## CONTINOUSLY SPLITTABLE DISTRIBUTIONS IN HILBERT SPACE

ВY

### DAVID SHALE<sup>1</sup> AND W. FORREST STINESPRING

### 1. Introduction

**1.1.** This paper is concerned with a class of weak distributions on Hilbert space. Let H be a real Hilbert space. A weak distribution is a linear mapping on H which takes each linear function  $(x, \cdot)$  on H into a random variable m(x) on a probability measure space. It is supposed that  $\sigma$ -algebra of measurable sets is the smallest such that all the m(x) are measurable. See [2], [4] and [5].

The normal distribution n is characterized, up to a variance parameter c, by the property that orthogonal vectors x and y correspond to stochastically independent random variables n(x) and n(y). Then each n(x) is normally distributed with variance  $c \parallel x \parallel^2$  and mean zero. See [5, Theorem 3].

**1.2.** By a spectral measure  $\mathcal{E}$  we mean a completely additive Boolean algebra of commuting projections. We say that  $\mathcal{E}$  splits a weak distribution m if, for each x in H and each P in  $\mathcal{E}$ , m(Px) and m((I - P)x) are stochastically independent. Every spectral measure splits the normal distribution.

One way splittable distributions arise is from suitably smooth stochastic processes with independent increments. For example let  $X_t$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ , be such a process. Let  $H = L_2(0, 1)$ . Let  $m(f) = \int f(t) dX_t$ . Then m is split by the natural spectral measure on  $L_2(0, 1)$ .

**1.3.** A non-atomic spectral measure is one without any non-zero minimal projections. Our main result says if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a non-atomic spectral measure which splits a weak distribution m, and if m is absolutely continuous with regard to the normal distribution n, then m is equivalent to n and is actually a translate of n by an element of H. Our proof makes use of two properties of the normal distribution both due to I. E. Segal. They are the duality transform [4, Theorem 3], and the ergodicity theorem [3, Theorem 1].

**1.4.** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be orthogonal vectors in H. Let

$$\varphi(t_1, \cdots, t_n)$$

be a bounded Baire function. Then  $f(x) = \varphi(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  with  $t_1 = (x_1, x), \dots t_n = (x_n, x)$  is called a tame function on H. It clearly corresponds to a random variable with regard to the normal distribution. Given a trans-

Received April 9, 1965.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Most of this work was done in 1964 when the first named author was a summer visitor at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois.

formation T on H, which is not necessarily linear, it is natural to ask whether the map  $f(x) \to f(T^{-1}x)$  sends the normal distribution into one absolutely continuous to it. A complete answer for linear T has been given by Segal in [2, Theorem 3] and a sufficient condition in the non-linear case by Gross [1, Theorem 4]. Our result lets us extend Segal's result as follows:

We will say that a not necessarily linear transformation T on H is split by a spectral measure  $\mathcal{E}$  if Tx = PTPx + (I - P)T(I - P)x for each P in  $\mathcal{E}$  and each x in H. (When  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of projections of a maximal abelian ring, this is essentially the class of transformations on  $L_2$  of a measure space such that (Tf)(x) = F(x, f(x)) for a suitable F(x, t).) As an immediate consequence of our main result we have:

COROLLARY. Let T be a not necessarily linear transformation on a real Hilbert space H which is split by a non-atomic spectral measure  $\mathcal{E}$  on H. Suppose that T maps the normal distribution into a distribution absolutely continuous to it; then T is just the translation  $x \to x + a$  for some fixed a in H.

# 2. The details

**2.1.** Let *n* be the normal distribution on the real Hilbert space *H*. Let  $\Gamma[H]$  be the probability measure space on which the random variables n(x) act. A random variable over  $\Gamma[H]$  will be referred to as a random variable over *H*. Since, if *x* and *y* are orthogonal then n(x) and n(y) are stochastically independent; it follows that, for any projection *P*,

$$\Gamma[H] \cong \Gamma[PH] \times \Gamma[(I - P)H].$$

DEFINITION. Let P be a projection on H. Let f be a random variable over H. Then P splits f additively (respectively multiplicatively) if  $f = f_1 + f_2$  (respectively  $f = f_1 \cdot f_2$ ) where  $f_1$  is a random variable over PH and  $f_2$  is a random variable over (I - P)H. We shall say that a spectral measure  $\varepsilon$  splits f if each P in  $\varepsilon$  splits f. If f splits with respect to a non-atomic spectral measure, we shall say that f splits continuously.

