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Let $E$ and $F$ be two Banach spaces. There is a natural 1-1 canonical map from $E \otimes F$ to $L\left(E^{\prime}, F\right)$ the space of all bounded operators from $E^{\prime}$ to $F$. In this paper, we identify $E \otimes F$ as a subspace of $L\left(E^{\prime}, F\right)$. Then we can define any ideal norm $\alpha$ on the elements of $E \otimes F$. Let $\Omega$ be a locally compact topological space, $\mu$ a positive Radon measure on $\Omega, p$ a real number, $1 \leq$ $p \leq \infty, L_{p}=L_{p}(\Omega, \mu)$ the Banach space of equivalence classes of scalar valued $p$ th power integrable functions defined on $\Omega$. It is also possible to identify canonically $E \otimes L_{p}$ as a subspace of the Banach space $L_{p}(\Omega, \mu, E)$ consisting of the equivalence classes of $E$-valued $p$ th power integrable functions defined on $\Omega$. Let $\Delta_{p}$ be the norm of the space $L_{p}(E)=L_{p}(\Omega, \mu, E), E \otimes_{\Delta_{p}} L_{p}$ be the subspace $E \otimes L_{p}$ normed by $\Delta_{p}$, and let $E \otimes_{\hat{\Delta}_{p}} L_{p}$ be the completion of $E \otimes_{\Delta_{p}} L_{p}$. We know that $L_{p}(E)=E \otimes_{\Delta_{p}} L_{p}$.
$\Delta_{p}$ is a natural norm to consider on $E \otimes L_{p}$ and in general if $1<p<\infty$ there is no ideal norm $\gamma$ such that $\gamma=\Delta_{p}$ on $E \otimes L_{p}$. But if $v_{p}$ denotes the ideal norm associated with the class of $p$-nuclear operators, $\pi_{p}$ the ideal norm of the class of $p$-absolutely summing operators, and $\pi_{p}^{\prime}$ the dual norm of $\pi_{p}$, we have on $E \otimes L_{p}$ the following inequalities for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{p} \leq v_{p} \leq \Delta_{p} \leq \pi_{p}^{\prime} \leq v_{p}^{\prime} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p=1$, on $E \otimes L_{1}, \pi_{1} \leq v_{1}=\Delta_{1}=\pi_{1}^{\prime}=v_{1}^{\prime}$, and if $p=\infty$, on $E \otimes L_{\infty}$, $\pi_{\infty}^{\prime}=v_{\infty}=\Delta_{\infty}=\pi_{\infty}^{\prime} \leq v_{\infty}^{\prime}$ [18].

In Section 1 we prove that in a certain sense the inequality $v_{p} \leq \Delta_{p} \leq \pi_{p}^{\prime}$ on $E \otimes L_{p}$ is the best possible. To obtain this result, we prove at the beginning another version of the duality theorem of L. Schwartz [21]. Later in Section 1 we improve some characterizations obtained by S. Kwapien [14] on the subspaces of $L_{p}\left(S L_{p}\right)$, quotients of $L_{p}\left(Q L_{p}\right)$ and subspaces of quotients of $L_{p}\left(S Q L_{p}\right)$, and give some applications of the preceding results to the theory of $p$-absolutely summing operators between $L_{q}$-spaces, which extend some results obtained by L. Schwartz [21] for diagonal radonifying operators to the class of all operators.

Section 2 introduces a technique of interpolation which is useful in estimating, for example, the ( $p, q$ )-absolutely summing norms. The following result follows immediately from Proposition 2.2: If $u$ is a linear map from $L_{p}(\mu)$ to

[^0]$L_{p_{0}}(v) \cap L_{p_{1}}(v)$ and if $u$ is $\left(r, q_{j}\right)$-absolutely summing when considered as a map, denoted by $u_{j}$, from $L_{p}(\mu)$ to $L_{p_{j}}(v)$ for $j=0$ and 1 , then for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ the operator $u$ is $\left(r, q_{\alpha}\right)$-absolutely summing as a map from $L_{p}(\mu)$ to $L_{p_{\alpha}}(\nu)$, where $1 / p_{\alpha}=(1-\alpha) / p_{0}+\alpha / p_{1}, 1 / q_{\alpha}=(1-\alpha) / q_{0}+\alpha / q_{1}$. Moreover, the $\left(r, q_{\alpha}\right)$-absolutely summing norm of $u: L_{p} \rightarrow L_{p_{\alpha}}$ satisfies the inequality
$$
\pi_{r, q_{\alpha}}\left(u: L_{p} \rightarrow L_{p_{\alpha}}\right) \leq \pi_{p_{0}}^{1-\alpha}\left(u_{0}\right) \pi_{p_{1}}^{\alpha}\left(u_{1}\right)
$$
S. Kwapien used a very specific method of interpolation when proving in [12] that for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty, L\left(l_{1}, l_{p}\right)=\prod_{1, r(p)}\left(l_{1}, l_{p}\right)$ where $1 / r(p)=1-$ $\left|\frac{1}{2}-1 / p\right|$. Our method cannot yield his result exactly, however, it is general, and applicable to many other cases.

The technique of interpolation is extended to include another class of operators introduced by H. P. Rosenthal [19] and B. Maurey [16], called the $q$-cylindrical operators of type $p$. These results are derived from Proposition 2.1 which tells us when the intersection $L_{p_{0}}\left(\Omega, \mu, E_{0}\right) \cap L_{p_{1}}\left(\Omega, \mu, E_{1}\right)$ admits interpolation and describes the interpolating norm.

In Section 3 we consider an arbitrary ideal norm assigned to the space of linear operators from $l_{1}^{n}$ to $l_{2}^{n}$. We show that if $\alpha$ is not uniformly equivalent to the ordinary operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the spaces of operators from $l_{1}^{n}$ to $l_{2}^{n}$, then the unconditional structure of the spaces $L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)$ normed by $\alpha$ is not good; for example, these spaces are not uniformly complemented in any infinite-dimensional Banach space $X$ which has an unconditional Schauder decomposition into finite-dimensional spaces all having the same dimension $p$. This implies that the local unconditional constant (defined in [7]) of ( $L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha$ ) tends to $\infty$.

Our notations in general will be similar to [6]. We denote by $\mathscr{F}(E, F)$ the subspace of $L(E, F)$ consisting of all finite-rank operators from $E$ to $F$. Given any sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ in $E$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{p}\left(x_{i}\right) & =\sup \left\{\left(\sum\left|\left\langle x_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} ; x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime},\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=1\right\} & & \text { if } 1 \leq p<\infty \\
& =\sup _{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\| & & \text { if } p=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

If $[A, \alpha]$ is a normed ideal, $\alpha^{\prime}$ will be the dual norm, $\alpha^{\Delta}$ the adjoint norm, $\alpha^{*}$ the conjugate norm. $[A, \alpha]$ is called perfect if $\alpha=\alpha^{* *}$. We denote by ( $\Pi_{p}, \pi_{p}$ ) the ideal of $p$-absolutely summing operators, by $\left(N_{p}, v_{p}\right)$ the ideal of $p$-nuclear operators and by $\left(I_{p}, i_{p}\right)$ the ideal of $p$-integral operators. For any $T \in L(E, F)$, the ideal norm $\varepsilon$ is defined by $\varepsilon(T)=\|T\| . E \otimes_{\alpha} F$ will denote the space $E \otimes F$ (subspace of $L\left(E^{\prime}, F\right)$ ) equipped with the norm $\alpha$, and $E \otimes_{\alpha}^{\wedge} F$ the completion of $E \otimes_{\alpha} F$.

An $L_{p}$ space will always be some $L_{p}(\Omega, \mu)(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$. An operator $A \in L\left(E, L_{p}\right)$ is said to be $p$-decomposed [23] if there exists a function $f \in L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mu, E^{\prime}\right)$ such that, for any $x \in E, A(x)(t)=\langle x, f(t)\rangle \mu$ almost everywhere. We have to note that this definition of $p$ decomposed operator is, in general, stronger than the definition of $p$-decomposable operators of S. Kwapien
(cf. [12]). It is easy to verify that $f$ is uniquely determined. We set $\Delta_{p}(A)=$ $\Delta_{p}(f)$ and we denote by $\Delta_{p}\left(E, L_{p}\right)$ the Banach space of all $p$-decomposed operators from $E$ to $L_{p}$ normed by $\Delta_{p}$. With this identification $\Delta_{p}\left(E, L_{p}(\Omega, \mu)\right)=$ $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mu, E^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $u \in L(E, F), u$ is called $p$-decomposing [23] if for any $L_{p}$ space and for any $A \in L\left(F, L_{p}\right), A u$ is $p$-decomposed. If $u$ is $p$-decomposing, then for any $L_{p}$ space there exists a number $m>0$ such that for all $A \in L\left(F, L_{p}\right), \Delta_{p}(A u) \leq$ $m\|A\|$. The smallest possible $m$ in this inequality is denoted by $m\left(u, L_{p}\right)$.

## 1. Relations between $\Delta_{p}$ and ideal norms

In this paragraph we obtain some inequalities between $\Delta_{p}$ and other ideal norms on $E \otimes L_{p}$; these are useful, for example, in estimating the ideal norms involved, via $\Delta_{p}$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $E$ and $F$ be two Banach spaces, $u \in L(E, F)$ and $1<p<\infty$.
(1) The operator $u$ is $p$-decomposing if and only if $u^{\prime}$ is $p$-absolutely summing, and for any infinite dimensional $L_{p}$-space, $m\left(u, L_{p}\right)=\pi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) For $p=1$ the analogous assertion is true if $E^{\prime}$ has the Radon Nikodym property (R.N.P.). If $E^{\prime}$ does not have the R.N.P. there exists a Banach space $F$ and $u \in L(E, F)$ such that $\pi_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)<\infty$ and $u$ is not 1-decomposing.

Proof. It was proved in [20] that $m\left(u, l_{p}\right)=\pi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ if $1<p<\infty$. (1) can be proved in a similar manner.

If $p=1$ and $m\left(u, L_{1}\right)<\infty$, embed

$$
l_{1} \xrightarrow{j} L_{1}
$$

isometrically. Let $A \in L\left(F, l_{1}\right)$ then there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \subset F^{\prime}$ such that $A u(x)=\left(\left\langle x, u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right)_{i \geq 1}$. It follows that

$$
\Delta_{1}(j A u)=\sum\left\|u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq m\left(u, L_{1}\right)\|A\|=m\left(u, L_{1}\right) \varepsilon_{1}\left(\left\{x_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)
$$

implying $\pi_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \leq m\left(u, L_{1}\right)$.
Suppose now $E^{\prime}$ has the R.N.P. and $\pi_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)<\infty$. Let $L_{1}$ be any infinitedimensional $L_{1}(\Omega, \mu)$ space and $A \in L\left(F, L_{1}\right)$. Since $\left(L_{1}\right)^{\prime}=L_{\infty}, u^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ is 1-integral, and since $E^{\prime}$ has the R.N.P., $u^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ is 1-nuclear [24] and therefore $A u$ is 1 -nuclear. The inequality

$$
v_{1}(A u)=\Delta_{1}(A u)=\pi_{1}^{\prime}(A u) \leq\|A\| \pi_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)
$$

implies that $u$ is 1 -decomposing and that $m\left(u, L_{1}\right) \leq \pi_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$.
We know by [24] that there exists a Banach space $G$ such that $N_{1}\left(E, G^{\prime}\right) \neq$ $I_{1}\left(E, G^{\prime}\right)$. Let $T$ be an integral operator from $E$ to $G^{\prime}$ which is not nuclear, let $K$ be the unit ball of $E^{\prime}$ equipped with the weak star topology, $i$ the canonical map from $E$ to the Banach space of continuous functions on $K, C(K)$. We know that there exists a positive measure $\mu$ on $K$ such that $T$ has the following factorization:

$$
T: E \xrightarrow{i} C(K) \xrightarrow{j} L_{1}(K, \mu) \xrightarrow{A} G^{\prime},
$$

$j$ being the canonical map from $C(K)$ to $L_{1}(K, \mu)$ and $A$ linear and continuous. Take here $F=L_{1}(K, \mu)$, and $u=j i$. It is clear that $u$ is integral and not nuclear. Then, $v_{1}(u)=\Delta_{1}(u)=+\infty$ and therefore $u$ is not 1-decomposing. But, since $u$ is integral, so is $u^{\prime}$, and it follows that $u^{\prime}$ is also absolutely summing.

Remark. The equality $\pi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=m\left(u, L_{p}\right)$ for $1<p \leq \infty$, and $p=1$ if $E^{\prime}$ has R.N.P., adds to related results of L. Schwartz [21] and S. Kwapien [13].

Lemma 1.2. Let $E$ be a normed space, $1 \leq p<\infty, \alpha$ be an ideal norm, $(\Omega, \mu)$ a measure space, and assume that on $E \otimes L_{p}(\Omega, \mu)$ a $\leq \Delta_{p}$ for some positive constant $a$. Then on $E^{\prime} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}, a \Delta_{p^{\prime}} \leq \alpha^{\Lambda^{\prime}}$.

Proof. Let $u \in E \otimes L_{p}$ and $v \in E^{\prime} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}$. By [26], the norm $\Delta_{p^{\prime}}$ on $E^{\prime} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}$ is known to be equal to the norm induced by $\left(L_{p}(E)\right)^{\prime}$. It follows therefore that

$$
\Delta_{p^{\prime}}(v)=\sup _{u \in E \otimes L_{p}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{trace}\left(v^{\prime} u\right)\right|}{\Delta_{p}(u)}
$$

and by the hypothesis of the lemma

$$
a \Delta_{p^{\prime}}(v) \leq \sup _{u \in E \otimes L_{p}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{trace}\left(v^{\prime} u\right)\right|}{\alpha(u)} \leq \sup _{w \in \mathscr{F}\left(E^{\prime}, L_{p}\right)} \frac{\left|\operatorname{trace}\left(v^{\prime} w\right)\right|}{\alpha(w)}=\alpha^{\Delta}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\Delta^{\prime}}(v)
$$

Proposition 1.3. Let E be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty,(\Omega, \mu)$ be a measure space, with $\mu$ not supported on a finite set of points, $\alpha$ a perfect ideal norm and $\beta$ be any ideal norm, and let $a, b$ be two positive numbers. Then on $E \otimes L_{p}$ we have
(1) $\Delta_{p} \leq b \beta$ iff $\pi_{p}^{\prime} \leq b \beta$,
(2) $a \alpha \leq \Delta_{p}$ iff $a \alpha \leq v_{p}$.

Proof. Suppose that $1<p<\infty$. For (1) it is sufficient to prove $\Delta_{p} \leq b \beta$ implies $\pi_{p}^{\prime} \leq b \beta$. Let $u \in E \otimes L_{p}, A \in L\left(L_{p}, L_{p}\right)$ and suppose $\Delta_{p} \leq b \beta$. Then $\Delta_{p}(A u) \leq b \beta(A u) \leq b\|A\| \beta(u)$, but by Theorem 1.1, $\pi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=m\left(u, L_{p}\right)=$ $\sup _{A} \Delta_{p}(A u) /\|A\|$. It follows that $\pi_{p}^{\prime}(u) \leq b \beta(u)$, which proves (1).

To prove (2) it is sufficient to show that $a \alpha \leq \Delta_{p}$ implies $a \alpha \leq v_{p}$. Suppose that $a \alpha \leq \Delta_{p}$ on $E \otimes L_{p}$; then by Lemma 1.2 we have on $E^{\prime} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}, a \Delta_{p^{\prime}} \leq \alpha^{\Delta^{\prime}}$. By (1) it follows that on $E^{\prime} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}, a \pi_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leq \alpha^{\Lambda^{\prime}}$, hence if $w \in \mathscr{F}\left(E, L_{p^{\prime}}\right)$, then $w^{\prime \prime} \in E^{\prime} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}$ maps $E^{\prime \prime}$ to $L_{p^{\prime}}$, so $a \pi_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}(w)=a \pi_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \alpha^{\Delta^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime}}(w)$.

Therefore, for every $v: L_{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow E$, since $\alpha$ is perfect,

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(v)=\alpha^{\Delta^{\prime \prime *}}(v) \leq \alpha^{\Delta^{\prime \prime \prime} \Delta}(v) \leq a^{-1} \pi_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime \Delta}(v)=a^{-1} \pi_{p^{\prime}}^{\Delta^{\prime}}(v)=a^{-1} i_{p}^{\prime}(v)
$$

Let $w \in E \otimes L_{p} ; w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$ maps $E^{\prime}$ to $L_{p}$. Let $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \otimes x_{i}$ $\operatorname{map} L_{p^{\prime}}$ to $E$. Then $v^{\prime}=w$ and so

$$
\alpha(w)=\alpha\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}(v) \leq a^{-1} i_{p}^{\prime}(v)=a^{-1} v_{p}(w)
$$

which concludes the proof for $1<p<\infty$.

If $p=1$ the assertions are clear since on $E \otimes L_{1}, v_{1}=\Delta_{1}=\pi_{1}^{\prime}$. If $p=\infty$ the result is again obvious since on $E \otimes L_{\infty}, v_{\infty}=\Delta_{\infty}=\pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$.

The following three corollaries improve on some known results of S . Kwapien [14].

Corollary 1.4. Let $E$ be a Banach space, $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $b \geq 1$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) There exists an infinite-dimensional $L_{p}$-space such that on $E \otimes L_{p}$, $\Delta_{p} \leq b \pi_{p}$.
(2) There exists an infinite-dimensional $L_{p}$-space such that on $E \otimes L_{p}$, $\pi_{p}^{\prime} \leq b \pi_{p}$.
(3) $E$ is an $S L_{p}$-space.

Proof. The equivalences of (2) and (3) are due to [14] or [9]. (1) and (2) are equivalent by Proposition 1.3.

Remark. It can be shown that the least constant $b$ appearing in (1) is equal to the least distance of $E$ from the subspaces of the $L_{p}$-spaces. The same method establishes also:

Corollary 1.5. Let $E$ be a Banach space, $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $a \geq 1$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) There exists an infinite-dimensional $L_{p}$-space such that on $E \otimes L_{p}$, $v_{p}^{\prime} \leq a \Delta_{p}$.
(2) There exists an infinite-dimensional $L_{p}$-space such that on $E \otimes L_{p}$, $v_{p}^{\prime} \leq a v_{p}$.
(3) $E$ is a $Q L_{p}$-space.

Corollary 1.6. Let $E$ be a Banach space, $1<p<\infty$. E is a $S Q L_{p}$-space iff there exists an infinite-dimensional $L_{p}$-space and an ideal norm $\alpha$ such that on $E \otimes L_{p} \propto$ is equivalent to $\Delta_{p}$.

Proof. Suppose that on $E \otimes L_{p}, a \alpha \leq \Delta_{p} \leq b \alpha$. Then by (1) of Proposition 1.3, $a \alpha \leq \Delta_{p} \leq \pi_{p}^{\prime} \leq b \alpha$ on $E \otimes L_{p}$. But $\pi_{p}^{\prime}$ is perfect, so by (2) of Proposition 1.3 we have on $E \otimes L_{p},(a / b) \pi_{p}^{\prime} \leq v_{p} \leq \Delta_{p} \leq \pi_{p}^{\prime}$. The equivalence of $\pi_{p}^{\prime}$ and $v_{p}$ is equivalent to $E$ being a $S Q L_{p}$-space [14]. The converse is obvious from the inequality $v_{p} \leq \Delta_{p} \leq \pi_{p}^{\prime}$ on $E \otimes L_{p}$.

Corollary 1.7. Let $1 \leq s<p^{\prime}<r \leq 2$. Then for any $L_{p}, L_{r}$, and $L_{s}$ spaces and $\varepsilon>0$ we have:
(1) On the classes of operators from $L_{s}$ to $L_{p}, \Pi_{p} \neq \Pi_{p+\varepsilon}=\Pi_{s^{\prime}}=I_{\infty}=$ $I_{p+\varepsilon} \neq I_{p}$.
(2) On the classes of operators from $L_{p}$ to $L_{s}, \Pi_{p^{\prime}} \neq \prod_{p^{\prime}-\varepsilon}=\Pi_{1}=I_{s}=$ $\Delta_{s}=I_{p^{\prime}-\varepsilon} \neq I_{p^{\prime}}$.
(3) On the classes of operators from $L_{p}$ to $L_{r}, \Pi_{1}=\Pi_{p^{\prime}}=\Delta_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ (where $\left.\Delta_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(L_{p}, L_{r}\right)=\Delta_{p^{\prime}}\left(L_{r^{\prime}}, L_{p^{\prime}}\right)\right)$.

Proof. (1) It is sufficient to prove the results for the spaces $L_{p}[0,1]$ and $L_{s}[0,1]$ with the Lebesgue measure of $[0,1]$. Since $L_{p^{\prime}}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $L_{s}$, it follows by Corollary 1.4 that on $L_{p^{\prime}} \otimes L_{s}, \Delta_{s}, v_{s}$, and $\pi_{s}$ are equivalent. By duality, $\prod_{s^{\prime}}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)=I_{s^{\prime}}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)$. Let $T \in I_{s^{\prime}}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)$; then $T$ factors through some $L_{\infty}$-space, so there exist $A \in L\left(L_{s}, L_{\infty}\right), B \in \prod_{s^{\prime}}\left(L_{\infty}, L_{p}\right)$ such that $T=B A$. By Kwapien [13] $B \in \prod_{p+\varepsilon}\left(L_{\infty}, L_{p}\right)=I_{p+\varepsilon}\left(L_{\infty}, L_{p}\right)$, hence so also is $T$. All the equalities in (1) are obtained.

Let $u$ be a diagonal operator from $l_{p}$ to $l_{p^{\prime}}$ defined by $u\left(e_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i} e_{i}^{\prime}$. By L. Schwartz [22], $u$ is 1 -absolutely summing iff $\sum\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{p^{\prime}}\left(1+\ln \left(1 /\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right)\right)<\infty$. By Corollary 1.4, $u$ will be $p^{\prime}$-nuclear, or $p^{\prime}$-absolutely summing, iff $\sum\left|\lambda_{t}\right|^{p^{\prime}}<\infty$. Therefore $\Pi_{p^{\prime}}\left(l_{p}, l_{p^{\prime}}\right)=N_{p^{\prime}}\left(l_{p}, l_{p^{\prime}}\right) \neq \Pi_{1}\left(l_{p}, l_{p^{\prime}}\right)$. It is clear that this relation is maintained for ( $L_{p}, L_{p^{\prime}}$ ) as well.

Let $i$ be an isomorphic embedding of $L_{p^{\prime}}$ in $L_{s}$ and $T \operatorname{map} L_{p}$ to $L_{p^{\prime}}$ such that $v_{p^{\prime}}(T)=\pi_{p^{\prime}}(T)<\infty$ and $\pi_{1}(T)=\infty$. Then $\pi_{p^{\prime}}(i T)=\pi_{p^{\prime}}(T)=v_{p^{\prime}}(T) \geq$ $v_{p^{\prime}}(i T)$ and $\pi_{1}(i T)=\pi_{1}(T)=\infty$, so on $L_{p^{\prime}} \otimes L_{s}, \pi_{p^{\prime}}$ and $\pi_{1}$ are not equivalent. Using duality we get $I_{\infty}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right) \neq I_{p}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)$. We see also that on $L_{p^{\prime}} \otimes L_{s}, v_{p^{\prime}}$ and $\pi_{1}$ are not equivalent, hence $I_{\infty}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)=\pi_{p+\varepsilon}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right) \neq$ $\pi_{p}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)$.
(2) This follows from (1) by duality and Corollary 1.4.
(3) It is sufficient to prove the result for $L_{p}[0,1]$ and $L_{r}[0,1]$. This is equivalent to proving that on $L_{p^{\prime}} \otimes L_{r}, \pi_{1}, \pi_{p^{\prime}}$ and $\Delta_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ are equivalent, that is, on $L_{r} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}$, the norms $\pi_{1}^{\prime}, \pi_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, and $\Delta_{p^{\prime}}$ are equivalent. But $L_{r}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $L_{p^{\prime}}$ and by Corollary 1.4 on $L_{r} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}$ the above norms are indeed equivalent.

Let $T \in \prod_{p^{\prime}}\left(L_{r^{\prime}}, L_{p^{\prime}}\right)$ and $A \in L\left(L_{p^{\prime}}, L_{1}\right)$. We know that (see [20]) $\Pi_{p^{\prime}}\left(L_{r^{\prime}}, L_{p^{\prime}}\right)=\prod_{1}\left(L_{r^{\prime}}, L_{p^{\prime}}\right)$, so $A T \in \prod_{1}\left(L_{r^{\prime}}, L_{1}\right)$. But $L_{r^{\prime}}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of an $L_{1}$-space, so $\Pi_{1}\left(L_{r^{\prime}}, L_{1}\right)=\Delta_{1}\left(L_{r^{\prime}}, L_{1}\right)$ by Corollary 1.4. By Theorem 1.1, since $L_{r^{\prime}}$ is reflexive, we deduce that $\pi_{1}^{\prime}(T)<\infty$. Hence $\pi_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ are equivalent on $L_{r} \otimes L_{p^{\prime}}$, and the result follows.

Problem 1. Is there a number $a>s^{\prime}$ such that $\prod_{a}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)=\prod_{s^{\prime}}\left(L_{s}, L_{p}\right)$ ?
Remark. The formulas $\Pi_{1}\left(L_{p}, L_{s}\right)=\Delta_{s}\left(L_{p}, L_{s}\right)$ and $\Pi_{1}\left(L_{p}, L_{r}\right)=\Delta_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(L_{p}, L_{r}\right)$ generalize a similar result obtained by L. Schwartz [21] on the representation of diagonal radonifying operators (1-absolutely summing operators by our notation) from $l_{p}$ to $l_{s}$ and $l_{p}$ to $l_{r}$.
2. Interpolating some classes of operators between $L_{p}(\Omega, \mu, E)$ spaces

Let $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ be two norms defined on a given complex linear space $E$, and denote by $\beta(E)$ the family of all $E$ valued functions $F$ holomorphic and bounded with respect to both norms in a neighborhood $D$ of the strip $S=$ $\{z ; 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1\}$. As in [11, Chapter IV] we norm $\beta(E)$ as follows:

$$
\|F\|=\sup _{-\infty<t<\infty}\left\{\|F(i t)\|_{0},\|F(1+i t)\|_{1}\right\}
$$

For $0<\alpha<1$ let $\beta_{\alpha}(E)=\{F \in \beta(E) ; F(\alpha)=0\}$. $\beta_{\alpha}(E)$ is a linear subspace of $\beta(E)$. The norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are said to be consistent on $E$ if $\beta_{\alpha}(E)$ is closed in $\beta(E)$ for all $0<\alpha<1$, in which case $E$ can be renormed by

$$
\|x\|_{\alpha}=\inf \{\|F\| ; F \in \beta(E), F(\alpha)=x\} \quad(x \in E)
$$

$\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ is then called the interpolating norm and we denote by $E_{\alpha}$ the completion of the space $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}\right), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Corresponding to the pair of norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ on $E$ there is the pair of conjugate norms, which we shall also continue to denote by $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$, on the space of linear functionals defined on $E$. Denoting by $E^{*}$ the space

$$
\left(E_{0}^{\prime},\|\cdot\|_{0}\right) \cap\left(E_{1}^{\prime},\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)
$$

of linear functionals on $E$ continuous in both norms, we may also talk about the consistency of the (conjugate) norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ on $E^{*}$.

By [11], $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are consistent on $E$ if given any $0 \neq x \in E$ there exists $x^{*} \in E^{*}$ such that $x^{*}(x) \neq 0$. It follows therefore that $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are always consistent on $E^{*}$, since $E \subseteq E^{* *}$.

If $0<\alpha<1$ and both norms are consistent on $E$, the inclusion map $\left(E^{*}\right)_{\alpha} \rightarrow\left(E_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}$ has norm $\leq 1$. We shall say $E^{*}$ is a consistent norming space for $E$ if in addition to the consistency of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ on $E$, for every $0<\alpha<1$ and $x \in E$ the following equality is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\alpha}=\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| /\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\alpha} ; x^{\prime} \in\left(E^{*}\right)_{\alpha}\right\} . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

A well-known classical example is the following: let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a measure space, $1 \leq p_{0}, p_{1}<\infty$, and $E$ be the space $L_{p_{0}}(\mu) \cap L_{p_{1}}(\mu)$ which is equipped with the two norms

$$
\|\cdot\|_{j}=\|\cdot\|_{L_{p_{j}}}, \quad j=0,1
$$

Since for each $j=0,1, E$ is dense in $L_{p_{j}}$ in the $\|\cdot\|_{j}$ norm, we have

$$
E_{j}^{\prime}=\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{j}\right)^{\prime}=L_{p_{j}}^{\prime}(\mu)=L_{p_{j^{\prime}}}(\mu)
$$

Therefore, $E^{*}=L_{p_{0}{ }^{\prime}}(\mu) \cap L_{p_{1}{ }^{\prime}}(\mu)$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{j}(j=0,1)$ on $E^{*}$ coincides with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p_{j}}}$ on $E^{*}$.

It is well known that in this example the norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are consistent on $E$. Moreover, given any $0<\alpha<1$ and $x \in E, x^{\prime} \in E^{*}$, the interpolating norms of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are

$$
\|x\|_{\alpha}=\|x\|_{L_{p_{\alpha}}} \text { and }\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\alpha}=\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p_{\alpha^{\prime}}}} \quad \text { where } p_{\alpha}=\frac{p_{0} p_{1}}{\alpha p_{0}+(1-\alpha) p_{1}}
$$

Of course, equality (**) is also satisfied since $\left(E^{*}\right)_{\alpha}$ is dense in $L_{p_{\alpha}}$, so that we can say $E^{*}$ is a consistent norming space for $E$.

In the following proposition we require $E^{*}$ to separate the points of $E_{0}$, this is clearly so for the above example.

Proposition 2.1. Let $E$ be a linear space equipped with two norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ such that $E^{*}$ is a consistent norming space for $E$, and $E^{*}$ separates the points of $E_{0}$. Let $\Omega$ be a locally compact topological space, $\mu$ a positive Radon measure and let $1 \leq p_{0}, p_{1} \leq \infty$ with $p_{0}<\infty$. Let

$$
B=L_{p_{0}}\left(\Omega, \mu, E_{0}\right) \cap L_{p_{1}}\left(\Omega, \mu, E_{1}\right)
$$

and denote by $\left|\left||\cdot| \|_{j}\right.\right.$ the norm induced on $B$ by $\Delta_{p_{j}}, j=0,1$.
Then $\left|\left||\cdot| \|_{0}\right.\right.$ and $\left.|\right||\cdot| \|_{1}$ are consistent norms on $B$ and the interpolating norm $|I| \cdot \mid \|_{\alpha}(0<\alpha<1)$ coincides on $B$ with the norm induced by the space $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mu, E_{\alpha}\right)$ where $1 / p_{\alpha}=(1-\alpha) / p_{0}+\alpha / p_{1}$.

Proof. Let us first prove $\left||\cdot| \|_{0}\right.$ and $|\left||\cdot| \|_{1}\right.$ are consistent norms on $B$. If $0 \neq f \in B$, there is a set $A$ such that $\int_{A} f \neq 0$, and then there is $x^{*} \in E^{*}$ such that $\int_{A} x^{*} f \neq 0$ and so $\left\langle f, x^{*} \otimes \chi_{A}\right\rangle \neq 0$. Since $x^{*} \otimes \chi_{A}$ is continuous as a functional on $L_{p_{j}}\left(E_{j}\right)$ for $j=0$ and 1, the norms $\||\cdot|\|_{0}$ and $\|\mid \cdot\| \|_{1}$ are consistent.

The space $[B]$ of simple functions is dense in $B$, in the norm induced on $B$ by $L_{p_{\alpha}}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$; observe $B \subseteq L_{p_{\alpha}}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$, by the fact that for any $x \in E$ and $0<\alpha<1$, $\|x\|_{\alpha} \leq\|x\|_{0}^{1-\alpha}\|x\|_{1}^{\alpha}$, and so a simple application of Hölder's inequality shows any $f \in B$ must belong to $L_{p_{\alpha}}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$.

Thus it suffices to prove the equality of the norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{\alpha}$ and $\Delta_{p_{\alpha}}$ on [B]. Let $f=\sum_{r=1}^{n} x_{r} \otimes \chi_{A_{r}} \in[B]$, where $x_{r} \in E$ and $A_{r}$ are $\mu$-measurable mutually disjoint subsets of $\Omega$. Regard $f$ as an element in $L_{p_{\alpha}}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ and assume that its norm $\Delta_{p_{\alpha}}(f) \leq 1$.

Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $F_{r} \in \beta(E)$ such that

$$
F_{r}(\alpha)=x_{r} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|F_{r}\right\| \leq(1+\varepsilon)\left\|x_{r}\right\|_{\alpha}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(z)=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left\|F_{r}\right\|^{a(z-\alpha)} F_{r}(z) \otimes \chi_{A_{r}} \\
& \qquad \text { where } a=\frac{p_{0}-p_{1}}{\alpha p_{0}+(1-\alpha) p_{1}}\left(=1 /(\alpha-1) \text { if } p_{1}=\infty\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $G \in \beta(B)$ and $G(\alpha)=f$, and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|G(i y)\|_{0}=\left[\sum\left\|F_{r}\right\|^{-a \alpha p_{0}}\left\|F_{r}(i y)\right\|_{0}^{p_{0}} \mu\left(A_{r}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{0}} \\
& \leq {\left[\sum\left\|F_{r}\right\|^{p_{0}(1-a \alpha)} \mu\left(A_{r}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{0}} } \\
& \leq\left[\sum(1+\varepsilon)^{p_{\alpha}}\left\|x_{r}\right\|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \mu\left(A_{r}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{0}} \leq(1+\varepsilon)^{p_{\alpha} / p_{0}} \\
&\|G(1+i y)\|_{1}=\left[\sum\left\|F_{r}\right\|^{p_{1} a(1-\alpha)}\left\|F_{r}(1+i y)\right\|_{1}^{p_{1}} \mu\left(A_{r}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{0}} \\
& \leq\left[\sum\left\|F_{r}\right\|^{p_{\alpha}} \mu\left(A_{r}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{1}} \leq(1+\varepsilon)^{p_{\alpha} / p_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have thus proved

$$
\|\|f\|\|_{\alpha}=\inf \{\|G\| ; G \in \beta(B), G(\alpha)=f\} \leq \Delta_{p_{\alpha}}(f)
$$

To prove $\Delta_{p_{\alpha}}(f) \geq\| \| f \|_{\alpha}$, let $f$ be defined as above and assume $\Delta_{p_{\alpha}}(f)>1$. Then there exist $y_{r}^{\prime} \in\left(E^{*}\right)_{\alpha},\left\|y_{r}^{\prime}\right\|_{\alpha}<1+\varepsilon$ and $\left\langle x_{r}, y_{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle \geq\left\|x_{r}\right\|_{\alpha}$. Let

$$
g=\left(\Delta_{p_{\alpha}}(f)\right)^{1-p_{\alpha}} \sum\left\|x_{r}\right\|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}-1} y_{r}^{\prime} \otimes \chi_{A_{r}} .
$$

Clearly $\Delta_{p_{\alpha^{\prime}}}(g)<1+\varepsilon,\langle f, g\rangle>1$. Proceeding as in [11] it is seen that for any $F \in \beta(B)$ with $F(\alpha)=f,\|F\|>1$, so $\|f f\|_{\alpha} \geq \Delta_{p_{\alpha}}(f)$.

Remark. Proposition 2.1 was proved above for complex Banach spaces, the real case could be done by using the real interpolation method of Lions and Peetre [2]. Other forms of Proposition 2.1 were obtained by Calderon [3].

Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. An operator $u: E \rightarrow F$ is called $(p, q)$-absolutely summing if there exists $C$ such that for any finite subset $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n} \subset E$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\left(\sum\left\|u\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C \sup \left\{\left(\sum\left|\left\langle x_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} ;\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=1\right\}
$$

Let $\pi_{p, q}(u)=\inf C . \pi_{p, q}(u)$ is called the $(p, q)$-absolutely summing norm of $u$ (see [12] and [15]).

Proposition 2.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq p_{0}, p_{1} \leq \infty$ with $p_{0}<\infty$, and let $E$ be a linear space equipped with two norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ such that $E^{*}$ is a consistent norming space for $E$, and $E^{*}$ separates the points of $E_{0}$. Let $F$ be a normed linear space and $u: F \rightarrow E$ be a linear map. Given any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ denote by $u_{\alpha}$ the operator $u$ mapping $F$ to $E_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\pi_{p, p_{\alpha}}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \leq \pi_{p, p_{0}}^{1-\alpha}\left(u_{0}\right) \pi_{p, p_{1}}^{\alpha}\left(u_{1}\right)
$$

Proof. $\quad u_{j} \otimes 1$ as a map from $F \otimes_{\varepsilon}^{\hat{\varepsilon}} l_{p}$ to $E_{j} \otimes_{\Delta_{D_{j}}} l_{p_{j}}\left(=l_{p_{j}}\left(E_{j}\right)\right)$ has norm equal to $\pi_{p, p_{j}}\left(u_{j}\right), j=0,1$. As above, if $B=l_{p_{0}}\left(E_{0}\right) \cap l_{p_{1}}\left(E_{1}\right), u \otimes 1$ maps $F \otimes_{\varepsilon}^{\wedge} l_{p}$ to $\left(B,\left|\left||\cdot| \|_{\alpha}\right)\right.\right.$, hence its norm satisfies the inequality

$$
\|u \otimes 1\| \leq\left\|u_{0} \otimes 1\right\|^{1-\alpha}\left\|u_{1} \otimes 1\right\|^{\alpha} .
$$

By Proposition $2.1\left|\left||\cdot| \|_{\alpha}\right.\right.$ coincides with the norm induced on $B$ by $l_{p_{\alpha}}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$, and the proposition is established.

The last result is a useful tool for obtaining estimates on the $\pi_{p, q}$ norm in many cases. To illustrate this we have:

Corollary 2.3. Let $1 \leq s<p^{\prime} \leq 2,1 \leq r<p^{\prime}, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$. There exists a constant $a=a(r, p)$ such that if $v: L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow I_{q}(v)$ is a bounded operator which also belongs to the class $\Delta_{s}\left(L_{p}(\mu), L_{s}(v)\right)$, then for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, v$ is $(r, r /(1-\alpha))$-absolutely summing as a map from $L_{p}(\mu)$ to $L_{s_{\alpha}}(v)$, where $1 / s_{\alpha}=$ $(1-\alpha) / s+\alpha / q$. Moreover

$$
\pi_{r, r /(1-\alpha)}\left(v: L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{s_{\alpha}}(v) \leq\left[a \Delta_{s}\left(v: L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{s}(v)\right)\right]^{1-\alpha}\left\|v: L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{q}(v)\right\|^{\alpha},\right.
$$

and both sides in the inequality are equivalent for $\alpha=0$ or 1 .

Proof. By Corollary 1.7(2) we know that on the class of operators from $L_{p}(\mu)$ to $L_{s}(v)$ the norm $\pi_{r}$ is equivalent to $\pi_{s}=\Delta_{s}$. The equality is by $\left(^{*}\right)$ and Corollary 1.4; checking the proof we see that $\pi_{r}(v) \leq a \Delta_{s}(v)$, where $a$ depends only on $r$ and $p$.
$\pi_{r}\left(v: L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{s}(v)\right)$ is the norm of $v \otimes 1$ considered as a map from $L_{p}(\mu) \otimes_{\varepsilon}^{\wedge} l_{r}$ to $L_{s}(v) \otimes_{\Delta_{r}} l_{r}$, and $\left\|v: L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{q}(v)\right\|$ is the norm of $v \otimes 1$ considered as mapping $L_{p}(\mu) \otimes_{\varepsilon}^{\hat{\varepsilon}} l_{r}$ to $L_{q}(v) \otimes_{\hat{\Delta}_{\infty}} l_{\infty}$. The inequality follows by interpolating the norms of $v \otimes 1$.

Remarks. (1) The inequality implies that if $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ is the unit basis of $l_{s_{\alpha}}$ and $x_{i}^{\prime} \in l_{p^{\prime}}$, then the map $v=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i}^{\prime} \otimes e_{i}$ mapping $l_{p}$ to $l_{s_{\alpha}}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\pi_{r, r /(1-\alpha)}(v) \leq\left[a\left(\sum\left\|x_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{s}\right)^{1 / s}\right]^{1-\alpha}\left(\varepsilon_{q}\left(\left\{x_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)\right)^{\alpha} .
$$

(2) The results may also be extended to include similar inequalities between $\mathscr{L}_{p}$-spaces.

Lemma 2.4 Let $2 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $T$ be an operator from $l_{p}$ to $l_{q}$. Let $1 / r=1 / q-1 / p$ and $J: l_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow l_{p}$ be the inclusion map. Then:
(1) $v_{r}(T J) \leq\|T\|$.
(2) If $q=2$, then for any $1<s<\infty$ there is a constant $c_{s}$ such that $v_{s}(T J) \leq c_{s}\|T\|$.

Proof. In the proof we shall assume $p<\infty$, the case $p=\infty$ is proved in a similar manner. Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ be the canonical basis of $l_{q}$, and $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ the canonical basis of $l_{p}$, and assume $T\left(e_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i j} f_{i}$ for any $j$. If $x=\sum x_{j} e_{j}$ has norm 1, then

$$
\|T(x)\|=\left(\sum_{i}\left|\sum_{j} a_{i j} x_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq\|T\| .
$$

Therefore if $r_{j}(t)$ is the $j$ th Rademacher function on $[0,1]$,

$$
\sum_{i}\left|\sum_{\cdot j} a_{i j} x_{j} r_{j}(t)\right|^{q} \leq\|T\|^{q} \quad \text { for all } t
$$

and integrating this inequality with respect to $t$ we obtain by Khintchine's inequality

$$
\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{j}\left|a_{i j} x_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{q / 2} \leq\|T\|^{q}
$$

but $q \geq 2$, so that $\sum_{i} \Sigma_{j}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{q}\left|x_{j}\right|^{q} \leq\|T\|^{q}$, and minimizing this expression over all $x \in l_{p}$ with norm 1 we get

$$
\sum_{j}\left(\sum_{i}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{q}\right)^{r / q} \leq\|T\|^{r}
$$

that is, $\Delta_{r}\left(J^{\prime} T^{\prime}\right) \leq\|T\|$. Since $l_{q}$ is a quotient of $L_{r}$, it follows that $\Delta_{r}\left(J^{\prime} T^{\prime}\right)=$ $\pi_{r}(T J)=v_{r}(T J)$, which proves (1).

To prove (2) observe that inequality ( $\Delta$ ) can be written as $\sum\left\|T\left(e_{j}\right)\right\|^{r} \leq$ $\|T\|^{r}$, where now $q=2$ and $1 / r=\frac{1}{2}-1 / p$. With the aid of the Rademacher functions there is a bounded operator $P: L_{s}[0,1] \rightarrow l_{2}$ such that if $I$ is the injections of $C[0,1]$ to $L_{s}[0,1]$, then $Q=P I$ maps $C[0,1]$ onto $l_{2}[10]$. Hence $l_{2}$ is isomorphic to $C[0,1] / Q^{-1}(0)$, therefore there are $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset C[0,1]$ such that $Q\left(x_{k}\right)=T\left(e_{k}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{k}\right\| \leq K\left\|T\left(e_{k}\right)\right\|$ (where $K$ is independent of $k$ ).

Since $\sum\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{r}$ converges, the map $b_{i} \rightarrow x_{i}\left(b_{i}\right.$ the canonical basis of $\left.l_{r^{\prime}}\right)$ defines a bounded operator $R: l_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow C[0,1]$. Then the diagram

is commutative, so $T J$ is $s$-integral and since $l_{r}$, is reflexive $T J$ is $s$-nuclear [17].
Corollary 2.5. Let $1<s<\infty, 2 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 / r=\frac{1}{2}-1 / p$. Then, for any $0<\alpha<1,1 \leq t \leq \infty$, if $T$ is a linear operator such that $T$ : $l_{p} \rightarrow l_{2}$ and $T: l_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow l_{t}$ are bounded, then the operator

$$
T: l_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow l_{t_{\alpha}}\left(1 / t_{\alpha}=(1-\alpha) / 2+\alpha / t\right)
$$

satisfies the inequality

$$
\pi_{s, s /(1-\alpha)}\left(T: l_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow l_{t_{\alpha}}\right) \leq c_{s}^{1-\alpha}\left\|T: l_{p} \rightarrow l_{2}\right\|^{1-\alpha} \| \quad: r_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow
$$

where $c_{s}$ depends only on $s$.
Proof. Apply Lemma 2.4 with the fact that $\pi_{s}(T J) \leq v_{s}(T J) \leq c_{s}\|T\|$, so that the map $T \otimes 1$ of $l_{r^{\prime}} \otimes_{\varepsilon}^{\hat{\varepsilon}} l_{s}$ to $l_{2} \otimes_{\Delta_{s}} l_{s}\left(=l_{s}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)$ has norm $\leq c_{s}\left\|T: l_{p} \rightarrow l_{2}\right\|$, and $T \otimes 1$ considered as mapping $l_{r} \cdot \otimes_{\varepsilon} \hat{\varepsilon} l_{s}$ to $l_{t} \otimes_{\hat{\Delta}_{\infty}} l_{\infty}\left(=l_{\infty}\left(l_{t}\right)\right)$ has norm equal to $\left\|T: l_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow l_{t}\right\|$. Finally, the result is obtained by interpolation.

Corollary 2.6. Let $E$ be as in Proposition 2.1 and let $1 \leq p_{j} \leq q_{j} \leq \infty$ $(j=0,1)$ be arbitrary numbers. Let $K$ be a compact Hausdorff space and let $u: C \rightarrow E$ be a linear map $(C=C(K))$. Then for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$
\pi_{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}}\left(u: C \rightarrow E_{\alpha}\right) \leq \pi_{p_{0}, q_{0}}^{1-\alpha}\left(u: C \rightarrow E_{0}\right) \pi_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{\alpha}\left(u: C \rightarrow E_{1}\right),
$$

where $1 / p_{\alpha}=(1-\alpha) / p_{0}+\alpha / p_{1}, 1 / q_{\alpha}=(1-\alpha) / q_{0}+\alpha / q_{1}$.
Proof. If $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty, \pi_{p, q}(u: C \rightarrow F)$, for any Banach space $F$, is seen to be equal to the norm of the operator $\tilde{u}$ mapping $C\left(K, l_{p}\right)$, the space of continuous $l_{p}$ valued functions on $K$ equipped with the sup norm, to $l_{q}(F)$ defined by

$$
\tilde{u}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(\cdot) e_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u\left(f_{i}\right) \otimes \tilde{e}_{i}
$$

where $f_{i} \in C,\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{e}_{i}\right\}$ are the unit bases of $l_{p}$ and $l_{q}$, respectively.

This observation on the interpolating technique described earlier yields the inequality.

Remark. The referee noted that Corollary 2.6 was obtained for $p_{j}=q_{j}$ in [4]. Note that Corollary 2.6 differs from the previous results in that it allows to "interpolate" both subscripts $(p, q)$ in the norm $\pi_{p, q}$ when the domain space is $C(K)$.

Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty, F$ and $G$ be normed linear spaces. An operator $u: F \rightarrow L_{p}(\Omega, \mu, G)$ is called $q$-cylindrical of type $p$ (see [16]) if there is a constant $C$ such that for every finite sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n} \subset E$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\left(\int\left(\sum\left\|u\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{p / q}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(\sum\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} .
$$

Let $c_{p, q}(u)=\inf C$. This is clearly the norm of

$$
\tilde{u}: l_{q}(E) \rightarrow l_{q}(G) \otimes_{\hat{\Delta}_{p}} L_{p}(\Omega, \mu)
$$

which is defined by: $\tilde{u}\left(\sum x_{i} \otimes e_{i}\right)=\sum u\left(x_{i}\right)(\cdot) \otimes e_{i}$, where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is the unit basis of $l_{q}$ for $q<\infty$ and $x_{i} \in E$, with the obvious convention for $q=\infty$.

If $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$ and if $G$ is reflexive, then $l_{q}(G)$ has the R.N.P., hence by [1],

$$
\left(L_{p}\left(l_{q}(G)\right)\right)^{\prime}=L_{p^{\prime}}\left(l_{q^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

(this equality is also true for any $G$ if $\Omega$ is discrete). Therefore in this case $c_{p, q}(u)$ is the norm of $\tilde{u}^{\prime}: L_{p^{\prime}}\left(l_{q^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow l_{q^{\prime}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$. In the particular case $p=1$, $1 \leq q<\infty$, it follows from the proof of Corollary 2.6 that for any $u: E \rightarrow$ $L_{1}(\mu),\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|=\pi_{q^{\prime}}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$, so $c_{1, q}(u)=\pi_{q^{\prime}}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$, a result obtained differently in [19, Theorem 1].

It is interesting to recall the following characterization of $c_{p, q}(u)$ proved in [16, Theorem 8].

Theorem 2.7. Let $u: F \rightarrow L_{p}(\Omega, \mu, G)$ be a bounded operator, $1 \leq p \leq$ $q \leq \infty, 1 / p=1 / r+1 / q$, and given any $g \in L_{r}(\Omega, \mu)$ let $T_{g}: L_{q}(\Omega, \mu, G) \rightarrow$ $L_{p}(\Omega, \mu, G)$ be the map defined by $T_{g}(f)=g f$. Then $c_{p, q}(u)$ is equal to the least of all possible values $\|v\|\left\|T_{g}\right\|$ where $T_{g} v=u$ and $v: F \rightarrow L_{q}(G)$ is a bounded operator.

As done for $\pi_{p, q}$ it is possible to interpolate the constants $c_{p, q}$ using the following result.

Theorem 2.8. Let $F, G$ be linear spaces each equipped with two norms denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{F_{j}},\|\cdot\|_{G_{j}}$, respectively, $(j=0,1)$, such that $F^{*}$ and $G^{*}$ are consistent norming spaces for $F$ and $G$ and separate the points of $F_{0}$ and $G_{0}$, respectively. Let $\Omega$ be a locally compact space, $\mu$ a positive Radon measure on $\Omega$ and let $1 \leq p_{j} \leq q_{j} \leq \infty$ with $q_{0}<\infty$. Let $B=L_{p_{0}}\left(G_{0}\right) \cap L_{p_{1}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $u$ be a linear map from $F$ to $B$ such that

$$
c_{j}=c_{p_{j}, q_{j}}\left(u: F_{j} \rightarrow L_{p_{j}}\left(G_{j}\right)\right)<\infty \quad(j=0,1)
$$

Then for every $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$
c_{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}}\left(u: F_{\alpha} \rightarrow L_{p_{\alpha}}\left(G_{\alpha}\right)\right) \leq c_{0}^{1-\alpha} c_{1}^{\alpha}
$$

Proof. The space $H=l_{q_{0}}\left(G_{0}\right) \cap l_{q_{1}}\left(G_{1}\right)$ has two norms induced by $\Delta_{q j}=|\cdot|$ ( $j=0,1$ ), and by Proposition 2.1 the interpolating norm $|\cdot|_{\alpha}$ on $H$ coincides with the norm induced by $l_{q_{\alpha}}\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$. Similarly for the two norms on $E=l_{q_{0}}\left(F_{0}\right) \cap$ $l_{q_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)$.

By Proposition 2.1, $H^{*}$ is a consistent norming space for $H$, and since $G^{*}$ separates points in $G_{0}, H^{*}$ separates the points in $H_{0}$. Let $D=L_{p_{0}}\left(H_{0}\right) \cap$ $L_{p_{1}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left|\left||\cdot| \|_{j}\right.\right.$ be the norm induced by $L_{p_{j}}\left(H_{j}\right)$ on $D$. By Proposition 2.1 the interpolating norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{\alpha}$ is the norm induced on $D$ by $L_{p_{\alpha}}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)$.

Let $\tilde{u}_{j}: l_{q_{j}}\left(F_{j}\right) \rightarrow L_{p_{j}}\left(H_{j}\right)(j=0,1)$ be the map $\tilde{u}$ defined by

$$
\tilde{u}\left(\sum x_{i} \otimes e_{i}\right)=\sum u\left(x_{i}\right)(\cdot) \otimes e_{i}
$$

It is clear that $\left\|\tilde{u}_{j}\right\|=c_{p_{j} q_{j}}\left(u: F_{j} \rightarrow L_{p_{j}}\left(G_{j}\right)\right)$. If $f=\sum x_{i} \otimes e_{i} \in E$, then by Proposition 2.1, $|f|_{\alpha}=\left(\sum\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{F_{\alpha}}^{q_{\alpha}}\right)^{1 / q_{\alpha}}$, and since $\tilde{u}(f) \in D$,

$$
\|\tilde{u}(f)\|_{\alpha}=\left(\int\left(\sum\left\|u\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|_{G_{\alpha}}^{q_{\alpha}}{ }^{p_{\alpha} / q_{\alpha}}\right)^{1 / p_{\alpha}}\right.
$$

The operator $\tilde{u}$ maps $E$ to $D$ and is bounded as

$$
\left(E,|\cdot|_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}}\left(D,\left|\left||\cdot| \|_{j}\right)\right.\right.
$$

with norm $\leq\left\|\tilde{u}_{j}\right\|$. Therefore $\tilde{u}$ is bounded as a map from $\left(E,|\cdot|_{\alpha}\right)$ to ( $D, \mid\|\cdot\| \|_{\alpha}$ ) and its norm satisfies $\left\|\tilde{u}_{\alpha}\right\| \leq\left\|\tilde{u}_{0}\right\|^{1-\alpha}\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|^{\alpha}$. It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\|\tilde{u}(f) \mid\|_{\alpha}\right. & =\left(\int\left(\sum\left\|u\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|_{G_{\alpha}}^{q_{\alpha}}\right)^{p_{\alpha} / q_{\alpha}}\right)^{1 / p_{\alpha}} \\
& \leq\left\|\tilde{u}_{\alpha}\right\||f|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq\left\|\tilde{u}_{0}\right\|^{1-\alpha}\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|^{\alpha}\left(\sum\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{F_{\alpha}}^{q_{\alpha}}\right)^{1 / q_{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this completes the proof.
As a consequence to Theorem 2.8 we have the following example:
Example 2.9. Let $p_{0}=1 \leq p_{1}, q_{0}, q_{1}, r_{0}, r_{1} \leq \infty, p_{1}=q_{1}$. For any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, let $1 / r_{\alpha}=(1-\alpha) / r_{0}+\alpha / r_{1}$ and let $p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}$ be similarly defined. Assume $u: l_{r_{1}} \rightarrow l_{p_{1}}$ is a bounded operator such that $\pi_{q 0^{\prime}}\left(u^{\prime}: l_{\infty} \rightarrow l_{r_{0}}\right)<\infty$. Then

$$
c_{p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}}\left(u: l_{r_{\alpha}} \rightarrow l_{p_{\alpha}}\right) \leq\left\|u: l_{r_{1}} \rightarrow l_{p_{1}}\right\|^{\alpha} \pi_{q_{0}^{\prime}}^{1-\alpha}\left(u^{\prime}: l_{\infty} \rightarrow l_{r_{0}}\right)
$$

