

ON L -SERIES WITH REAL CHARACTERS

BY
RAYMOND AYOUB

1. Introduction

Let d be the discriminant of an imaginary quadratic field. Thus there exists a square-free negative integer D with

$$\begin{aligned}d &= D & \text{if } D &\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ &= 4D & \text{if } D &\equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Such integers d are frequently called fundamental discriminants.

Let

$$\chi_d = \chi_d(n) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$$

be the Kronecker symbol and suppose that

$$L(s, \chi_d) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_d(n)}{n^s}$$

is the Dirichlet series associated with the real nonprincipal primitive character $\chi_d \pmod{|d|}$.

The behaviour of $L(s, \chi_d)$ for real s between 0 and 1 has important implications in the study of the class number $h(d)$ of quadratic fields of discriminant d . In particular the existence or nonexistence of roots of $L(s, \chi_d)$ in the interval $0 < s < 1$ has far-reaching consequences.

A conjecture, in milder form due to Hecke, states that if $0 < s < 1$, then $L(s, \chi_d) \neq 0$. This conjecture is still unsettled.

The object of this note is to examine the mean value of $L(s, \chi_d)$ summed over fundamental discriminants. In particular our object is to prove the following

THEOREM. *If d is a fundamental discriminant and $\chi_d(n)$ the associated Kronecker symbol, then for $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{0 < -d \leq N} L(s, \chi_d) = N \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(2)} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)p^{2s}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^{(2/3)(2-s)} \log N}{2s-1}\right),$$

where the summation is over fundamental discriminants and the constant implied by the O is absolute.

This leads immediately to the following

COROLLARY. *For any given s in the interval $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$, there exists $N_0 = N(s)$*

Received April 26, 1963.

such that for all $N > N_0$,

$$\sum_{0 < -d \leq N} L(s, \chi_d) > 0.$$

This result contributes nothing to the conjecture of Hecke but perhaps sheds a modicum of light upon it.

The editor has kindly pointed out to the author that for the range $\frac{3}{4} < s < 1$, the corollary is inherent in a theorem due to Chowla and Erdős [1]. They proved that if $g(a, x)$ is the number of discriminants d with $0 < -d \leq x$ for which $L(s, \chi_d) < a$, then for $\frac{3}{4} < s < 1$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(a, x)}{x/2} = g(a)$$

exists, $g(0) = 0$, $g(\infty) = 1$, and $g(a)$ is a continuous and strictly increasing function of a .

The proof of our theorem is straightforward and is based on a lemma which in its essential features is due to C. L. Siegel [2].

2. Proof of a lemma

LEMMA. *Let*

$$(1) \quad T(n, N) = \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} \chi_d(n) = \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right).$$

Then

(a) *if n is not a square,*

$$(2) \quad T(n, N) = O(N^{1/2} n^{1/4} \log^{1/2} n);$$

(b) *if n is a square, $n = m^2$, then*

$$(3) \quad T(m^2, N) = \frac{N}{2\zeta(2)} g(m) + O(\sqrt{m} \sqrt{N})$$

where

$$(4) \quad g(m) = \prod_{p|m} (1 + 1/p)^{-1}$$

and the constants implied by the O are absolute.

Proof. We have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} T(n, N) &= \sum_{\substack{0 < -d \leq N \\ d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \chi_d(n) + \sum_{\substack{0 < -d \leq N \\ d/4 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}}} \chi_d(n) + \sum_{\substack{0 < -d \leq N \\ d/4 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \chi_d(n) \\ &= T_1(n, N) + T_2(n, N) + T_3(n, N). \end{aligned}$$

We consider these sums separately but concentrate on the easiest of them,

viz. T_1 . The others are treated in the same way. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1(n, N) &= \sum_{\substack{0 < -d \leq N \\ d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right) \mu^2(d) = \sum_{\substack{0 < -l^2 k \leq N \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (l, 2n) = 1}} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \mu(l) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 < l \leq \sqrt{N} \\ (l, 2n) = 1}} \mu(l) \sum_{\substack{0 < -k \leq N/l^2 \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6}$$

