eta \left(\left| \varphi(\mathbf{n} + \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \rho) \right|^2 / \rho^2 \right)}{\varphi(\mathbf{n} + \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \rho)} = h_\rho(\mathbf{n} + \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{n} + \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} F_\rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\Omega} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{n} + \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{m} \rangle} F_\rho(\mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{m}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\Omega} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{m} \rangle} F_\rho(\mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{m}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.9), this implies that

$$(2.13) \quad G_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle \delta\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{m} \rangle} F_\rho(\mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{m}),$$

which is a form of Poisson summation formula [20]. In particular,

$$(2.14) \quad |G_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |F_\rho(\mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{n})|.$$

To estimate the function $F_\rho(\mathbf{x})$, we first note that

$$\varphi(\xi, \rho) = \rho^2 \varphi(\xi/\rho, 1)$$

and

$$h_\rho(\xi) = \frac{\eta\left(\rho^2|\varphi(\xi/\rho, 1)|^2\right)}{\rho^2\varphi(\xi/\rho, 1)}.$$

It follows that

$$F_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = (h_\rho)^\vee(\mathbf{x}) = \rho^{d-2} \left(\frac{\eta\left(\rho^2|\varphi(\cdot, 1)|^2\right)}{\varphi(\cdot, 1)} \right)^\vee(\rho\mathbf{x}).$$

Let

$$(2.15) \quad f_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{\eta\left(\rho^2|\varphi(\cdot, 1)|^2\right)}{\varphi(\cdot, 1)} \right)^\vee(\mathbf{x}).$$

Then,

$$(2.16) \quad F_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \rho^{d-2} f_\rho(\rho\mathbf{x}).$$

Note that

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(\xi, 1) &= \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}\xi_j\xi_k - s_0a_1 + 2is_0\xi_1, \\ |\varphi(\xi, 1)|^2 &= \left| \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}\xi_j\xi_k - s_0a_1 \right|^2 + 4s_0^2\xi_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation yields the estimates

$$(2.18) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \xi_j^\ell} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varphi(\xi, 1)} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{C_\ell(1+|\xi|)^\ell}{|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^{\ell+1}},$$

$$(2.19) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \xi_j^\ell} \left\{ \eta\left(\rho^2|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^2\right) \right\} \right| \leq C_\ell\rho^\ell$$

for $\ell \geq 0$ and $j = 1, \dots, d$.

LEMMA 2.20. *Let $f_\rho(\mathbf{x})$ be defined by (2.15). Then, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$(2.21) \quad |f_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C\rho^3}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}, \quad \text{if } d = 3,$$

$$(2.22) \quad |f_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C\rho^4}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}, \quad \text{if } d = 2.$$

Proof. Since $x_j^4 f_\rho(\mathbf{x})$ is the inverse Fourier transform of

$$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial \xi_j^4} \left\{ \frac{\eta\left(\rho^2|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^2\right)}{\varphi(\xi, 1)} \right\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_j^4 f_\rho(\mathbf{x})| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{\partial^4}{\partial \xi_j^4} \left\{ \frac{\eta(\rho^2 |\varphi(\xi, 1)|^2)}{\varphi(\xi, 1)} \right\} \right| d\xi \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{\ell=0}^4 \left| \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \xi_j^\ell} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varphi(\xi, 1)} \right\} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\partial^{4-\ell}}{\partial \xi_j^{4-\ell}} \left\{ \eta(\rho^2 |\varphi(\xi, 1)|^2) \right\} \right| d\xi \\
 &\leq C \int_{|\varphi(\xi, 1)| \geq c/\rho} \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^4}{|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^5} d\xi \\
 &\quad + C \int_{|\varphi(\xi, 1)| \sim 1/\rho} \sum_{\ell=0}^3 \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^\ell}{|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^{\ell+1}} \cdot \rho^{4-\ell} d\xi \\
 &\leq C \int_{|\varphi(\xi, 1)| \geq c/\rho} \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^4}{|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^5} d\xi \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^4}{\{|\varphi(\xi, 1)| + 1/\rho\}} d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.23) \quad |\varphi(\xi, 1)| &\sim |\xi_1| + |\xi A \xi^T - \mathbf{a} A \mathbf{a}^T| \\
 &= |\xi_1| + \{|\xi B| + |\mathbf{a} B|\} \left| |\xi B| - |\mathbf{a} B| \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B = \sqrt{A} \geq 0$. Using this it is not hard to see that

