## a DeCOMPOSITION PROOF THAT THE DOUBLE SUSPENSION OF A HOMOTOPY 3-CELL IS A TOPOLOGICAL 5-CELL ${ }^{1}$

BY<br>Leslie C. Glaser ${ }^{2}$<br>\section*{1. Introduction and definitions}

In [5], the author proved that if $H^{3}$ is a $P L$ homotopy 3 -sphere bounding a compact contractible $P L$ 4-manifold, then the double suspension of $H^{3}$ is topologically homeomorphic to the 5 -sphere $S^{5}$. (We write this as $\Sigma^{2} H^{3} \approx S^{5}$, where $\Sigma^{2}$ denotes double suspension and $\approx$ means topologically homeomorphic.) In [10], Siebenmann gives an elegant proof that $\Sigma^{2} H^{3} \approx S^{5}$, for any homotopy 3 -sphere $H^{3}$. However, this proof is somewhat unsatisfactory in that it has to make use of some deep results of the Kirby-Siebenmann triangulation theory, and a key theorem needed to obtain this result was given merely by a reference to a paper by Kirby and Siebermann, which apparently was not even in preprint form at the time. ${ }^{8}$ In [6], the author made use of a completely geometrical, but quite involved, argument, outlined to him by Kirby, to show that if $F^{3}$ is a homotopy 3-cell, then $\Sigma^{2} F^{3} \approx I^{5}$. This requires a long and complicated argument, which depends quite heavily on the full work of [4]. In an addendum to [10], Siebenmann remarks that the same proof used to show that $\Sigma^{2} H^{3} \approx S^{5}$, also works to show that $\Sigma^{2} F^{3} \approx I^{5}$.

Here, we give an easy decomposition proof that $\Sigma^{2} F^{3} \approx I^{5}$, for any homotopy 3 -cell $F^{3}$. The proof only requires a simple application of the engulfing lemma of [11], plus the fact that all homotopy 3 -cells can be triangulated [1] and some basic fundamentals of geometric $P L$ theory. Moreover, by using the collaring theorem of [2] and the topological $h$-cobordism theory of [3] (which itself only requires [2] and the engulfing lemma), the proof given here actually can be used to show that $\Sigma^{2} F^{3} \approx I^{5}$, without even using the fact that 3 -manifolds can be triangulated (also refer to the remarks at the beginning of §5).

In Corollary 4.3, we show that if $M^{3}$ is an arbitrary homotopy 3 -sphere and $h: S^{2} \rightarrow N^{2} \subset M^{3}$ is a homeomorphism carrying $S^{2}$ onto the locally flat submanifold $N^{2}$ of $M^{3}$, then there exists a homeomorphism

$$
H:\left(\Sigma^{2}\left(v_{1} * S^{2} * v_{2}\right), \Sigma^{2} S^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Sigma^{2} M^{3}, \Sigma^{2} N^{2}\right)
$$

such that $H \mid \Sigma^{2} S^{2}=\Sigma^{2} h$ (here $*$ denotes join and $\Sigma^{2} h: \Sigma^{2} S^{2} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} N^{2}$ denotes

[^0]the natural homeomorphism extending $h: S^{2} \rightarrow N^{2}$ and carrying the suspension circle of $\Sigma^{2} S^{2}$, by the identity map, to the suspension circle of $\Sigma^{2} N^{2}$ ).

In Corollary 5.4, we show that if $N^{8}$ is a homotopy 3 -sphere contained as a locally flat submanifold of the homotopy 4 -sphere $M^{4}$, then there exists a homeomorphism

$$
H:\left(\Sigma^{2}\left(v_{1} * S^{3} * v_{2}\right), \Sigma^{2} S^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Sigma^{2} M^{4}, \Sigma^{2} N^{3}\right)
$$

such that $H \mid \Theta^{1}=$ identity (here $\Theta^{1}$ denotes the suspension circle of each pair).

We now give a few additional definitions. We will use $\cong$ to denote $P L$ (or combinatorially) homeomorphic, and as we have already noted, $\approx$ means topologically homeomorphic. By a homotopy 3 -sphere $M^{8}$ (3-cell $F^{3}$ ), we will mean a closed (compact) topological 3-manifold (with nonempty boundary) such that $\pi_{1}\left(M^{8}\right)=0$ ( $F^{8}$ is contractible). By a homotopy 4 -sphere $M^{4}\left(4\right.$-cell $\left.F^{4}\right)$, we will mean the above with 4 replacing 3 , and $\pi_{i}\left(M^{4}\right)=0$ ( $i=1,2,3$ ) replacing $\pi_{1}\left(M^{8}\right)=0$.

If $X$ is a metric space with metric $\rho$ and $Z$ is a subset of $X$, then, given $\varepsilon>0$, we will use $V(Z, X, \varepsilon)$ to denote the set $\{x \in X \mid \rho(x, Z)<\varepsilon\}$. Also, if $Z$ is a compact subset of $X$, we will use $d(Z)$ to denote the diameter of $Z$, i.e.

$$
d(Z)=\max \left\{\rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mid z_{1}, z_{2} \in Z\right\}
$$

If $K$ is a compact subset of Euclidean $n$-space $E^{n}$, we define $\Sigma^{2} K$ and $\Theta^{1} \subset \Sigma^{2} K$ explicitly in §2. Finally, if $N^{k}$ is a closed submanifold of the closed manifold $M^{n}$, we say $N^{k}$ is locally flat in $M^{n}$ if, for every $x \in N^{k}$, there exists an open set $U \subset M^{n}$ containing $x$ such that $\left(U, U \cap N^{k}\right) \approx\left(E^{n}, E^{k}\right)$.

## 2. Some preliminary notation and lemmas

Let $F^{3}$ be a homotopy 3-cell and let $N\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{3}, F^{3}\right)$ denote the regular neighborhood of $\operatorname{Bd} F^{3} \cong S^{2}$ in $F^{3}$ under the second barycentric subdivision of $F^{3}$. By [12], $N\left(\operatorname{Bd} F^{3}, F^{3}\right) \cong \operatorname{Bd} F^{8} \times[0,1]$. We identify $N\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{3}, F^{3}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Bd} F^{3} \times[0,1]$, so that $x \in \operatorname{Bd} F^{3}$ corresponds to $(x, 0) \in \operatorname{Bd} F^{3} \times[0,1]$. Let $\Delta^{2}$ denote a 2 -simplex in $\operatorname{Bd} F^{3}$ and let $\left\{\Delta_{i}\right\}$ denote a sequence of concentric 2 -simplexes in $\Delta^{2}$ so that $\Delta_{1} \subset \operatorname{int} \Delta^{2}, \Delta_{i+1} \subset$ int $\Delta_{i}$ for each $i$, and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{i}=\{p\}$ is the barycenter of $\Delta^{2}$. For each $i=1,2, \cdots$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{i}=\mathrm{F}^{3}-\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{3} \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}\right)\right) \\
& B_{i}=\Delta_{i} \times\left[\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}, \frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}\right] \\
& \left.F_{i}=T_{i}-\left\{\operatorname{int} B_{i} \mathrm{u} \operatorname{lint} \Delta_{i} \times\left(\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
K=F^{3}-\left(\operatorname{Bd} F^{3} \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
$$

We note, for each $i, T_{i}=B_{i} \cup F_{i}$,
$B_{i} \cap F_{i}=\mathrm{Bd} B_{i} \cap \mathrm{Bd} F_{i}$

$$
=\left(\operatorname{Bd} \Delta_{i} \times\left[\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}, \frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}\right]\right) \mathrm{u}\left(\Delta_{i} \times\left(\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}\right)\right.
$$

is a combinatorial 2 -cell which we denote by $C_{i}, T_{1} \subset \operatorname{int} F^{3}, T_{i+1} \subset \operatorname{int} T_{i}$, $B_{i+1} \subset \operatorname{int} B_{i}, K=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{i}$ and $z=\left(p, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{i} . \quad$ Since $B_{i} \cap F_{i}=$ $\mathrm{Bd} B_{i} \cap \mathrm{Bd} F_{i}=C_{i}$ is a 2-cell, $F_{i} \cong T_{i} \cong F^{3}$. Let $D_{i}$ denote the 2-cell

$$
\operatorname{Bd} F_{i}-\operatorname{int} C_{i}=\operatorname{Bd} T_{i}-\left(\operatorname{int} \Delta_{i} \times\left(\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i+1}\right)\right)
$$

We now quote an elementary lemma proved in [5]. This only requires a simple application of the engulfing lemma of [11].