**2.2.** A random variable over H of the form a + n(x) with x in H will be called an affine functional.

PROPOSITION 1. Let f be a square integrable random variable relative to the normal distribution on a real Hilbert space H. If f is split additively by a non-atomic spectral measure on H then f is an affine functional.

The proof depends on the following:

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a non-atomic spectral measure on a real Hilbert space H. Let K be a second real Hilbert space and let t be in  $H \otimes K$ . If for each P in  $\mathcal{E}$  there are orthogonal projections Q and R on K so that

$$[P \otimes Q + (I - P) \otimes R]t = t,$$

then t = 0.

*Proof.* Let T be the Hilbert-Schmidt transformation from H to K corresponding to t. That is  $(Tx, y) = (t, x \otimes y)$  for all x in H and y in K. Then

$$QTP + RT(I - P) = T$$
 and  $PT^*Q + (I - P)T^*R = T^*$ .

A computation shows that  $T^*T$  commutes with each P in  $\mathcal{E}$ . But  $T^*T$  is of trace-class. Since it commutes with a non-atomic spectral measure it must be zero.

Proof of Proposition 1. By the duality transform [4, Theorem 3], f may be considered as a symmetric tensor over H. Therefore  $f = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} f_r$  where  $f_r$  is a symmetric tensor of rank r. Denoting the space of symmetric tensors over H by S[H], we have for any projection P,

$$S[H] \cong S[PH] \otimes S[(I - P)H].$$

It follows readily that for P in  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $r \geq 1$ , P splits  $f_r$  in the sense that

 $[P \otimes \cdots \otimes P + (I - P) \otimes \cdots \otimes (I - P)]f_r = f_r.$ 

Hence by Lemma 1,  $f_r = 0$  for  $r \ge 2$ . This is equivalent to the stated result.

**2.3.** The map  $x \to -x$  on H induces an automorphism of the measurable functions over H which preserves expectations. We denote this by  $f(x) \to f(-x)$  although strictly speaking f is not a function of the variable x in H but of a variable in  $\Gamma[H]$ . We say that f is even if f(x) = f(-x) almost everywhere.

**PROPOSITION 2.** Suppose that f is a random variable over the real Hilbert space H relative to the normal distribution. Suppose further that f is even and non-negative. Suppose finally that f splits multiplicatively with regard to a non-atomic spectral measure  $\varepsilon$  on H. Then f is a constant.

*Proof.* For P in  $\mathcal{E}$  suppose  $f = f_1 \cdot f_2$  where  $f_1$  is a random variable over PH and  $f_2$  is a random variable over (I - P)H. Then

$$f(x) = f(-x) = f_1(-Px) \cdot f_2(-(I - P)x).$$

And so

$$f^{2}(x) = \{f_{1}(Px) \cdot f_{1}(-Px)\} \cdot \{f_{2}((I - P)x) \cdot f_{2}(-(I - P)x)\}.$$

It follows that  $f^2(x) = f^2(Ux)$  where U is the orthogonal operator -P + I - P. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is non-atomic the set of all U cannot leave invariant any subspace having finite positive dimension. Segal's ergodicity theorem, (Theorem 1 of [3]) says that any square integrable random variable invariant under such a set must be constant. The requirement of square integrability is not essential since g is invariant if and only if all  $\varphi(g)$  are where  $\varphi$  ranges over the bounded continuous functions. We conclude that  $f^2$  is constant and hence f is also.

576

**2.4.** COROLLARY 1. Let f be a random variable over a real Hilbert space H relative to the normal distribution. Suppose that f splits additively with regard to a non-atomic spectral measure  $\varepsilon$ . We have the following:

- (a) If f is even then f is constant.
- (b) The random variable f is a constant plus an odd-random variable.
- (c) If the non-negative part of f is square integrable, then f is an affine functional.