Let

$$P(n, r, M) = \sum_{\substack{0 < -k \leq M \\ k \equiv r \pmod{4}}} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right).
 \tag{7}$$

Then if $\chi^{(1)}(k)$ and $\chi^{(3)}(k)$ are the two characters mod 4, $\chi^{(1)}(k)$ being the principal one, we have

$$P(n, 1, M) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < -k \leq M} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right) (\chi^{(1)}(k) + \chi^{(3)}(k)).
 \tag{8}$$

Case (a). If n is odd and not a square, then $\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$ is a character mod n which is not principal and it is then easily seen that

$$\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \chi^{(1)}(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \chi^{(3)}(k)$$

are nonprincipal characters mod $4n$. According to Pólya's theorem [3], as generalized by Landau [4], if χ is a character modulo k which is not principal and

$$S(a, b) = \sum_{a \leq m \leq b} \chi(m),$$

then

$$S(a, b) = O(k^{1/2} \log k)
 \tag{9}$$

where the constant implied by the O is absolute. It follows then from (8) and (9), that

$$P(n, 1, M) = O(\min(n^{1/2} \log n, M)).
 \tag{10}$$

Thus by (6), (7), and (10),

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1(n, N) &= O\left(\sum_{0 < l \leq \sqrt{N}} \min(n^{1/2} \log n, N/l^2)\right) \\
 &= O(N^{1/2} n^{1/4} \log^{1/2} n).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{11}$$

If n is even and not a square, a similar argument applies and need only be used on $T_1(n, N)$, since when n is even $T_2 = T_3 = 0$.

Thus if n is not a square, we get from (5) and (11),

$$T(n, N) = O(N^{1/2} n^{1/4} \log^{1/2} n),$$

thus proving the first assertion of the lemma.

Case (b). Suppose now that n is a square, $n = m^2$, and assume in addition that m is odd. Then

$$(12) \quad P(m^2, 1, M) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < -k \leq M} \left(\frac{k}{m^2}\right) (\chi^{(1)}(k) + \chi^{(3)}(k)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\left(\frac{k}{m^2}\right) \chi^{(1)}(k)$$

is the principal character mod $4m$ whereas

$$\left(\frac{k}{m^2}\right) \chi^{(3)}(k)$$

is nonprincipal. Thus

$$P(m^2, 1, N/l^2) = \frac{\phi(4m)}{8m} \frac{N}{l^2} + O(\min(m, N/l^2)).$$

Similar arguments hold for the corresponding sums in T_2 and T_3 . Combining these we get, if m is odd,

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} T(m^2, N) &= \frac{\phi(m)}{4m} N \sum_{\substack{0 < l \leq \sqrt{N} \\ (l, 2m)=1}} \frac{\mu(l)}{l^2} + \frac{\phi(m)}{8m} N \sum_{\substack{0 < l \leq \sqrt{N/2} \\ (l, 2m)=1}} \frac{\mu(l)}{l^2} \\ &\quad + O\left(\sum_{0 < l \leq \sqrt{N}} \min(m, N/l^2)\right) \\ &= \frac{3\phi(m)}{8m} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ (l, 2m)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(l)}{l^2} + O(\sqrt{N}) + O(\sqrt{m} \sqrt{N}). \end{aligned}$$

However

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(l, 2m)=1} \frac{\mu(l)}{l^2} &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\mu(p)}{p^2}\right) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\mu(p)}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p|2m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \frac{4m}{3\phi(m)} g(m). \end{aligned}$$

By the same token, if m is even,

$$(15) \quad T(m^2, N) = \frac{\phi(m)}{2m} N \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ (l, m)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(l)}{l^2} + O(\sqrt{m} \sqrt{N}).$$

The sum on the right is

$$\sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ (l, m)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(l)}{l^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \frac{m}{\phi(m)} g(m).$$

Combining (13), (14), (15), and (16) we get the second assertion of the lemma.