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $M$ is a compact contractible combinatorial 5-manifold, $U$ is a contractible open subset of $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{d} M, \delta$ is a positive number, and $Z$ is a closed subset of $M$ such that $Z \cap \mathrm{Bd} M \subset U$. If there exists a connected open subset $W$ of $\operatorname{Bd} M$ missing $Z \cap \operatorname{Bd} M$ so that $\pi_{1}(W)=0$ and $U$ u $W=\operatorname{Bd} M$, then there is a piecewise linear isotopy $f_{t}(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ taking $M$ onto itself such that
(1) $f_{0}=$ identily,
(2) $f_{t}=$ identity on $\operatorname{Bd} M$ for all t, and
(3) $f_{1}(Z) \subset V(U, M, \delta)$.

Suppose $K$ is a finite complex or an arbitrary compact subset of $E^{n}$. Let $q_{i}$ and $-q_{i}(i=1$ or 2$)$ be the points of $E^{2}$ given by $q_{1}=(1,0), q_{2}=(0,1)$, $-q_{1}=(-1,0)$, and $-q_{2}=(0,-1)$. Let $\theta_{n}$ denote the origin of $E^{n}$ and for $i=1$ or 2 , let $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ be the points of $E^{n+2}=E^{n} \times E^{2}$ defined by $u_{i}=$ $\left(\theta_{n},-q_{i}\right)$ and $v_{i}=\left(\theta_{n}, q_{i}\right)$. By the double suspension of $K\left(=K \times \theta_{2}\right)$ in $E^{n+2}$, we will mean the complex or compact set $\Sigma^{2} K \subset E^{n+2}$ given by

$$
\Sigma^{2} K=u_{2} *\left(u_{1} * K * v_{1}\right) * v_{2}
$$

where $*$ denotes join (i.e. if $A$ and $B$ are two compact subsets of $E^{m}$, then

$$
A * B=\{(1-t) a+t b \mid a \in A, b \in B, \text { and } t \in[0,1]\})
$$

Let $\Theta^{1}$ denote the polyhedral 1-sphere in $\theta_{n} \times E^{2} \subset E^{n} \times E^{2}$ given by

$$
\Theta^{1}=u_{2} *\left(u_{1} \cup v_{1}\right) * v_{2}
$$

Then

$$
\Sigma^{2} K \cong K * \Theta^{1}
$$

Let $S^{1}$ denote the unit 1 -sphere in $\theta_{n} \times E^{2}$. Let $\tilde{p}: \Theta^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ denote the projection of $\Theta^{1}$ onto $S^{1}$ from the origin $\left(\theta_{n}, \theta_{2}\right)$ of $\theta_{n} \times E^{2}$, and define

$$
p_{K}: K * \Theta^{1} \rightarrow K * S^{1}
$$

to be the natural homeomorphism sending the interval $x * y \subset K * \Theta^{1}$ $\left(x \in K, y \in \Theta^{1}\right)$ to $x * \mathscr{p}(y) \subset K * S^{1}$ (i.e. $(1-t) x+t y$ goes to $(1-t) x+t p(y)(0 \leq t \leq 1))$.

Now every point of $\left(K * S^{1}\right)-S^{1}$ has a unique representation in the form $\langle x, t y\rangle$, where $x \in K, y \in S^{1}$ and $t \in[0,1)$. That is,

$$
\langle x, t y\rangle=(1-t) x+t y \in x * y \quad \text { and }\langle x, 0 y\rangle=\langle x, 0\rangle=x \in K .
$$

Let $\Phi_{K}$ be the homeomorphism carrying $K \times E^{2}$ onto $\left(K * S^{1}\right)-S^{1}$ defined
by sending

$$
(x, w) \in K \times E^{2} \quad \text { to } \quad\langle x, w /(1+\|w\|)\rangle
$$

where $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in E^{2}$ and $\|w\|=\left(\left(w_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
Lemma 2.2 Suppose $K$ and $L$ are compact subsets of $E^{n} \times \theta_{2} \subset E^{n} \times E^{2}$, and $\Sigma^{2} K$ and $\Sigma^{2} L$ are the double suspensions of $K$ and $L$, respectively, as defined above. If $f: K \times E^{2} \rightarrow L \times E^{2}$ is a continuous map carrying $K \times E^{2}$ onto $L \times E^{2}$ such that $f$ is bounded on the $E^{2}$ factor (i.e. if $p_{2}: L \times E^{2} \rightarrow E^{2}$, then $\left\|w-p_{2} \circ f(x, w)\right\|<$ constant $)$, then $f$ induces a continuous map $g: \Sigma^{2} K \rightarrow$ $\Sigma^{2} L$ such that $g \mid \Theta^{1}=$ identity. Furthermore, if for some subset $B \subset K, f \mid B \times E^{2}$ is of the form $\tilde{f} \times \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{E}^{2}}$, where $\tilde{f}: B \rightarrow L$, then $g \mid \Sigma^{2} B=\Sigma^{2} f$, i.e. if $x * y \in B * \Theta^{1}$, then

$$
g((1-t) x+t y)=(1-t) \tilde{f}(x)+t y \in \tilde{f}(x) * y
$$

Proof. Since $f: K \times E^{2} \rightarrow L \times E^{2}$ is bounded on the $E^{2}$ factor, we claim that the map $\tilde{g}:\left(\Sigma^{2} K\right)-\Theta^{1} \rightarrow\left(\Sigma^{2} L\right)-\Theta^{1}$, defined as the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\Sigma^{2} K\right)-\Theta^{1}=\left(K * \Theta^{1}\right)-\Theta^{1} \xrightarrow{p_{K}}\left(K * S^{1}\right)-S^{1} \xrightarrow{\left(\Phi_{K}\right)^{-1}} \\
& K \times E^{2} \xrightarrow[f]{f} L \times E^{2} \xrightarrow{\Phi_{L}}\left(L * S^{1}\right)-S^{1} \xrightarrow{\left(p_{L}\right)^{-1}} \\
&\left(L * \Theta^{1}\right)-\Theta^{1}=\left(\Sigma^{2} L\right)-\Theta^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

extends by the identity map on $\Theta^{1}$ to a continuous map $g: \Sigma^{2} K \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} L$.
We see this as follows: Suppose $\left\{\left\langle x_{i}, t_{i} y_{i}\right\rangle\right\}(i=1,2,3, \cdots)$ is a sequence of points of $\left(K * S^{1}\right)-S^{1}$ tending to $y_{0} \in S^{1}$. We note that $\left\{t_{i}\right\} \rightarrow 1,\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of points in $S^{1}$ converging to $y_{0}$, and $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of points of $K$. We consider a subsequence $\left\{\left\langle x_{j}, t_{j} y_{j}\right\rangle\right\}$ of $\left\{\left\langle x_{i}, t_{i} y_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ so that $\left\{x_{j}\right\} \rightarrow x_{0} \in K$ and $\left\{y_{j}\right\} \rightarrow y_{0} \in S^{1}$. Then $\left\{\left(\Phi_{k}^{-1}\right)\left\langle x_{j}, t_{j} y_{j}\right\rangle\right\}$ is an unbounded sequence of $K \times E^{2}$ of the form $\left\{\left(x_{j}, s_{j} y_{j}\right)\right\}$, where $\left\{s_{j}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$
f\left(x_{j}, s_{j} y_{j}\right)=\left(z_{j}, \tilde{s}_{j} \tilde{y}_{j}\right)
$$

where

$$
p_{1} \circ f\left(x_{j}, s_{j} y_{j}\right)=z_{j}, \quad s_{j}=\left\|p_{2} \circ f\left(x_{j}, s_{j} y_{j}\right)\right\|
$$

and

$$
\tilde{y}_{j}=\left(\left(p_{2} \circ f\left(x_{j}, s_{j} y_{j}\right)\right) / \tilde{s}_{j}\right) \in S^{1}
$$

Since $\left\|s_{j} y_{i}-\tilde{s}_{j} \tilde{y}_{j}\right\|<M, \tilde{s}_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\left\{\left\|y_{j}-\tilde{y}_{j}\right\|\right\} \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$
\left\{\left(\Phi_{L}\right) \circ f \circ\left(\Phi_{K}\right)^{-1}\left\langle x_{j}, t_{j} y_{j}\right\rangle\right\}=\left\{\Phi_{L}\left(z_{j}, \tilde{s}_{j} \tilde{y}_{j}\right)\right\}=\left\langle z_{j}, \tilde{t}_{j} \tilde{y}_{j}\right\rangle
$$

is a sequence in $\left(L * S^{1}\right)-S^{1}$ converging to $y_{0} \epsilon S^{1}$, and our claim follows.
The final conclusion follows easily from the manner in which the various maps defining $\tilde{g}$ are defined.

## 3. A shrinking theorem and a pseudo-isotopy

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $F^{3}$ is a homotopy 3-cell and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is the sequence of
closed neighborhoods enclosing the contractible complex $K$ in int $F^{3}$ given in §2. Also, suppose $z=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{i}$ is the point of $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{d} K$ as given in §2. Then for each $i$ and $\varepsilon>0$ there is a piecewise linear isotopy $\mu_{t}$ of $F \times E^{2}$ onto itself such that $\mu_{0}=$ identity, $\mu_{1}$ is uniformly continuous, and
(1) $\mu_{t}=$ identity on $\left\{\left(F^{3}-\operatorname{int} T_{i}\right) \times E^{2}\right\} \cup\left\{z \times E^{2}\right\}$ for each $t$,
(2) $\mu_{t}$ changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\epsilon$, and
(3) for each $w \in E^{2}, d\left(\mu_{1}\left(T_{i+4} \times\{w\}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$.

Prcof. Step 1. Let $F^{3}$ be subdivided so as to contain subdivisions of $T_{i+j}, B_{i+j}$, and $F_{i+j}$ as combinatorial submanifolds for $j=1, \cdots, 4$. Let $\delta_{1}$ be a positive number less than ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) $d\left(B_{i+1}\right)$ (a further restriction will be placed on the size of $\delta_{1}$ later). Let $D$ be a combinatorial 3 -cell contained in int $B_{i+1}$ such that $z \epsilon \operatorname{int} D$ and $d(D)<\delta_{1}$. Since each of $D$ and $B_{i+1}$ are combinatorial 3-cells contained in the interior of the combinatorial 3-cell $B_{i}$, given any closed neighborhood $N$ of $z$ in int $D$, it follows by [13] that there exists a piecewise linear isotopy $f_{t}$ carrying $B_{i}$ onto itself such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{0}=\text { identity } \\
f_{t}=\text { identity on } N \cup \operatorname{Bd} B_{i} \text { for all } t \\
f_{1}\left(B_{i+1}\right)=D
\end{gathered}
$$

We extend $f_{t}$ to all of $F^{3}$ by the identity, and we denote the extended isotopy by $f_{t}$ also. Let $h_{1, t}$ be the isotopy of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself defined by $h_{1, t}(x, w)=\left(f_{t}(x), w\right)$, where $x, f_{t}(x) \in F^{3}$ and $w \in E^{2}$. We note that for all $t \in[0,1]$ and $w \in E^{2}, h_{1, t}$ carries $B_{i} \times\{w\}$ onto itself and is the identity on $\left\{N \cup\left(F^{3}-\operatorname{int} B_{i}\right)\right\} \times\{w\}$. Also, for any $w \in E^{2}$ and $k \geq i+1$, we have $d\left(h_{1,1}\left(B_{k} \times\{w\}\right)\right)<\delta_{1}$.

Step 2. For each pair of integers $(m, n)$ and positive number $r$, let

$$
D^{2}((m, n), r)=D^{2}(\alpha, r) \quad(\alpha=(m, n))
$$

denote the 2-cell $[m-r, m+r] \times[n-r, n+r] \subset E^{2}$. Let $M_{\alpha}^{5}$ denote the combinatorial 5-manifold $h_{1,1}\left(F_{i+1} \times D^{2}\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{4}\right)\right)$. Let $C_{\alpha}^{4}$ be the combinatorial 4-cell

$$
h_{\mathfrak{1}, 1}\left(C_{i+1}^{2} \times D^{2}\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{4}\right)\right)
$$

(recall $\left.C_{i+1}^{2}=B_{i+1} \cap F_{i+1}\right)$. Define $Z_{\alpha}$ to be

$$
h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+2} \times D^{2}\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{8}\right)\right) \cap M_{\alpha}^{5}
$$

Since $Z_{\alpha} \cap \operatorname{Bd} M_{\alpha}^{5} \subset \operatorname{int} C_{\alpha}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{Bd} M_{\alpha}^{5}$ is simply connected, we can apply Lemma 2.1. (We can take $U$ and $W$ of Lemma 2.1 to be int $C_{\alpha}^{4}$ and

$$
\left(\operatorname{Bd} M_{\alpha}^{5}-\operatorname{int} C_{\alpha}^{4}\right) \cup\left\{\text { an open collar of } \mathrm{Bd} C_{\alpha}^{4} \text { in } C_{\alpha}^{4}\right\}
$$

respectively.) Let $\delta_{2}$ be a positive number less than $d\left(M_{\alpha}^{5}\right)$ (this number will also be restricted further later). We note that because of the way that $h_{1,1}$ was defined, $\delta_{2}$ is independent of the pair of integers $(m, n)=\alpha$. Thus,
we obtain a piecewise linear isotopy $f_{\alpha, t}$ taking $M_{\alpha}^{5}$ onto itself such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\alpha, 0}=\text { identity } \\
f_{\alpha, t}=\text { identity on } \operatorname{Bd} M_{\alpha}^{5} \text { for all } t, \\
f_{\alpha, 1}\left(Z_{\alpha}\right) \subset V\left(C_{\alpha}^{4}, M_{\alpha}^{5}, \delta_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $h_{2, t}$ be the isotopy of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2, t} & =f_{\alpha, t} \text { on } M_{\alpha}^{5} \text { for each pair of integers } \alpha=(m, n) \in E^{2} \\
& =\text { identity outside } \bigcup\left\{M_{\alpha}^{5} \mid \alpha=(m, n)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that for each $w \in E^{2}, h_{2, t}=$ identity on $h_{1,1}\left(B_{i+1} \times\{w\}\right)$. Also, for each $t \in[0,1], h_{2}, t$ moves no $E^{2}$ coordinates by more than $\frac{1}{2}$, as measured along either axis of $E^{2}$. Furthermore, for each pair of integers $(m, n)=\alpha$,

$$
h_{2,1}\left(h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+2} \times D^{2}\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{8}\right)\right) \subset V\left(h_{1,1}\left(B_{i+1} \times D^{2}\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{4}\right)\right), F^{3} \times E^{2}, \delta_{2}\right)\right.
$$

In particular,

$$
d\left\{h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(F_{i+2} \times \mathrm{Bd}\left(D^{2}\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{8}\right)\right)\right)\right\}<\delta_{1}+1+2 \delta_{2}
$$

and $h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}=f_{1}($ of Step 1$) \times$ identity on $B_{i+1}^{2} \times E^{2}$.
Step 3. This step will be quite similar to Step 2. For each pair of integers $(m, n)=\beta$, let $D_{\beta y}^{2}$ be the 2 -cell

$$
\left[m-\frac{1}{8}, m+\frac{1}{8}\right] \times\left[n+\frac{1}{8}, n+1-\frac{1}{8}\right]
$$

and let $D_{\beta x}^{2}$ be the 2-cell

$$
\left[m+\frac{1}{8}, m+1-\frac{1}{8}\right] \times\left[n-\frac{1}{8}, n+\frac{1}{8}\right] .
$$

We now want to consider the 5 -manifolds

$$
M_{\beta y}^{5}=h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(F_{i+2} \times D_{\beta y}^{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad M_{\beta x}^{5}=h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(F_{i+2} \times D_{\beta x}^{2}\right)
$$

Let $C_{\beta y}^{4}$ and $C_{\beta x}^{4}$ be the contractible 4-manifolds in $\operatorname{Bd} M_{\beta y}^{5}$ and in $\operatorname{Bd} M_{\beta x}^{5}$, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\beta y}^{4}=h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(\left\{F_{i+2} \times\left[m-\frac{1}{8} m+\frac{1}{8}\right] \times\left\{n+\frac{1}{8}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{C_{i+2}^{2} \times D_{\beta y}^{2}\right\}\right. \\
&\left.\cup\left\{F_{i+2} \times\left[m-\frac{1}{8}, m+\frac{1}{8}\right] \times\left\{n+1-\frac{1}{8}\right\}\right\}\right) \\
& C_{\beta x}^{4}=h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(\left\{F_{i+2} \times\left\{m+\frac{1}{8}\right\} \times\left[n-\frac{1}{8}, n+\frac{1}{8}\right]\right\} \cup\left\{C_{i+2}^{2} \times D_{\beta x x}^{2}\right\}\right. \\
&\left.\cup\left\{F_{i+2} \times\left\{m+1-\frac{1}{8}\right\} \times\left[n-\frac{1}{8}, n+\frac{1}{8}\right]\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from last comment of Step 2 , that each of $C_{\beta y}^{4}$ and $C_{\beta x}^{4}$ have diameter less than $\left(\delta_{1}+1+2 \delta_{2}\right)+\left(\delta_{1}+1\right)+\left(\delta_{1}+1+2 \delta_{2}\right)=3 \delta_{1}+3+4 \delta_{2}$.

Let $Z_{\beta y}$ and $Z_{\beta x}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z_{\beta y}=h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+3} \times\left[m-\frac{1}{16}, m+\frac{1}{16}\right] \times\left[n+\frac{1}{8}, n+1-\frac{1}{8}\right]\right) \cap M_{\beta y}^{5} \\
Z_{\beta x}=h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+3} \times\left[m+\frac{1}{8}, m+1-\frac{1}{8}\right] \times\left[n-\frac{1}{18}, n+\frac{1}{16}\right]\right) \cap M_{\beta x}^{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $Z_{\beta y} \cap \operatorname{Bd} M_{\beta y}^{5} \subset \operatorname{int} C_{\beta y}^{4}$ and $Z_{\beta x} \cap \operatorname{Bd} M_{\beta x}^{\mathfrak{b}} \subset \operatorname{int} C_{\beta x}^{4}$. We again apply Lemma 2.1, where $U$ and $W$ of Lemma 2.1 correspond to int $C_{\beta \alpha}^{4}$ and
$\left(\operatorname{Bd} M_{\beta \alpha}^{\mathrm{b}}-\operatorname{int} C_{\beta \alpha}^{4}\right) \cup\left\{\right.$ an open collar of $\operatorname{Bd} C_{\beta \alpha}^{4}$ in $\left.C_{\beta \alpha}^{4}\right\}$,
$\alpha=x \quad$ or $\quad y$. Let $\delta_{z}$ be a positive number less than both $d\left(M_{\beta_{x}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $d\left(M_{\beta_{y}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. We will add a further restriction in Step 5 .
Thus by Lemma 2.1, for $\alpha=x$ or $y$, we obtain a piecewise linear isotopy $f_{\beta \alpha, t}$ taking $M_{\beta \alpha}^{5}$ onto itself such that

$$
f_{\beta \alpha, 0}=\text { identity, }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\beta \alpha, t}=\text { identity on Bd } M_{\beta \alpha}^{b} \text { for all } t, \\
f_{\beta \alpha, 1}\left(Z_{\beta \alpha}\right) \subset V\left(C_{\beta \alpha}^{4}, M_{\beta \alpha}^{b}, \delta_{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $h_{3, t}$ be the isotopy of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{3, t} & =f_{\beta \alpha, t} \text { on } M_{\beta \alpha}^{5} \text { for each pair of integers } \beta=(m, n) \text { and } \alpha=x \text { or } y \\
& =\text { identity outside } \mathbf{u}\left\{M_{\beta \alpha}^{5} \mid \beta=(m, n) \text { and } \alpha=x \text { or } y\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note for each $w \in E^{2}, h_{3, t}=$ identity on $h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(B_{i+2} \times\{w\}\right)$. Hence

$$
h_{3,1} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}=f_{1} \text { (of Step 1) } \times \text { identity on } B_{1+2} \times E^{2} .
$$

Also, for each $t \in[0,1], h_{3,1}$ changes no $E^{2}$ coordinate by more than $\frac{3}{2}$, as measured along either axis for $E^{2}$. Moreover, for each pair of integers $(m, n)=$ $\beta$ and $\alpha=x$ or $y$, if $\widehat{D}_{\beta \alpha}^{2}$ is the 2 -cell used in defining $Z_{\beta \alpha}$, then

$$
h_{\beta, 1} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+3} \times \hat{D}_{\beta \alpha}^{2}\right) \subset\left\{h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(B_{i+2} \times \hat{D}_{\beta \alpha}^{2}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{V\left(C_{\beta \alpha}^{4}, M_{\beta \alpha}^{5}, \delta_{3}\right)\right\} .
$$

Step 4. We note, if $w \in D^{2}\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{8}\right)$ (defined in Step 2), since

$$
h_{3, t}=\text { identity outside } \cup\left\{M_{\beta}^{5} \alpha \mid \beta=(m, n) \text { and } \alpha=x \text { or } y\right\},
$$

$h_{3,1} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+3} \times\{w\}\right)=h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+3} \times\{w\}\right)$ and hence

$$
d\left(h_{3,1} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+3} \times\{w\}\right)\right)<\delta_{1}+1+2 \delta_{2} .
$$

If $w \in D_{\beta \alpha}^{2}$, then it follows from the last comment of Step 3, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(h_{3,1} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}\left(T_{i+3} \times\{w\}\right)\right)<\left(\delta_{1}+1\right)+\left[\left(3 \delta_{1}\right.\right. & \left.\left.+3+4 \delta_{2}\right)+2 \delta_{3}\right] \\
= & 4 \delta_{1}+4+4 \delta_{2}+2 \delta_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For convenience, we will denote $h_{3,1} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1}$ by $H_{3}$. For each pair of integers $(m, n)=\gamma$, let

$$
D_{\gamma}^{2}=\left[m+\frac{1}{18}, m+1-\frac{1}{18}\right] \times\left[n+\frac{1}{18}, n+1-\frac{1}{18}\right] .
$$

Let $M_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{b}}=H_{3}\left(F_{i+3} \times D_{\gamma}^{2}\right)$ and let $C_{\gamma}^{4} \subset \mathrm{Bd} M_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{b}}$ be defined by the equation

$$
C_{\gamma}^{4}=H_{3}\left(\left(C_{i+3}^{2} \times D_{\gamma}^{2}\right) \cup\left(F_{i+3} \times \operatorname{Bd} D_{\gamma}^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

We note,

$$
\mathrm{Bd} M_{\gamma}^{5}-\operatorname{int} C_{\gamma}^{4}=H_{3}\left(D_{i+3}^{2} \times D_{\gamma}^{2}\right)
$$

(we recall that $D_{i+3}^{2}=\mathrm{Bd} F_{i+3}-\operatorname{int} C_{i+3}^{2}$ ). Thus

$$
\mathrm{Bd} C_{\gamma}^{4}=H_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bd}\left(D_{i+3}^{2} \times D_{\gamma}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

is a 3 -sphere and $C_{\gamma}^{4}$ is contractible. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(C_{\gamma}^{4}\right) & <\left(\delta_{1}+\sqrt{ } 2\right)+\left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}}+2\left[4 \delta_{1}+4+4 \delta_{2}+2 \delta_{3}\right]\right) \\
& <13+9 \delta_{1}+8 \delta_{2}+4 \delta_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Z_{\gamma}=\left(H_{3}\left(T_{i+4} \times D_{\gamma}^{2}\right)\right) \cap M_{\gamma}^{5} . \quad$ Then $Z_{\gamma} \cap \operatorname{Bd} M_{\gamma}^{5} \subset C_{\gamma}^{4}$ and we can apply Lemma 2.1 a final time. Let $\delta_{4}$ be a positive number less than $\mathrm{d}\left(M_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. Hence, for $\gamma=(m, n)$, we obtain a piecewise linear isotopy $f_{\gamma, t}$ taking $M_{\gamma}^{5}$ onto itself such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\gamma, 0}=\text { identity }, \\
f_{\gamma, t}=\text { identity on Bd } M_{\gamma}^{5} \text { for all } t, \\
f_{\gamma, 1}\left(Z_{\gamma}\right) \subset V\left(C_{\gamma}^{4}, M_{\gamma}^{5}, \delta_{4}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $h_{4, t}$ be the isotopy of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{4, t} & =f_{\gamma, t} \text { on } M_{\gamma}^{5} \text { for each pair of integers } \gamma=(m, n) \\
& =\text { identity outside } \cup\left\{M_{\gamma}^{5} \mid \gamma=(m, n)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $w$, contained in $E^{2}$,

$$
h_{4, t}=\text { identity on } H_{3}\left(B_{i+3} \times\{w\}\right)
$$

and

$$
h_{4,1} \circ H_{3}=f_{1}(\text { of Step } 1) \times \text { identity on } B_{i+3} \times E^{2}
$$

For $w \in U\left\{D_{\gamma}^{2} \mid \gamma=(m, n)\right\}$,

$$
h_{4,1} \circ H_{3}\left(T_{i+4} \times\{w\}\right) \subset H_{3}\left(B_{i+3} \times\{w\}\right) \cup V\left(C_{\gamma}^{4}, M_{\gamma}^{5}, \delta_{4}\right)
$$

for some $\gamma=(m, n)$. Thus, for $w \in \mathbf{U}\left\{D_{\gamma}^{2} \mid \gamma=(m, n)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(h_{4,1} \circ H_{8}\left(T_{i+4} \times\{w\}\right)\right) & <\delta_{1}+\left(\left(13+9 \delta_{1}+8 \delta_{2}+4 \delta_{3}\right)+2 \delta_{4}\right) \\
& =13+10 \delta_{1}+8 \delta_{2}+4 \delta_{3}+2 \delta_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the first paragraph of Step 4, since $h_{4, t}=$ identity outside $\cup\left\{M_{\gamma}^{5} \mid \gamma=\right.$ $(m, n)\}$, if $w \in E^{2}-\left(\bigcup\left\{D_{\gamma}^{2} \mid \gamma=(m, n)\right\}\right)$, then

$$
d\left(T_{i+4} \times\{w\}\right)<4 \delta_{1}+4+4 \delta_{2}+2 \delta_{3} .
$$

Also $h_{4, t}$ changes no $E^{2}$ by more than $\frac{3}{2}$, as measured along either axis of $E^{2}$.
Step 5. We now can obtain the desired isotopy $\mu_{t}$ of Theorem 3.1. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. We modify our scale on each axis of $E^{2}$ so that $1<\left(\frac{1}{13}\right)(\varepsilon / 5)$, and then apply Steps $1-4$, where we further restrict the various $\delta$ 's used in these steps as follows:

$$
\delta_{1}<\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)(\varepsilon / 5), \quad \delta_{2}<\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)(\varepsilon / 5), \quad \delta_{3}<\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)(\varepsilon / 5) \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{4}<\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(\varepsilon / 5)
$$

We define the isotopy $\mu_{t}$ of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mu_{t} & =h_{1,4 t} & & \text { if } \quad 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4} \\
& =h_{2,4 t-1} \circ h_{1,1} & & \text { if } \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
& =h_{3,4 t-2} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1} & & \text { if } \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4} \\
& =h_{4,4 t-3} \circ h_{3,1} \circ h_{2,1} \circ h_{1,1} & & \text { if } \\
\frac{3}{4} \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

Clearly, $\mu_{t}$ is well defined and $\mu_{0}=$ identity. Also, if during each step, we just don't arbitrarily define the various $f_{(m, n), t} s$, applying Lemma 2.1 separately for each $(m, n)$, but obtain one "model" function via Lemma 2.1 (we need two such functions in Step 3) and then translate this "model" function around to obtain the various $f_{(m, n), t}$ 's of the given step, it will follow that, for each $i=1, \cdots, 4$ and $t \epsilon[0,1], h_{i, t}$ is uniformly continuous (also, the diameters of the various $M_{(m, n)}^{5}$ 's of a given step would be independent of $(m, n)$ ). Hence, $\mu_{1}$ is uniformly continuous.

It is also clear from the way the $h_{i, t}$ 's have been defined that
(1) $\mu_{t}=$ identity on $\left\{\left(F^{3}-\operatorname{int} T_{i}\right) \times E^{2}\right\} \cup\left\{z \times E^{2}\right\}$ for each $t$.

