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## Introduction

Let $\psi$ be a complex linear character of a subgroup $H$ of a finite group $G$. In [2], C. W. Cur is and the author exhibited a basis and corresponding structure constants for the endomorphism ring $E$ of a module affording the induced character $\psi^{G}$. In this paper we attack the same problem at characteristic $p$.

Section one establishes a relationship between the endomorphism ring $E$ with an endomorphism ring at characteristic $p$ related to $\psi$, while section two examines the decomposition theory of $E$ relative to that of the group-algebra of $G$.

The following notations will be used throughout this paper:
$G \quad$ a finite group of order $|G|$
$H \quad$ a subgroup of $G$ of order $|H|$
$K \quad$ a $p$-adic number field containing the $|G|^{\text {th }}$ roots of 1
$R \quad$ the ring of integers in $K$
$P \quad$ the maximal ideal of $R$
$F \quad$ the residue class field $R / P$
$\psi \quad$ a linear representation of $H$ in $K$
$e \quad$ the idempotent $|H|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H} \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) h$ in $K H$
$M$ the right $K H$-module $e K H$
$N \quad$ the right $K G$-module $e K G$
$E$ the endomorphism ring $e K G e$.
Observe that the $K H$-module $M$ affords the representation $\psi$, and $N=e K G \simeq e K H \otimes_{K \boldsymbol{H}} K G=M^{G} . \quad$ Finally, $E=e K G e \simeq \operatorname{End}_{K G}(N)$, where we view $E$ as operating on the left of $N$. For additional notation and terminology the reader may consult [2] and [3].

The following is a routine result about orders, modules and endomorphism rings which sets the stage for our discussion.
(0.1) Proposition. Let $R$ be a noetherian domain with quotient field $K$ and let $A$ be a finite-dimensional $K$-algebra with $R$-order $A^{\prime}$. Suppose $L$ is a right $A$-module and $L^{\prime}$ a finitely generated right $A^{\prime}$-submodule of $L$ such that $L^{\prime} K=L$. Then every $A^{\prime}$-endomorphism of $L^{\prime}$ can be extended uniquely to an $A$-endomorphism of $L$, and under this embedding $\operatorname{End}_{A^{\prime}}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ is an $R$-order in $\operatorname{End}_{A}(L)$.

[^0]We include the following result [2, Theorem 2.2] giving a basis and structure constants for $E=e K G e$.
(0.2) Theorem. Assume $G, H, \psi$ are as above. If $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ is a set of representatives of the distinct $(H, H)$-double cosets $H g H$ for which $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on $H^{(g)}$, then the set of $a_{i}=$ (ind $g_{i}$ )eg $g_{i} e$ is a basis for $E$. Moreover if $a_{i} a_{j}=\sum_{k} \alpha_{i j k} a_{k}$, then the constants of structure $\alpha_{i j k}$ are all algebraic integers in $K$.

Recall that $H^{(g)}=g^{-1} H g \cap H$, ind $g=\left[H: H^{(g)}\right]$, and $\psi^{g}\left(h^{g}\right)=\psi(h)$ for $h \in H, g \in G$.

## 1. Modular endomorphism ring

Clearly $N$ is an $(E, K G)$-bimodule. By Theorem 0.2 , the set $E^{\prime}$ of all $R$-linear combinations of the elements $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ is an $R$-order in $E$. Our aim is to reverse the idea of Proposition 0.1 and identify in $N$ a right RG-module $N^{\prime}$ whose endomorphism ring is $E^{\prime}$.
(1.1) Lemma. Let $G=U H x_{i}$ (disjoint), $x_{1}=1$. Then $N=e K G$ has a $K$-basis $B=\left\{e x_{i}\right\} . \quad$ Let $N^{\prime}=\sum$ Rex $x_{i}$, i.e., $N^{\prime}$ is all $R$-linear combinations of elements of $囚$. Then restricting the domains of operators on the left and right to $E^{\prime}$ and $R G$ respectively, $N^{\prime}$ is an ( $E^{\prime}, R G$ )-bimodule.

Proof. Since $G=U H x_{i}$ and $N=e K G, M^{G} \simeq N=\sum M x_{i}$ (direct sum). But $M=e K H=K \cdot e$ because $M$ is one dimensional. Hence $N=\sum K \cdot e x_{i}$ (direct sum) and $\Theta$ is a $K$-basis. Now suppose $g \epsilon G$ and $e x_{i} \in \mathbb{B}$. Write $x_{i} g=h x_{j}$ for $h \in H$; then

$$
\left(e x_{i}\right) g=e\left(x_{i} g\right)=e\left(h x_{j}\right)=(e h) x_{j}=\psi(h) \cdot e x_{j} \in R \cdot e x_{j} \subset N^{\prime}
$$

