

# ON REPRESENTABILITY OF CONTRAVARIANT FUNCTORS OVER NON-CONNECTED CW COMPLEXES

BY  
ROBERT W. WEST<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Introduction

We assume throughout that each space under consideration has a prescribed base point and that all maps and homotopies preserve this base point. Let  $\mathfrak{W}$  denote the category of spaces admitting the structure of a finite CW complex, the base point being a vertex, and all (continuous) maps. Let  $\mathfrak{W}'$  denote the full subcategory of connected spaces.

Recall that a space  $B_F$  is a *classifying space* for a based-set-valued contravariant function  $F$  defined on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  of spaces if  $F$  is naturally equivalent to the functor  $[\_, B_F]$ , the homotopy classes  $[f]$  of maps  $f$  into  $B_F$ . If  $B_F$  exists we say that  $F$  is *representable*. In [2], E. H. Brown, Jr., has given a set of conditions on  $F$  which will imply that  $F$  is representable when  $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{W}'$ . In [6] we showed that if  $F$  mapped  $\mathfrak{W}'$  into the category  $\mathcal{A}$  of abelian groups, then we could take  $B_F$  to be a weakly homotopy abelian and weakly homotopy associative  $H$ -space such that  $F$  and  $[\_, B_F]$  are naturally equivalent as functors into  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this note we show how to extend this to representability for functors  $F$  defined on the larger category  $\mathfrak{W}$ .

Since this paper was written the paper [7] of Brown has appeared in which he formalizes the methods of [2] to obtain a very general representability theorem. In particular, his result covers the case in which the domain category of the functor  $F$  in question is  $\mathfrak{W}$ . However, our main result (1.1) is quite different in that it relates the classifying spaces of  $F$  and its restriction to  $\mathfrak{W}'$ .

Before giving the precise statement of the main theorem we must recall some definitions. Maps  $f$  and  $g$  from a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$  are said to be *weakly homotopic* if the induced maps  $f_*$  and  $g_*$  from  $[K, X]$  to  $[K, Y]$  are equal for every finite CW complex  $K$ . Here,  $f_*[\varphi] = [f\varphi]$  for  $[\varphi] \in [K, X]$ . An  $H$ -structure map  $\mu : B \times B \rightarrow B$  on an  $H$ -space  $B$  is *weakly homotopy associative* if  $\mu(\mu \times 1)$  and  $\mu(1 \times \mu)$  are weakly homotopic maps from  $B \times B \times B$  to  $B$ . Let

$$T : B \times B \rightarrow B \times B$$

be defined by  $T(x, y) = (y, x)$ ,  $x, y \in B$ . Then  $\mu$  is said to be *weakly homotopy abelian* if  $\mu T$  and  $\mu$  are weakly homotopic. Suppose that  $\nu$  is an  $H$ -structure for a space  $A$  and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a map; we say that  $f$  is a *weak homomorphism* if  $\mu(f \times f)$  and  $f\nu$  are weakly homotopic maps.

---

Received October 25, 1965.

<sup>1</sup> Supported by a National Science Foundation grant.

Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair in  $\mathfrak{W}$  with  $A$  non-empty and having the same base point as  $X$ . Let  $i : A \subset X$  be the inclusion map and  $q : X \rightarrow X/A$  the identification map which collapses  $A$  to the base point of  $X$ . Now it is easy to see that if  $F : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is a representable contravariant functor, then the sequence

$$F(X/A) \xrightarrow{F(q)} F(X) \xrightarrow{F(i)} F(A)$$

is exact. Dold [3] has called any contravariant functor  $F : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  satisfying this condition *half exact*.

For the hypothesis of the main theorem (1.1) below we let  $F : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  be a contravariant functor and let  $F'$  be its restriction to  $\mathfrak{W}'$ . Suppose  $B'_F$  is a connected space with a weakly homotopy associative and weakly homotopy abelian  $H$ -structure  $\mu'$  such that the functors  $F'$  and  $[\_, B'_F]$  from  $\mathfrak{W}'$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$  are naturally equivalent. Regard  $F(S^0)$  as a discrete space with base point 0, set  $B_F = B'_F \times F(S^0)$ , and let  $\mu : B_F \times B_F \rightarrow B_F$  be the map defined by

$$\mu(a, x, b, y) = (\mu'(a, b), x + y), \quad a, b \in B'_F, x, y \in F(S^0)$$

Then  $\mu$  is an  $H$ -structure on  $B_F$  which is weakly homotopy associative and weakly homotopy abelian. Hence the functor  $[\_, B_F]$  maps  $\mathfrak{W}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$  and its restriction to  $\mathfrak{W}'$  is naturally equivalent of  $F'$ .

(1.1) THEOREM. *If  $F : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is as above and is half exact in the sense of Dold, then there is a natural equivalence  $\Phi : [\_, B_F] \cong F$  of functors from  $\mathfrak{W}$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

Suppose that  $G : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is another such functor and  $B'_G$  is a countable CW complex. If  $T : F \rightarrow G$  is a natural transformation, then there exists a weak homomorphism  $f : B'_F \rightarrow B'_G$  such that the weak homomorphism

$$f \times T_{S^0} : B_F \rightarrow B_G$$

represents  $T$ , i.e.  $\Phi T = (f \times T_{S^0})_* \Phi$ .

Example 1. An Eilenberg-MacLane space of type  $(G, n)$ ,  $G$  abelian and  $n \geq 1$ , is a classifying space for the reduced singular cohomology functor  $\tilde{H}^n(\_, G)$  on both  $\mathfrak{W}$  and  $\mathfrak{W}'$  since  $\tilde{H}^n(S^0; G) = 0$ .

Example 2. Consider  $\tilde{K} : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  as defined in [1], for example. Now  $\tilde{K} | \mathfrak{W}'$  has a countable connected CW complex  $B_U$  as classifying space and there exists a weakly homotopy associative and weakly homotopy abelian  $H$ -structure on  $B_U$  representing the addition on  $\tilde{K} | \mathfrak{W}'$ ; see [6] for example. Since  $\tilde{K}$  satisfies the half exactness property and  $\tilde{K}(S^0) = \mathbb{Z}$ , the integers, it follows from theorem (1.1) that  $\mathbb{Z} \times B_U$  is a classifying space for  $\tilde{K}$  on  $\mathfrak{W}$ , a well-known fact. Similarly for  $\tilde{K}O$ .

The organization of this note is as follows. In Section 2 we prove two elementary lemmas concerning half exact functors. The next section introduces a technical device, due essentially to Dold [3], which will be the crux of the proof of (1.1) given in Section 5. Section 4 establishes the needed results about representability of natural transformations,

## 2. Elementary properties of half exact functors

Let  $X \vee Y$  denote the disjoint union of the spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with their base points identified. Let  $i_1 : X \rightarrow X \vee Y$  and  $p_1 : X \vee Y \rightarrow X$  be the canonical injection and projection maps, and similarly for  $i_2$  and  $p_2$ . The following two results are due to Dold [3].

(2.1) LEMMA. *If  $F : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is half exact, then*

$$F(X \vee Y) = F(p_1)F(X) \oplus F(p_2)F(Y).$$

*Proof.* Apply  $F$  to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & & & & Y \\
 \downarrow 1 & \searrow i_1 & & \swarrow i_2 & \downarrow 1 \\
 & & X \vee Y & & \\
 & \swarrow p_1 & & \searrow p_2 & \\
 X = X \vee Y/Y & & & & X \vee Y/X = Y
 \end{array}$$

and use [4, Lemma 13.1, p. 32].

(2.2) LEMMA. *Let  $r : X \rightarrow A$  be a retraction of  $X$  onto  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{W}$ , and let  $q : X \rightarrow X/A$  be the identification map. Then*

$$F(X) = F(r)F(A) \oplus F(q)F(X/A).$$

*Proof.* The Puppe sequence [5] of the inclusion map  $i : A \subset X$  gives rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xrightarrow{q} & X/A & \xrightarrow{p} & \Sigma A \xrightarrow{\Sigma i} \Sigma X \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & \searrow 1 & \downarrow r & & & & \searrow 1 \quad \downarrow \Sigma r \\
 & & A & & & & \Sigma A
 \end{array}$$

Here  $\Sigma$  denotes the reduced suspension functor. Using [5, Th. 5], one easily shows that the half exact functor  $F$  takes the row into an exact sequence of abelian groups. But  $F(i)$  and  $F(\Sigma i)$  are epimorphisms since  $F(i)F(r) = F(1)$ . Hence  $F(p) = 0$ . We thus obtain the following commutative diagram in which the row is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longleftarrow & F(A) & \xleftarrow{F(i)} & F(X) & \xleftarrow{F(q)} & F(X/A) \longleftarrow 0 \\
 & & \swarrow 1 & & \uparrow F(r) & & \\
 & & & & F(A) & & 
 \end{array}$$

This splitting establishes the lemma.

## 3. Special wedges of 0-spheres

Let  $X \in \mathfrak{W}$  have path components  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n$  where the base point  $*$  belongs to  $X_0$ . We write  $X = X_0 + \dots + X_n$ . Let  $S_j^0, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

be a 0-sphere consisting of the point  $*$  and a vertex  $x_j \in X_j$ . The subcomplex  $A = S_1^0 \vee \cdots \vee S_n^0$  of  $X$  will be called a *special wedge* of 0-spheres for  $X$ . Notice that if we let  $x_j$  be the base point of  $X_j$ , then

$$X/A = X_0 \vee X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_n$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{W}'$ . Moreover, the map  $r : X \rightarrow A$  defined by  $r(X_0) = \{*\}$ ,  $r(X_j) = \{x_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is a retraction.

Concerning naturality properties of special wedges we have the following result.

(3.1) **LEMMA.** *Let  $A$  be a special wedge of 0-spheres for the finite CW complex  $X$ , let  $Y$  be a finite CW complex, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then there exist a special wedge of 0-spheres  $C$  for  $Y$  and a map  $g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, C)$  such that  $g$  is homotopic to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* We write

$$X = X_0 + \cdots + X_n, \quad Y = Y_0 + \cdots + Y_m, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

where  $x_0 = *$  and  $x_j$  is a vertex of  $X_j$ . By the cellular approximation theorem we may assume that the map  $f$  is cellular; in particular, each  $f(x_j)$  is a vertex of  $Y$ .

The set  $C$  is constructed as follows. Let  $f(x_0) \in C$ . Let  $f(x_k) \in C$  if and only if  $f(x_k)$  does not belong to the path component of  $f(x_i)$  for all  $i$  less than  $k$ . Finally, if  $Y_j \cap f(X)$  is empty, choose any vertex  $y_j \in Y_j$  and let  $y_j \in C$ . Clearly  $C$  is a special wedge of 0-spheres for  $Y$ .

It remains to construct the map  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $k$  be an integer such that  $f(x_i) \in C$  for  $i < k$  and  $f(x_k) \notin C$ . Then there exists  $j < k$  and a path  $\gamma : I \rightarrow Y$  with  $\gamma(0) = f(x_k)$  and  $\gamma(1) = f(x_j)$ . Define the map

$$F : X_k \times \{0\} \cup \{x_k\} \times I \rightarrow Y$$

by  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$ ,  $x \in X_k$ , and  $F(x_k, t) = \gamma(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ . By the homotopy extension theorem there exists an extension map  $F : X_k \times I \rightarrow Y$ . Define the map  $g_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $g_1(x) = f(x)$  if  $x \notin X_k$ ,  $g_1(x) = F(x, 1)$  if  $x \in X_k$ . Then  $g_1 \simeq f$  and  $g_1(x_i) \in C$  for  $i < k + 1$ . Similarly, there exists a map  $g_2 : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g_2 \simeq g_1$  and  $g_2(x_i) \in C$  for  $i < k + 2$ . Continuing in this way we obtain a map  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g \simeq f$  and  $g(A) \subset C$ .

#### 4. Natural transformations

(4.1) **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $T : [\quad, B_1] \rightarrow [\quad, B_2]$  be a natural transformation of functors from  $\mathcal{W}'$  to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\mu_i$  be a multiplication on  $B_i$  representing the multiplication on the functor  $[\quad, B_i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $B_1$  is a countable connected CW complex, then there is a weak homomorphism  $f : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  such that  $f_* = T$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of  $f$  is established in [2, Lemma 2.1]. (The hypothesis that  $Y' \in \mathcal{C}_\omega$  in [2] is not necessary.) It remains to show that  $f$  is a weak homomorphism. For this it suffices to show that if  $A$  is a connected finite subcomplex of  $B_1$  containing the base point, then  $\mu_2(f' \times f') \simeq f\mu_1'$  as maps

from  $A \times A$  to  $B_2$  where  $f' = f|A$  and  $\mu'_1 = \mu_1|A \times A$ . Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  denote the canonical projections of  $A \times A$  onto  $A$  followed by inclusion into  $B_1$  and let  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \times A$  be the diagonal map defined by  $\Delta(a) = (a, a)$ ,  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f\mu'_1] &= f_*[\mu'_1] = f_*([p_1] + [p_2]) = f_*[p_1] + f_*[p_2] \\ &= [\mu_2(fp_1 \times fp_2)\Delta] = [\mu_2(f' \times f')] \end{aligned}$$

as we were to show.

## 5. Proof of (1.1)

We assume the notation and hypothesis of (1.1) except that the subscripts on  $B_F$ , etc., will be suppressed when confusion won't arise.

(5.1) LEMMA. *If  $A$  is a wedge product of 0-spheres, then there is an isomorphism  $\Phi : [A, B] \cong F(A)$  of abelian groups. Moreover, if  $C$  is also a wedge product of 0-spheres and  $f : A \rightarrow C$  is a map, then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [C, B] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & F(C) \\ \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow F(f) \\ [A, B] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & F(A) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* First, suppose  $A = S^0$  and  $x \in S^0$  is not the base point. Let

$$p_2 : B = B' \times F(S^0) \rightarrow F(S^0)$$

be the projection map and define the function  $\Phi$  by

$$\Phi[f] = p_2 f(x) \in F(S^0), \quad [f] \in [S^0, B].$$

Since  $B'$  is connected and  $F(S^0)$  is discrete it follows that  $\Phi$  is a well-defined bijection. To show that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism we simply note that if  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  are in  $[S^0, B]$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi([f] + [g]) &= \Phi[\mu(f \times g)\Delta] = p_2 \mu(f(x), g(x)) \\ &= (p_2 f(x)) + (p_2 g(x)) = \Phi[f] + \Phi[g]. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, let  $A = S_1^0 \vee \cdots \vee S_n^0$  where  $S_j^0$  is a 0-sphere. If  $p_j : A \rightarrow S_j^0$  denotes the projection map,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then  $p_j^*[S_j^0, B]$  and  $F(p_j)F(S_j^0)$  are canonically isomorphic groups since  $p_j^*$  and  $F(p_j)$  are monomorphisms. From (2.1) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} [A, B] &= p_1^*[S_1^0, B] \oplus \cdots \oplus p_n^*[S_n^0, B] \\ &\cong F(p_1)F(S_1^0) \oplus \cdots \oplus F(p_n)F(S_n^0) \\ &= F(A). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Phi$  denote this isomorphism.

It remains to prove naturality. Let  $C = S_1^0 \vee \cdots \vee S_m^0$  and let  $f : A \rightarrow C$  be a map. If  $A = S^0$  then either  $f = 0$  or  $f$  is a homeomorphism onto some  $S_j^0 \subset C$ . Easy computations of  $f^*$  and  $F(f)$  show that  $F(f)\Phi = \Phi f^*$ . More generally, let  $A = S_1^0 \vee \cdots \vee S_n^0$ . Now the homomorphism

$$F(f) : F(C) \rightarrow F(A) = F(p_1)F(S_1^0) \oplus \cdots \oplus F(p_n)F(S_n^0)$$

is given by

$$F(f)(\alpha) = (F(f|S_1^0)\alpha, \cdots, F(f|S_n^0)\alpha), \quad \alpha \in F(C)$$

Similarly for  $f^* : [C, B] \rightarrow [A, B]$ . Therefore if  $\alpha \in [C, B]$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi f^*(\alpha) &= (\Phi(f|S_1^0)^*\alpha, \cdots, \Phi(f|S_n^0)^*\alpha) \\ &= (F(f|S_1^0)\Phi\alpha, \cdots, F(f|S_n^0)\Phi\alpha) \\ &= F(f)\Phi(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (5.1).

*Proof of (1.1).* Let  $X \in \mathfrak{W}$  and let  $A$  be a special wedge of 0-spheres for  $X$ . Let  $Y \in \mathfrak{W}$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. By Lemma (3.1), there exist a special wedge of 0-spheres  $C$  for  $Y$  for a map  $g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, C)$  homotopic to  $f$ . Let  $g' : X/A \rightarrow Y/C$  be the induced map. By hypothesis there is a natural equivalence between  $[ \quad, B ] | \mathfrak{W}'$  and  $F'$ . From (2.2) and (5.1) we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} [Y, B] & \cong & [C, B] \oplus [Y/C, B] & \cong & F(C) \oplus F(Y/C) & \cong & F(Y) \\ \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow (g|A)^* \oplus g'^* & & \downarrow F(g|A) \oplus F(g') & & \downarrow F(g) \\ [X, B] & \cong & [A, B] \oplus [X/A, B] & \cong & F(A) \oplus F(X/A) & \cong & F(X). \end{array}$$

Since  $f^* = g^*$  and  $F(f) = F(g)$ , the first part is proved. Let

$$\Phi : [ \quad, B ] \cong F( \quad )$$

denote the above composition.

Next, let  $G : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  and  $T : F \rightarrow G$ . By (4.1) there exists a weak homomorphism  $f : B'_F \rightarrow B'_G$  representing the restriction  $T' : F' \rightarrow G'$  of  $T$ . Let  $h = f \times T_{S^0} : B_F \rightarrow B_G$ . Now if  $X \in \mathfrak{W}$  and  $A$  is a special wedge of 0-spheres for  $X$ , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} [X, B_F] & \cong & [A, F(S^0)] \oplus [X/A, B'_F] & \cong & F(A) \oplus F'(X/A) & \cong & F(X) \\ \downarrow h_* & & \downarrow (T_{S^0})_* \oplus f_* & & \downarrow T_A \oplus T'_{X/A} & & \downarrow T_X \\ [X, B_G] & \cong & [A, G(S^0)] \oplus [X/A, B'_G] & \cong & G(A) \oplus G'(X/A) & \cong & G(X) \end{array}$$

is commutative and the horizontal composite maps are both  $\Phi$ . This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. M. F. ATIYAH AND F. HIRZEBRUCH, *Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Proceedings of Symposium in Pure Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 3, (1961), pp. 7-38.
2. E. H. BROWN, JR., *Cohomology theories*, Ann. of Math., vol. 75 (1962), pp. 467-484.
3. A. DOLD, *Half exact functors and cohomology* (mimeographed), August 1963.
4. S. EILENBERG AND N. STEENROD, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1952.
5. D. PUPPE, *Homotopiemengen und ihre induzierten Abbildungen, I*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 69 (1958), pp. 299-344.
6. R. W. WEST, *On representability of contravariant functors* (mimeographed).
7. E. H. BROWN, JR., *Abstract homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math., vol. 82 (1965), pp. 79-85.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN  
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN  
CORNELL UNIVERSITY  
ITHACA, NEW YORK