$$I_1 := \int_{|\xi B| \geq 2|\mathbf{a} B|} \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^4}{\{|\varphi(\xi, 1)| + 1/\rho\}^5} d\xi \leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq c} \frac{|\xi|^4}{|\xi|^{10}} d\xi \leq C.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &:= \int_{|\xi B| \leq 2|\mathbf{a} B|} \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^4}{\{|\varphi(\xi, 1)| + 1/\rho\}^5} d\xi \\
 &\leq C \int_{|\xi B| \leq 2|\mathbf{a} B|} \frac{d\xi}{\{|\xi_1| + \left| |\xi B| - |\mathbf{a} B| \right| + 1/\rho\}^5} \\
 &\leq C \int_{|\xi| \leq 2|\mathbf{a} B|} \frac{d\xi}{\{ |(\xi B^{-1})_1| + \left| |\xi| - |\mathbf{a} B| \right| + 1/\rho\}^5} \\
 &\leq C \int_{|\xi| \leq 2|\mathbf{a} B|} \frac{d\xi}{\{|\xi_1| + \left| |\xi| - |\mathbf{a} B| \right| + 1/\rho\}^5},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by a rotation.

Now suppose $d = 3$. Then, using spherical coordinates with $\xi_1 = r \cos \theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \int_0^{2|\mathbf{a}B|} r^2 \left\{ \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin \theta d\theta}{\{r \cos \theta + |r - |\mathbf{a}B|| + 1/\rho\}^5} \right\} dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^{2|\mathbf{a}B|} \frac{dr}{\{|r - |\mathbf{a}B|| + 1/\rho\}^4} \\ &\leq C \int_0^{|\mathbf{a}B|} \frac{dr}{\{r + 1/\rho\}^4} \\ &\leq C\rho^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $d = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \int_0^{2|\mathbf{a}B|} r \left\{ \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{\{r \cos \theta + |r - |\mathbf{a}B|| + 1/\rho\}^5} \right\} dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^{2|\mathbf{a}B|} \frac{dr}{\{|r - |\mathbf{a}B|| + 1/\rho\}^5} \\ &\leq C \int_0^{|\mathbf{a}B|} \frac{dr}{\{r + 1/\rho\}^5} \\ &\leq C\rho^4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that, for $j = 1, \dots, d$,

$$|x_j^4 f_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq C \{I_1 + I_2\} \leq \begin{cases} C\rho^3, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C\rho^4, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

The estimates (2.21) and (2.22) then follow. \square

It follows from (2.16) and Lemma 2.20 that, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(2.24) \quad |F_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}|^4} \quad \text{for } d = 2 \text{ or } 3.$$

This will be used to estimate the terms on the right hand side of (2.14), where $|\mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{n}| \geq 1/2$.

3. Pointwise estimate of the kernel function $G_\rho(\mathbf{x})$

In this section we will show that, if $|\mathbf{x}| \leq 1/2$, then

$$(3.1) \quad |F_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}|}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \ln \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

Together with (2.24) and (2.14), this implies that

$$(3.2) \quad |G_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq \begin{cases} C \left\{ 1 + \sum_{|\mathbf{x}+2\pi\mathbf{n}|\leq 1/2} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{n}|} \right\}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \left\{ 1 + \sum_{|\mathbf{x}+2\pi\mathbf{n}|\leq 1/2} \ln \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} + 2\pi\mathbf{n}|} \right\}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

To prove (3.1), we recall that $F_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \rho^{d-2} f_\rho(\rho\mathbf{x})$ and write

$$(3.3) \quad f_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\varphi(\cdot, 1)} \right\}^\vee (\mathbf{x}) + \left\{ \frac{\eta(\rho^2|\varphi(\cdot, 1)|^2) - 1}{\varphi(\cdot, 1)} \right\}^\vee (\mathbf{x}).$$