3. Proof of the theorem

From the definition, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} L(s, \chi_d) &= \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{\chi_d(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} \sum_{n > M} \frac{\chi_d(n)}{n^s} \\
 &= A(s, N, M) + R(s, N, M),
 \end{aligned}$$

where M will be chosen later as a function of N . On the other hand if we write

$$S_d(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi_d(n)$$

then from §2, (9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad R(s, N, M) &= O\left(\sum_{0 < -d \leq N} \left| -\frac{S_d(M)}{M^s} + s \int_M^\infty \frac{S_d(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx \right|\right) \\
 &= O(M^{-s} \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} |d|^{1/2} \log |d|) \\
 &= O(M^{-s} N^{3/2} \log N).
 \end{aligned}$$

For $A(s, N, M)$, we have by §2, (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad A(s, N, M) &= \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{1}{n^s} T(n, N) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq M \\ n = m^2}} \frac{1}{n^s} T(n, N) + \sum_{\substack{n \leq M \\ n \neq m^2}} \frac{1}{n^s} T(n, N) \\
 &= A_1(s, N, M) + A_2(s, N, M).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the lemma, §2, (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad A_2(s, N, M) &= O\left(\sum_{\substack{n \leq M \\ n \neq m^2}} \frac{1}{n^s} N^{1/2} n^{1/4} \log^{1/2} n\right) \\
 &= O(N^{1/2} M^{-s+5/4} \log^{1/2} M).
 \end{aligned}$$

Again by the same lemma, §2, (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad A_1(s, N, M) &= \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{M}} \frac{1}{m^{2s}} T(m^2, N) \\
 &= \frac{N}{2\zeta(2)} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{M}} \frac{g(m)}{m^{2s}} + O\left(N^{1/2} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{M}} \frac{1}{m^{2s-1/2}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{N}{2\zeta(2)} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{g(m)}{m^{2s}} + O\left(N \sum_{m > \sqrt{M}} \frac{g(m)}{m^{2s}}\right) \\
 &\quad + O\left(N^{1/2} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{M}} \frac{1}{m^{2s-1/2}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{N}{2\zeta(2)} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{g(m)}{m^{2s}} + O\left(N \frac{M^{-s+1/2}}{2s-1}\right) + O(N^{1/2} M^{1/4}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5), we get

$$(6) \quad \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} L(s, \chi_d) = \frac{N}{2\zeta(2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^{2s}} + O\left((M^{-s}N^{1/2}) \left(M^{5/4} \log^{1/2} M + \frac{N^{1/2}M^{1/2}}{2s-1} + M^{s+1/4}\right)\right).$$

If we put $M = N^{2/3}$, we infer from (6),

$$(7) \quad \sum_{0 < -d \leq N} L(s, \chi_d) = \frac{N}{2\zeta(2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m^{2s}} + O\left(\frac{N^{(2/3)(2-s)} \log^{1/2} N}{2s-1}\right).$$

However we can sum the series on the right. Indeed since $g(m)$ is multiplicative and since

$$g(p^\alpha) = g(p) = 1 - 1/(p+1)$$

for a prime p , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m^{2s}} &= \prod_p \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(p^k) p^{-2ks} \right) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{g(p)p^{-2s}}{1-p^{-2s}} \right) \\ &= \zeta(2s) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)p^{2s}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is therefore proved.

It may be remarked that the argument can be applied to the case of a real quadratic field with virtually no change in the details.

REFERENCES

1. S. CHOWLA AND P. ERDÖS, *A theorem on the distribution of the values of L -functions*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (new series), vol. 15 (1951), pp. 11-18.
2. C. L. SIEGEL, *The average measure of quadratic forms with given determinant and signature*, Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 45 (1944), pp. 667-685.
3. G. PÓLYA, *Über die Verteilung der quadratischen Reste und Nichtreste*, Nachrichten von der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen (Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse), 1918, pp. 21-29.
4. E. LANDAU, *Abschätzung von Charaktersummen, Einheiten und Klassenzahlen*, Nachrichten von der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen (Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse), 1918, pp. 79-97.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA