## MOST SYMMETRIC SETS ARE OF SYNTHESIS

BY

## SADAHIRO SAEKI

Let  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/(2\pi \mathbf{Z})$  be the circle group, and  $A(\mathbf{T})$  the Fourier algebra of  $\mathbf{T}$ , i.e.,

$$A(\mathbf{T}) = \left\{ f \in C(\mathbf{T}) \colon \|f\|_{A(\mathbf{T})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Any set of the form  $\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n: \varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for all } n\}$ , where  $(x_n)_1^{\infty}$  is a summable sequence of real numbers, is called *symmetric*. It is not known whether every symmetric set is of (spectral) synthesis for  $A(\mathbf{T})$  (cf. [2]). In this note we prove that "most" symmetric sets are of synthesis. Our methods can be applied to yield a simple proof of Theorem 1 of [5].

We first introduce some notation. Let  $q = (q_n)_1^{\infty}$  be a fixed sequence of natural numbers,  $F(m) = \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm m\}$  for all  $m \ge 1$ , and  $E(q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F(q_n)$ . To each sequence  $x = (x_n)_1^{\infty}$  of real numbers satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n |x_n| < \infty$ , we associate the set

$$E_{\mathbf{x}} = E(q, \mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbf{T} \colon \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_1^{\infty} \in E(q) \right\}$$

and the continuous map  $p_x = p(q, x): E(q) \to E_x$  defined by

$$p_x(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n \quad (\varepsilon \in E(q)).$$

Let  $(I_n)_1^\infty$  be a sequence of compact intervals, each containing 0, and C a positive real number. We define

$$J = \left\{ x = (x_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \colon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n |x_n| \leq C \right\},$$

and notice that J is a compact metric space under the product topology. Given a compact set K in T, let  $A(K) = A(T)|_K$  denote the Fourier restriction algebra to K with the quotient norm. For the other notation used here without explanation, we refer to [4] and [5].

**THEOREM 1.** Suppose  $C/\pi$  is irrational. Then quasi-all  $x \in J$  have the following properties:

(a) The map  $p_x: E(q) \to E_x$  is one-to-one, and induces an isometric isomorphism from  $A(E_x)$  onto the infinite tensor product A(q) of the algebras  $A(\{jx_n: j \in F(q_n)\}), n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 

(b)  $E_x$  is a Dirichlet set of synthesis.

Received July 15, 1975.

The basic ideas of our proof are found in [3] and [5]. The above theorem is an immediate consequence of the following.

**LEMMA.** If  $C/\pi$  is irrational, then quasi-all  $x \in J$  have the following property: For each natural number N, each  $\eta > 0$ , and complex numbers  $z_1, \ldots, z_N$  of modulus 1, there exists a natural number r such that

(i)  $|z_n - \exp(irx_n)| < \eta$   $(1 \le n \le N),$ (ii)  $|1 - \exp(ir\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n)| < \eta$   $(\varepsilon \in E(q)).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\eta > 0$  and  $z_1, \ldots, z_N$  be given. Let

$$K = K(\eta; z_1, \ldots, z_N)$$

denote the closure of the set of all  $x \in J$  for which there exists no natural number r satisfying (i) and (ii). We claim that K has empty interior if  $C/\pi$  is irrational.

We first deal with the case  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} q_n |I_n| = \infty$ , where  $|I_n|$  denotes the length of  $I_n$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that K has nonempty interior. Then there exist finitely many open intervals  $V_n \subset I_n$   $(1 \le n < M, M > N)$  such that

(1) 
$$\emptyset \neq J \cap \left(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_{M-1} \times \prod_{n=M}^{\infty} I_n\right) \subset K$$

Since  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} q_n |I_n| = \infty$ , we can assume that there exist  $y_n \in V_n$   $(1 \le n < M)$  such that

$$0 < C - (q_1|y_1| + \cdots + q_{M-1}|y_{M-1}|) < q_M|I_M|/2.$$

Moreover, there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $C, \pi, y_1, \ldots, y_{M-1}$  are rationally independent. Choose  $y_M \in I_M$  so that

(2) 
$$q_M|y_M| = C - (q_1|y_1| + \cdots + q_{M-1}|y_{M-1}|).$$

Hence  $\pi$ ,  $y_1, \ldots, y_M$  are rationally independent. By the Kronecker theorem, we can find a natural number r such that

$$|z_n - \exp(iry_n)| < \eta \quad (1 \le n \le N),$$

(4) 
$$|1 - \exp(iry_n)| < \eta/(2Mq_n) \quad (N < n \le M)$$

Define W to be the set of all  $x \in J$  satisfying these conditions:

(2)' 
$$C - (q_1|x_1| + \cdots + q_M|x_M|) < \eta/(2r);$$

(3)' 
$$|z_n - \exp(irx_n)| < \eta \ (1 \le n \le N);$$

(4)' 
$$|1 - \exp(irx_n)| < \eta/(2Mq_n) \quad (N < n \le M).$$

Then W is open in J and contains the element  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_M, 0, 0, \ldots)$ . Hence X is not empty by (1), where

(1)' 
$$X \equiv W \cap \left(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_{M-1} \times \prod_{n=M}^{\infty} I_n\right) \subset K.$$

Choose any  $x \in X$ ; then (i) holds by (3)'. Moreover,  $\varepsilon \in E(q)$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left|1 - \exp\left(ir\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\varepsilon_n x_n\right)\right| &\leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left|1 - \exp\left(ir\varepsilon_n x_n\right)\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{M}\left|\varepsilon_n\right| \cdot \left|1 - \exp\left(irx_n\right)\right| + r\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty}\left|\varepsilon_n x_n\right| \\ &< (M - N)\eta/(2M) + r\left(C - \sum_{n=1}^{M}q_n|x_n|\right) \\ &< \eta \end{aligned}$$

by (4)' and (2)'. We have thus proved that every element of X satisfies (i) and (ii). Since X is open, this implies  $X \cap K = \emptyset$ , which contradicts (1)'.

Now we consider the case  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} q_n |I_n| < \infty$ . In this case, the irrationality of  $C/\pi$  is unnecessary. Suppose that (1), with M-1 replaced by M, holds for some open intervals  $V_n \subset I_n$   $(1 \le n \le M)$ . We choose  $y_n \in V_n$   $(1 \le n \le M)$  so that  $\pi, y_1, \ldots, y_M$  are rationally independent and  $q_1|y_1| + \cdots + q_M|y_M| < C$ . Take any natural number r satisfying (3) and (4), and also a natural number L > M so that

(5) 
$$\sum_{n=L}^{\infty} q_n |I_n| < \eta/(4r).$$

Now define W to be the set of all  $x \in J$  satisfying (3)', (4)', and

(6) 
$$|1 - \exp(irx_n)| < \eta/(4Lq_n) \quad (M < n \le L).$$

Then we have  $(y_1, \ldots, y_M, 0, 0, \ldots) \in W$ , and argue similarly as before to obtain a contradiction.

In either case, the closed set  $K = K(\eta; z_1, ..., z_N)$  has empty interior. Therefore the lemma follows by a routine argument of countability.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Choose and fix an arbitrary element x of J which has the property stated in the preceding lemma. In order to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to show that x satisfies (a) and (b).

Part (a) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 in [4], and we shall only confirm (b). It is obvious that  $E_x$  is a Dirichlet set. Given a natural number N, put

$$E_N = E(x, N) = \left\{ \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n \in \mathbf{T} \colon \varepsilon \in E(q) \right\}.$$

Since  $p_x$  is a one-to-one map, the closed sets  $\sum_{1}^{N} \varepsilon_n x_n + E_N$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \in F(q_n)$  for  $1 \le n \le N$ , are disjoint. For each pseudomeasure  $Q \in PM(E_x)$ , we can therefore write

(1) 
$$Q = \sum_{\varepsilon} Q_{\varepsilon} * \delta\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n x_n\right),$$

where  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_1^N$  ranges over the set  $\prod_1^N F(q_n)$ ,  $Q_{\varepsilon} = Q_{N,\varepsilon}$  is an element of  $PM(E_N)$  for each  $\varepsilon$ , and  $\delta(t)$  denotes the unit point mass at  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ . Define a measure  $\mu_N = \mu_N(Q) \in M(E_x)$  by setting

(2) 
$$\mu_N = \sum_{\varepsilon} \hat{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0) \delta\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n\right).$$

If we can show that  $\mu_N \to Q$  as  $N \to \infty$  in the weak\* topology of  $PM(\mathbf{T})$ , the proof will be complete.

Let  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  be given. Setting  $z_n = \exp(ijx_n)$  for  $1 \le n \le N$ , we apply the lemma to find a sequence  $(r_k)_1^{\infty}$  of natural numbers such that

(3) 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \exp(ir_k x_n) = z_n \quad (1 \le n \le N),$$

(4) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\exp(ir_k t) - 1\|_{\mathbf{C}(E_N)} = 0.$$

As is well known, there is an absolute constant M such that

$$||e^{irt} - e^{ijt}||_{A(K)} \le M ||e^{irt} - e^{ijt}||_{C(K)}$$

for all compact subsets K of T (see, for example, [4; Lemma 1]). It follows from (1), (2), (3), and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mu}_{N}(-j) - \hat{Q}(-r_{k})| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\varepsilon} \left\{ \hat{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0) \exp\left(ij \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{n} x_{n}\right) - \hat{Q}_{\varepsilon}(-r_{k}) \exp\left(ir_{k} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{n} x_{n}\right) \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\varepsilon} \left\{ |\hat{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0) - \hat{Q}_{\varepsilon}(-r_{k})| + |\hat{Q}_{\varepsilon}(-r_{k})| \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_{n}|z_{n} - \exp\left(ir_{k} x_{n}\right)| \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{\varepsilon} \left\| Q_{\varepsilon} \right\|_{PM} \left\{ M \left\| 1 - \exp\left(ir_{k} t\right) \right\|_{C(E_{N})} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_{n}|z_{n} - \exp\left(ir_{k} x_{n}\right)| \right\} \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

(5) 
$$\|\mu_N\|_{PM} \le \|Q\|_{PM}$$
  $(N = 1, 2, 3, ...)$ 

Moreover, we see

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mu}_{N}(-j) - \hat{Q}(-j)| &\leq |\hat{\mu}_{N}(-j) - \hat{Q}(-r_{k})| + |\hat{Q}(-r_{k}) - \hat{Q}(-j)| \\ &\leq o(1) + M \|Q\|_{PM} \|\exp(ir_{k}t) - \exp(ijt)\|_{C(E_{x})} \\ &\leq o(1) + M \|Q\|_{PM} \|1 - e^{ijt}\|_{C(E_{N})} \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since every  $E_N$  contains 0 and its diameter is less than or equal to  $2\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} q_n |x_n|$ , the last inequalities imply

(6) 
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \hat{\mu}_N(-j) = \hat{Q}(-j) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Finally we infer from (5) and (6) that the sequence  $(\mu_N)_1^{\infty}$  converges to  $Q \in PM(E_x)$  in the weak\* topology of  $PM(\mathbf{T})$ , as was required.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a metrizable LCA I-group, and  $(U_n)_1^{\infty}$  a sequence of compact subsets of G. Suppose that  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n$  converges for each  $\varepsilon \in E(q)$  and each  $x = (x_n)_1^{\infty} \in U = \prod_{1}^{\infty} U_n$ , that every  $U_n$  contains  $0 \in G$ , and that the interior of  $U_n$  is dense in  $U_n$ . Under these conditions, define the map  $p_x$  and the set  $E_x$  similarly as before  $(x \in U)$ . Then quasi-all elements of U have the two properties asserted in Theorem 1.

*Proof.* We claim without proof that quasi-all  $x \in U$  have this property: given a natural number  $N, \eta > 0$ , and complex numbers  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  of modulus 1, there exists a continuous character  $\gamma$  of G such that

(i) 
$$|z_n - \gamma(x_n)| < \eta \quad (1 \le n \le N),$$

(ii) 
$$\left|1 - \gamma\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n\right)\right| < \eta \quad (\varepsilon \in E(q)).$$

The proof of this fact is similar to that of the lemma for the case  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} q_{n}|I_{n}| < \infty$ . A moment's glance at the proof of Theorem 1 shows that all of such  $x \in U$  have the required properties.

COROLLARY. For quasi-all  $x \in J$  (or  $x \in U$ ), the symmetric set

$$K_{x} = \left\{ \sum_{1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n} x_{n} \colon \varepsilon_{n} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for all } n \right\}$$

is of synthesis.

*Proof.* This is obvious by the proof of part (b) of Theorem 1.

*Remarks.* (I) The irrationality of  $C/\pi$  is unnecessary in the lemma if we only require that r is a real positive number. Consequently the same is true in Theorem 1 if **T** is replaced by **R**. On the other hand, if  $C/\pi$  is rational and if  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} q_n |I_n| = \infty$ , then quasi-all  $x \in J$  satisfy  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} q_n |x_n| = C$  and none of such x have the property asserted in the lemma.

(II) Let  $(\alpha_n)_1^{\infty}$  and  $(\beta_n)_0^{\infty}$  be two sequences of real positive numbers, and f(t) a strictly positive real function of t > 0. If  $\alpha_n \neq 1$  for some *n*, then quasi-all elements x of the space

$$\left\{ x \in \prod_{1}^{\infty} I_{n} \colon \sum_{1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} |x_{n}|^{\alpha_{n}} \leq \beta_{0} \right\}$$

have the following property: Given  $\eta > 0$  and  $|z_1| = \cdots = |z_N| = 1$  there exist two natural numbers r, M such that

(i)  $|z_n - \exp(irx_n)| < \eta f(N)$  for  $1 \le n \le N$ ,

- (ii)  $|1 \exp(irx_n)| < \eta f(n)$  for  $N < n \le M$ , and
- (iii)  $\sum_{M+1}^{\infty} \beta_n |x_n|^{\alpha_n} < \eta f(rN).$

This can be proved along the same lines as the lemma. In the case  $2^{-1}\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , this result yields a strong version of both the main theorem of [1] and Theorem 3 of [3].

## References

- 1. G. BROWN AND W. MORAN, In general, Bernoulli convolutions have independent powers, Studia Math., vol. XLVII (1973), pp. 141–152.
- 2. J.-P. KAHANE, Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- 3. C. LIN AND S. SAEKI, Bernoulli convolutions in LCA groups, to appear.
- 4. S. SAEKI, *Tensor products of Banach algebras and harmonic analysis*, Tôhoku Math. J., vol. 24 (1972), pp. 281–299.
- 5. , Infinite tensor products in Fourier algebras, Tôhoku Math. J., vol. 27 (1975), pp. 355–379.

Tokyo Metropolitan University Tokyo, Japan