Since $\mu_{t}$ changes $E^{2}-$ coordinates $<3$, as measured each axis of $E^{2}$, it follows that
(2) $\mu_{t}$ changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\sqrt{(3)^{2}+(3)^{2}}<5<\varepsilon / 13$. Finally, by the last paragraph of Step 4, we see, for all $w \varepsilon E^{2}$, that

$$
d\left(T_{i+4} \times\{w\}\right)<13+10 \delta_{1}+8 \delta_{2}+4 \delta_{3}+2 \delta_{4}
$$

Hence, by the further restrictions on the $\delta_{i}$ 's above, we get that
(3) for each $w \in E^{2}, d\left(\mu_{1}\left(T_{i+4} \times\{w\}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$,
and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Let $F^{3}$ be an arbitrary homotopy 3 -cell and let $z \in \mathrm{Bd} K$ be the point $z=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{i}$ as defined in §2. Let $G^{\prime}$ denote the decomposition of $F^{3}$ given by

$$
G^{\prime}=\left\{g^{\prime} \mid g^{\prime} \text { is a point of } F^{3}-K \text { or } g^{\prime}=K\right\}
$$

and let $G$ denote the decomposition of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ given by

$$
G=\left\{g=g^{\prime} \times w \mid g^{\prime} \in G^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad w \in E^{2}\right\}
$$

The following result is modeled after Theorem 3 of [14] and is included for completeness.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $F^{3}$ is an arbitrary homotopy 3 -cell and $G$ is the decomposition of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ defined above. Then, given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a pseudoisotopy $f(x, t)\left(x \in F^{3} \times E^{2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)$ of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself such that
(a) $f(x, 0)$ is the identity (i.e. $f(x, 0)=x)$,
(b) for each fixed $\mathrm{t}<1, f(x, t)$ is a homeomorphism of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself,
(c) for each $\mathrm{t}(0 \leq t \leq 1), f(x, t)=$ identity on

$$
\left\{\left(F^{3}=V\left(K, F^{3}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \times E^{2}\right\} \cup\left\{z \times E^{2}\right\}
$$

and changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\varepsilon$, and
(d) $f(x, 1)$ takes $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself and each element of $G$ onto a distinct point.

Proof. We will obtain the isotopy promised above by a sequence of applications of Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be the sequence of compact neighborhoods in int $F^{3}$ enclosing m as given in Theorem 3.1. We suppose $2 \varepsilon<$ distance $\left(K, \operatorname{Bd} F^{3}\right)$. Let $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \cdots$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{i}<\varepsilon / 2$. We will define a monotone increasing sequence $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots$ of integers and a sequence of isotopies

$$
f(x, t)\left(x \in F^{3} \times E^{2}, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad f(x, t)\left(x \in F^{3} \times E^{2}, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3}\right), \cdots
$$

such that
$T_{n_{1}} \subset V\left(K, F^{3}, \varepsilon\right)$,
$f(x, 0)=x$,
two adjacent $f(x, t)$ 's agree on their common end,
each $f(x, i /(i+1))$ is uniformly continuous,
(1) $f(x,(i-1) / i)=f(x, t)((i-1) / i \leq t \leq i /(i+1))$ except possibly on $\left(T_{n_{i}} \times E^{2}\right)-\left(z \times E^{2}\right)$.
(2) $f(x, t)$ changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\varepsilon_{i}((i-1) / i \leq t \leq i /(i+1))$,
(3) $d\left(f\left(T_{n_{i+1}} \times w, i /(i+1)\right)\right)<\varepsilon_{i}$ for all $w \in E^{2}$,
(4) no point moves more than $2 \varepsilon_{i-1}$ during

$$
f(x, t)((i-1) / i \leq t \leq i /(i+1))
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(F^{3} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \varepsilon_{i}\right),(i-1) / i\right) \supset f\left(F^{3} \times w, i /(i+1)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before defining the sequence of $f(x, t)$ 's, we show that the existence of such a sequence is enough to guarantee the truth of Theorem 3.2. Since $f(x, 0)=x$, it follows by (1), that $f(x, t)=$ identity on

$$
\left\{\left(F^{3}-T_{n_{1}}\right) \times E^{2}\right\} \cup\left\{z \times E^{2}\right\} \quad(0 \leq t<1)
$$

Condition (4) and the above fact, along with the fact that each $f(x, i /(i+1))$ is uniformly continuous, implies that $f(x, 1)=\lim (t \rightarrow 1) f(x, t)$ is a continuous map of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself. Conditions (1) and (2) insure that for each $t(0 \leq t \leq 1)$,

$$
f(x, t)=\text { identity on }\left\{\left(F^{3}-V\left(K, F^{3}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \times E^{2}\right\} \cup\left\{z \times E^{2}\right\}
$$

and changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\varepsilon$.
Condition (3) insures that $f(g, 1)$ is a point for each element $g$ of $G$. Condi-
tion (1) implies that, if $f\left(g_{1}, 1\right)=f\left(g_{2}, 1\right)\left(g_{1}, g_{2} \in G\right)$, then each $g_{i}$ must be of the form $K \times w_{i}\left(w_{i} \in E^{2}, i=1,2\right)$. The reason is as follows. If one of $g_{1}$ or $g_{2}$ is a point, say $g_{1}$, then there is an integer $i$ so large that $f(x,(i-1) / i)=f$ $(x, 1)$ in a neighborhood of $g_{1}$. Finally, Condition (5) implies that no two points with different $w$ coordinates to into the same point under $f(x, 1)$. That is, if $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$, there is an $i$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{i}+\varepsilon_{i+1}+\cdots<\delta=\left\|w_{2}-w_{1}\right\| / 2
$$

and Condition (5) implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f\left(F^{3} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \epsilon_{i}+\epsilon_{i+1}+\cdots\right),(i-1) / i\right) \supset f\left(F^{3} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \epsilon_{i+1}+\cdots\right)\right. \\
i /(i+1)) \\
\supset \cdots \supset f\left(F^{3} \times w, 1\right)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $f\left(F^{3} \times w_{1}, 1\right)$ and $f\left(F^{3} \times w_{2}, 1\right)$ lie respectively in the mutually exclusive curved "tubes"

$$
f\left(F^{3} \times V\left(w_{1}, E^{2}, \delta\right),(i-1) / i\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(F^{8} \times V\left(w_{2}, E^{2}, \delta\right),(i-1) / i\right)
$$

The existence of the desired $f(x, t)\left(x \in F^{3} \times E^{2}, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $n_{2}$ follow directly from Theorem 3.1. (Clearly, $n_{1}$ exists so that $T_{n_{1}} \subset V\left(K, F^{3}, \varepsilon\right)$. The $\varepsilon$ and $i$ used in Theorem 3.1 is $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $n_{1}$, respectively, and $n_{2}=n_{1}+4$. We ignore Condition (4), since $\varepsilon_{0}$ is not defined.) We now proceed, inductively, to define $f(x, t)((i-1) / i \leq t \leq i /(i+1))$ and $n_{i+1}$.

Let $\gamma$ be a positive number so small that

$$
d\left(T_{n_{i}} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \gamma\right)\right)<2 \varepsilon_{i-1}
$$

for all $w \in E^{2}$. The existence of such a $\gamma$ follows from Condition (3) and the uniform continuity of $f(x,(i-1) / i)$. Let $\delta$ be a positive number so small that for each set $S$ of diameter $<\delta, d(f(S,(i-1) / i))<\varepsilon_{i}$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that there is an isotopy

$$
\mu_{t}(x) \quad\left(x \in F^{3} \times E^{2},(i-1) / i \leq t \leq i /(i+1)\right)
$$

and an integer $n_{i+1}=n_{i}+4$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(i-1) / i(x)=x \\
& \mu_{t}(x)=x \text { unless } x \in\left(T_{n_{i}} \times E^{2}\right)-\left(z \times E^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu_{t}$ moves no point with respect to the $E^{2}$ factor by more than the minimum of $(\gamma, \delta)$,
$d\left(\mu_{i /(i+1)}\left(T_{n_{i+1}} \times w\right)\right)<\delta$, and
$\mu_{i /(i+1)}$ is uniformly continuous.
Then

$$
f\left(\mu_{t}(x),(i-1) / i\right)=f(x, t)((i-1) / i \leq t \leq i /(i+1))
$$

The $f(x, t)\left(x \in F^{3} \times E^{2},(i-1) / i \leq t \leq i /(i+1)\right)$ we have defined satisfies Condition (1) because $\mu_{(i-1) / i}(x)=x$ except possibly on

$$
\left(T_{n_{i}} \times E^{2}\right)-\left(z \times E^{2}\right)
$$

It satisfies Condition (2), since $\mu_{t}$ changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\delta$, and satisfies Condition (3) because $d\left(\mu_{i /(i+1)}\left(T_{n_{i+1}} \times w\right)\right)<\delta$. It satisfies Condition (4) because

$$
d\left(T_{n_{i}} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \gamma\right)\right)<2 \varepsilon_{i-1}
$$

and $\mu_{t}$ moves no point along the $E^{2}$ factor by more than $\gamma$. Finally, it satisfies Condition (5) because $\mu_{t}\left(F^{3} \times w\right) \subset F^{3} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \delta\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(F^{3} \times w, i /(i+1)\right) & =f\left(\mu_{i /(i+1)}\left(F^{3} \times w\right),(i-1) / i\right) \\
& \subset f\left(F^{3} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \epsilon_{i}\right),(i-1) / i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. The main results

