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In an earlier paper [1] the authors treated the following problem. Let $\Omega$ be an open Riemann surface with $(A, B)$ a regular partition of its boundary into nonvoid sets. Let $f$ be a regular function on $\Omega$. Let $\left\{\Omega_{v}\right\}$ be a canonical exhaustion of $\Omega$ with the boundary of $\Omega_{v}$ composed of cycles $\alpha_{v}$, negatively oriented on $\Omega_{v}$, and $\beta_{v}$, positively oriented on $\Omega_{v}$, respectively bounding the complementary sets bearing $A$ and $B$. Let $P_{m}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ consist of those points of the sphere about which the index of $f\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ is at least $m, Q_{n}\left(\beta_{v}\right)$ those points about which the index of $f\left(\beta_{v}\right)$ is at most $n(m>n)$. Let (bar denotes closure)

$$
\bar{P}_{m}(A)=\bigcap_{v=1}^{\infty} P_{m}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)^{-}, \quad \bar{Q}_{n}(B)=\bigcap_{v=1}^{\infty} Q_{n}\left(\beta_{v}\right)^{-}
$$

be both nonvoid. Let $\Gamma(A, B)$ denote the family of cycles on $\Omega$ separating $A$ and $B$. Let $\Delta$ denote the complement of $\bar{P}_{m}(A) \cup \bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ and let $\Gamma_{m n}$ denote the family of cycles on $\Delta$ separating $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ and $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$. We proved that between the module $M\left(\Gamma(A, B)\right.$ ) of $\Gamma(A, B)$ and the module $M\left(\Gamma_{m n}\right)$ of $\Gamma_{m n}$ subsists the inequality

$$
(m-n) M(\Gamma(A, B)) \leq M\left(\Gamma_{m n}\right)
$$

However we did not provide a complete description of the possibility of equality. The object of the present paper is to elucidate this matter. The result obtained is given in the following theorem.

Theorem. In the notation of Section 1 suppose that $M(\Gamma(A, B))$ is finite and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) M(\Gamma(A, B))=M\left(\Gamma_{m n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Delta$ is a domain and $f$ is a $(m-n, 1)$ mapping of $\Omega$ onto $\Delta$ apart possibly from a relatively closed set of logarithmic capacity zero in $\Delta$. Further the indexes of $f\left(\alpha_{v}\right), f\left(\beta_{v}\right)$ with respect to each point of $\bar{P}_{m}(A), \bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ are respectively equal to $m$ and $n$ for each $v$.

The proof of this statement is broken down into a series of steps.
Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ denote the subset of $\Delta$ made up of those components of $\Delta$ which have points both of $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ and $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ on their boundaries. There are only a finite number of such components since $\bar{P}_{m}(A) \cap \bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ is void. Let $\Delta_{v}$ denote the complement of $P_{m}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)^{-} \cup Q_{n}\left(\beta_{v}\right)^{-}$and $\Delta_{v}^{\prime}$ its subset made up of those compon-

[^0]ents which have points both of $P_{m}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)^{-}$and $Q_{n}\left(\beta_{v}\right)^{-}$on their boundaries. Clearly $\Delta^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{v} \Delta_{v}^{\prime}$.
(i) The Riemann image of $\Omega$ under $f$ covers no point of $\Delta^{\prime}$ more than $m-n$ times.

If some point $p$ in $\Delta^{\prime}$ were covered at least $m-n+1$ times, for $v$ sufficiently large, $p$ would lie in $\Delta_{v}^{\prime}$ and be covered at least $m-n+1$ times by the Riemann image of $\Omega_{v}$ under $f . \Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)$ denotes the family of cycles on $\Omega_{v}$ separating $\alpha_{v}$ and $\beta_{v}$ and $M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right)$ denotes its module. We recall that as $v$ tends to infinity $M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right)$ increases to $M(\Gamma(A, B))$. We may suppose that the image of $\Omega_{v}$ covers a disc $\delta$ with closure in $\Delta_{v}^{\prime}$ with $m-n+1$ simple discs $\delta_{j}, j=1, \ldots$, $m-n+1$, and that the harmonic measure $\omega$ of $B$ with respect to $\Omega$ (nondegenerate under our assumptions) has no critical point in the closures of the preimages of these discs. The extremal metric $\rho|d z|$ for $M(\Gamma(A, B))$ is given by $(D(\omega))^{-1}|\operatorname{grad} \omega||d z|$. $\left(D(\omega)\right.$ denotes Dirichlet integral.) Let $\rho_{v}|d z|$ be the corresponding extremal metric for $M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right)$. Evidently, expressed in terms of a local uniformizing parameter, $\rho_{v}$ tends pointwise to $\rho$, uniformly on compact subsets, as $v$ tends to infinity. Thus if we use the plane variable as local uniformizing parameter in each $f^{-1}\left(\delta_{j}\right)$ we will have $\rho_{v}$ bounded from zero on these sets, $\rho_{v} \geq \eta>0$, for $v$ sufficiently large.

In [1, Section 5] we constructed by the covering method an admissible metric for $M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right)$ which we denote now by $\sigma_{v}|d z|$ and for which we have by the considerations given there

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right) \leq \iint_{\Omega_{v}} \sigma_{v}^{2} d A_{z} \leq(m-n)^{-1} M\left(\Gamma_{m n}^{(v)}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{m n}^{(v)}$ denotes the family of cycles on $\Delta_{v}^{\prime}$ separating $P_{m}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)^{-}$and $Q_{n}\left(\beta_{v}\right)^{-}$. We have the familiar identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega_{v}} \rho_{v}^{2} d A_{z}+\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega_{v}} \sigma_{v}^{2} d A_{z}=\iint_{\Omega_{v}}\left(\frac{\rho_{v}+\sigma_{v}}{2}\right)^{2} d A_{z}+\iint_{\Omega_{v}}\left(\frac{\rho_{v}-\sigma_{v}}{2}\right)^{2} d A_{z} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $\sigma_{v}$ is zero on a subset of $\bigcup_{j} f^{-1}\left(\delta_{j}\right)$ of measure, in terms of the local uniformizing parameters, equal to $A(\delta)$, the plane area of $\delta$ (not necessarily confined to one component) and since $\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{v}+\sigma_{v}\right)|d z|$ is an admissible metric for $M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right)$ we have, using (2) and (3), for $v$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega_{v}} \sigma_{v}^{2} d A_{z}-\iint_{U_{j}} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{1\left(\delta_{j}\right)}}\left(\frac{\rho_{v}-\sigma_{v}}{2}\right)^{2} d A_{z} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(m-n)^{-1} M\left(\Gamma_{m n}^{(v)}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \eta^{2} A(\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $v$ tend to infinity this contradicts (1).
(ii) Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be the harmonic measure of $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ with respect to $\Delta^{\prime}$. There exists a set of orthogonal trajectories of the level curves of $\tilde{\omega}$ dense in $\Delta^{\prime}$ each of which has respective limiting end points on $\bar{P}_{m}(A), \bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ with limiting values of $\tilde{\omega}$ respectively 0 and 1 and such that for each orthogonal trajectory $l, f^{-1}(l)$ consists of $m-n$ open arcs $l^{(j)}$ each homeomorphic to $l, j=1, \ldots, m-n$.

By [2; Theorem 2.32] almost all (in the sense there indicated) orthogonal trajectories of $\tilde{\omega}$ have limiting end points on $\bar{P}_{m}(A), \bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ with limiting values of $\tilde{\omega}$ respectively 0 and 1 . Let such orthogonal trajectories be denoted by $l_{\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ is indexed by a set $\Lambda$. We can apply the argument of [1; Section 5] to the orthogonal trajectories of $\tilde{\omega}$ rather than those of $\omega_{v}$ on $\Delta_{v}$ and see that over $l_{\lambda}$ in the Riemann image of $\Omega_{v}$ by $f$ there will be $m-n$ open arcs which are the images of $\operatorname{arcs} l_{\lambda, v}^{(j)} j=1, \ldots, m-n, v=1,2, \ldots$, joining $\alpha_{v}$ and $\beta_{v}$. Let $\tau_{v}|d z|$ now denote the metric corresponding to $\rho_{1}|d z|$ in [1; Section 5] on $\Omega_{v}$. Then

$$
M\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}\right)\right) \leq \iint_{U_{\lambda, j}} \int_{l_{\lambda, v^{(j)}}} \tau_{v}^{2} d A_{z} \quad \text { and } \quad(m-n) \quad \iint_{U_{\lambda, j} L_{\lambda, v^{(j)}}} \tau_{v}^{2} d A_{z} \leq M\left(\Gamma_{m n}\right)
$$

Since $M\left(\Gamma_{m n}\right)=(D(\tilde{\omega}))^{-1}$ we must have by (1) that for almost all $l_{\lambda}$ there will be $m-n$ covering arcs in the Riemann image of $\Omega_{v}$ on which the variation of $\tilde{\omega}$ tends to 1 as $v$ becomes large. Thus by (i) there can be only $m-n$ covering arcs altogether and each of them is homeomorphic to $l_{\lambda}$.
(iii) For every choice of $\alpha_{v}\left(\beta_{v}\right), f\left(\alpha_{v}\right)\left(f\left(\beta_{v}\right)\right)$ has index exactly $m(n)$, about each point of $\bar{P}_{m}(A)\left(\bar{Q}_{n}(B)\right)$, providing this index is defined.

Let $q$ be a point of $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ not on $f\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$. Then in the notation of [1; Section 2], $I\left(\alpha_{v} ; q\right)=m^{\prime}, m^{\prime} \geq m . f\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ divides the sphere into a finite number of domains one of which, $D$, will contain $q$ so that $f\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ will have index $m^{\prime}$ about every point of $D$. Some orthogonal trajectory $l$ of the set described in (ii) will penetrate into $D$. Followed in a suitable sense it will tend to a point $r$ of $\bar{Q}_{n}(B) . f\left(\beta_{v}\right)$ divides the sphere into a finite number of domains and $r$ lies in one such domain or on the boundary of several such domains and the index of $f\left(\beta_{v}\right)$ about the points of any such domain is at most $n$. Thus we can apply the argument of $\left[1 ;\right.$ Section 5] to a subarc $l$ of $l$ with endpoints $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ where $I\left(\alpha ; w_{0}\right)=m^{\prime}$ and $I\left(\beta ; w_{1}\right)=n^{\prime}, n^{\prime} \leq n$. In the notation employed there

$$
I\left(\alpha ; w_{0}\right)-I\left(\beta ; w_{1}\right) \geq l_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime}
$$

Taking $l$ and the $l^{(j)}$ sensed by $\tilde{\omega}$ increasing and the subarcs $\hat{l}^{(j)}$ on $l^{(j)}$ covering $\hat{l}$ we see that $l_{2}$ is the number of arcs of intersection of the $\hat{l}^{(j)}$ with $\Omega_{v}$ which run from $\alpha_{v}$ to $\beta_{v}, l_{2}^{\prime}$ is the number of such arcs which run from $\beta_{v}$ to $\alpha_{v}$. On a given $\hat{l}^{(j)}$ these occur alternately thus its contribution to $l_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime}$ is at most 1 and $l_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime} \leq m-n$. On the other hand $l_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime} \geq m^{\prime}-n^{\prime}$. Thus $m^{\prime}=m$. The result for $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ is proved analogously.
(iv) $f(\Omega)$ contains no point of $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ or $\bar{Q}_{n}(B) . \Delta^{\prime}$ is a domain. $\Delta^{\prime}$ coincides with $\Delta$.

If $f(\Omega)$ contained a point of $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ or $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ by choosing $\alpha_{v}$ or $\beta_{v}$ suitably we would obtain a contradiction to (iii). Further $f(\Omega)$ is connected so it can contain no point in the complement of $\Delta^{\prime}$ since it contains points in $\Delta^{\prime}$ and no points of its boundary. Since $f(\Omega)$ contains points in each component of $\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}$ is a domain. If $\Delta$ contained a component $\Xi$ not in $\Delta^{\prime}$, the boundary of $\Xi$ would lie in $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ or $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ thus by the definition of the latter sets $\Xi$ itself would lie in $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ or $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$, a contradiction.
(v) Let $\gamma$ be a cycle on $\Omega$ represented by a finite number of disjoint Jordan curves forming the common boundary of two open sets bearing respectively $A$ and $B$ neither containing any relatively compact component, $\gamma$ sensed with $A$ to its left. Let c be a cycle on $\Delta$ represented by a finite number of disjoint Jordan curves forming the common boundary of two open subsets of the sphere containing respectively $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ and $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ neither containing any component disjoint from $\bar{P}_{m}(A) \cup \bar{Q}_{n}(B), c$ sensed with $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ to its left. Then $f(\gamma)$ is homologous to $(m-n) c$ in $\Delta$.

Suppose that $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ and $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ are both compact plane sets. Then necessarily $m>0>n$. Let $c_{1}$ be a separating cycle for $\bar{P}_{m}(A), \bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ represented by a finite number of disjoint Jordan curves such that $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ lies in their collective (disjoint) interiors and $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ is exterior to all, sensed as above. Let $c_{2}$ be a separating cycle for $\bar{P}_{m}(A), \bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ represented by a finite number of disjoint Jordan curves such that $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ lies in their collective (disjoint) interiors and $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ is exterior to all, sensed as above. Then $f(\gamma)$ and $m c_{1}-n c_{2}$ have the same index about every boundary point of $\Delta$, thus are homologous in $\Delta$ while $m c_{1}-n c_{2}$ is homologous to $(m-n) c$.

If $\bar{P}_{m}(A)$ contains the point at infinity, $m=0, n<0$. If $\bar{Q}_{n}(B)$ contains the point at infinity, $m>0, n=0$. The result in these cases is proved as above, indeed even more simply.
(vi) If $h_{\mathbf{\Omega}}(\gamma)$ denotes the harmonic length of $\gamma$ on $\Omega$ and $h_{\Delta}(f(\gamma))$ denotes the harmonic length of $f(\gamma)$ on $\Delta$, we have

$$
h_{\Omega}(\gamma)=h_{\Delta}(f(\gamma))
$$

In terms of the harmonic measures defined above we have $h_{\Omega}(\gamma)=D(\omega)$, $h_{\Delta}(c)=D(\tilde{\omega}), M(\Gamma(A, B))=(D(\omega))^{-1}, M\left(\Gamma_{m n}\right)=(D(\tilde{\omega}))^{-1} . \mathrm{By}(\mathrm{v}), h_{\Delta}(f(\gamma))=$ $(m-n) D(\tilde{\omega})$. Thus by $(1), h_{\Omega}(\gamma)=h_{\Delta}(f(\gamma))$.
(vii) f maps $\Omega$ in $a(m-n, 1)$ manner onto $\Delta$ with the possible exception of $a$ relatively closed set of (logarithmic) capacity zero on $\Delta$.

This follows at once from (vi) and [3; Theorem 2].
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