# A CHARACTERIZATION OF MONOTONE FUNCTIONS 

BY<br>Donald Ornstein ${ }^{1}$

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem:
Theorem. Let $f(x)$ be a real-valued function of a real variable satisfying the following.
(a) $f(x)$ is approximately continuous, i.e., for each $x_{0}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ the set of $x$ such that $\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-f(x)\right|<\varepsilon$ has density 1 at $x_{0}$;
(b) For each $x_{0}$, let $E$ be the set of $x$, such that $f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$. Then

$$
\lim _{\sup _{|h| \rightarrow 0} m\left[E \cap\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+|h|\right)\right] /|h| \neq 0}
$$

where $m(C)$ is Lebesgue measure of $C$.
Then $f(x)$ is monotone increasing and continuous.
One may be tempted to weaken (b) as follows: ( $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) for each $x_{0}$ the set of $x$ such that $\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right) /\left(x-x_{0}\right) \geq 0$ does not have 0 density at $x_{0}$. In this case, however, the conclusion is false, even if we assume $f(x)$ to be continuous. (We will describe such an example at the end of this note.)

Condition (b) may be replaced by the following weaker condition:

$$
\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow x_{0}}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right) /\left(x-x_{0}\right) \geqq 0, \quad x>x_{0}
$$

neglecting any set of values of $x$ that has density 0 at $x_{0}$. This follows from our theorem because if $f(x)$ were not monotone we could add a linear function with positive slope to $f(x)$ in such a way that the result is still not monotone but condition (b) is satisfied.

Without loss of generality we will assume $f(x)$ to be defined only on the unit interval. We will now prove Theorem 1.

Lemma. Let $A$ be a measurable set in the unit interval, $I$, of measure $\gamma>0$, and $r$ a real number $>1$. Assume that $2 r \gamma<1$. Let $U$ be the union of all the intervals $J$ in $I$ such that $m(A \cap J) / m(J)>r \gamma$. Then $m(U)<2 / r$.

Proof. Pick a finite subset $S$ of the intervals which make up $U$, such that the measure of their union is within $\varepsilon$ of the measure of $U$.

If there is an interval in $S$ which is contained in the union of the remaining intervals delete it from $S$. Call the new collection $S_{1}$. Delete from $S_{1}$ an interval (if there is any) that is in the union of the remaining interval in $S_{1}$. Call the result $S_{2}$. We will eventually get a collection $S^{\prime}$ so that no interval in $S^{\prime}$ is in the union of the remaining intervals and the union of the intervals of $S^{\prime}=$ the union of the intervals of $S$. It is easy to see that no point is in

[^0]more than 2 intervals of $S^{\prime}$. If $l$ is the sum of the lengths of the intervals of $S^{\prime}$, then $l r \gamma<2 \gamma$ hence $l<r / 2$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will assume that $f(x)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem but that there is an $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ with $x_{1}<x_{2}$ and that $f\left(x_{1}\right)>f\left(x_{2}\right)$. Pick a $y$ such that $f\left(x_{1}\right)>y>f\left(x_{2}\right)$ and let $A$ be the set of $x$ such that $f(x) \geq y$. We will pick a nested sequence of closed intervals, $I_{n}$, ( $I_{n+1}$ is in the interior of $I_{n}$ ) whose lengths tend to 0 satisfying:
(1) Let $A_{n}$ be the set of $x$ such that $f(x)<y-1 / n$. Then $m\left(A_{n} \cap I_{n}\right) / m\left(I_{n}\right)<1 / 2$.
(2) If $R$ is an interval in $I_{n}$ with left end point in the interior of $I_{n+1}$ and right end point in $A$ and notin $I_{n+1}$, then $m(A \cap R) / m(R)<1 / 2^{n-1}$.

This will give us a contradiction as follows: Let $x_{0}=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n}$. (1) and (a) imply that $f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq y$, (2) implies that the part of $A$ that lies to the right of $x_{0}$ has density 0 at $x_{0}$. (I.e., it is impossible to have an $\alpha>0$ and a sequence of intervals $K_{n}$ whose lengths tend to 0 and whose left end point is $x_{0}$ and the density of $A$ in $K_{n}$ is greater than $\alpha$. Arguing by contradiction, we could, without loss of generality, assume that the right end point of $K_{n}$ is in A. For each $K_{n}$ we can find an $I_{l(n)}$ such that $K_{n} \subset I_{l(n)}$ and $K_{n} \nsubseteq I_{l(n)+1}$. (2) then implies that $\alpha<1 / 2^{l(n)-1}$, but $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} l(n)=\infty$.)

Our proof will now be finished when we have constructed our sequence $I_{n}$ satisfying (1) and (2). We will do this inductively and in order to go from $I_{n}$ to $I_{n+1}$ we will construct a sequence of $I_{n}$ that, in addition to (1) and (2), satisfies:
(3) $m\left(A \cap I_{n}\right) / m\left(I_{n}\right)<1 / 2^{n+2}$;
(4) the left end point of $I_{n}$ is in $A$.

We will demand that $I_{1}$ satisfy only (3) and (4). Since the density of $A$ at $x_{2}$ is 0 , it is easy to see that we can pick an interval $I_{1}$ with right end point $x_{2}$, and left end point in $A$, and $m\left(A \cap I_{1}\right) / m(I)<1 / 2^{3}$.

Assume, now, that we have picked $I_{n}$ with properties (3) and (4). We will construct $I_{n+1}$ with properties (1), (2), (3) and (4) and $m\left(I_{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} m\left(I_{n}\right)$.

Let $T$ be the collection of subintervals $L$, of $I_{n}$ with right end point in $A$, and such that $m(A \cap L) / \mathrm{m}(L)>1 / 2^{n-1}$. Let $\bar{T}$ be the set covered by $T$. Note that $\bar{T}$ covers $A$ except for a set of measure 0 .

Let $M$ be a finite subcollection of $T$ such that $m(\bar{T})-m(\bar{M})<\left(1 / 2^{n+4}\right) m(B)$ where $B$ is the part of $A$ that lies in the left half of $I_{n} . \quad(\bar{M}$ is the set covered by $M$.) Note that $B$ must have non-zero measure because of (4) and (b).

We will next pick $r$ subintervals of $I_{n} J_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq r$, with disjoint interiors such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} J_{i} \supset \bar{M} \cap I_{n}^{l}$ ( $I_{n}^{l}$ is the left half of $\left.I_{n}\right), m\left(J_{i}\right)=2 \mathrm{~m}\left(\bar{M} \cap J_{i}\right)$ and the left end point of each $J_{i}$ is also the left end point of some interval in $M$. We will pick the $J_{i}$ as follows: Of all the points that are left end points of some interval in $M$, pick the one farthest to the left. Call it $p_{1}$. ( $p_{1}$ lies in the left half of $I_{n}$ since $\bar{M}$ covers part of $B$.) Let $J_{1}$ be the smallest interval
whose left end point is $p_{1}$ and such that $m\left(\bar{M} \cap J_{1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} m\left(J_{1}\right)$. (To see that $J_{1} \subset I_{n}$, we first note that our lemma implies that $m(\bar{T}) \leq \frac{1}{4} m\left(I_{n}\right)$ $m(\bar{M}) \leq m(\bar{T})$, so $m\left(J_{1}\right) \leq 1 / 2$. Since the left end point of $J_{1}$ is in the left half of $I_{n}, J_{1} \subset I_{n}$.) Note that if $J_{1}$ intersects the interior of an interval in $M$, then it covers the interval completely. Of all the points that are end points of some interval in $M$ not covered by $J_{1}$, let $p_{2}$ be the one farthest to the left. If $p_{2} \notin I_{n}^{l}$ we are finished because of the preceding remark. Other wise, we let $J_{2}$ be the smallest interval whose left end point is $p_{2}$ and such that $m\left(\bar{M} \cap J_{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} m\left(J_{2}\right)$. A continuation of this process yields $J_{1} \cdots J_{r}$.

Let $J$ be the part of $\mathrm{U}_{i=1}^{r} J_{1}$ that does not lie in $\bar{M}$ (i.e., $J=\mathrm{U}_{i=1}^{r} J_{i}-\bar{M}$ ). $J$ is the union of a finite number of disjoint intervals, each of which has its left end point in $A$ (because the right end points of the intervals in $M$ are in $A) . \quad J$ is in the interior of $I_{n}$ and has length $<\frac{1}{2} m\left(I_{n}\right)$.

The density of $\bar{T}$ in $J<1 / 2^{n+3}$. This is an immediate consequence of the following inequalities: $m(\bar{T}-\bar{M}) \leq\left(1 / 2^{n+4}\right) m(B)$ and

$$
m(J) \geq\left(1-1 / 2^{n+4}\right) m(B)
$$

(The second inequality comes from the fact that

$$
\left.m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} J_{i} \cap \bar{M}\right) \geq\left(1-1 / 2^{n+4}\right) m(B) .\right)
$$

Now pick one of the intervals making up $J$ in which the density of $\bar{T}<1 / 2^{n+3}$. It is clear that we can move the right end point a little to the left so that the density of $\bar{T}$ in this new interval is still $<1 / 2^{n+3}$ and the right end point is not in $\bar{T}$. Call this interval $H^{1}$.