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $F^{3}$ is an arbitrary homotopy 3 -cell, and

$$
h: S^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Bd} F^{3}
$$

is a homeomorphism carrying $S^{2}$ onto $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{d}^{3}$. Then, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a point $z \epsilon \operatorname{int} F^{3}$ and a homeomorphism

$$
H:\left(v * S^{2}\right) \times E^{2} \rightarrow F^{3} \times E^{2}
$$

such that $H \mid S^{2} \times E^{2}=h \times \operatorname{id}_{E^{2}}, H(v, w)=(z, w)$ for all $w \in E^{2}$, and

$$
\left\|w-P_{2} \circ H(x, w)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $w \in E^{2}$.
Proof. Let $K$ and $z \in \mathrm{Bd} K$ denote the subcomplex of int $F^{3}$ and the point of int $F^{3}$ described in $\S 2$ and used in Theorem 3.2. If $G^{\prime}$ and $G$ are the decompositions of $F^{3}$ and $F^{3} \times E^{2}$, as given just before the proof of Theorem 3.2, then $F^{3} / G^{\prime}=F^{3} / K \approx z * \mathrm{Bd} F^{3}$ and

$$
\left(F^{3} \times E^{2}\right) / G \approx\left(F^{3} / G^{\prime}\right) \times E^{2}=\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times E^{2} \approx\left(z * \mathrm{Bd} F^{3}\right) \times E^{2}
$$

Let $\tilde{h}:\left(v * S^{2}\right) \times E^{2} \rightarrow\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ denote the homeomorphism defined by

$$
\tilde{h}(((1-t) x+t v), w)=((1-t) h(x)+t\{K\}, w)
$$

where $x \in S^{2}, w \in E^{2}$, and $\{K\} \in F^{3} / K$ corresponds to $z \in z * \operatorname{Bd} F^{3}$ under the natural homeomorphism $z * \operatorname{Bd} F^{3} \approx F^{3} / K$. Then

$$
\tilde{h} \mid S^{2} \times E^{2}=h \times \operatorname{id}_{E^{2}}, \tilde{h}(v, w)=(\{K\}, w)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{h}\left(\left(v * S^{2}\right) \times w\right)=\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times w
$$

Let $f: F^{3} \times E^{2} \rightarrow F^{3} \times E^{2}$ denote the map of $F^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto itself given by Theorem 3.2, where $f=f(, 1)$ described there. We see that $f=$ identity on $\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{3} \times E^{2}\right) \cup\left(z \times E^{2}\right)$ and $\left\|w-p_{2} \circ f(x, w)\right\|<\varepsilon$ for all $w \in E^{2}$. Also, $G=\left\{f^{-1}(x, w) \mid(x, w) \in F^{3} \times E^{2}\right\}$ and hence $f$ factors through
$\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times E^{2} . \quad$ That is, if

$$
\rho: F^{3} \times E^{2} \rightarrow\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times E^{2}
$$

is the quotient map, then $g=f \circ\left(\rho^{-1}\right)$ is a 1-1 continuous map taking $\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ onto $F^{3} \times E^{2}$. Since $\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ is a manifold ( $\approx\left(v * S^{2}\right) \times E^{2}$ ) and $g$ is a compact map (preimage of compact sets compact), $g$ is a homeomorphism carrying $\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ onto $F^{3} \times E^{2}$. We note, $g=$ identity on $\operatorname{Bd} F^{3} \times E^{2}, g(\{K\}, w)=(z, w)$ and

$$
g\left(\left(F^{3} / K\right) \times w\right) \subset F^{3} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \varepsilon\right) .
$$

It follows immediately that $H=g \circ \tilde{h}$ is the desired homeomorphism carry$\operatorname{ing}\left(v * S^{2}\right) \times E^{2}$ onto $F^{3} \times E^{2}$.

Corollary 4.2. If $F^{3}$ is an arbitrary homotopy 3 -cell, and

$$
h: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bd} F^{3}
$$

is a homeomorphism carrying $S^{2}$ onto $\mathrm{Bd} F^{3}$, then $\Sigma^{2} h: \Sigma^{2} S^{2} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{3}\right)$ extends to a homeomorphism $\hat{H}: \Sigma^{2}\left(v * S^{2}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} F^{3}$.

The proof follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.2.
Corollary 4.3. If $M^{3}$ is an arbitrary homotopy 3 -sphere and

$$
h: S^{2} \rightarrow N^{2} \subset M^{3}
$$

is a homeomorphism carrying $S^{2}$ onto the locally flat submanifold $N^{2}$ of $M^{3}$, then there exists a homeomorphism

$$
H:\left(\Sigma^{2}\left(v_{1} * S^{2} * v_{2}\right), \Sigma^{2} S^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Sigma^{2} M^{3}, \Sigma^{2} N^{2}\right)
$$

such that $H \mid \Sigma^{2} S^{2}=\Sigma^{2} h$.
The proof follows immediately from Corollary 4.2 , since $N^{2}$ decomposes $M^{3}$ into the union of two homotopy 3 -cells $F_{1}^{3}$ u $F_{2}^{3}$, where $F_{1}^{3} \cap F_{2}^{3}=N^{2}$. That is, if $\hat{H}_{i}: \Sigma^{2}\left(v_{i} * S^{2}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} F_{i}^{3}(i=1,2)$ is the homeomorphism extending $\Sigma^{2} h$, then $H$ is defined by

$$
H \mid \Sigma^{2}\left(v_{i} * S^{2}\right)=\hat{H}_{i} \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

## 5. Some corresponding results involving homotopy 4 -cells and 4 -spheres

Clearly, the proof of Theorem 3.1 applies, as given, to PL homotopy 4cells $F^{4}$, where $\mathrm{Bd} F^{4}$ is a homotopy 3 -sphere. Moreover, it is not necessary to assume that $F^{4}$ is a $P L 4$-manifold. That is, Lemma 2.1 holds for all compact contractible topological $n$-manifolds $M^{n}(n \geq 5)$, since we really only need (and, in fact, only use) the hypothesis that int $M^{n}$ is a $P L$ manifold (and this fact follows from [3]). Also, by [3], int $F^{4} \times E^{2} \approx E^{6}$. Thus, int $F^{4} \times E^{2}$
has a $P L$ structure and the interior of any compact 6 -manifold in int $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ has an induced $P L$ structure. Therefore, the following result, corresponding to Theorem 3.2, will also hold.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose $F^{4}$ is an arbitrary homotopy 4-cell such that BdF ${ }^{4}$ is a homotopy 3-sphere. Also, suppose that $N$ is a collared neighborhood of $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{d} F^{4}$ in $F^{4}$. For convenience, we identify $N$ with $\operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \times[0,1]$, with $x \in \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}$ corresponding to $(x, 0)$ (such an $N$ exists by [2]). If $K$ is the subset of int $F^{4}$ defined by

$$
K=F^{4}-\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{4} \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
$$

$G^{\prime}$ is the decomposition of $F^{4}$ given by

$$
G^{\prime}=\left\{g^{\prime} \mid g^{\prime} \text { is a point of } F^{4}-K \text { or } g^{\prime}=K\right\}
$$

and $G$ is the decomposition of $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ given by

$$
G=\left\{g=g^{\prime} \times w \mid g^{\prime} \in G^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad w \in E^{2}\right\}
$$

then, given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a pseudo-isotopy $f_{t}$ of $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ onto itself such that
(a) $f_{0}=$ identity,
(b) for each fixed $t<1, f_{t}$ is a homeomorphism of $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ onto itself,
(c) for each $t(0 \leq t \leq 1) f_{t}=$ identity on $\left(F^{4}-V\left(K, F^{4}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \times E^{2}$ and changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\varepsilon$, and
(d) $f_{1}$ takes $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ onto itself and each element of $G$ onto a distinct point.

Remark 5.2. In [5], we show that an analogous result holds for $F^{4} \times E^{1}$ (where $E^{1}$ replaces $E^{2}$ above, and $F^{4}$ is an arbitrary $P L$ homotopy 4 -cell such that $\mathrm{Bd} F^{8}$ is a homotopy 3 -sphere). Since int $F^{4} \times E^{1} \approx E^{5}$ [3], we also did not really need the fact that $F^{4}$ was a $P L 4$-manifold, and this corresponding result, in [5], was used to show that $\Sigma\left(\operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right) \approx S^{5}$.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose $F^{4}$ is an arbitrary homotopy 4 -cell such that $\mathrm{Bd} F^{4}$ is a homotopy 3-sphere. Then, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a homeomorphism $h$ carrying ( $v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}$ ) $\times E^{2}$ onto $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ such that

$$
\left\|w-p_{2} \circ h(x, w)\right\|<\varepsilon \quad \text { for all } w \in E^{2}
$$

and $h \mid \mathrm{B} \overline{\mathrm{F}}^{4} \times E^{2}=$ "identity". Furthermore, $h$ induces a homeomorphism

$$
H: \Sigma^{2}\left(v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} F^{4}
$$

such that $H \mid \Sigma^{2} \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}=$ "identity".
Remark 5.3. If we further assume that $\operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \approx S^{3}$, then it follows from [5], when extended by [3], that $\Sigma^{1} F^{4} \approx \Sigma^{1}\left(v * S^{3}\right) \cong I^{5}$. This requires the use of a difficult result of [7]. (By also using [3] and [7], this same result was obtained in [8]. Also, refer to [9].)

Proof. Let $g$ be a homeomorphism of $\mathrm{Bd} F^{4} \times[0,1]$ onto a closed neighbor-
$\operatorname{hood} N$ of $\operatorname{Bd} F^{4}$ in $F^{4}$ such that $g(x, 0)=x \epsilon \operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \subset N \subset F^{4}[2]$. Let

$$
K=F^{4}-g\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{4} \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
$$

By Theorem 5.1, there exists a map $f_{1}$ taking $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ onto itself such that $f_{1}=$ identity on $\left(F^{4}-g\left(\operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \times\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right)\right)\right) \times E^{2}, f_{1}$ changes $E^{2}$ coordinates $<\varepsilon$, and $f_{1}$ factors through $\left(F^{4} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ (i.e. $\left\{f_{1}^{-1}(x, w) \mid x \in F^{4}, w \in E^{2}\right\}=G$, as defined in Theorem 5.1).

If $\rho: F^{4} \times E^{2} \rightarrow\left(F^{4} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ is the quotient map, then

$$
\tilde{h}=f_{1} \circ \rho^{-1}:\left(F^{4} / K\right) \times E^{2} \rightarrow F^{4} \times E^{2}
$$

is a 1-1 continuous compact map carrying $\left(F^{4} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ onto $F^{4} \times E^{2}$ such that $\tilde{h}=$ identity on $\operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \times E^{2}$ and

$$
\tilde{h}\left(\left(F^{4} / K\right) \times w\right) \subset F^{4} \times V\left(w, E^{2}, \varepsilon\right)
$$

Since $f=$ identity on $\left\{\right.$ a neighborhood of $\left.\mathrm{Bd} F^{4}\right\} \times E^{2}$ and $f$ is a compact map, $\tilde{h}$ is a homeomorphism. Let $k$ denote the natural homeomorphism carrying $\left(v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right) \times E^{2}$ onto $\left(F^{4} / K\right) \times E^{2}$ (i.e.

$$
k\left(\left(v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right) \times w\right)=\left(F^{4} / K\right) \times w
$$

with $k((v, w))=(\{K\}, w)$ and $k \mid \operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \times w=$ identity $)$. The desired homeomorphism

$$
h:\left(v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right) \times E^{2} \rightarrow F^{4} \times E^{2}
$$

is given by $h=\tilde{h} \circ k$.
It follows by Lemma 2.2, that $h$ induces a homeomorphism

$$
H: \Sigma^{2}\left(v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} F^{4}
$$

such that $H \mid \Sigma^{2} \mathrm{Bd} F^{4}=$ identity.
Corollary 5.3. If $\tilde{k}: S^{3} \times E^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \times E^{2}$ is any homeomorphism carrying $S^{3} \times E^{2}$ onto $\operatorname{Bd} F^{4} \times E^{2}$ that is bounded on the $E^{2}$ factor, then $\tilde{k}$ induces (by Lemma 2.2) a homeomorphism $k: \Sigma^{2} S^{s} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Bd} F^{4}\right)$ such that $k \mid \Theta^{1}=$ identity (recall $\Theta^{1}$ is the suspension circle of each set), and $k$ extends to a homeomorphism $K: \Sigma^{2}\left(v * S^{3}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} F^{4}$.

Proof. Since

$$
\Sigma^{2}\left(v * S^{3}\right)=v *\left(\Sigma^{2} S^{3}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Sigma^{2}\left(v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right)=v *\left(\Sigma^{2} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~d} F^{4}\right)
$$

$k: \Sigma^{2} S^{3} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2}\left(\operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right)$ extends to a homeomorphism

$$
f=v * k: v *\left(\Sigma^{2} S^{3}\right) \rightarrow v *\left(\Sigma^{2} \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right)
$$

We define $K: \Sigma^{2}\left(v * S^{3}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} F^{4}$ by $K=H \circ f$, where

$$
H: \Sigma^{2}\left(v * \operatorname{Bd} F^{4}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} F^{4}
$$

is the homeomorphism of Theorem 5.2. Since $H \mid \Sigma^{2} \mathrm{~B} d F^{4}=$ identity, $K\left|\Sigma^{2} S^{3}=f\right| \Sigma^{2} S^{3}=k$.

Corollary 5.4. If $N^{3}$ is a homotopy 3-sphere contained as a locally flat submanifold of the homotopy 4 -sphere $M^{4}$, then there exists a homenmorphism $H:\left(\Sigma^{2}\left(v_{1} * S^{3} * v_{2}\right), \Sigma^{2} S^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Sigma^{2} M^{4}, \Sigma^{2} N^{3}\right)$ such that $H \mid \Theta^{1}=$ identity.

This follows immediately from Corollary 5.3, just as Corollary 4.3 followed from Corollarv 4.2.
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