*Proof.* Part (a) follows on applying Proposition 2 to exp (f). Part (b) follows immediately from (a). To see part (c) we have  $f = \lambda + g$  with  $\lambda$  constant and g is an odd random variable. Denoting the non-negative part of h by  $h^+$  we have  $g(x) = g(x)^+ - g(-x)^+$ . Now  $g^+$  is square integrable. It follows that f itself is square integrable. The result follows from Proposition 1.

**2.5.** We refer to a measurable subset of  $\Gamma[H]$  as an event. An event A splits if the characteristic function  $\chi(A)$  splits multiplicatively.

PROPOSITION 3. Let A be an event over a real Hilbert space H relative to the normal distribution. Suppose A splits relative to a non-atomic spectral measure  $\varepsilon$ . Then A has probability 0 or 1.

*Proof.* Suppose prob (A) < 1. Let -A denote the event with characteristic function  $\chi(A)(-x)$ . Then  $A \cap -A$  is the event with characteristic function  $\chi(A) \cdot \chi(-A)$ . It has probability less than 1. Since  $\chi(A) \cdot \chi(-A)$  is even and splits relative to  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have prob  $(A \cap -A) = 0$  by Proposition 2. It follows that prob  $(A) + \text{prob}(-A) \leq 1$ . But prob (-A) = prob(A). Therefore prob  $(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We have shown that if A is a continuously splittable event then prob (A) = 1 or prob  $(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

For P in  $\mathcal{E}$  let A(P) denote the event over PH determined by A. If  $P_1, P_2 \cdots$  are orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $P = \sum P_i$ , it is easy to see that

$$\Gamma[PH] \cong \prod \Gamma[P_i H].$$

Hence, if for each *i*, prob  $(A(P_i)) = 1$ , then prob (A(P)) = 1. It follows that we can pick a maximal *P* in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that prob (A(P)) = 1. By maximality prob  $(A(P')) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for any *P'* orthogonal to *P*.

Suppose  $P \neq I$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is non-atomic, given k, we can write

$$I-P=Q_1+\cdots+Q_k$$

with the  $Q_i$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then

prob 
$$(A(I - P)) = \text{prob} (A(Q_1)) \cdots \text{prob} (A(Q_k)) \leq (\frac{1}{2})^k$$
.

Therefore prob (A(I - P)) = 0 and

$$\operatorname{prob} (A) = \operatorname{prob} (A(P)) \cdot \operatorname{prob} (A(I - P)) = 0.$$

**2.6.** PROPOSITION 4. Let H be a real Hilbert space. Let f be a non-negative random variable relative to the normal distribution on H. Suppose f splits multiplicatively relative to a non-atomic spectral measure  $\mathcal{E}$  on H. Suppose further that the non-negative part of log (f) is square integrable. Then f is a constant times the exponential of a continuous linear functional on H.

*Proof.* The event  $A = \{x | f(x) > 0\}$  splits continuously. Hence by Proposition 3 either f = 0 or f is positive. In the latter case part (c) of Corollary 1 applies to log (f).

**2.7.** THEOREM. Let m be a weak distribution over a real Hilbert space H which splits relative to a non-atomic spectral measure and is absolutely continuous with regard to the normal distribution. Then m is the translate of the normal distribution by a vector in H.

*Proof.* Let f be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of m relative to n. Then f splits multiplicatively. By Proposition 3, f is positive; consequently the distributions are equivalent. Now, denoting the non-negative part of log (g) by  $[\log (g)]^+, g \rightarrow [\log (g)]^+$  maps the non-negative functions in  $L_1$  to functions in  $L_2$ . Consequently  $[\log (f)]^+$  is square integrable and the result follows from Proposition 4.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- L. GROSS, Integration and non-linear transformations in Hilbert space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 94(1960), pp. 404–439.
- 2. I. E. SEGAL, Distributions in Hilbert space and canonical systems of operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 88(1959), pp. 12-41.
- Ergodic Subgroups of the orthogonal group on a real Hilbert space, Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 66(1957), pp. 207-303.
- 4. ——, Tensor algebras over Hilbert space I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 81(1956), pp. 106–134.
- 5. ———, Tensor algebras over Hilbert space II, Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 63(1956), pp. 160– 175.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA, ILLINOIS