Thus $N^{\prime}$ is a right $R G$-module. Finally we compute the action of $E^{\prime}$ on elements of $ఆ$. For $f \in E^{\prime}$, if $f(e) \in N^{\prime}$ then $f\left(e x_{i}\right)=f(e) x_{i} \in N^{\prime}$ since $N^{\prime}$ is a right RG-module. Hence it suffices to check what elements of $E^{\prime}$ do to $e$. Observe that $E=e K G e$ acts on $N$ by left multiplication. Suppose $a_{j} \epsilon E^{\prime}$ is an $R$-basis element. Then $a_{j}=$ (ind $g$ )ege where we write $g_{j}=g$ to simplify notation. Consider $a_{j} e=\left(\right.$ ind $g$ )ege $e^{2}=a_{j}$. Then $a_{j} \epsilon e K G=N$. Let $H=U H^{(g)} h_{k}$ (disjoint). Now $e=|H|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H} \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) h$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j} & =(\text { ind } g) e g\left\{|H|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H} \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) h\right\} \\
& =\left|H^{(g)}\right|^{-1} e g\left\{\sum_{h \in H} \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) h\right\} \\
& =\left|H^{(g)}\right|^{-1} e g\left\{\sum_{h \in H(g), k} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1} h^{-1}\right) h h_{k}\right\} \\
& =\left|H^{(g)}\right|\left\{\sum_{h \in H^{(g), k}} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) e g h h_{k}\right\} \\
& =\left|H^{(g)}\right|^{-1}\left\{\sum_{h \in H^{(o), k}} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) e h^{g^{-1}} g h_{k}\right\} \\
& =\left|H^{(g)}\right|^{-1}\left\{\sum_{h \in H^{(g), k}} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) \psi\left(h^{g^{-1}}\right) e g h_{k}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(since $h^{g^{-1}} \epsilon H$ )

$$
\left.=\left.\sum_{k} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) e g h_{k}| | H^{(g)}\right|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H^{(g)}} \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) \psi^{g}(h)\right\}
$$

But $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on $H^{(g)}$ so by the usual orthogonality relations,

$$
\left|H^{(g)}\right|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H^{(o)}} \psi\left(h^{-1}\right) \psi^{g}(h)=1
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j} e=a_{j}=\sum_{k} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) e g h_{k} \in N^{\prime} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since each $\psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \in R$ and each $e g h_{k} \in N^{\prime}$. Now $E^{\prime}$ is generated over $R$ by the set $\left\{a_{j}\right\}$, so (1.2) shows that $N^{\prime}$ is a left $E^{\prime}$-module. Clearly then $N^{\prime}$ is an ( $E^{\prime}, R G$ )-bimodule, as desired.

Observe that $N^{\prime}=e R G$ is a subset of $e K G$, and $N^{\prime}$ is independent of the choice of coset representatives. We will assume that $N^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$ (see the beginning of this section) are fixed in what follows.
(1.3) Lemma. $\quad N^{\prime}$ is a faithful left $E^{\prime}$-module.

Proof. Suppose $f \in E^{\prime} \subset E=\operatorname{End}_{K G}(N)$. If $f N^{\prime}=0$ then $0=K \cdot f N^{\prime}=$ $f \cdot K N^{\prime}=f N$ so $f=0$ since $N$ is clearly a faithful left $E$-module. This proves the lemma.

Let $\theta$ be any $R G$-endomorphism of $N^{\prime}$. Then by ( 0.1 ) there exists a unique $K G$-endomorphism $\theta^{N}$ of $N$ which extends $\theta$ such that $\theta^{N}(k n)=k \theta(n)$ for $k \in K, n \in N^{\prime}$.
(1.4) Lemma. Let $\theta \in \operatorname{End}_{R G}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ and write $\theta^{N}=\sum_{j \in J} \beta_{j} a_{j}, \beta_{j} \epsilon K$, where $\theta^{N}$ is the extension of $\theta$ to $a K G$-endomorphism of $N$. Then each $\beta_{j} \in R$ so that $\theta^{N} \in E^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $\theta^{N}$ extends $\theta$ and $e \epsilon N^{\prime}$,

$$
\sum_{j \epsilon J} \beta_{j} a_{j}=\sum_{j \epsilon J} \beta_{j} a_{j}(e)=\theta^{N}(e) \in N^{\prime}
$$

By (0.2) the support of $a_{j}$ lies in the double coset $H g_{j} H$ (viewing elements in $K G$ as functions from $G$ to $K$ ). For $i \neq j$ the support of $a_{i}$ is disjoint from the support of $a_{j}$. Thus in examining $\sum_{j \epsilon J} \beta_{j} a_{j}$ we need only consider one ( $H, H$ )-double coset at a time. Let $j$ be fixed and write $g=g_{j}$. By (1.2), we have $a_{j}=\sum_{k} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) e g h_{k}$ where $H=U H^{(g)} h_{k}$ (disjoint). For each $k$ write $g h_{k}=d_{k} x_{i(k)}, d_{k} \in H$, where $G=U H x_{i}$ (disjoint) as in Lemma 1.1. (Then we also know that $\mathbb{B}=\left\{e x_{i}\right\}$ is an $R$-basis for $N^{\prime}$.) We then obtain

$$
a_{j}=\sum_{k} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) e g h_{k}=\sum_{k} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \psi\left(d_{k}\right) e x_{i(k)} .
$$

Now $H x_{i(k)}=H x_{i(m)}$ implies $H g h_{k}=H g h_{m}$ which implies $h_{k}$ and $h_{m}$ are in the same right coset of $H^{(\sigma)}$, so $k=m ; k \rightarrow i(k)$ is therefore one-to-one. Now since $\mathbb{Q}$ is an $R$-basis for $N^{\prime}$ and $\sum_{j} \beta_{j} a_{j} \in N^{\prime}$ the above formula implies that $\beta_{j} a_{j} \in N^{\prime}$ for each $j$. Clearly

$$
\beta_{j} a_{j}=\sum_{k} \beta_{j} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \psi\left(d_{k}\right) e x_{i(k)}
$$

so since $\mathfrak{G}=\left\{e x_{i}\right\}$ is an $R$-basis for $N^{\prime}$ and $k \rightarrow i(k)$ is one-to-one, each $\beta_{j} \psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \psi\left(d_{k}\right) \in R$. But $\psi\left(h_{k}^{-1}\right) \psi\left(d_{k}\right)$ is a unit in $R$ for each $k$, and so each $\beta_{j} \in R$. This shows that $\theta^{N} \in E^{\prime}$, and proves the lemma.

The preceding lemmas combine to prove the following:
(1.5) Theorem. $E^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{End}_{R G}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. For $f \in E^{\prime} \subset E$ define the restriction $f_{N^{\prime}}$ of $f$ to $N^{\prime}$. By (1.1), $f_{N^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{End}_{R G}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$. Lemma 1.3 implies that $f \rightarrow f_{N^{\prime}}$ is a monomorphism. Finally (1.4) shows that the mapping is onto $\operatorname{End}_{A^{\prime}}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$. This proves the theorem.

For the remainder of the paper we set $E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime} / P E^{\prime}$, the $P$-residue class algebra of $E^{\prime}$, and $N^{\prime \prime}=N^{\prime} / P N^{\prime}$.

It is obvious that $N^{\prime \prime}$ is an ( $E^{\prime \prime}, F G$ )-bimodule since $F G \simeq R G / P G$. The following allows us to identify $E^{\prime \prime}$ as a subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}_{F g}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(1.6) Lemma. $N^{\prime \prime}$ is a faithful left $E^{\prime \prime}$-module.

Proof. Since $R$ is a principal ideal domain, $P=\pi R$ for some $0 \neq \pi \epsilon R$. Thus $E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime} / \pi E^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}=N^{\prime} / \pi N^{\prime}$, etc. Suppose $\theta+\pi E^{\prime} \in E^{\prime \prime}$ with $\theta \in E^{\prime} \subset E$ and assume $\left(\theta+\pi E^{\prime}\right) N^{\prime \prime}=0$, i.e., $\theta N^{\prime} \subset \pi N^{\prime}$. Consider $\pi^{-1} \theta \in E$. Then $\left(\pi^{-1} \theta\right) N^{\prime} \subset \pi^{-1}\left(\pi N^{\prime}\right)=N^{\prime}$ so by the proof of (1.4), $\pi^{-1} \theta \in E^{\prime}$. But then $\theta=\pi\left(\pi^{-1} \theta\right) \epsilon \pi E^{\prime}$ so $\theta+\pi E^{\prime}=0$ in $E^{\prime \prime}$. We conclude that $N^{\prime \prime}$ is faithful.
(1.7) Corollary. There is an algebra monomorphism of $E^{\prime \prime}$ into $\operatorname{End}_{F G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

We wish to know the structure of $\operatorname{End}_{F G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in order to examine the structure of $N^{\prime \prime}$. In particular we would like to know when the monomorphism of (1.7) is actually an isomorphism. This is just a dimensionality problem which we proceed to settle.

Since $\psi$ defined on $H$ has values in $R$ we can consider the residue class func$\operatorname{tion} \varphi: H \rightarrow F^{*}$ defined by $\varphi(h)=\psi(h)+P . \quad(\operatorname{Each} \psi(h)$ is a unit in $R$ so $\psi(h) \in P$ for all $h \in H$.) Clearly $\varphi$ is a linear representation of $H$ in $F=R / P$. Moreover $M^{\prime \prime}$ is a right $F H$-module which affords the representation $\varphi$ defined above, where $M^{\prime}=e R H$ and $M^{\prime \prime}=M^{\prime} / P M^{\prime}$.
(1.8) Lemma. As right FG-modules, $\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{G} \cong N^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. By definition, $\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{G}=M^{\prime \prime} \otimes_{F H} F G$. Define

$$
f: M^{\prime \prime} \times F G \rightarrow N^{\prime \prime}
$$

$\operatorname{via} f\left(r e+P M^{\prime}, a\right)=\left(r e+P N^{\prime}\right) a, r \in R, a \in F G$. (Recall that $\left.M^{\prime}=R \cdot e.\right)$ This is well defined since $P M^{\prime} \subset P N^{\prime}$. Clearly $f$ is $F H$-balanced. Thus there is an $F G$-homomorphism

$$
\hat{f}: M^{\prime \prime} \otimes_{F H} F G \rightarrow N^{\prime \prime}
$$

But $N^{\prime \prime}$ is generated over $F G$ by $e+P N^{\prime}=\hat{f}\left(e+P M^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)$ so $\hat{f}$ is an epimorphism. Finally since $M^{\prime \prime}$ is one dimensional over $F$, the dimension $\left(\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{G}: F\right)$ is just $[G: H]$ which in turn is the dimension $\left(N^{\prime \prime}: F\right)$. Thus $\hat{f}$ is an isomorphism.
(1.9) Corollary. The $F$-dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{F G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the number of $(H, H)$ double cosets $H g H$ in $G$ such that $\varphi^{g}=\varphi$ on $H^{(g)}$.

Proof. Since $N^{\prime \prime} \cong\left(M^{\prime \prime \sigma}\right)$ by (1.8) and $M^{\prime \prime}$ has character $\varphi$ we may apply the Intertwining Number Theorem [3, (44.5)] to obtain the desired result.
(1.10) Theorem. The following statements are equivalent:
(a) The $F$-algebras $E^{\prime \prime}$ and $\operatorname{End}_{F g}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are isomorphic.
(b) For each $g \epsilon G$, if $\varphi^{g}=\varphi$ on $H^{(g)}$ then $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on $H^{(g)}$.
(c) For each $g \in G$, if $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on the $p$-regular elements of $H^{(g)}$, then $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on $H^{(q)}$.

Proof. By (1.7), $E^{\prime \prime} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{F G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if the dimensions ( $\left.E^{\prime \prime}: F\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{End}_{F G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right): F\right)$ are equal. But $\left(E^{\prime \prime}: F\right)=(E: K)$ and by (0.2) this is the number of double cosets $H g H$ for which $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on $H^{(g)}$. Clearly $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on $H^{(g)}$ implies $\varphi^{g}=\varphi$ on $H^{(g)}$, so that by Corollary 1.9, $E^{\prime \prime} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{F g}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if (b) holds.

Let $m$ be the $p^{\prime}$-part of $|H|$. By assumption, $K$ contains a primitive $m^{\text {th }}$ root of unity (contained also in $R$ ) which reduces modulo $P$ to a primitive $m^{\text {th }}$ root of unity in $F$. Moreover $w \leftrightarrow w+P$ is a group isomorphism of $m^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity between $K$ and $F$.

Assume first that $\varphi^{g}=\varphi$ on $H^{(g)}$. If $h \in H^{(g)}$ is $p$-regular then $\psi^{g}(h)$ and $\psi(h)$ are $m^{\text {th }}$ roots of units in $K$ such that

$$
\psi^{g}(h)+P=\varphi^{g}(h)=\varphi(h)=\psi(h)+P .
$$

By the isomorphism $w \leftrightarrow w+P$ we conclude that $\psi^{g}(h)=\psi(h)$. Therefore $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on the $p$-regular elements of $H^{(\theta)}$. On the other hand assume $\psi^{\theta}=\psi$ on the $p$-regular elements of $H^{(g)}$. Choose any $h \in H^{(g)}$ and write $h=h_{1} h_{2}$ where $h_{1}$ is $p$-regular and $h_{2}$ is $p$-singular. Since both $\varphi^{g}$ and $\varphi$ are homomorphisms of $H^{(g)}$ into $F$ and $F$ has characteristic $p$, both contain the $p$-singular elements in their kernels. Therefore

$$
\varphi^{g}(h)=\varphi^{g}\left(h_{1}\right)=\psi^{g}\left(h_{1}\right)+P=\psi\left(h_{1}\right)+P=\varphi\left(h_{1}\right)=\varphi(h),
$$

so

$$
\varphi^{g}=\varphi \quad \text { on } \quad H^{(\theta)}
$$

This proves the equivalence of (b) and (c)
(1.11) Corollary. If $p$ is relatively prime to $|H|$ then $E^{\prime \prime} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{p G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(1.12) Corollary. If $H$ is a p-group then $E^{\prime \prime} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{F G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on $H^{(g)}$ for all $g \in G$.

Examples. Let $G$ be a group and suppose $h$ is an element of $G$ of order $p$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by $h$ and assume $C_{G}(H)=N_{G}(H)$, where $C_{G}(H)$ and $N_{G}(H)$ are the centralizer and normalizer of $H$, respectively. Then for each $g \epsilon G$ either $h^{g}=h$ or $H^{(g)}=\{1\} . \quad\left(\right.$ Note that $C_{G}(H)=N_{G}(H)$ if $G$ is a $p$-group.) Thus for $\psi$ any linear $K H$-character, $\psi^{\theta}=\psi$ on $H^{(\theta)}$ for all $g \epsilon G$. Note that the corresponding $F H$-character $\varphi$ is the 1-character
since $H$ is a $p$-group. Thus there may be many $K H$-characters $\psi$ which reduce to the same $F H$-character $\varphi$.

Now let $G$ be the dihedral group of order $8, H$ the cyclic normal subgroup of order 4. Let $\chi$ be the irreducible $K G$-character of degree 2. Then $\chi_{H}=$ $\psi+\psi^{g}$ for $\psi$ some linear character of $H$ and $g \epsilon G, g \notin H$. Moreover $\psi^{g} \neq \psi$. By Corollary 1.12, $E^{\prime \prime} \npreceq \operatorname{End}_{F G}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for $p$ equal to 2 , since in this case $H$ is a 2 -group.

We show, as a sort of converse to the preceding development, that if we start with a linear representation $\varphi$ of $H$ in $F$ there is a representation $\psi$ of $H$ in $K$ such that $\psi$ reduces modulo $P$ to $\varphi$ and which satisfies the compatibility condition (c) of Theorem 1.10.
(1.13) Proposition. Let $\varphi$ be a linear FH-character. Then there exists a linear KH-character $\psi$ such that $\psi(h)+P=\varphi(h)$ for all $h \in H$ and which satisfies condition (c) in (1.10).

Proof. Let $H^{\prime}$ be the derived group of $H$ and write $H / H^{\prime}=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ where $\left|H_{1}\right|$ is prime to $p$ and $\left|H_{2}\right|$ is a power of $p$. Since $\varphi$ is a linear character of $H, \varphi$ factors through $H / H^{\prime}$. Also $\varphi\left(h_{2}\right)=1$ for all $h_{2} \epsilon H_{2}$ since $H_{2}$ is a $p$-group and $F$ has characteristic $p$. The elements of $H_{1}$ are all $p$-regular, so to each $h_{1} \in H_{1}$ we correspond $\psi\left(h_{1}\right) \in K$ uniquely defined by $\psi\left(h_{1}\right)+P=\varphi\left(h_{1}\right)$. (See the proof of Theorem 1.10.) Since $w \leftrightarrow w+P$ is a group-isomorphism between the $\left|H_{1}\right|^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity in $K$ and $F, \psi: H_{1} \rightarrow K$ is a homomorphism. This pulls back to a homomorphism $\psi: H \rightarrow K$ in the natural way. Clearly $\psi$ is determined by what it does to the $p^{\prime}$-elements of $H$, so if $\psi^{g}=\psi$ on the $p$-regular elements of $H^{(g)}$, then $\psi^{\theta}=\psi$ on $H^{(\sigma)}$. Notice that $\psi(h)+P=$ $\varphi(h)$ for all $h \in H$ by construction, concluding the proof.

The reduction to the residue class algebras given above enable us to examine the representation induced from a linear representation of $H$ at characteristic $p$ by looking at the corresponding situation at characteristic zero: Proposition 1.13 shows how to construct a suitable representation at characteristic zero, and Theorem 0.2 gives the structure of the endomorphism ring.

## 2. Modular decomposition theory

Throughout this section we assume the hypotheses and notation of Section 1.
(2.1) Lemma. $M^{\prime \prime}\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to a right ideal in $F H$ (respectively $F G)$.

Proof. Let $x=\sum_{h \in H} \varphi\left(h^{-1}\right) h \in F H$. Since $\varphi$ is linear, $x F H=x F$ and is isomorphic to $M^{\prime \prime}$. Similar to the proof of (1.8) we have that $x F G \cong(x F H)^{G}$ $\cong\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{G} \cong N^{\prime \prime}$.
(2.2) Theorem. The right FG-module $N^{\prime \prime}$ is $F G$-projective if and only if $p$ is relatively prime to $|H|$.

Proof. If $p$ is relatively prime to $|H|$ then $\varepsilon=|H|^{-1} x$ is an idempotent in $F H$-where $x$ is defined in the proof of (2.1)-and $\varepsilon F H=x F H \cong M^{\prime \prime}$. But then $N^{\prime \prime} \cong\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{G} \cong \varepsilon F H$ which is $F G$-projective. Conversely suppose $N^{\prime \prime}$ is $F G$-projective. Then $N^{\prime \prime}$ is an $F G$-direct summand of a finitely generated free $F G$-module, say $N^{\prime \prime} \oplus N_{1} \cong \sum_{\alpha} F G$ (direct sum). But $(F G)_{H}$ is a free $K H$-module so that $\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)_{H} \oplus\left(N_{1}\right)_{H} \cong \sum_{\beta} F H$ (direct sum). Thus $\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)_{H}$ is $F H$-projective. By [3, (63.6)], $M^{\prime \prime}$ is an $F H$-direct summand of $\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)_{H} \cong$ $\left(\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{G}\right)_{H}$. Hence $M^{\prime \prime}$ is $F H$-projective. Now $F H$ is quasi-Frobenius so $M^{\prime \prime}$ is $F H$-injective [ $3,(58.14)$ ], and since $M^{\prime \prime}$ is isomorphic to the right ideal $x F H$ of $F H$ as in the proof of (2.1) we may conclude that $x F H=x F$ is a direct summand of $F H$. Thus there is a non-zero idempotent $\varepsilon$ in $x F$ such that $\varepsilon F H=x F$, say $\varepsilon=x \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in F$. But then

$$
x \alpha=\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{2}=x^{2} \alpha^{2}=|H| \cdot x \alpha^{2}
$$

(since $\left.x^{2}=|H| \cdot x\right)$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ so $|H| \neq 0$ in $F$. Thus $p$ is relatively prime to $|H|$. This proves the lemma.

We next consider the relationships between the various $E-, E^{\prime}$, and $E^{\prime \prime}-$ modules. For convenience, define $A=K G, A^{\prime}=R G, A^{\prime \prime}=A^{\prime} / P A^{\prime} \cong F G$. Recall that, by hypothesis, $R$ is a complete local ring. The point of view here is influenced by Swan [4], and roughly parallels the theory for group-algebras.

Let ${ }_{Z^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}$ and ${ }_{Z^{\prime}} \mathcal{P}$ denote the categories of finitely generated projective left $E^{\prime \prime}$ - and $E^{\prime}$-modules respectively, ${ }_{E} \mathfrak{M}$ and ${ }_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathscr{T}$ the categories of all finitely generated left $E$ - and $E^{\prime \prime}$-modules respectively. Similarly define ${ }_{A^{\prime \prime}} \mathbb{P}$, etc. For $S$ any ring and $\mathfrak{N}$ a category of $S$-modules let $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{T})$ denote the Grothendieck group of $\mathfrak{N}$, i.e., the abelian group generated by all [ $T$ ] with $T \in \mathfrak{N}$ and with relations $[T]=[U]+[V]$ whenever there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow$ $T \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ of $S$-modules in $\mathfrak{N}$.
(2.3) Construction. Consider the ( $E^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ )-bimodule $N^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\prime \prime}}\left(N^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an $\left(A^{\prime \prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$-bimodule. Moreover the functors

$$
U^{\prime \prime}=N^{\prime \prime} \otimes_{A^{\prime \prime}-} \text { and } V^{\prime \prime}=\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} \otimes_{B^{\prime \prime}-}
$$

take $A^{\prime \prime}$-modules to $E^{\prime \prime}$-modules and $E^{\prime \prime}$-modulus to $A^{\prime \prime}$-modules respectively. Similarly define $U=N \otimes_{A-}$ and $V=N^{*} \otimes_{B-}$ where $N^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(N, A)$.

Consider the rectangle
$\mathbf{T}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{\mathbb{Z}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}\right) \xrightarrow{c^{\prime}} \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathfrak{I}\right) \\
& e_{1}^{\prime} \downarrow \quad \uparrow d^{\prime} \\
& \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{{ }_{H}} \mathcal{P}\right) \xrightarrow[e_{2}^{\prime}]{ } \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{B} \mathfrak{Y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

defined as follows:
(a) For $Q \epsilon \epsilon_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}$ define $c^{\prime}([Q])$ to be $[Q]$, viewed as an element of $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathfrak{Y} \mathcal{Y}\right)$.
(b) For $Q \epsilon_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}$ let $Q^{\prime}$ be the projective $E^{\prime}$-module such that $Q^{\prime}+P Q^{\prime} \cong Q$ (see $[3,(77.11)])$, and define $e_{1}^{\prime}([Q])=\left[Q^{\prime}\right]$.
(c) For $Q^{\prime} \epsilon_{E^{\prime}} \mathcal{P}$ define $e_{2}^{\prime}\left(\left[Q^{\prime}\right]\right)=\left[K \otimes_{R} Q^{\prime}\right]$, an element of $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{E} \mathfrak{Y}\right)$.
(d) For $L \epsilon_{E} \mathscr{M}$ let $L^{\prime}$ be any $R$-free order $E^{\prime}$-module contained in $L$, and define $d^{\prime}([L])=\left[L^{\prime}+P L^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{z^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{T V}\right)$.

The above maps are all well defined and the rectangle commutes. (See [3, Chapter 12]).

Now consider the rectangle

T

defined analogously.
We attempt to relate the rectangles $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\prime}$ using the functors $U^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}$, $U$, and $V$. First note that $N=e A$ and so $N^{*} \cong A e$. (Therefore $N^{*}$ is left $A$-projective.) Moreover $U(L)=N \otimes{ }_{A} L \cong e A \otimes_{A} L \cong e L$ as left $E$-modules, $E=e A e$. If we define $\hat{O}$ from $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{F}\right)$ to $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{z} \mathscr{T} C\right)$ via $\hat{O}([L])=$ $[e L]=[U(L)]$ we have an epimorphism [2, Theorem 1.1] of abelian groups. Moreover $\hat{V}$ from $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{E} \mathcal{T} C\right)$ to $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{A} \mathscr{F}\right)$ via $\hat{V}([L])=[V(L)]$ is a splitting map for $\hat{U}$; i.e., the composition $\hat{U} \circ \hat{V}$ is the identity map of $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{E} \mathscr{Y}\right)$.

To relate the rectangles $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\prime}$ further we desire to factor the map $c^{\prime}$ in some way through $c$, using the functors $U^{\prime \prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$.
(2.4) Lemma. The following are equivalent:
(a) The functor $V^{\prime \prime}$ takes projective $E^{\prime \prime}$-modules to projective $A^{\prime \prime}$-modules.
(b) The functor $U^{\prime \prime}$ takes exact sequences of $A^{\prime \prime}$-modules to exact sequences of $E^{\prime \prime}$-modules.
(c) $N^{\prime \prime}$ is right $A^{\prime \prime}$-projective.
(d) $N^{\prime \prime}$ is right $A^{\prime \prime}$-flat.
(e) The prime $p$ is relatively prime to $|H|$.

Proof. The equivalence of (c) through (e) follows from Theorem 2.2 and the fact that in Artinian rings flat is equivalent to projective (see [1, Theorem 3.3 (c)]). The equivalence of (b) and (d) is by definition. Now suppose $V^{\prime \prime}$ takes projective $E^{\prime \prime}$-modules to projective $A^{\prime \prime}$-modules. Then in particular $V^{\prime \prime}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} \otimes_{E^{\prime \prime}} E^{\prime \prime} \cong\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}$ is left $A^{\prime \prime}$-projective. But then $N^{\prime \prime} \cong\left(\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\right)^{*}$ is right $A^{\prime \prime}$-projective. Thus (a) implies (c). Conversely suppose $N^{\prime \prime}$ is right $A^{\prime \prime}$-projective. Then by (2.2), $p$ is relatively prime to $|H|$ and so $\varepsilon=e+P A^{\prime}$ is an idempotent in $A^{\prime \prime}$, and $\varepsilon A^{\prime \prime} \cong N^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $E^{\prime \prime} \cong \varepsilon A^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon$ and $\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} \cong A^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon$. Let $f$ be an idempotent in $E^{\prime \prime}=\varepsilon A^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon$ (by identification). Then

$$
V^{\prime \prime}\left(E^{\prime \prime} f\right)=\left(N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} \otimes_{E^{\prime \prime}} E^{\prime \prime} f \cong A^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon \otimes_{\epsilon A^{\prime \prime} \epsilon}\left(\varepsilon A^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon\right) f \cong A^{\prime \prime} f
$$

which is clearly $A^{\prime \prime}$-projective. Thus (c) implies (a). This proves the theorem.
(2.5) Theorem. The maps

$$
\hat{U}^{\prime \prime}: \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{A^{\prime \prime}} \mathfrak{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{Y}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{V}^{\prime \prime}: \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\left({ }_{A^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}\right)
$$

given by $\hat{U}^{\prime \prime}([L])=\left[U^{\prime \prime}(L)\right]$ and $\hat{V}^{\prime \prime}([Q])=\left[V^{\prime \prime}(Q)\right]$ are well defined if and only if $p$ is relatively prime to $|H|$, and in this case the following diagram commutes:


Proof. The first part of the theorem follows immediately from (2.4). Commutativity is easy to check.

One can interpret Theorem 2.5 as giving some information about the transformations $c^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ in terms of the corresponding transformations $c$ and $d$. One can also use the above relationships to obtain information about the block decomposition of $E^{\prime \prime}$ in terms of the decomposition in $A^{\prime \prime}$.
(2.6) Corollary. Let $M_{1}, \cdots, M_{r}$ and $N_{1}, \cdots, N_{s}$ be complete sets of (non-isomorphic) simple modules in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{T l}$ and ${ }_{A^{\prime \prime}} \mathfrak{N}$, respectively, arranged so that

$$
U\left(M_{1}\right), \cdots, U\left(M_{r^{\prime}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad U^{\prime \prime}\left(N_{1}\right), \cdots, U^{\prime \prime}\left(N_{s^{\prime}}\right)
$$

are complete sets of simple modules in ${ }_{E} \mathfrak{T C}$ and ${ }_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathfrak{T l}$, respectively. Then

$$
\left[M_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[M_{r}\right] \text { and } \quad\left[N_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[N_{s}\right]
$$

are bases for $\mathcal{G}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{T M}\right)$ and $G\left({ }_{A^{\prime \prime}} \mathfrak{Y T}\right)$, respectively, and if

$$
d\left[M_{i}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{s} d_{i j}\left[N_{j}\right]
$$

then

$$
d^{\prime}\left[U\left(M_{i}\right)\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{s^{\prime}} d_{i j}\left[U^{\prime \prime}\left(N_{j}\right)\right] \quad\left(1 \leqq i \leqq r^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. By (2.5), $d^{\prime}=\hat{O}^{\prime \prime} d \hat{V}$, so that for $1 \leqq i \leqq r^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{\prime}\left[U\left(M_{i}\right)\right] & =\hat{U}^{\prime \prime} d \hat{V}\left[U\left(M_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\hat{U}^{\prime \prime} d\left[V U\left(M_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =0^{\prime \prime} d\left[M_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\hat{U}^{\prime \prime} \sum_{j=1}^{s} d_{i j}\left[N_{j}\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{s} d_{i j}\left[U^{\prime \prime}\left(N_{j}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here one checks that $V U\left(M_{i}\right) \simeq M_{i}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq r^{\prime}$, and that $U^{\prime \prime}\left(N_{j}\right)=0$ unless $1 \leqq j \leqq s^{\prime}$.
(2.7) Corrollary. Let $N_{1}, \cdots, N_{s}$ be as in (2.6), and let $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{s}$ be a complete set of (non-isomorphic) indecomposable modules in $A_{A^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}$, arranged so that $Q_{i} / J Q_{i} \simeq N_{i}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq s\left(J=J\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$. Then $U^{\prime \prime}\left(Q_{1}\right), \cdots, U^{\prime \prime}\left(Q_{s^{\prime}}\right)$ is a complete set of indecomposable modules in ${ }_{E^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{P}$, and if

$$
c\left[Q_{i}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_{i j}\left[N_{j}\right]
$$

then

$$
c^{\prime}\left[U^{\prime \prime}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{s^{\prime}} c_{i j}\left[U^{\prime \prime}\left(N_{j}\right)\right] \quad\left(1 \leqq i \leqq s^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of (2.6).
Example. Let $G=D_{6}$, the dihedral group of order 12, generated by elements $a$ and $b$ with relations $a^{6}=b^{2}=b a b a=1$. Let $H=\{1, b\}$, a subgroup of order 2. For $K$ take $\mathbf{Q}(w), w$ a primitive $12^{\text {th }}$ root of 1 , so that $K$ is a splitting field for $K G$. Finally, let $p=3$. Then $|H|=2$, and 2 is prime to 3 so the theorems of this section apply.

Let $\psi$ be the 1 -character of $H$; then $e=1 / 2(1+b)$ is the idempotent in $K H$ which corresponds to $\psi$, and $e K G e=E$ is the endomorphism ring of eKG.
$A=K G$ has 6 simple left modules, say $M_{1}, \cdots, M_{6}$, four of dimension one and two of dimension two. Of these, two one-dimensional modules, say $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, and both two-dimensional modules, say $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$, map to simple $E$-modules under $U$. Now $A^{\prime \prime}=F G$ has four simple modules, say $N_{1}, \cdots, N_{4}$, all of which are one dimensional, and all are "reduced" from the one-dimensional left $A$-modules $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{5}, M_{6}$, at characteristic zero. Of these, two map to simple $E^{\prime \prime}$-modules under $U^{\prime \prime}$, namely $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ (those reduced from $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ ). Let $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{4}$ be the indecomposable projective left $A^{\prime \prime}$-modules, arranged so that $Q_{i} / J Q_{i} \simeq N_{i}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq 4$, where $J=J\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Matrices for the maps $c$ and $d$ may be given as follows:

$$
\mathrm{d}=\begin{gathered}
\\
M_{1} \\
M_{2} \\
M_{3} \\
M_{4} \\
M_{5} \\
M_{6}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc}
N_{1} & N_{2} & N_{3} & N_{4} \\
1 & 0 & & \\
0 & 1 & & \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline & & 1 & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{c}=\begin{gathered}
Q_{1} \\
Q_{2} \\
Q_{3} \\
Q_{4}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc}
N_{1} & N_{2} & N_{3} & N_{4} \\
2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), the corresponding matrices for $c^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ are merely the upper left-hand submatrices of the matrices for $c$ and d-we have been careful to arrange the modules so that they appear in the proper order required by (2.6) and (2.7).

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e N_{1} \\
e N_{2} \\
\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}=\begin{array}{l}
e M_{1} \\
e M_{2} \\
e M_{3} \\
e M_{4}
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{c}^{\prime}= \\
e Q_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e N_{1} & e N_{2} \\
e Q_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Here $e L$ denotes $U(L)$ or $U^{\prime \prime}(L)$, whichever is appropriate.
Observe from the matrix for $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$, that $E^{\prime \prime}$ has exactly two blocks. One checks that $(E: K)=\left(E^{\prime \prime}: F\right)=4$, so each block of $E^{\prime \prime}$ consists of a single indecomposable projective, and that each such indecomposable projective has exactly two one-dimensional composition factors (which are isomorphic).
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