Proof. By the remark following the definition of $c_{p, q}$,

$$
\pi_{q_{0}}\left(u^{\prime}: l_{\infty} \rightarrow l_{r_{0}^{\prime}}\right)=c_{p_{0}, q_{0}}\left(u: l_{r_{0}} \rightarrow l_{p_{0}}\right)
$$

and clearly $\left\|u: l_{r_{1}} \rightarrow l_{p_{1}}\right\|=c_{p_{1}, q_{1}}\left(u: l_{r_{1}} \rightarrow l_{p_{1}}\right)$; this observation and Theorem 2.8 yield the result.

## 3. Unconditional structures of ideals of operators from $I_{1}$ to $I_{2}$

In this section we investigate the unconditional structure of the spaces $L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)$ normed by an arbitrary ideal norm $\alpha$. We recall the following definition of [8]: Given a real Banach space $E$, let

$$
l(E)=\sup _{F \in \mathscr{F}(E)} \inf _{\left\{P_{i}\right\}} \sup _{N, \pm}\left\|\sum_{i}^{N} \pm \sqrt{r\left(P_{i}\right)} P_{i}\right\|
$$

where $\mathscr{F}(E)$ is the collection of all finite-dimensional subspaces of $E$, the infimum ranges over all possible sequences $\left\{P_{\imath}\right\}$ of operators from $F$ to $E$ satisfying the equality $\sum P_{i}(f)=f$ for all $f \in F$, and $r(P)$ denotes the rank of an operator $P$.

If $E$ is finite-dimensional, then clearly

$$
l(E)=\inf _{\left\{P_{i}\right\} N, \pm} \sup _{N}\left\|\sum_{i}^{N} \pm \sqrt{r\left(P_{i}\right)} P_{i}\right\|
$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ of operators from $E$ to $E$ satisfying the equality $\sum P_{i}(f)=f$ for all $f \in E$.

It is clear that if $E$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a Banach space $X$, where $X$ has an unconditional Schauder decomposition into spaces all having the same finite dimension $p$, then $l(E)<\infty$. Examples of spaces with $l(E)=\infty$ were given in [8]. A new example will be provided by Corollary 3.2. First, we introduce the following notation: $R^{n}$ will denote the $n$-dimensional linear space, $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ its usual unit basis. Given any vector $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ with $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1, h_{\varepsilon}$ will denote the operator defined by $h_{\varepsilon}\left(e_{i}\right)=\varepsilon_{i} e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. For any permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, g_{\sigma}$ will denote the operator defined by $g_{\sigma}\left(e_{i}\right)=e_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i$.
$G$ will be the compact group of isometries of $l_{2}^{n}, d g$ the unique normalized Haar measure on $G$. $S$ will denote the sphere $\left\{x \in l_{2}^{n} ;\|x\|_{2}=1\right\}$, $d x$ will be the measure on $S$ defined by

$$
\int_{S} f(x) d x=\int_{G} f(g(e)) d g, \quad f \in C(S)
$$

where $e \in S$ is any fixed point. All spaces are taken here over the reals. If $\alpha$ is an ideal norm, $\alpha(E)$ will denote the value $\alpha\left(1_{E}\right)$ where $1_{E}$ is the identity operator on $E$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha$ be an ideal norm and $E=\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)$. Then

$$
l(E) \geq \pi^{-1} \sup _{0 \neq B \in E} \alpha(B) /\|B\|
$$

Proof. The topological dual of $E$ is $E^{\prime}=\left(L\left(l_{2}^{n}, l_{1}^{n}\right), \alpha^{\Delta}\right)$. Let $u=\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}$ be any rank-m operator from $E^{\prime}$ to $E^{\prime}$, where $A_{k} \in E$ and $B_{k} \in E^{\prime}$. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ be the canonical bases of $l_{2}^{n}$ and $l_{1}^{n}$, respectively. Let

$$
B_{k}\left(e_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{k i j} f_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{k}\left(f_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{k i j} e_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m
$$

Let $A \in E^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary nonzero operator. Consider the probability measure $\mu$ on $K=K_{E^{\prime}} \times K_{E}$ defined by

$$
\mu(f)=\frac{2^{-2 n}}{n!} \sum_{\varepsilon, \theta} \int_{S} \int_{G} \sum_{\pi} f\left(\left(\frac{h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g}{\alpha^{\Delta}(A)}\right) \times(\theta \otimes x)\right) d g d x
$$

$f \in C(K)$, where the first $\sum$ ranges over all $2^{2 n}$ possible choices of vectors $\varepsilon, \theta$ of the form $( \pm 1, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm 1)$, the second $\sum$ ranges over all $n$ ! possible permutations $\pi$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

The operator $u$ defines a function of $C(K)$ which we denote by $\langle u, \cdot\rangle$ and define by

$$
\langle u, a \times b\rangle=\langle u(a), b\rangle=\operatorname{trace}(b(u(a))), \quad a \in K_{E^{\prime}}, b \in K_{E} .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\Delta}(A) u(|\langle u, \cdot\rangle|) & =\frac{2^{-2 n}}{n!} \int_{S} \int_{G} \sum_{\varepsilon, \theta} \sum_{\pi}\left|\left\langle u\left(h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g\right), \theta \otimes x\right\rangle\right| d g d x \\
& =\frac{2^{-2 n}}{n!} \int_{S} \int_{G} \sum_{\pi} \sum_{\varepsilon, \theta}\left|\left\langle\left(u\left(h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g\right)\right)(x), \theta\right\rangle\right| d g d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Khintchine's inequality, if $y \in l_{2}^{n}$ then $2^{-n} \sum_{\theta}|\langle y, \theta\rangle| \geq 2^{-1 / 2}\|y\|_{2}$ (the constant $2^{-1 / 2}$ is due to [25]) and denoting by $v$ the operator $u\left(h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g\right)$ mapping $l_{2}^{n}$ to $l_{1}^{n}$, writing $v\left(e_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{j i} f_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S} 2^{-n} \sum_{\theta}|\langle v(x), \theta\rangle| d x & \geq 2^{-1 / 2} \int_{S}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{j i} x_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d x \\
& \geq 2^{-1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{S}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{j i} x_{j}\right| d x\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{2^{-1 / 2}}{\pi_{1}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right)}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} v_{i j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{i j} & =\left(u\left(h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g\right)\right)_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} \operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g A_{k}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{trace}\left\{h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and denoting by $\left\{f_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ the unit basis of $l_{\infty}^{n}$, and setting $w=g_{\pi} A g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\right)$, we get again by Khintchine's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon}\left|\operatorname{trace}\left(h_{\varepsilon} w\right)\right| & =2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon}\left|\sum \varepsilon_{r} w_{r r}\right| \\
& \geq 2^{-1 / 2}\left(\sum w_{r r}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =2^{-1 / 2}\left(\sum\left\langle w\left(f_{r}\right), f_{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thus we obtain

$2^{1 / 2} \pi_{1}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right) \alpha^{\Delta}(A) \mu(|\langle u, \cdot\rangle|)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi} \int_{G} 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon}\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left\{h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\right)\right\}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d g \\
& \geq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi} \int_{G}\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon}\left|\operatorname{trace}\left\{h_{\varepsilon} g_{\pi} A g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\right)\right\}\right|\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d g \\
& \left.\geq \frac{2^{-1 / 2}}{n!} \sum_{\pi} \int_{G}\left[\sum_{i, j, r=1}^{n}\left\langle g_{\pi} A g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\right)\left(f_{r}\right), f_{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right]^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d g \\
& \geq \frac{2^{-1 / 2}}{n!} \sum_{\pi}\left[\sum_{i, j, r=1}^{n}\left(\int_{G}\left|\left\langle g_{\pi} A g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\right)\left(f_{r}\right), f_{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| d g\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\int_{G}|\langle g(a), b\rangle| d g=\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(l_{2}^{\eta}\right)\|a\|_{2}\|b\|_{2}$ (cf. [5]) for any two vectors $a, b \in l_{2}^{n}$, we obtain
$2 \pi_{1}^{2}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right) \alpha^{\Delta}(A) \mu(|\langle u, \cdot\rangle|)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi}\left[\sum_{i, j, r=1}^{n}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\left(f_{r}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi}\left\|A^{\prime} g_{\pi}^{\prime}\left(f_{r}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq\left[\sum_{i, j, r=1}^{n}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{k i j} A_{k}\left(f_{r}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi}\left\|A^{\prime} g_{\pi}^{\prime}\left(f_{r}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n}\left[\sum_{i, j, r, s=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k r s} b_{k i j}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\|A^{\prime}\left(f_{t}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now observe that $\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\|A^{\prime}\left(f_{t}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=\Delta_{1}(A)=v_{1}(A)$ since $A$ maps $l_{2}^{n}$ to $l_{1}^{n}$. We shall also use the following inequality proved in [8, Lemma 2]: Let $x_{k}, y_{k}$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, be vectors in $R^{m}$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{k}, y_{k}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \leq m \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{k}, y_{j}\right\rangle^{2}
$$

The last inequality implies that

$$
\left[\sum_{i, j, r, s=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k r s} b_{k i j}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \geq m^{-1 / 2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{k i j} b_{k j i}\right|=m^{-1 / 2}|\operatorname{trace}(u)|
$$

Finally, we have proved that for every $A: l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow l_{1}^{n}$,

$$
n m^{1 / 2} 2 \pi_{1}^{2}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right) \alpha^{\Delta}(A) \mu(|\langle u, \cdot\rangle|) \geq|\operatorname{trace}(u)| v_{1}(A)
$$

By duality we have for every $B: l_{1}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n}$,

$$
n m^{1 / 2} 2 \pi_{1}^{2}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right)\|B\| \mu(|\langle u, \cdot\rangle|) \geq|\operatorname{trace}(u)| \alpha(B) .
$$

Let now $P_{i} \in L\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$. Then since $\mu$ is a probability measure we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n \pi_{1}^{2}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right)\|B\| \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \pm \sqrt{r\left(P_{i}\right)} P_{i}\right\| & \geq 2 n \pi_{1}^{2}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right)\|B\| \mu\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{r\left(P_{i}\right)}\left|\left\langle P_{i}, \cdot\right\rangle\right|\right) \\
& \geq \alpha(B) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{trace}\left(P_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so if $\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i}$ is the identity operator on $E^{\prime}$, and as $\operatorname{dim}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=n^{2}$ and $\pi_{1}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right) \leq$ $\sqrt{\pi n / 2}$ [5] we have

$$
\pi\|B\| \max _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \pm \sqrt{r\left(P_{i}\right)} P_{i}\right\| \geq \alpha(B)
$$

which implies the required result on $l(E)$.
Denote by $\left(L_{0}(E, F), \alpha\right)$ the closure in the $\alpha$ norm of the finite-rank operators from $E$ to $F$.

Corollary 3.2. If $\alpha$ is a perfect ideal norm not equivalent to the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the space of operators from $l_{1}$ to $l_{2}$, then

$$
l\left(\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { and } \quad l\left(\left(L_{0}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \alpha\right)\right)=\infty
$$

Proof. It follows from the definition that if $X$ and $Y$ are normed spaces and if

$$
A: X \rightarrow Y \text { and } B: Y \rightarrow X
$$

are operators such that $B A$ is the identity on $X$, then $l(X) \leq l(Y)\|A\|\|B\|$.
Since $E_{n}=\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)$ is isometric to a norm-one complemented subspace of $\left(L_{0}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \alpha\right)$, it is sufficient to prove that $l\left(E_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $l\left(E_{n}\right) \leq$ $\lambda<\infty$ for every $n=1,2, \ldots$ Then $\|B\| \leq \alpha(B) \leq \lambda \pi\|B\|$ for every $B: l_{1}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n}$, and as $\alpha$ is perfect, also for every $B: l_{1} \rightarrow l_{2}$, which is a contradiction.

Remarks. (1) If $\alpha$ is any ideal norm and $C: l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow l_{\infty}^{n}$, then from Theorem 3.1 we obtain the inequality

$$
\|C\| \leq \alpha(C)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \leq \pi\|C\| l\left(\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)=\pi\|C\| l\left(\left(L\left(l_{2}^{n}, l_{\infty}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)\right)
$$

and the analogue of Corollary 3.2 is also obvious.
(2) If $X$ is a finite-dimensional normed space then clearly $l(X)=l\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, hence for any ideal norm $\alpha$,

$$
l\left(\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha^{\Delta}\right)\right)=l\left(\left(L\left(l_{2}^{n}, l_{1}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)\right)
$$

and so if $D: l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow l_{1}^{n}$ is an arbitrary map we get the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{1}(D) & =\alpha(D) \\
& =\sup \left\{\operatorname{trace}(u D) / \alpha^{\Delta}(u) ; u \in L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq\left[\pi l\left(\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha^{\Delta}\right)\right)\right]^{-1} \sup \left\{\operatorname{trace}(u D) /\|u\| ; u \in L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left[\pi l\left(\left(L\left(l_{2}^{n}, l_{1}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)\right)\right]^{-1} i_{1}(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Remark (1) it follows that for any $P: l_{\infty}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n}$,

$$
i_{1}(P) \geq \alpha(P) \geq i_{1}(P) / \pi l\left(\left(L\left(l_{\infty}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)\right)
$$

Results analogous to Corollary 3.2 are now easily derived. The following theorem provides information on the rate of growth of the dimensions in many unconditional Schauder decomposition of $\left(L_{0}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \alpha\right)$ into finite-dimensional spaces.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\alpha_{n}=\max \left\{\alpha(B) /\|B\| ; B \in L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)\right\}$. Assume $\left(L_{0}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \alpha\right)$ has an unconditional Schauder decomposition into finite-dimensional spaces $E_{i}$ having the following property: For every $n$, there is a subset of integers $I_{n}$ such that ( $\left.L_{0}\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)$, considered as a natural subspace of $\left(L_{0}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \alpha\right)$, is a subspace of $\sum_{i \in I_{n}} \oplus E_{i}$ and $\sup _{i \in I_{n}} \operatorname{dim}\left(E_{i}\right)=p_{n}<\infty$. Then $\left\{\alpha_{n} / \sqrt{p_{n}}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence.

Proof. Fix $n$ and consider the factorization

$$
\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right) \xrightarrow{J_{n}}\left(L_{0}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \alpha\right) \xrightarrow{P_{i}} E_{i} \xrightarrow{T_{i}}\left(L_{0}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right), \alpha\right) \xrightarrow{Q_{n}}\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)
$$

where $i \in I_{n}, J_{n}$ and $T_{i}$ are the inclusion operators, $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ the natural projections. Let $R_{i}=Q_{n} T_{i} P_{i} J_{n}$; then $r\left(R_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(E_{i}\right) \leq p_{n}$ and $\sum_{i \in I_{n}} R_{i}(x)=x$ for all $x \in L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\infty & >\sup _{ \pm, N}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \pm P_{i}\right\| \\
& \geq \sup _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i \in I_{n}} \pm P_{i}\right\| \\
& \geq \sup _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i \in I_{n}} \pm R_{i}\right\| \\
& \geq p_{n}^{-1 / 2} \sup _{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i \in I_{n}} \pm \sqrt{r\left(R_{i}\right)} R_{i}\right\| \\
& \geq p_{n}^{-1 / 2} l\left(\left(L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)\right) \\
& \geq p_{n}^{-1 / 2} \alpha_{n} \pi^{-1} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

the assertion is established.
Remarks. (1) Similar results may be obtained for the spaces considered in Remarks (1), (2).
(2) If $B: l_{1}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n}$ then for any ideal norm $\alpha$,

$$
\alpha(B) \leq i_{1}(B)=i_{1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(B^{\prime}\right) \leq\|B\| \pi_{1}\left(l_{2}^{n}\right) \leq \sqrt{\pi n / 2}\|B\|
$$

and if $j: l_{1}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n}$ is the inclusion map

$$
\max \left\{i_{1}(B) /\|B\|: B \in L\left(l_{1}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)\right\} \geq i_{1}(j) \geq \operatorname{trace}\left(j j^{-1}\right) /\left\|j^{-1}\right\|=n / \sqrt{n}=\sqrt{n}
$$

Therefore $\sqrt{n} \leq \sup \left\{\alpha_{n} ; \alpha\right.$ is an ideal norm $\} \leq \sqrt{\pi n / 2}$.

The following natural question arises:
Problem 2. If $r, p \notin\{1,2, \infty\}$ characterize all ideal norms $\alpha$ (if any exist) such that if $E_{n}=\left(L\left(l_{r}^{n}, l_{p}^{n}\right), \alpha\right)$ then $\sup _{n} l\left(E_{n}\right)<\infty$.

The cases $\{r, p\}=\{1,2\}$ or $\{2, \infty\}$ have been solved here. The cases $\{r, p\}=\{1, \infty\}$ or $\{2,2\}$ were established in [8].

If $r, p \notin\{1,2, \infty\}$ and $l_{r}$, is an $S Q L_{p}$-space it follows by the results of Section 2 , that $v_{p}$ is (the only ideal norm up to equivalence) equivalent to $\Delta_{p}$ on $l_{r^{\prime}} \otimes l_{p}$, and clearly the unconditional basis constant of $l_{r^{\prime}} \otimes_{\Delta_{p}} l_{p}$ is equal to 1 . Problem 1 is open even in this case. However, if $l_{r^{\prime}}$ is not an $S Q L_{p^{\prime}}$-space, even the existence of an ideal norm $\alpha$ such that $\sup _{n} l\left(E_{n}\right)<\infty$ is open.
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