

# ON THE NON-REGULARITY OF CERTAIN GENERALIZED LOTOTSKY TRANSFORMS

BY  
C. F. KOCH

## 1. Introduction

The generalized Lototsky (or  $[F, d_n]$ ) sequence to sequence transformation was defined by A. Jakimovski [3] as follows. Let  $d_n = \rho_n e^{i\theta_n}$  be a fixed sequence of complex numbers ( $d_n \neq -1$ ); then the elements  $P_{nk}$  of the  $[F, d_n]$  matrix are defined by

$$P_{00} = 1, \quad P_{0k} = 0, \quad k \neq 0$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (\theta + d_k)/(1 + d_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{nk} \theta^k.$$

Necessary conditions that this matrix be regular are  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_n^{-1} = +\infty$  and if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n$  exists then it must equal zero. V. F. Cowling and C. L. Miracle, [1] and [2], have shown that the additional condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^2 \rho_n^{-1} < +\infty$$

is sufficient to guarantee regularity. They also conjectured that the necessary conditions might alone be sufficient. That this is not the case is shown by an example due to A. Meir [4]. The purpose of this paper is to give some general conditions under which

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_n^{-1} = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = 0$$

but the  $[F, d_n]$  transformation is not regular.

## 2. The main theorem

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = 0$ ,  $0 < \theta_n < \pi/2$  for all  $n$ . If there exists a sequence  $\alpha_n$  satisfying  $\theta_k \geq \alpha_n$  for  $k \leq n$  and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_k/(1 + \rho_k)^2 = +\infty$$

*then the  $[F, d_n]$  transformation is not regular.*

*Proof.* We note that an acceptable choice for the sequence  $\alpha_n$  is  $\alpha_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \theta_k$  and proceed with the proof.

Assume the  $[F, d_n]$  matrix is regular. Let  $\lambda_{kn} = \rho_k e^{i\beta_{kn}}$  where  $\beta_{kn} = \theta_k - \alpha_n$  for  $k \leq n$ . Define the elements  $b_{nk}$  of the  $[F, \lambda_{kn}]$  matrix by

$$b_{00} = 1, \quad b_{0k} = 0, \quad k \neq 0$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta + \lambda_{jn})/(1 + \lambda_{jn}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} \theta^k.$$

The elements  $P_{nk}$  of the  $[F, d_n]$  matrix are given by

---

Received July 19, 1965.

$$(2.1) \quad P_{nk} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + d_j)} \sum_{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_n+k=n} d_1^{s_1} d_2^{s_2} \dots d_n^{s_n}$$

where  $s_i = 0$  or  $1$  and the summation is taken over all possible values of the  $s_i$  such that  $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n = n - k$ . Similarly the elements  $b_{nk}$  of the  $[F, \lambda_{kn}]$  matrix are given by

$$b_{nk} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \lambda_{jn})} \sum_{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_n+k=n} \lambda_{1n}^{s_1} \lambda_{2n}^{s_2} \dots \lambda_{nn}^{s_n}.$$

Now since  $\lambda_{kn} = \rho_k e^{i\beta_{kn}} = d_k e^{-i\alpha_n}$  we have

$$b_{nk} = \frac{e^{-i(n-k)\alpha_n}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \lambda_{jn})} \sum_{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_n+k=n} d_1^{s_1} d_2^{s_2} \dots d_n^{s_n}$$

so that

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \sum_{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_n+k=n} d_1^{s_1} d_2^{s_2} \dots d_n^{s_n} \right| = |b_{nk}| \prod_{j=1}^n |1 + \lambda_{jn}|.$$

Inserting absolute values in (2.1), summing over  $k$  from  $1$  to  $n$ , and using (2.2) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |P_{nk}| = \prod_{j=1}^n |(1 + \lambda_{jn})/(1 + d_j)| \sum_{k=0}^n |b_{nk}|$$

and since

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |b_{nk}| \geq \left| \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} \right| = 1$$

we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n |P_{nk}| \geq \prod_{j=1}^n |(1 + \lambda_{jn})/(1 + d_j)|.$$

Using  $\lambda_{jn} = \rho_j(\cos \beta_{jn} + i \sin \beta_{jn})$  and  $d_j = \rho_j(\cos \theta_j + i \sin \theta_j)$  we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1 + \lambda_{jn}}{1 + d_j} \right| = \left( 1 + \frac{2\rho_j(\cos \beta_{jn} - \cos \theta_j)}{1 + 2\rho_j \cos \theta_j + \rho_j^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Writing  $\cos \beta_{jn} - \cos \theta_j$  as a product of sines and noting that  $\alpha_n < \beta_{jn} + \theta_j < \pi$  we use the fact that  $\sin \phi \geq (2/\pi)\phi$  for  $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1 + \lambda_{jn}}{1 + d_j} \right| &\geq \left( 1 + \frac{4\rho_j \alpha_n (2\theta_j - \alpha_n)}{\pi^2 (1 + \rho_j)^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \left( 1 + \frac{4\rho_j \alpha_n^2}{\pi^2 (1 + \rho_j)^2} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\theta_j \geq \alpha_n$  for  $j \leq n$ . Thus

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{1 + \lambda_{jn}}{1 + d_j} \right| &\geq \prod_{j=1}^n \left( 1 + \frac{4\rho_j \alpha_n^2}{\pi^2 (1 + \rho_j)^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \left( 1 + \frac{4\alpha_n^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\rho_j}{(1 + \rho_j)^2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

But this estimate can be obtained for each  $n$  and since this last quantity diverges to plus infinity,  $\sum_{k=0}^n |P_{nk}|$  is not uniformly bounded for all  $n$  and the assumed regularity of the  $[F, d_n]$  matrix is contradicted.

It is clear that a similar theorem could be proven with the hypothesis  $\pi/2 > \theta_n > 0$  for all  $n$  replaced by  $-\pi/2 < \theta_n < 0$  for all  $n$ . See [1, Theorem 2.3].

### 3. A special case of Theorem 1

In the hypotheses of the previous theorem we have not precluded the possibility that the sequence  $\rho_n$  might tend to zero or plus infinity. If, however, we supply this restriction, the following sharper statement can be obtained.

**THEOREM 2.** *If, in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1,*

$$M \geq \rho_n \geq N > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_n = O(n^{-1/2} \log^\varepsilon (n+1))$$

*where  $\varepsilon > 0$  then the  $[F, d_n]$  transformation is not regular.*

*Proof.* Assume the  $[F, d_n]$  matrix to be regular. Then from (2.3) and (2.4) and the additional restriction on  $\rho_n$  we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |P_{nk}| \geq (1 + \sum_{j=1}^n 4N\alpha_n^2/\pi^2(1+M)^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $\alpha_n = Cn^{-1/2} \log^{\varepsilon'}(n+1)$  where  $\varepsilon'$  satisfies  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$  and is to be specified later and  $C$  is a positive constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n |P_{nk}| &\geq \left(1 + \frac{4NC}{\pi^2(1+M)^2} \log^{2\varepsilon'}(n+1)\right)^{1/2} \\ (3.1) \qquad &\geq \frac{2(NC)^{1/2}}{\pi(1+M)} \log^{\varepsilon'}(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\theta_n = O(n^{-1/2} \log^\varepsilon(n+1))$  we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta_k = 0$  and

$$\theta_k \geq Cn^{-1/2} \log^\varepsilon(n+1)$$

for  $j \leq n$  and  $n$  sufficiently large with the possible exception of the first few terms since the sequence  $n^{-1/2} \log^\varepsilon(n+1)$  is ultimately monotone. We now specify  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  to be sufficiently small so that  $\theta_k \geq Cn^{-1/2} \log^{\varepsilon'}(n+1)$  for all  $j \leq n$ . Then from (3.1) we see that  $\sum_{k=0}^n |P_{nk}|$  fails to be uniformly bounded for all  $n$  and the  $[F, d_n]$  matrix is not regular.

If we choose  $\rho_n = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  we obtain Meir's example [4].

#### REFERENCES

1. V. F. COWLING AND C. L. MIRACLE, *Some results for the generalized Lototsky transform*, Canad. J. Math, vol. 14 (1962), pp. 418-435.
2. ———, *Corrections and remarks on some results for the generalized Lototsky transform*, Canad. J. Math., vol. 16 (1964), pp. 423-428.

3. A. JAKIMOVSKI, *A generalization of the Lototsky method of summability*, Michigan Math. J., vol. 6 (1959), pp. 277-290.
4. A. MEIR, *On two problems concerning the generalized Lototsky transforms*, Canad. J. Math., vol. 16 (1964), pp. 339-342.

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY  
MANHATTAN, KANSAS