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## Introduction

In this paper we deal with the following theorem proved by M. Auslander in [2], [3]. If $\Lambda$ is an Artin algebra with the Loewy length of $\Lambda, \mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$, $M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}$ where $r_{\Lambda}=\operatorname{Rad} \Lambda$ and $\Gamma=$ End $(M)^{\mathrm{op}}$, then the global dimension of $\Gamma$, gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma \leq n$. The main goal of this paper is to prove that this inequality is optimal. In fact we prove the following. Given $n \geq m \geq 2$ there exists an Artin algebra $\Lambda$ with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$ and such that gl. $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}(M)^{\mathrm{op}}=m$ where $M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}$ with

$$
r_{\Lambda}=\operatorname{Rad} \Lambda
$$

We then, as an easy corollary, get that the inequality in the theorem referred to above is optimal. In the proof of this result we will use a description of the module category of the ring

$$
T_{2}(\Lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda & 0 \\
\Lambda & \Lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

for a ring $\Lambda$ in terms of the $\Lambda$-modules, taken from [4]. The ring

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda & 0 \\
\Lambda & \Lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the natural one we get by usual matrix operation. We will after this description give some properties of $\Lambda$ which are invariant under $T_{2}$, and at last show that a full lower triangular matrix ring over a field satisfies these properties. Before we start with the lemmas which lead to the main result, we are going to refer in Section 1 some results from [2] and [3] and give some of the notation used in the rest of the paper. The reader is referred to [1] and [5] for general background in ring theory and homological algebra.

Section 2 is devoted to proving our main result.
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## 1. Preliminaries

We shall here recall some of the results from [2], [3] that we shall need in this paper.

Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra, $M$ a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module and $\Gamma=\operatorname{End}(M)^{\text {op }}$. Now $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M,-)=(M,-)$ is a functor from $\bmod (\Lambda)$, the category of finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules, to $\bmod (\Gamma)$. Let add $M$ be the full subcategory of $\bmod (\Lambda)$ consisting of the modules $A$ which are summands of finite sums of copies of $M$. Then ( $M,-$ ) induces an equivalence between add $M$ and the full subcategory of $\bmod (\Gamma)$ consisting of the projective modules. Now let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra with the Loewy length of $\Lambda, \mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$,

$$
M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}
$$

where $r_{\Lambda}=\operatorname{Rad} \Lambda$ and $\Gamma=\operatorname{End}(M)^{\mathrm{op}}$. In [6] is given a complete description of what the $\Gamma$ look like, but here we only need the following property. The indecomposable projective $\Gamma$-modules $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ which contain no proper projective submodule have a unique composition series with nonisomorphic composition factors. Further, if $P^{\prime}$ is an indecomposable projective $\Gamma$-module there is a unique $P_{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, such that $P^{\prime} / r_{\Gamma} P^{\prime}$ is a composition factor of $P_{i}$, where $r_{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Rad} \Gamma$. For the sake of completeness we sketch the proof here. The $P_{i}^{\prime}$ in the proposition are exactly the projective $\Gamma$-modules $\left(M, Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i}\right)$ where $Q_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ are the nonisomorphic indecomposable projective $\Lambda$ modules. If we now look at one particular such, we get the following exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} \rightarrow Q_{i} \xrightarrow{P_{\mathscr{(}\left(Q_{i}\right)-1}} Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda}^{\mathscr{Q}\left(Q_{i}\right)-1} Q_{i} \rightarrow Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda}^{\mathscr{Q}\left(Q_{i}\right)-1} Q_{i} \xrightarrow{P_{\mathscr{(}\left(Q_{i}\right)-2}^{\longrightarrow}} Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} \rightarrow 0, \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& 0 \rightarrow r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda}^{2} Q_{i} \rightarrow Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda}^{2} Q_{i} \xrightarrow{P_{1}} Q / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} \rightarrow Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we get $\operatorname{Im}\left(M, p_{j}\right) \subseteq\left(M, Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i}\right), j=1, \ldots, \mathscr{L}\left(Q_{i}\right)-1$, which forms the unique composition series for $\left(M, Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i}\right)$, i.e., for every $i$ we have the following situation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cc}
\left(M, Q_{i}\right) & \left(M, Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda}^{2} Q_{i}\right) \\
\downarrow & \\
r_{\Gamma}^{Y}\left(Q_{i}\right)-1 \\
\downarrow \\
\hline
\end{array}, Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i}\right) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i}\right) \subseteq\left(M, Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda} Q_{i}\right) .
$$

where $r_{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Rad} \Gamma$, each map downwards is an epimorphism and the $(M$, $Q_{i} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{i}$ ) are indecomposable projectives.

Let $\Lambda$ be a ring. Then we will denote the radical of $\Lambda$ by $\operatorname{Rad} \Lambda=r_{\Lambda}$ and if $A$ is a $\Lambda$-module we will denote the socle of $A$ which is the unique largest semisimple submodule of $A$ by Soc $A$.

## 2. The main result

We start this section with the following preliminary result.
Lemma 1. Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra with Loewy length $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$, $M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}, \Gamma=$ End $(M)^{\text {op }}$ and $Q$ an indecomposable, not simple projective $\Lambda$-module. Then the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q \amalg Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

of $\Gamma$-modules will be exact where $2 \leq j \leq \mathscr{L}(Q)$ and the maps are the natural ones.
Proof. From the preliminaries we get the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}^{j-1}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and the commuting diagram

where both maps into $r_{\mathrm{I}^{-1}}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right)$ are epic. From these we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \\
\downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma^{j-1}}^{Q}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right)$ is a projective $\Gamma$-module. It is now clear that the sequence $\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q \amalg Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q\right) \rightarrow 0$ is exact with the map the natural one. The kernel of this map is isomorphic to $\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right)$ where the isomorphism is given by $f \rightarrow(p \circ f,-f)$ with $p$ the natural epimorphism from $r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q$ to $r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q$.

From this lemma we are able to prove the following.
Proposition 2. Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n \geq 2$,

$$
M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}
$$

and $\Gamma=$ End $(M)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Then gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=2$ if and only if $r_{\Lambda}$ is in add $M$.
Proof. Assume first that gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=2$. Then we will for every indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$ have, in mod $\Gamma$, the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow(M, Q) \rightarrow\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0
$$

with $(M, Q)$ and $\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right)$ projective. Now it follows from the preliminaries that if $r_{\Lambda} Q \neq 0$ then $A \neq 0$ so $\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)$ must be projective or ( 0 ) for every
indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$, which is equivalent to saying that $r_{\Lambda} Q$ is in add $M$. So $r_{\Lambda}$ is in add $M$.

Now assume to the contrary that $r_{\Lambda}$ is in add $M$. It then follows that $r_{\Lambda} / r_{\Lambda}^{j}$ is in add $M$ for $n \geq j \geq 1$. Now let $S$ be a simple $\Gamma$-module. From the preliminaries and Lemma 1 there exist an indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$ and an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q\right) \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q \amalg Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q\right) \rightarrow\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0
$$

if $\mathscr{L}(Q)>j \geq 1$ and an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow(M, Q) \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0
$$

if $\mathscr{L}(Q)=j \geq 1$. In both cases pd $S \leq 2$. Since $n \geq 2$ it follows that $\Gamma$ is not hereditary. So gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=2$.

We now give a lemma which under certain assumptions reduces the number of $\Gamma$-modules of which we have to find projective dimension when we shall calculate the global dimension of $\Gamma$.

Lemma 3. Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n \geq 2$,

$$
M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}
$$

and $\Gamma=$ End $(M)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Now, suppose that $\mathrm{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \geq \operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right)$ as $\Gamma$ modules for every indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$ where $2 \leq j \leq \mathscr{L}(Q)$. Then
gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=\max \left\{\operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \mid Q\right.$ indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $\}+2$.
Proof. Now $\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)=r_{\Gamma}(M, Q)$ for every indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$. From the preliminaries we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow(M, Q) \rightarrow\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0
$$

with $(M, Q)$ and $\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right)$ projective $\Gamma$-modules. If now $r_{\Lambda} Q \neq 0$ then $A \neq 0$ and in this case $\operatorname{pd}(A)=\operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)+2$. Now
gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma \geq \max \left\{\operatorname{pd}(A) \mid A=\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(M, p_{\mathscr{L}(Q)-1}\right)\right.$,
$Q$ indecomposable nonsimple projective $\Lambda$-module $\}$
$=\max \left\{\operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \mid Q\right.$ indecomposable nonsimple projective $\Lambda$-module $\}+2$
$=\max \left\{\operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \mid Q\right.$ indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $\}+2$.
For the converse inequality let $S$ be a simple $\Gamma$-module. From the preliminaries there exists a unique indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$ such that $S$ is a composition factor of $\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right)$. We can assume that $S$ is not projective, i.e.,
$Q$ is not simple. From Lemma 1 we have associated with $Q$ the exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}(M, Q) \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q \amalg Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q \amalg Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Lambda}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q\right) \rightarrow 0, \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& 0 \rightarrow\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{2} Q\right) \rightarrow\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{2} Q\right) \rightarrow r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathscr{L}(Q)=j+1$. Since the modules $\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right)$ are all projective, it follows that the projective dimension of the $\Gamma$-module in the middle of one sequence is the same as the projective dimension of the module at the left end of the sequence below. From the construction of the composition series of $\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda} Q\right)$ it now follows that $\operatorname{pd} S=\operatorname{pd} r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r^{i} Q\right)+1$ for some $i$, $1 \leq i \leq j$. Further we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pd} r_{\Gamma}\left(M, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q\right) & \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q\right), \operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q\right)\right\}+1 \\
& \leq \operatorname{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

by use of the property of Ext. The last inequality follows from the assumption. Totally we have that pd $S \leq \mathrm{pd}\left(M, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)+2$, which gives the lemma.

Before we go on we will describe the module category of the matrix ring

$$
T_{2}(\Lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda & 0 \\
\Lambda & \Lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

for a ring $\Lambda$ in terms of the module category of $\Lambda$. The modules in $\bmod T_{2}(\Lambda)$ can be described as triples $(A, B, f)$ where $A$ and $B$ are $\Lambda$-modules and $f: A \rightarrow B$ a $\Lambda$-homomorphism. A $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-homomorphism $\phi:(A, B, f) \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ is a couple $(\alpha, \beta)$ of $\Lambda$-homomorphism where $\alpha: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ and $\beta: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ such that the diagram

commutes. In this paper we are particularly interested in what the indecomposable projective $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-modules look like in this description and which pairs of $\Lambda$-homomorphisms $(\alpha, \beta)$ are $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-monomorphisms and which are $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-epimorphisms. From [4] we have that the indecomposable projective $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-modules are precisely the ones of type $(Q, Q, \mathrm{id})$ and $(0, Q, 0)$ for indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-modules $Q$. Further a $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-homomorphism $\phi=(\alpha, \beta)$ is a $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) if and only if both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $\Lambda$-monomorphisms (resp. epimorphisms). Besides, a sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)}(A, B, f) \xrightarrow{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact if and only if both the sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \rightarrow B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\beta^{\prime}} B \xrightarrow{\beta} B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

are exact. If in addition $\Lambda$ is an Artin ring with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$ then $\mathscr{L}\left(T_{2}(\Lambda)\right)=n+1$.

To avoid confusion when talking about an homomorphism

$$
\phi:(A, B, f) \amalg\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

we will use ( , ) to denote one single map and $[(),,()$,$] to denote a$ homomorphism written as a matrix.

Example.

$$
\phi=\left[(\alpha, \beta),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left[\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right]:(A, B, f) \amalg\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

We are now able to continue.
Lemma 4. Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$, $M_{0}=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}$ and assume that for every indecomposable $\Lambda$-module $N_{0}$ in add $M_{0}, \operatorname{Soc}\left(N_{0}\right)=r_{\Lambda}^{k} N_{0}$ for $a k$ in $\mathbf{N}$. Then add $M_{1}$ will have the same property, where

$$
M_{1}=T_{2}(\Lambda) \amalg T_{2}(\Lambda) / r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}^{n} \amalg \cdots \amalg T_{2}(\Lambda) / r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)} .
$$

Proof. From the description of $T_{2}(\Lambda)$ we get that the indecomposable modules $N_{1}$ in add $M_{1}$ are precisely of the following types: $(Q, Q, \mathrm{id}),\left(Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q\right.$, $\left.Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i-1} Q, p\right)$ and $\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, 0\right)$, with $1 \leq i \leq \mathscr{L}(Q)$ and $p$ the natural epimorphisms. Easy computation now gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Soc}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id}) & =(0, \operatorname{Soc} Q, 0)=\left(0, r_{\Lambda}^{k} Q, 0\right) \\
& =r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}^{k+1}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id})
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Soc}\left(Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i-1}, p\right)=\left(r_{\Lambda}^{i-1} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, 0,0\right) \amalg\left(0, r_{\Lambda}^{i-2} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i-1} Q, 0\right)$

$$
=r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}^{i-1}\left(Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i-1} Q, p\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Soc}\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, 0\right) & =\left(0, \operatorname{Soc} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, 0\right)=\left(0, r_{\Lambda}^{i-1} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, 0\right) \\
& =r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}^{i-1}\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., add $M_{1}$ satisfies the claimed property.

We now give a definition which will be useful in the rest of this paper.
Definition. Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$, $M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}$ and $X$ a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module. An exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow N_{k} \xrightarrow{f_{k}} N_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow N_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} N_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} X \rightarrow 0
$$

with $N_{i}$ in add $M$ such that $f_{k}$ is not a split homomorphism and such that the sequence remains exact when $(M,-)$ is applied, will be called a minimal resolution of $X$ in add $M$.

Observe that such a minimal resolution exists for every $\Lambda$-module $X$ since $M$ is a generator, gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma \leq n$ where $\Gamma=\operatorname{End}(M)^{\text {op }}$ and add $M$ is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective $\Gamma$-modules.

Lemma 5. Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin algebra with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$, $M_{0}=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}$ and suppose that

$$
0 \rightarrow Q_{m} \xrightarrow{f_{m}} Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} Q_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} r_{\Lambda} Q \rightarrow 0
$$

is a minimal resolution of $r_{\Lambda} Q$ in add $M_{0}$ for an indecomposable nonsimple projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$ where each $Q_{i}, i=0, \ldots, m$, is projective.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow\left(0, Q_{m}, 0\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(0,-f_{m}\right) \\
(0, \mathrm{id})
\end{array}\right]}\left(0, Q_{m-1}, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{m}, Q_{m}, \mathrm{id}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\
& \underset{\left[\left(0,-f_{0}\right)\right.}{\overrightarrow{0}]}\left(0, Q_{0}, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{1}, Q_{1}, i d\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\left[(0, \text { id })\left(f_{1}, f_{1}\right)\right]}(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \mathrm{id}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\left[(0, \mathrm{id})\left(f_{0}, f_{0)}\right]\right.}\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right)=r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id}) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

is then a minimal resolution of $r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id})$ in add $M_{1}$, where

$$
M_{1}=T_{2}(\Lambda) \amalg T_{2}(\Lambda) / r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}^{n} \amalg \cdots \amalg T_{2}(\Lambda) / r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}
$$

Proof. From the description of exact sequences in $\bmod T_{2}(\Lambda)$ it follows at once that the sequences described above are exact. Since $-f_{m}$ does not split and there is no nonzero map $\left(Q_{m}, Q_{m}, \mathrm{id}\right) \rightarrow\left(0, Q_{m}, 0\right)$, the last $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-homomorphism is not split. It remains to show that the sequence is still exact after the action of $\left(M_{1},-\right)$. We will start by proving that $\left(M_{1},-\right)$ preserves the exactness of

$$
(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \mathrm{id}\right) \xrightarrow{\left[(0, \mathrm{id})\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right)\right]}\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\left(M_{1},-\right)$ is a natural equivalence from the category add $M_{1}$ to the full category of finitely generated projective $\Gamma_{1}$-modules where $\Gamma_{1}=$ End $\left(M_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}$, it suffices to show that every homomorphism $\phi: N_{1} \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right)$ where $N_{1}$ is an indecomposable module in add $M_{1}$, factors through

$$
(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, i d\right) \xrightarrow{\left[(0, \mathrm{id}),\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right)\right]}\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right) .
$$

The indecomposable $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-modules in add $M_{1}$ are described in the proof of Lemma 4 and are of the types

$$
\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, 0\right) \text { and }\left(Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q, p\right)
$$

where $Q$ is indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module and $p$ is the natural epimorphism if $r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q \neq 0$ and the identity if $r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q=0$. Assume first that

$$
N_{1}=\left(0, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q^{\prime}, i\right)
$$

for an indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q^{\prime}$ and

$$
\phi: N_{1}=\left(0, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q^{\prime}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, 0\right)
$$

Then $\phi=(0, \beta)$ for a $\Lambda$-homomorphism $\beta: Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q$. Now look at the diagram

which commutes, i.e., $\phi=(0, \beta)=(0, \mathrm{id}) \circ(0, \beta)$. So $\phi$ factors through

$$
(0, Q, 0) \xrightarrow{(0, \mathrm{id})}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right)
$$

and therefore through

$$
(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \mathrm{id}\right) \xrightarrow{\left[(0, \mathrm{id}),\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right)\right]}\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right) .
$$

It now remains to prove that every morphism

$$
\phi: N_{1}=\left(Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right)
$$

where $Q^{\prime}$ is an indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module and $\phi=(\alpha, \beta)$ factors through

$$
(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \mathrm{id}\right) \xrightarrow{\left[(0, \mathrm{id}),\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right)\right]}\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right)
$$

Consider therefore the commuting diagram

$p^{\prime}$ is epi so $\operatorname{Im} \beta=\operatorname{Im} \beta \circ p^{\prime}=\operatorname{Im} i \circ \alpha \subseteq r_{\Lambda} Q$. Therefore there exists an homomorphism $g: Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{0}$ such that the diagram

commutes. Now let $h: Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{0}$ be given by $h=g \circ p^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\psi=(h, g):\left(Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \mathrm{id}\right)
$$

Further $i \circ \alpha=\beta \circ p^{\prime}=f_{0} \circ g \circ p^{\prime}=f_{0} \circ h$ and $i=\operatorname{id} r_{\Lambda} Q$, so we must have $\alpha=f_{0} \circ h$. So $\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right) \circ(h, g)=(\alpha, \beta)=\phi$ and therefore $\phi$ factors through

$$
\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \mathrm{id}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right)}\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right),
$$

i.e., through

$$
(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, i d\right) \xrightarrow{\left[(0, \mathrm{id}),\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right)\right]}\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right) .
$$

Observe that everything goes well if $p^{\prime}$ is the identity as well as if $p^{\prime}$ is a proper epimorphism. It follows then that $\left(M_{1},-\right)$ preserves the exactness of

$$
(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \text { id }\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

We have now got the following commuting diagram

where $i_{0}$ is the natural inclusion. Now assume that $K_{j}=\operatorname{Ker} f_{j}$ and that $i_{j}: K_{j} \rightarrow Q_{j}$ is the natural inclusion and consider the diagram


It follows that $i_{j+1}$ is the natural inclusion $K_{j+1}=\operatorname{Ker} f_{j+1} \subseteq Q_{j+1}$. If we now are able to prove that $\left(M_{1},-\right)$ preserves the exactness of

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(K_{j+1}, Q_{j+1}, i_{j+1}\right) \rightarrow\left(0, Q_{j}, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{j+1}, Q_{j+1}, \text { id }\right) \rightarrow\left(K_{j}, Q_{j}, i_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

we will have proved the lemma. This last proof goes exactly as the one with ( $r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i$ ) at the right end of the sequence, so we leave the details for the reader.

An easy consequence of this lemma is the following.
Corollary 6. $\operatorname{pd}\left(M_{1}, r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id})\right)=\operatorname{pd}\left(M_{0}, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)+1 \quad$ when $\quad \Lambda$, $T_{2}(\Lambda), M_{0}, M_{1}$ and $Q$ are as in Lemma 5.

Lemma 7. Let $\Lambda, T_{2}(\Lambda), M_{0}$, and $M_{1}$ be as in Lemma 5. Then

$$
\operatorname{pd}\left(M_{1}, r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(0, Q, 0)\right)=\operatorname{pd}\left(M_{0}, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)
$$

for every indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$.
The proof of this lemma is trivial and it is left to the reader.

Lemma 8. Let $\Lambda, T_{2}(\Lambda), M_{0}$ and $M_{1}$ be as in Lemma 5 and assume that the pair $\left(\Lambda, M_{0}\right)$ has the following properties.
(1) For every indecomposable $\Lambda$-module $A$ in add $M_{0}$ there exists a $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\operatorname{Soc} A=r_{\Lambda}^{k} A$.
(2) For every indecomposable nonsimple projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$ there exists a minimal resolution

$$
0 \rightarrow Q_{m} \xrightarrow{f_{m}} Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} Q_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} \mathbf{r}_{\Lambda} Q \rightarrow 0
$$

of $r_{\Lambda} Q$ in add $M_{0}$ such that:
(a) Every $Q_{i}$ is projective.
(b) For each fixed $i$, the Loewy length of the summands in $Q_{i}$ are all the same.
(c) $\mathscr{L}\left(Q_{0}\right)=\mathscr{L} r_{\Lambda}(Q), \mathscr{L}\left(Q_{i}\right)=\mathscr{L}\left(Q_{i-1}\right)-1,1 \leq i \leq m$.
(d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow Q_{m} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m} Q_{m} \xrightarrow{f_{m, j}} Q_{m-1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m+1} Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \\
& \rightarrow Q_{1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1, j}} Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0, j}} r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

is a minimal resolution of $r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q$ in add $M_{0}$. Then the pair $\left(T_{2}(M), M_{1}\right)$ has the same properties.

Proof. From Lemma 4 it follows that the pair $\left(T_{2}(\Lambda), M_{1}\right)$ has property (1). The indecomposable projective $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-modules of the form $(0, Q, 0)$ where $Q$ is an indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module have trivially the property (2) when $Q$ as an $\Lambda$-module has this property. So it remains only to show that property (2) is valid for every indecomposable projective $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-module of the form ( $Q, Q$, id) when $Q$ is an indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module. Now let ( $Q, Q$, id) be any such one. Then there exists by assumption a minimal resolution

$$
0 \rightarrow Q_{m} \xrightarrow{f_{m}} Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} Q_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} r_{\Lambda} Q \rightarrow 0
$$

of $r_{\Lambda} Q$ in add $M_{0}$, such that (2) is satisfied. It then follows from Lemma 5 that $0 \rightarrow\left(0, Q_{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(0, Q_{m-1}, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{m}, Q_{m}, \mathrm{id}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(0, Q_{0}, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{1}, Q_{1}, \mathrm{id}\right)$

$$
\rightarrow(0, Q, 0) \amalg\left(Q_{0}, Q_{0}, \mathrm{id}\right) \rightarrow r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id})=\left(r_{\Lambda} Q, Q, i\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is a minimal resolution of $r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(Q, Q$, id $)$ in add $M_{1}$. Then one easily sees that property 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c) are satisfied. Now by assumption

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow Q_{m} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m} Q_{m} \xrightarrow{f_{m, j}} Q_{m-1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m+1} Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \\
& \rightarrow Q_{1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1, i}} Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0, j}} r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow Q_{m} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m-1} Q_{m} \xrightarrow{f_{m, j-1}} Q_{m-1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m} Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \\
& \rightarrow Q_{1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-2} Q_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1, j-1}} Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0, j-1}} r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

are minimal resolutions of $r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q$ and $r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q$ in add $M_{0}$ respectively. From this we want to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
0 \rightarrow \\
\\
\xrightarrow{\left[\left(0, f_{m, j-1)}^{(0, i d)}\right]\right.}
\end{array}\left(0, Q_{m} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m-1} Q_{m}, 0\right) \\
&\left(0, Q_{m-1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m} Q_{m-1}, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{m} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m} Q_{m}, Q_{m} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-m-1} Q_{m}, p_{m}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\
&\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, p_{0}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\left((0, \mathrm{id}),\left(f_{0, j}, f_{0, j-1)}\right.\right.}{ }\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right)=r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id}) / r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}^{j+1}(Q, Q, \text { id }) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\Psi: r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q \rightarrow Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, p_{k}: Q_{k} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-k} Q \rightarrow Q_{k} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-k-1} Q$ and all other homomorphisms natural, is a minimal resolution of

$$
\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right)=r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id}) / r_{T_{2}(\Lambda)}^{j+1}(Q, Q, \mathrm{id})
$$

in add $M_{1}$. It follows trivially that this sequence is exact so it remains to prove that $\left(M_{1},-\right)$ preserves this exactness. We will start by proving that

$$
\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

remains exact after applying $\left(M_{1},-\right)$. It is, as in Lemma 5 , enough to prove that every homomorphism $\phi: N_{1} \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right)$ factors through

$$
\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right)
$$

for every indecomposable $T_{2}(\Lambda)$-module $N_{1}$ in add $M_{1}$. If $N_{1}$ is of type

$$
\left(0, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q^{\prime}, 0\right)
$$

for an indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q^{\prime}$ the proof goes exactly as in Lemma 5. So assume that

$$
N_{1}=\left(Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)
$$

for an indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ the natural epimorphism (identity if $r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q=0$ ). Let

$$
\phi=(\alpha, \beta):\left(Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right)
$$

Consider then the following commutative diagram:


Then there exists a $g: Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}$ such that $\alpha=f_{0, j} \circ g$. But now

$$
g\left(r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q^{\prime}\right) \subseteq g\left(\operatorname{Soc} Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Soc} Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}=r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}
$$

So there exists an $h: Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}$ such that $p_{0} \circ g=h \circ p^{\prime}$, i.e., we have got a homomorphism

$$
\phi^{\prime}=(g, h):\left(Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i+1} Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime} / r_{\Lambda}^{i} Q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, p_{0}\right)
$$

such that $f_{0, j} \circ g=\alpha$. But now

$$
\beta \circ p^{\prime}=\Psi \circ \alpha=\Psi \circ f_{0, j} \circ g=f_{0, j-1} \circ p_{0} \circ g=f_{0, j-1} \circ h \circ p^{\prime}
$$

and $p^{\prime}$ is epic so $\beta=f_{0, j-1} \circ h$. Totally we have

$$
\left(f_{0, j}, f_{0, j-1}\right) \circ(g, h)=(\alpha, \beta)=\phi
$$

We then have that $\phi$ factors through

$$
\left(Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right)
$$

and therefore through

$$
\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{0} / r^{j} Q_{0}, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right)
$$

So $\left(M_{1},-\right)$ preserves the exactness of

$$
\left(0, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q_{0}, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q, Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q, \Psi\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Now consider the commutative diagram
in mod $\Lambda$. One easily sees that $K_{1}^{\prime} \simeq Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j+1} Q_{0}$ because the last sequence splits and that $\operatorname{Ker} \Psi_{1}=\operatorname{Soc} K_{1}$. Therefore we get a natural homomorphism $\left(Q_{1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{1}, Q_{1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-2} Q_{2}, p_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(K_{1}, K_{1}^{\prime}, \Psi_{1}\right)$ and if we look at the natural homomorphism

$$
\left(0, Q_{0} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{0}, 0\right) \amalg\left(Q_{1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-1} Q_{1}, Q_{1} / r_{\Lambda}^{j-2} Q_{1}, p_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(K_{1}, K_{1}^{\prime}, \Psi_{1}\right)
$$

we see that the kernel of this has the same property as $\left(K_{1}, K_{1}^{\prime}, \Psi_{1}\right)$. Now an analogous argument as before gives that $\left(M_{1},-\right)$ preserves the exactness.

We observe that the Artin rings $\Lambda$ that satisfy condition (2) in Lemma 8 have the property that $\mathrm{pd}\left(M_{0}, r_{\Lambda} Q / r_{\Lambda}^{j} Q\right) \leq \mathrm{pd}\left(M_{0}, r_{\Lambda} Q\right)$ for every indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $Q$. Therefore these rings satisfy the condition in Lemma 3.

Now follows a lemma which says that the class of rings that satisfy condition (1) and (2) in Lemma 8 contains the class of lower triangular matrix rings over a field $k$.

Lemma 9. Let

$$
\Lambda_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
k & 0 & & 0 \\
k & k & & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
k & & \cdots & k
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the full lower triangular matrix ring over a field $k$ with $\mathscr{L}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)=m \geq 2$. Then $\Lambda_{m}$ satisfies condition (1) and (2) in Lemma 8.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $r_{\Lambda_{m}} Q$ is an indecomposable projective $\Lambda_{m}$-module for every indecomposable projective $\Lambda_{m}$-module $Q$.

Lemma 10. The class $\mathscr{T}$ of all rings $T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right), m \geq 2, n \geq 0$ where $\Lambda_{m}$ is as in Lemma 9 satisfies conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 8.

Proof. Follows directly from Lemma 8 and 9.
Lemma 11. Let $\Lambda_{m}$ be as in Lemma 9 and let

$$
M_{n, m}=T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) \amalg T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) / r_{T_{2}^{m}}^{m+n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)=1 \amalg \cdots \amalg T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) / r_{T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)}
$$

for $n \geq 0, m \geq 2$. Then gl. dim End $\left(M_{n+1, m}\right)^{\text {op }}=\operatorname{gl}$. dim End $\left(M_{n, m}\right)^{\text {op }}+1$.
Proof. By the observation after Lemma 8 and Lemma 3 we have that gl. dim End $\left(M_{n+1, m}\right)^{\text {op }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\max \left\{\operatorname { p d } \left(M_{n+1, m}, r_{T_{2}^{+1}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)} Q \mid\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad Q \text { indecomposable projective } T_{2}^{n+1}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) \text {-module }\right\}+2 \\
& =\max \left\{\operatorname { p d } ( M _ { n + 1 , m } , r _ { T _ { 2 } ^ { n + 1 } ( \Lambda _ { m } ) } ( Q , Q , \text { id } ) ) , \text { pd } \left(M_{n+1, m}, r_{T_{2}^{n+1}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)}(0, Q, 0) \mid\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad Q \text { indecomposable projective } T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) \text {-module }\right\}+2 \\
& =\max \left\{\operatorname{pd}\left(M_{n, m}, r_{T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)} Q\right)+1, \operatorname{pd}\left(M_{n, m}, r_{T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)} Q\right) \mid\right. \\
& \\
& \left.Q \text { indecomposable projective } T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) \text {-module }\right\}+2 \\
& =\max \left\{\operatorname{pd}\left(M_{n, m}, r_{T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right)} Q\right) \mid\right. \\
& \left.Q \text { indecomposable projective } T_{2}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{m}\right) \text {-module }\right\}+3 \\
& =\text { gl. dim End }\left(M_{n, m}\right)^{\mathbf{o p}}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now able to prove the main result in this paper.
ThEOREM 12. For every pair of integers $n$ and $m$ where $n \geq m \geq 2$ there exists an Artin algebra $\Lambda$ with $\mathscr{L}(\Lambda)=n$ and gl. $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}(M)^{\mathrm{op}}=m$ where

$$
M=\Lambda \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}^{n-1} \amalg \cdots \amalg \Lambda / r_{\Lambda}
$$

Proof. As before, let $\Lambda_{i}$ be the full lower triangular matrix ring over a field $k$ with $\mathscr{L}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)=i \geq 2$. Now select $i=2+n-m \geq 2$ and consider $T_{2}^{m-2}\left(\Lambda_{2+n-m}\right)$. Then $\mathscr{L}\left(T_{2}^{m-2}\left(\Lambda_{2+n-m}\right)\right)=m-2+2+n-m=n$ and
gl. dim End $\left(M_{m-2,2+n-m}\right)^{\text {op }}$
$=m-2+\mathrm{gl}$. dim End $\left(M_{0,2+n-m}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}=m-2+2=m$
where $M_{i, j}$ are as in Lemma 11.
Corollary. The inequality in the theorem referred to in the introduction is optimal.

Proof. Select $m=n \geq 2$ in Theorem 12.
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