If we let $I_{n+1}=H^{1}$, then $I_{n+1}$ would satisfy (3) and (4). (2) would hold because the right end point of $H^{1}$ is not in $\bar{T}$.

If the density $A_{n+1}$ in $H^{1}<1 / 2$, then we will let $I_{n+1}=H^{1}$. If not, we will pick an interval $H^{2} \subset H^{1}$ precisely as we picked $H^{1}$ except that $H^{1}$ will play the role of $I_{n}$. Then pick $H^{3} \subset H^{2}$ with $H^{2}$ playing the role of $I_{n}$.

We will now show that for some integer $t$, the density of $A_{n+1}$ in $H^{t}<1 / 2$. Assume the above statement is false. Then $H^{t}, t=1,2, \cdots$ determine a nested sequence of intervals and a point $x_{0}$. By (a), $f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq y-1 /(n+1)$. However $x_{0}$ is contained in another nested sequence of intervals, $J_{i(t)}^{t}$. (When we are determining $H^{t+1}$, we will let $M^{t}, J^{t}$, etc. denote what corresponds to $M$, $J$, etc. $J_{i(t)}^{t}$ will be the interval in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r(t)} J_{i}^{(t)}$ in which $H^{t+1}$ lies.) The density of $A$ in $J_{i(t)}^{t}>2 / 2^{n-1}$. (a), together with the above fact shows that $f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq y$, giving a contradiction.

Pick a $t$ such that the density of $A_{n+1}$ in $H^{t}<1 / 2$, and let $I_{n+1}=H^{t}$.
$I_{n+1}$ obviously satisfies (1), (3) and (4). To show (2): suppose there were an interval $R$, with left end point in $I_{n+1}=H^{t}$ and right end point in $A$ but not in $H^{t}$, and $\mathrm{m}(A \cap R) / m(R)>1 / 2^{n-1}$. Pick $H^{s}$ so that $R \subset H^{s}$ and $R \not \subset H^{s+1}$ (let $H^{0}=I_{n}$ ). But then $R$ will be an interval in $T^{s}$ and that means that the right end point of $H^{s+1}$ is in $\bar{T}^{s}$, contradicting the construction of $H^{s+1}$. (This was insured by the very last step in picking $H^{s+1}$.)

Description of a continuous function satisfying ( $b^{\prime}$ ) which is not monotone. We will first describe a function $g(x) 0 \leq x \leq 1$ as follows: Pick six points $0<p_{1}<p_{2}<p_{3}<p_{4}<p_{5}<p_{6}<1$. Let $f(0)=1, f\left(p_{1}\right)=1+1 / 3$, $f\left(p_{2}\right)=1 / 3, f\left(p_{3}\right)=1+1 / 3, f\left(p_{4}\right)=-1 / 3, f\left(p_{5}\right)=2 / 3, f\left(p_{6}\right)=-1 / 3$, $f(1)=0$. Choose $g(x)$ to be linear on each of the intervals $\left(0, p_{1}\right),\left(p_{2}, p_{2}\right)$ $\cdots\left(p_{5}, p_{6}\right),\left(p_{6}, 1\right)$.

It is easily checked that there is an $\alpha>0$ such that for each $x_{0}$ $\left(0 \leq x_{0} \leq 1\right)$ the set of $x(0 \leq x \leq 1)$ such that $\left(g(x)-g\left(x_{0}\right)\right) /\left(x-x_{0}\right)>0$ has measure $>\alpha$.

We will now define a sequence of functions $g_{n}$. Let $g_{1}=g$ and assume that we have defined $g_{n}$ such that $g(0)=1, g(1)=0$ and the graph of $g_{n}$ consists of a finite number of straight line segments. To get $g_{n+1}$ we simply replace each line segment of the graph of $g_{n}$, having negative slope, with a linear transformation of the graph of $g$. (I.e., suppose $g_{n}$ is linear on $(a, b)$ but not in any larger interval and has negative slope in $(a, b)$. Let $T(x)=c x+d$ and $T^{\prime}(x)=C^{\prime} x+d^{\prime}$. Determine $T$ so that $T(a)=0, T(b)=1$ and $T^{\prime}$ so that $T^{\prime}(0)=g_{n}(a), T^{\prime}(1)=g_{n}(b)$ and replace $g_{n}$ on $(a, b)$ by $T^{\prime}[g(T(x)]$ on $(a, b)$.)

It is easily checked that $g_{n}$ converges pointwise to a continuous function, $g_{\infty}$ and that $g_{\infty}$ satisfies $\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ and $g_{\infty}(0)=1$ and $g_{\infty}(1)=0$.
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