LEMMA 3.4. *We have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{\eta(\rho^2|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^2) - 1}{\varphi(\xi, 1)} \right| d\xi \leq \frac{C}{\rho}.$$

Proof. Recall that $\eta(r) = 1$ for $r \geq s_0^2$. Thus, as in the proof of Lemma 2.20, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{\eta(\rho^2|\varphi(\xi, 1)|^2) - 1}{\varphi(\xi, 1)} \right| d\xi &\leq C \int_{|\varphi(\xi, 1)| \leq c/\rho} \frac{d\xi}{|\varphi(\xi, 1)|} \\ &\leq C \int_{|\xi_1| + ||\xi| - |\mathbf{a}B|| \leq c/\rho} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi_1| + ||\xi| - |\mathbf{a}B||} \\ &\leq C \int_{\substack{|\xi_1| \leq c/\rho \\ ||\xi'| - |\mathbf{a}B|| \leq c/\rho}} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi_1| + ||\xi'| - |\mathbf{a}B||} \quad \text{where } \xi = (\xi_1, \xi') \\ &\leq C \int_{|r - |\mathbf{a}B|| \leq c/\rho} \int_{|\xi_1| \leq c/\rho} \frac{d\xi_1 dr}{|\xi_1| + |r - |\mathbf{a}B||} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\rho} \int_{0 < r < c} \int_{|\xi_1| \leq c} \frac{d\xi_1 dr}{|\xi_1| + r} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \left\{ \frac{\eta(\rho^2|\varphi(\cdot, 1)|^2) - 1}{\varphi(\cdot, 1)} \right\}^\vee (\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\rho}.$$

To estimate the first term on the right hand side of (3.3), we first note that, by several changes of variables, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{\varphi(\cdot, 1)} \right\}^\vee (\mathbf{x}) = \frac{|\mathbf{a}B|^{d-2}}{\det(B)} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\xi|^2 - 1 + 2i\xi_1} \right\}^\vee (|\mathbf{a}B|\mathbf{x}B^{-1}O^{-1}),$$

where O is a $d \times d$ orthogonal matrix such that $\mathbf{a}BO^{-1} = (|\mathbf{a}B|, 0, \dots, 0)$.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $u(\mathbf{x})$ denote the inverse Fourier transform of $\{|\xi|^2 - 1 + 2i\xi_1\}^{-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^d , $d = 2$ or $d = 3$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \mathbf{x}') \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then,*

$$(3.8) \quad u(\mathbf{x}) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty J_0(|\mathbf{x}'|r)v(r, x_1)r \, dr, \quad \text{if } d = 3,$$

$$(3.9) \quad u(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \int_0^\infty \cos(|x_2|r)v(r, x_1) \, dr, \quad \text{if } d = 2,$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad v(r, x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ix_1\xi_1}}{r^2 + \xi_1^2 - 1 + 2i\xi_1} \, d\xi_1,$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad J_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it \cos \omega} \, d\omega$$

is the Bessel function of the first kind of order 0.

Proof. One may verify that, for $R > 0$,

$$\{|\xi|^2 - 1 + 2i\xi_1\}^{-1} \chi_{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d: |\xi'| \leq R\}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi')$. Since $\{|\xi|^2 - 1 + 2i\xi_1\}^{-1} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $3/2 < p < 2$, we have, by the Hausdorff–Young inequality [20],

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\substack{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |\xi'| \leq R}} \frac{e^{i(\mathbf{x}, \xi)}}{|\xi|^2 - 1 + 2i\xi_1} \, d\xi,$$

where the limit is taken in the $L^{p'}$ -space. From this, (3.8) and (3.9) follow by using Fubini’s theorem and polar coordinates. We omit the details. \square

LEMMA 3.12. *Let $v(r, x_1)$ be the function defined by (3.10). Then,*

$$v(r, x_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{r} e^{x_1 - r|x_1|}, & \text{if } r > 1, \\ \frac{\pi}{r} \left\{ e^{x_1 - r|x_1|} - e^{(1-r)x_1} \right\}, & \text{if } 0 < r < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First we write

$$v(r, x_1) = e^{x_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ix_1(\xi_1+i)}}{r^2 + (\xi_1+i)^2} \, d\xi_1.$$

Applying Cauchy’s integral theorem to the function

$$w(z) = \frac{e^{ix_1z}}{r^2 + z^2} = \frac{e^{ix_1z}}{(z+ri)(z-ri)},$$

we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad v(r, x_1) = \begin{cases} e^{x_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ix_1 y}}{r^2 + y^2} dy, & \text{if } r > 1, \\ e^{x_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ix_1 y}}{r^2 + y^2} dy - \frac{\pi}{r} e^{(1-r)x_1}, & \text{if } 0 < r < 1. \end{cases}$$

By a routine application of the residue theorem, one may show that

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{ix_1 y}}{r^2 + y^2} dy = \frac{\pi}{r} e^{-r|x_1|};$$

see, e.g., [14, pp. 389–390]. This, together with (3.13), yields the lemma. \square

LEMMA 3.15. *Let $v(r, x_1)$ be the function defined by (3.10). Then,*

$$|v(r, x_1)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{r} e^{(1-r)|x_1|}, & \text{if } r > 1, \\ C \{e^{(r-1)|x_1|} + |x_1| e^{-|x_1|/2}\}, & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r, x_1) \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{r^2} (1 + r|x_1|) e^{(1-r)|x_1|}, & \text{if } r > 1, \\ C \{ |x_1|^2 e^{-|x_1|/2} + (1 + |x_1|) e^{(r-1)|x_1|} \}, & \text{if } 0 < r < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will only prove the second estimate, using Lemma 3.12. The proof of the first estimate is easier.

If $r > 1$,

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = -\frac{\pi}{r^2} e^{x_1 - r|x_1|} + \frac{\pi}{r} e^{x_1 - r|x_1|} (-|x_1|).$$

Hence,

$$\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right| = \frac{\pi(1 + r|x_1|)}{r^2} e^{x_1 - r|x_1|} \leq \frac{\pi(1 + r|x_1|)}{r^2} e^{(1-r)|x_1|}.$$

Next suppose $0 < r < 1$. We may assume $x_1 < 0$ since $v(r, x_1) = 0$ if $0 < r < 1$ and $x_1 \geq 0$. Note that, in this case, we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = -\frac{\pi}{r^2} \{e^{(1+r)x_1} - e^{(1-r)x_1}\} + \frac{\pi x_1}{r} \{e^{(1+r)x_1} + e^{(1-r)x_1}\}.$$

If $1/2 \leq r < 1$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right| &\leq C |e^{(1+r)x_1} - e^{(1-r)x_1}| + C |x_1| \{e^{(1+r)x_1} + e^{(1-r)x_1}\} \\ &\leq C \{1 + |x_1|\} e^{(r-1)|x_1|}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $0 < r < 1/2$ and $|rx_1| \geq 1$, then $|x_1| \geq 1/r$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right| &\leq C |x_1|^2 \left\{ e^{(1+r)x_1} + e^{(1-r)x_1} \right\} \\ &\leq C |x_1|^2 e^{-|x_1|/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $0 < r < 1/2$ and $|rx_1| < 1$, we use $e^t = 1 + t + O(t^2)$ for $|t| < 1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} &= -\frac{\pi}{r^2} e^{x_1} \{2rx_1 + O((rx_1)^2)\} + \frac{\pi x_1}{r} e^{x_1} \{2 + O((rx_1)^2)\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{r^2} e^{x_1} O((rx_1)^2) + \frac{\pi x_1}{r} e^{x_1} O((rx_1)^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right| \leq C \left\{ |x_1|^2 e^{x_1} + r |x_1|^3 e^{x_1} \right\} \leq C |x_1|^2 e^{-|x_1|}.$$

The proof is now complete. \square

LEMMA 3.16. *Let $u(\mathbf{x})$ be the inverse Fourier transform of $\{|\xi|^2 - 1 + 2i\xi_1\}^{-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Then, if $d = 3$,*

$$|u(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}|},$$

and, if $d = 2$,

$$|u(\mathbf{x})| \leq \begin{cases} C \ln \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|}, & \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}|}, & \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first consider the case $d = 3$. It follows from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{x}) &= 2\pi \int_0^{1/|\mathbf{x}'|} J_0(|\mathbf{x}'|r) v(r, x_1) r dr + 2\pi \int_{1/|\mathbf{x}'|}^{\infty} J_0(|\mathbf{x}'|r) v(r, x_1) r dr \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.15, $|v(r, x_1)| r \leq C$. This, together with the observation $|J_0(t)| \leq 1$, gives

$$|I_1| \leq 2\pi \int_0^{1/|\mathbf{x}'|} |v(r, x_1)| r dr \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|}.$$

To estimate I_2 we first assume that $|\mathbf{x}'| \leq 1$. Since

$$(3.17) \quad rJ_0(r) = \frac{d}{dr} \{rJ_1(r)\},$$

where $J_\nu(r)$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν (see [11]), we may use integration by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{x}'|^2} \int_1^\infty r J_0(r) v \left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1 \right) dr \\ &= -\frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{x}'|^2} J_1(1) v \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1 \right) - \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{x}'|^3} \int_1^\infty r J_1(r) \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1 \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from the estimate (see [11])

$$(3.18) \quad |J_1(r)| \leq \frac{C}{r^{1/2}}, \quad \text{for } r \geq 1$$

and Lemma 3.15 that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^3} \int_1^\infty r^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1 \right) \right| dr \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} \int_{1/|\mathbf{x}'|}^\infty r^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r, x_1) \right| dr \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} \int_{1/|\mathbf{x}'|}^\infty r^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \{1 + r|x_1|\} e^{(r-1)|x_1|} dr \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\mathbf{x}'| \geq 1$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{x}'|^2} \int_1^{|\mathbf{x}'|} r J_0(r) v \left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1 \right) dr + \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{x}'|^2} \int_{|\mathbf{x}'|}^\infty r J_0(r) v \left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1 \right) dr \\ &= I_{21} + I_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, using (3.17), integration by parts, and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{21}| &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^3} \int_1^{|\mathbf{x}'|} r^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1 \right) \right| dr \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} \int_{1/|\mathbf{x}'|}^1 r^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r, x_1) \right| dr \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} \int_0^1 |x_1| e^{(r-1)|x_1|} dr \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_{22}| &= \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{x}'|^2} \left| \int_{|\mathbf{x}'|}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dr} \{rJ_1(r)\} v\left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1\right) dr \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^3} \int_{|\mathbf{x}'|}^{\infty} r^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\left(\frac{r}{|\mathbf{x}'|}, x_1\right) \right| dr \\
 &= \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} \int_1^{\infty} r^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r, x_1) \right| dr \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|^{3/2}} \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$(3.19) \quad |u(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}'|}.$$

To finish the case $d = 3$, we still need to show that

$$(3.20) \quad |u(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C}{|x_1|}, \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Clearly, (3.19) and (3.20) imply that $|u(\mathbf{x})| \leq C/|\mathbf{x}|$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

To see (3.20), we use Lemma 3.15 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u(\mathbf{x})| &\leq 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} |v(r, x_1)| r dr \\
 &\leq C \int_0^1 \left\{ e^{(r-1)|x_1|} + |x_1| e^{-|x_1|/2} \right\} dr + C \int_1^{\infty} e^{(1-r)|x_1|} dr \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{|x_1|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the case $d = 2$. By Lemmas 3.7 and 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u(\mathbf{x})| &= 2 \left| \int_0^{\infty} \cos(|x_2| r) v(r, x_1) dr \right| \\
 &\leq 2 \int_0^{\infty} |v(r, x_1)| dr \\
 &\leq C \int_0^1 \left\{ e^{(r-1)|x_1|} + |x_1| e^{-|x_1|/2} \right\} dr + C \int_1^{\infty} e^{(1-r)|x_1|} \frac{dr}{r} \\
 &\leq C |x_1| e^{-|x_1|/2} + C \int_0^1 e^{-r|x_1|} dr + C \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r|x_1|} \frac{dr}{r+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this it is not hard to see that

$$(3.21) \quad |u(\mathbf{x})| \leq \begin{cases} C \ln \frac{1}{|x_1|}, & \text{if } |x_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{C}{|x_1|}, & \text{if } |x_1| > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we will show that

$$(3.22) \quad |u(\mathbf{x})| \leq \begin{cases} C \ln \frac{1}{|x_2|}, & \text{if } |x_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{C}{|x_2|}, & \text{if } |x_2| > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

The desired estimate for $|u(\mathbf{x})|$ follows easily from (3.21) and (3.22).

To see (3.22) we write

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{x}) &= 2 \int_0^{1/|x_2|} \cos(|x_2| r) v(r, x_1) dr + 2 \int_{1/|x_2|}^\infty \cos(|x_2| r) v(r, x_1) dr \\ &= I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

as in the case of $d = 3$. If $|x_2| > 1/2$, by Lemma 3.15, we have

$$|I_3| \leq C \int_0^{1/|x_2|} |v(r, x_1)| dr \leq C \int_0^{1/|x_2|} dr \leq \frac{C}{|x_2|}.$$

Similarly, if $|x_2| \leq 1/2$,

$$|I_3| \leq 2 \int_0^1 |v(r, x_1)| dr + 2 \int_1^{1/|x_2|} |v(r, x_1)| dr \leq C + C \int_1^{1/|x_2|} \frac{dr}{r} \leq C \ln \frac{1}{|x_2|}.$$

To estimate I_4 we use integration by parts. Suppose $|x_2| \leq 1/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &= \frac{2}{|x_2|} \left| \int_{1/|x_2|}^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{ \sin(|x_2| r) \} v(r, x_1) dr \right| \\ &\leq C + \frac{C}{|x_2|} \int_{1/|x_2|}^\infty \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r, x_1) \right| dr \\ &\leq C + \frac{C}{|x_2|} \int_{1/|x_2|}^\infty \frac{1}{r^2} \{1 + r |x_1|\} e^{(1-r)|x_1|} dr \\ &\leq C \leq C \ln \frac{1}{|x_2|}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|x_2| > 1/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &\leq \frac{2}{|x_2|} \left| \int_{1/|x_2|}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{ \sin(|x_2| r) \} \cdot v(r, x_1) dr \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{|x_2|} \left| \int_1^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{ \sin(|x_2| r) \} \cdot v(r, x_1) dr \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|x_2|} + \frac{C}{|x_2|} \int_{1/|x_2|}^1 \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r, x_1) \right| dr + \frac{C}{|x_2|} \int_1^\infty \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r, x_1) \right| dr \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|x_2|}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.22) and completes the proof of Lemma 3.16. \square

It follows from Lemma 3.16 and (3.6) that

$$(3.23) \quad \left| \left\{ \frac{1}{\varphi(\cdot, 1)} \right\}^\vee(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}|}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \right), & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

This, together with (3.3) and (3.5), implies that

$$(3.24) \quad |f_\rho(\mathbf{x})| \leq \begin{cases} C \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \right\}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} + \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \right) \right\}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by (2.16), for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(3.25) \quad |F_\rho(\mathbf{x})| = \rho^{d-2} |f_\rho(\rho\mathbf{x})| \leq \begin{cases} C \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \right\}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} + \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho|\mathbf{x}|} \right) \right\}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

The estimate (3.1) now follows from (3.25), and the proof of (3.2) is complete.

4. Proof of the Main Theorem

Suppose $V \in K_d$. It is well known that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon, V} > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 |V| d\mathbf{x} \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 d\mathbf{x} + C_{\varepsilon, V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

for any $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$; see [7], [18]. It follows from (4.1) that the quadratic form associated with $\mathbf{DAD}^T + V$ generates a unique self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which we also denote by $\mathbf{DAD}^T + V$.

Let $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, where

$$H^1(\mathbb{T}^d) = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) : \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_{\mathbf{n}} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n} \rangle} \text{ and } \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\mathbf{n}|^2 |a_{\mathbf{n}}|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Extending ψ by periodicity to \mathbb{R}^d and then applying (4.1) to $\psi\tilde{\eta}$, where $\tilde{\eta}$ is a C^∞ cut-off function such that $\tilde{\eta} = 1$ on Ω , we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^2 |V| \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \tilde{C}_{\varepsilon, V} \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^2 \, d\mathbf{x}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This implies that, for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{C}^d$, the quadratic form associated with $(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^T + V$ on \mathbb{T}^d defines a unique closed operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, which we denote by $\mathbb{H}_V(\mathbf{k})$. Moreover,

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Domain}(\mathbb{H}_V(\mathbf{k})) = \{ \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d) : \mathbb{H}_V(0)\psi = (\mathbf{D}A\mathbf{D}^T + V)\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \}.$$

Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a vector satisfying (2.1) and

$$(4.4) \quad L = \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0 \text{ and } |\mathbf{b}| \leq \sqrt{d} \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If, for every $\mathbf{b} \in L$, the family of operators $\{ \mathbb{H}_V(z\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) : z \in \mathbb{C} \}$ has no common eigenvalue, then the spectrum of the operator $\mathbf{D}A\mathbf{D}^T + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is purely absolutely continuous.*

Proof. See [13] and [16]. □

Fix $\mathbf{b} \in L$ and let

$$\delta = \frac{1}{a_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1 \right),$$

as in (2.2). We will show that the family of operators $\{ \mathbb{H}_V((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) : \rho \geq 1 \}$ has no common eigenvalue under the assumption of our main theorem.

We need the following estimate on the norm of $\{ \mathbb{H}_0((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \}^{-1}$ on $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

THEOREM 4.6. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \{ \mathbb{H}_0((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \}^{-1} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C \ln(\rho + 1)}{\rho^{1/2}}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ \frac{C}{\rho^{1/2}}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In view of (2.8), it suffices to show that

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} |G_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C \ln(\rho + 1)}{\rho^{1/2}}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ \frac{C}{\rho^{1/2}}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

To this end, note that, by Hölder’s inequality, (2.9), and the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |G_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mathbf{x} &\leq |\Omega|^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |G_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= C \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{|(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k})^T|^2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{\{ |(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{b})A(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{b})^T - \rho^2 a_1 s_0| + \rho |n_1 + \frac{1}{2}| \}^2} \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired estimate (4.7) follows from the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [16] (see the estimate (3.11) in [16]). We omit the details. \square

The next theorem is a consequence of the pointwise estimate (3.2) of the kernel function G_{ρ} .

THEOREM 4.8. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| V \{ \mathbb{H}_0((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \}^{-1} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|V(\mathbf{y})|}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} \, d\mathbf{y}, & \text{if } d = 3, \\ C \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |V(\mathbf{y})| \{1 + |\ln |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}||\} \, d\mathbf{y}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that, if $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then

$$\{ \mathbb{H}_0((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \}^{-1} \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} G_{\rho}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \psi(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| V \{ \mathbb{H}_0((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \}^{-1} \psi \right\|_1 &\leq \int_{\Omega} |V(\mathbf{x})| \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |G_{\rho}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})| |\psi(\mathbf{y})| \, d\mathbf{y} \right\} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |V(\mathbf{x})| |G_{\rho}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})| \, d\mathbf{x} \|\psi\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The desired estimate now follows easily from (3.2). \square

Proof of Main Theorem. We give the proof for the case $d = 3$. The case $d = 2$ can be handled in the same manner.

To show that $\{ \mathbb{H}_V((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) : \rho \geq 1 \}$ has no common eigenvalue, we argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exists $E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for every $\rho \geq 1$, there exists $\psi_{\rho} \in \text{Domain}(\mathbb{H}_V((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}))$ such that $\|\psi_{\rho}\|_2 = 1$ and

$$\mathbb{H}_V((\delta + i\rho)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})\psi_{\rho} = E\psi_{\rho}.$$

Since $\psi_\rho \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, by the Cauchy inequality and (4.2), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\psi_\rho| |V| d\mathbf{x} \leq \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |V| d\mathbf{x} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\psi_\rho|^2 |V| d\mathbf{x} \right\}^{1/2} < \infty.$$

It follows that $(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^T \psi_\rho = E\psi_\rho - V\psi_\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Let

$$V_N(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} V(\mathbf{x}), & \text{if } |V(\mathbf{x})| > N, \\ 0, & \text{if } |V(\mathbf{x})| \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$(4.9) \quad \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^T \psi_\rho\|_1 \leq \{|E| + N\} \|\psi_\rho\|_1 + \|V_N \psi_\rho\|_1.$$

By Theorem 4.8,

$$(4.10) \quad \|V_N \psi_\rho\|_1 \leq C \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|V_N(\mathbf{y})|}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{y} \cdot \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^T \psi_\rho\|_1.$$

Note that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|V_N(\mathbf{y})|}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{y} \leq \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}| \leq r} \frac{|V(\mathbf{y})|}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} |V_N(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|V_N(\mathbf{y})|}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{y} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \int_{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}| \leq r} \frac{|V(\mathbf{y})|}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{y} = 0.$$

This implies that, if N is sufficiently large,

$$(4.11) \quad \|V_N \psi_\rho\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^T \psi_\rho\|_1.$$

In view of (4.9) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})A(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^T \psi_\rho\|_1 \leq 2(|E| + N) \|\psi_\rho\|_1.$$

This, together with Theorem 4.6, gives

$$\frac{C\rho^{1/2}}{\ln(\rho + 1)} \|\psi_\rho\|_1 \leq 2(|E| + N) \|\psi_\rho\|_1$$

or

$$\frac{C\rho^{1/2}}{\ln(\rho + 1)} \leq 2(|E| + N),$$

for any $\rho \geq 1$. This is impossible if we let $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Sh. Birman and T. A. Suslina, *Two-dimensional periodic magnetic Hamiltonian is absolutely continuous*, Algebra i Analiz **9** (1997), 32–48; English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (1998), 21–32.
- [2] ———, *Absolute continuity of the two-dimensional periodic magnetic Hamiltonian with discontinuous vector-valued potential*, Algebra i Analiz **10** (1998), 1–36; English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **10** (1999), 579–601.
- [3] ———, *A periodic magnetic Hamiltonian with a variable metric. The problem of absolute continuity*, Algebra i Analiz **11** (1999), 1–40, English transl. in St. Petersburg Math. J. **11**(2) (2000).
- [4] L. Danilov, *On the spectrum of the Dirac operator with periodic potential in \mathbb{R}^n* , Theor. and Math. Phys. **85** (1990), 1039–1048.
- [5] R. Hempel and L. Herbst, *Strong magnetic fields, Dirichlet boundaries, and spectral gaps*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1995), 237–259.
- [6] ———, *Bands and gaps for periodic magnetic Hamiltonians*, Partial differential operators and mathematical physics (Holzhau, 1994), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 78, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995, pp. 175–184.
- [7] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Israel J. Math. **13** (1972), 135–148.
- [8] ———, *Perturbation theory for linear operators. Second edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [9] C.E. Kenig, *Restriction theorems, Carleman estimates, uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuation*, Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (El Escorial, 1987), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1384, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, pp. 69–90.
- [10] P. Kuchment, *Floquet theory for partial differential equations*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 60, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.
- [11] N.N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications*, Dover Publications, New York, 1972.
- [12] A. Morame, *Absence of singular spectrum for a perturbation of a two-dimensional Laplace–Beltrami operator with periodic electro-magnetic potential*, J. Phys. A **31** (1998), 7593–7601.
- [13] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics*, vols. II and IV, Academic Press, 1978.
- [14] E. Saff and A. Snider, *Fundamentals of complex analysis for mathematics, science, and engineering*, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, 1993.
- [15] E. Sawyer, *Unique continuation for Schrödinger operators in dimension three or less*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **33** (1984), 189–200.
- [16] Z. Shen, *On absolute continuity of the periodic Schrödinger operators*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices **2001**, 1–31.
- [17] ———, *The periodic Schrödinger operator with potentials in the Morrey class*, J. Funct. Analysis, to appear.
- [18] B. Simon, *Schrödinger semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 447–526.
- [19] A. V. Sobolev, *Absolute continuity of the periodic magnetic Schrödinger operator*, Invent. Math **137** (1999), 85–112.
- [20] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [21] L. E. Thomas, *Time dependent approach to scattering from impurities in a crystal*, Comm. Math. Phys. **33** (1973), 335–343.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KY 40506
E-mail address: shenz@ms.uky.edu