

# SERIES EXPANSIONS AND INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF GENERALIZED TEMPERATURES

BY  
DEBORAH TEPPER HAIMO<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Introduction

The generalized heat equation is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2\nu}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t},$$

$\nu$  a fixed positive number. The fundamental solution of (1.1) is the function  $G(x; t) = G(x, 0; t)$ , where

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G(x, y; t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-u^2 t} g(xu) g(yu) d\mu(u), \quad t > 0, \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)^{\nu+1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{4t}\right) g\left(\frac{xy}{2t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$d\mu(u) = \frac{2^{1/2-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} u^{2\nu} du,$$

$$g(z) = 2^{\nu-1/2} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) z^{1/2-\nu} J_{\nu-1/2}(z), \quad \mathcal{G}(z) = 2^{\nu-1/2} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) z^{1/2-\nu} I_{\nu-1/2}(z),$$

$J_\alpha(z)$  being the ordinary Bessel function of order  $\alpha$  and  $I_\alpha(z)$  the Bessel function of imaginary argument. It is well known (see [5]) that if  $u(x, t)$  is a solution of (1.1), so is its Appell transform  $u^A(x, t)$  defined by

$$(1.3) \quad u^A(x, t) = G(x; t)u(x/t, -1/t).$$

The Poisson-Hankel transform of a function  $\varphi$  is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^\infty G(x, y; t)\varphi(y) d\mu(y), \quad t > 0,$$

whenever the integral exists. Taking  $\varphi(x) = x^\gamma$ , we set

$$(1.5) \quad S_{\gamma, \nu}(x, t) = \int_0^\infty y^\gamma G(x, y; t) d\mu(y), \quad \gamma > -2\nu.$$

$S_{\gamma, \nu}(x, t)$  satisfies equation (1.1), and in particular, if  $\gamma = 2n$ ,  $S_{\gamma, \nu}(x, t)$  is the generalized heat polynomial  $P_{n, \nu}(x, t)$  studied in [5]. In that paper, those solutions of (1.1) were characterized which have representations in series of  $P_{n, \nu}(x, t)$  and of their Appell transforms  $W_{n, \nu}(x, t)$ . It is the present

---

Received July 22, 1968.

<sup>1</sup> The research of this paper was supported in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

goal to consider the functions  $Q_{n,\nu}(x, t) = S_{2n+1,\nu}(x, t)$  and their Appell transforms  $V_{n,\nu}(x, t)$ , and to derive conditions for expansions of generalized temperatures in series of the forms

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n P_{n,\nu}(x, t) + c_n Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [b_n W_{n,\nu}(x, t) + d_n V_{n,\nu}(x, t)].$$

## 2. Definitions and preliminary results

By evaluating the integral

$$(2.1) \quad S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, t) = \int_0^{\infty} y^{\gamma} G(x, y; t) d\mu(y), \quad t > 0,$$

(see [2, p. 30]), we find that

$$(2.2) \quad S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, t) = 2^{\gamma} t^{\gamma/2} (\Gamma(\gamma/2 + \nu + \frac{1}{2}) / \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})) {}_1F_1(-\gamma/2; \nu + \frac{1}{2}; -x^2/4t).$$

From this, it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, -t) = (-1)^{\gamma/2} S_{\gamma,\nu}(ix, t),$$

and we have

$$(2.4) \quad S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, -t) = (-1)^{\gamma/2} \int_0^{\infty} y^{\gamma} G(ix, y; t) d\mu(y), \quad t > 0.$$

Further, the Appell transform  $T_{\gamma,\nu}(x, t)$  of  $S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, t)$  is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\gamma,\nu}(x, t) &= S_{\gamma,\nu}^A(x, t) \\ &= G(x; t) S_{\gamma,\nu}(x/t, -1/t) \\ &= 2(-1)^{\gamma/2} \int_0^{\infty} y^{\gamma} e^{-y^2 t} g(xy) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the elementary inequality

$$(2.6) \quad x^k e^{-x^2 \alpha} \leq \left( \frac{k}{2\alpha e} \right)^{k/2}, \quad k > 0,$$

and the identity

$$(2.7) \quad G(x, y; t) = e^{x^2/4\delta} e^{-y^2/4(t+\delta)} (1 + t/\delta)^{\nu+1/2} G(x(t+\delta)/\delta, y; t(t+\delta)/\delta)$$

which holds for  $t > 0$  and any  $\delta > 0$ , we may readily establish the estimates

$$(2.8) \quad |S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, t)| \leq e^{x^2/4\delta} (1 + t/\delta)^{\nu+1/2} [2\gamma(t+\delta)/e]^{\gamma/2},$$

$$t > 0, \quad \delta > 0, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty,$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad |S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, -t)| \leq e^{x^2/4\delta} 2^{\gamma} (\Gamma(\gamma/2 + \nu + \frac{1}{2}) / \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})) t^{\gamma/2},$$

$$t > 0, \quad \delta > 0, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty.$$

In addition, from (2.1) and the fact that  $g(x) \geq 1$ , we also find that

$$(2.10) \quad S_{\gamma,\nu}(x, t) \geq e^{-x^2/4t} 2^\gamma (\Gamma(\gamma/2 + \nu + \frac{1}{2}) / \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})) t^{\gamma/2}, \quad t > 0.$$

A class of entire functions needed in our development is described as follows.

DEFINITION 2.1. An entire function

$$(2.11) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k x^k$$

belongs to class  $(\rho, r)$  or has growth  $(\rho, r)$  iff

$$(2.12) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k |\alpha_k|^{\rho/k} \leq e\rho r.$$

### 3. Regions of convergence

In [5], we proved the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. *If*

$$(3.1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |a_n|^{1/n} = e/4\sigma < \infty,$$

then the series

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n P_{n,\nu}(x, t)$$

converges absolutely in the strip  $|t| < \sigma$ .

Using the inequalities (2.8) and (2.9), with  $\gamma = 2\nu + 1$ , we may establish in a similar way that the following holds.

THEOREM 3.2. *If*

$$(3.3) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |c_n|^{1/n} = e/4\sigma < \infty,$$

then the series

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)$$

converges absolutely for  $0 < |t| < \sigma$ .

For the series of Appell transforms, this region of convergence is a half plane. Indeed, in [5] we established the following result.

THEOREM 3.3. *If*

$$(3.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |b_n|^{1/n} = e\sigma/4 < \infty,$$

then the series

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n W_{n,\nu}(x, t)$$

converges absolutely for  $t > \sigma \geq 0$ .

Similarly, we have the corresponding theorem for  $V_{n,\nu}(x, t)$ , the Appell transform of  $Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)$ .

THEOREM 3.4. *If*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |d_n|^{1/n} = e\sigma/4 < \infty,$$

then the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n V_{n,\nu}(x, t)$$

converges absolutely for  $t > \sigma \geq 0$ .

#### 4. Expansions in terms of $P_{n,\nu}(x, t)$ and $Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)$

We now establish our principal expansion theorems.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$(4.1) \quad u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n P_{n,\nu}(x, t), \quad 0 \leq x < \infty,$$

the series converging for  $|t| < \sigma$  is that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_0^{\infty} G(ix, y; -t)\varphi(iy) d\mu(y), & -\sigma < t < 0, \\ &= \varphi(x), & t = 0 \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} G(x, y; t)\varphi(y) d\mu(y), & 0 < t < \sigma \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi$  is an even entire function of growth  $(2, 1/4\sigma)$  and

$$(4.3) \quad a_n = \varphi^{(2n)}(0)/(2n) !.$$

*Proof.* To prove sufficiency, assume that (4.2) holds with  $\varphi$  as described. Then we have

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n y^{2n}, \quad \alpha_n = \varphi^{(2n)}(0)/(2n) !,$$

and substituting in (4.2), we find that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (-1)^n \int_0^{\infty} y^{2n} G(ix, y; -t) d\mu(y), & -\sigma < t < 0 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^{2n}, & t = 0, \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_0^{\infty} y^{2n} G(x, y; t) d\mu(y), & 0 < t < \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

provided termwise integration is valid. By Definitions (2.1) and (2.4) with  $\gamma = 2n$  and the fact that  $P_{n,\nu}(x, 0) = x^{2n}$ , it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n P_{n,\nu}(x, t), \quad |t| < \sigma.$$

Taking  $\alpha_n = a_n$ , we establish the result.

The validity of termwise integration in (4.5) is a consequence of the growth behavior of  $\varphi$ , which implies that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| y^{2n}$  also belongs to class  $(2, 1/4\sigma)$ . This means that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and some constant  $K$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| y^{2n} < K \exp(1/4\sigma + \varepsilon)y^2$$

Hence

$$(4.8) \quad \int_0^\infty |G(ix, y; -t)| d\mu(y) \sum_{n=0}^\infty |\alpha_n| y^{2n}, \quad -\sigma < t < 0,$$

$$\int_0^\infty G(x, y; t) d\mu(y) \sum_{n=0}^\infty |\alpha_n| y^{2n}, \quad 0 < t < \sigma$$

are both finite, and sufficiency is proved.

Conversely, if (4.1) holds, then by the definition of  $P_{n,\nu}(x, t)$ , we have

$$(4.9) \quad u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty G(ix, y; -t) d\mu(y) \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n a_n y^{2n}, \quad -\sigma < t < 0,$$

$$= \sum a_n x^{2n}, \quad t = 0$$

$$= \int_0^\infty G(x, y; t) d\mu(y) \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n y^{2n}, \quad 0 < t < \sigma,$$

or

$$(4.10) \quad u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty G(ix, y; -t) \varphi(iy) d\mu(y), \quad -\sigma < t < 0,$$

$$= \varphi(x), \quad t = 0,$$

$$= \int_0^\infty G(x, y; t) \varphi(y) d\mu(y), \quad 0 < t < \sigma,$$

where

$$(4.11) \quad \varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n x^{2n},$$

provided that

$$(4.12) \quad \int_0^\infty |G(ix, y; -t)| |\varphi(iy)| d\mu(y), \quad -\sigma < t < 0,$$

$$\int_0^\infty G(x, y; t) |\varphi(y)| d\mu(y), \quad 0 < t < \sigma,$$

are finite. But, by the convergence of the series (4.1) for  $|t| < \sigma$ , we have, in particular, the convergence of the series

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n P_{n,\nu}(0, t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n 2^{2n} (\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2} + n) / \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})) t^n$$

for  $|t| < \sigma$ . Hence  $\sigma \leq$  the radius of convergence  $1/\mu$ , where

$$\mu = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4 |\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2} + n) a_n|^{1/n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (4n/e) |a_n|^{1/n},$$

or

$$(4.14) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |a_n|^{1/n} \leq e/4\sigma.$$

Hence  $\varphi \in (2, 1/4\sigma)$ , and the integrals (4.12) are finite. Thus,  $u(x, t)$  has the required representation (4.10) and the proof is complete.

We may derive, in an analogous way, the corresponding theorem for series in terms of  $Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)$ .

THEOREM 4.2. *A necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n Q_{n,\nu}(x, t), \quad 0 \leq x < \infty,$$

the series converging for  $0 < |t| < \sigma$ , is that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_0^{\infty} G(ix, y; -t)\varphi(iy) d\mu(y), \quad -\sigma < t < 0, \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} G(x, y; t)\varphi(y) d\mu(y), \quad 0 < t < \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi$  is an odd entire function of growth  $(2, 1/4\sigma)$ . Here

$$c_n = \varphi^{(2n+1)}(0)/(2n+1)!$$

By combining the preceding two theorems, we have our first principal result.

THEOREM 4.3. *A necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n P_{n,\nu}(x, t) + c_n Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)],$$

the series converging for  $0 < |t| < \sigma$ , is that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_0^{\infty} G(ix, y; -t)\varphi(iy) d\mu(y), \quad -\sigma < t < 0, \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} G(x, y; t)\varphi(y) d\mu(y), \quad 0 < t < \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi$  is an entire function of growth  $(2, 1/4\sigma)$ . Here

$$a_n = \varphi^{(2n)}(0)/(2n)!, \quad c_n = \varphi^{(2n+1)}(0)/(2n+1)!$$

An example illustrating the theorem is given by

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n/(2^{2n}n!) [P_{n,\nu}(x, t) + Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)]$$

the series converging for  $0 < |t| < 1$ . The integral representation of  $u(x, t)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_0^{\infty} G(ix, y; -t)[G(iy; 1)(1 + iy)] d\mu(y), \quad -1 < t < 0, \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} G(x, y; t)[G(y; 1)(1 + y)] d\mu(y), \quad 0 < t < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\varphi(y) = G(y; 1)(1 + y)$ , a function of growth  $(2, 1/4)$ . Note that the integral for  $t > 0$  actually converges in a larger region than predicted by the theorem.

## 5. Expansions in terms of $W_{n,\nu}(x, t)$ and $V_{n,\nu}(x, t)$

In [5], we proved the following series representation theorem.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$(5.1) \quad u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n W_{n,\nu}(x, t),$$

*the series converging for  $0 \leq \sigma < t$ , is that*

$$(5.2) \quad u(x, t) = \int_0^{\infty} g(x, y) e^{-ty^2} \varphi(y) d\mu(y),$$

*where  $\varphi$  is an even entire function of growth  $(2, \sigma)$  and*

$$(5.3) \quad b_n = (-1)^n \varphi^{(2n)}(0) / (2^{2n} (2n) !)$$

The corresponding result for the Appell transforms  $V_{n,\nu}(x, t)$  of  $Q_{n,\nu}(x, t)$  may be similarly established.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$(5.4) \quad u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n V_{n,\nu}(x, t),$$

*the series converging for  $t > \sigma \geq 0$ , is that*

$$(5.5) \quad u(x, t) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ty^2} g(xy) \varphi(y) d\mu(y), \quad t > \sigma \geq 0,$$

*where  $\varphi$  is an odd entire function of growth  $(2, \sigma)$ , and*

$$(5.6) \quad d_n = (-1)^{n+8/2} \varphi^{(2n+1)}(0) / (2^{2n+1} (2n + 1) !).$$

*Proof.* To prove sufficiency, assume that (5.5) holds with

$$\varphi(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n y^{2n+1}, \quad \beta_n = \varphi^{(2n+1)}(0) / (2n + 1) !,$$

and  $\varphi \in (2, \sigma)$  so that

$$|\varphi| \leq K e^{(\sigma+\epsilon)y^2}.$$

Then, by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ty^2} g(xy) y^{2n+1} d\mu(y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n ((-1)^{n+8/2} / 2^{2n+1}) V_{n,\nu}(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

with termwise integration valid since

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-ty^2} d\mu(y) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_n| y^{2n+1} \leq K \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ty^2} e^{(\sigma+\epsilon)y^2} d\mu(y) < \infty \quad \text{for } t > \sigma.$$

Taking  $d_n = ((-1)^{n+8/2} / 2^{2n+1}) \beta_n$ , we have established that the condition is sufficient.

On the other hand, assume that for  $t > \sigma \geq 0$  (5.4) holds. Then by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-ty^2} \mathcal{J}(xy) d\mu(y) \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^{n+1/2} 2^{2n+1} d_n y^{2n+1} \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-ty^2} \mathcal{J}(xy) \varphi(y) d\mu(y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi(y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^{n+1/2} 2^{2n+1} d_n y^{2n+1}.$$

Since the convergence of the series (5.4) implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |d_n|^{1/n} \leq \sigma e/4 \quad \text{or} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |2^{2n+1} d_n|^{1/n} \leq \sigma e,$$

$\varphi(y) \in (2, \sigma)$  and termwise integration is valid. The theorem is thus proved.

The two preceding theorems may be combined to give the following result.

**THEOREM 5.3.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty [b_n W_{n,\nu}(x, t) + d_n V_{n,\nu}(x, t)],$$

the series converging for  $t > \sigma \geq 0$ , is that

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ty^2} \mathcal{J}(xy) \varphi(y) d\mu(y), \quad t > \sigma \geq 0,$$

where  $\varphi$  is an entire function of growth  $(2, \sigma)$ .

The theorem may be illustrated by

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty ((-1)^n / 2^{2n} n!) [W_{n,\nu}(x, t) + V_{n,\nu}(x, t)],$$

the series converging for  $t > 1$ . Here we have

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ty^2} \mathcal{J}(xy) [e^{\nu^2} (1 + 2iy)] d\mu(y), \quad t > 1,$$

where  $\varphi(y) = e^{\nu^2} (1 + 2iy)$  is of growth  $(2, 1)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. L. R. BRAGG, *The radial heat polynomials and related functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 119 (1965), pp. 270-290.
2. A. EDELYI ET AL., *Tables of integral transforms*, vol. 2, McGraw Hill, N.Y., 1953.
3. D. T. HAIMO, *Functions with the Huygens property*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 71 (1965), pp. 528-532.
4. ———, *Generalized temperature functions*, Duke Math. J., vol. 33 (1966), pp. 305-322.
5. ———, *Expansions in terms of generalized heat polynomials and of their Appell transforms*, J. Math. Mech., vol. 15 (1966), pp. 735-758.
6. ———,  *$L^2$  expansions in terms of generalized heat polynomials and of their Appell transforms*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 15 (1965), pp. 865-875.
7. ———, *Series expansions of generalized temperature functions in  $N$  dimensions*, Canad. J. Math., vol. 18 (1966), pp. 794-802.
8. ———, *Series representation of generalized temperature functions*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., vol. 15 (1967), pp. 359-367.

9. D. T. HAIMO AND F. M. CHOLEWINSKI, *Integral representations of solutions of the generalized heat equation*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 10 (1966), pp. 623-638.
10. I. I. HIRSCHMAN, JR., *Variation diminishing Hankel transforms*, J. Analyse Math. vol. 8 (1960-61), pp. 307-336.
11. P. C. ROSENBLUM AND D. V. WIDDER, *Expansions in terms of heat polynomials and associated functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 92 (1959), pp. 220-266.
12. D. V. WIDDER, *The role of the Appell transformation in the theory of heat conduction*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 109 (1963), pp. 121-134.
13. ———, *Series expansions in terms of the temperature functions of Poritsky and Powell*, Quart. Appl. Math., vol. XX (1962), pp. 41-47.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI  
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI