

## ON FLAT FIBRATIONS BY THE AFFINE LINE

BY

T. KAMBAYASHI<sup>1</sup> AND M. MIYANISHI

A recent joint work [1] of Dolgačev and Veisfeiler studies in the main the geometric structures of unipotent group schemes over an integral ring. As a natural generalization of their own results the following *conjecture* is set forth (see [1, 3.8.3ff]).

Let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be a flat affine morphism of finite type; assume that  $S$  is locally noetherian, normal and integral, and that the fibre  $\phi^{-1}(P)$  of  $\phi$  above each point  $P$  of  $S$  is isomorphic to the affine  $n$ -space  $A^n$  over the residue field  $\kappa(P)$  of  $P$ . Then,  $X$  is an  $A^n$ -bundle over  $S$  relative to the Zariski topology.

In the paper cited above, the authors obtain various results in the direction of this conjecture while working under the assumption of an  $S$ -group scheme structure on  $X$ .

In the present paper we propose to settle the conjecture affirmatively in the special case where  $n = 1$ . (It is understood that V. I. Danilov possesses unpublished results to the same effect; cf. [1, 3.8.5].) What we actually prove are the following two theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be an affine, faithfully flat morphism of finite type. Assume that  $S$  is locally noetherian, locally factorial and integral scheme, and that the generic fibre of  $\phi$  is  $A^1$  and all other fibres are geometrically integral. Then,  $X$  is an  $A^1$ -bundle over  $S$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, let  $S$  be a regular, integral  $k$ -scheme of finite type, and let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be an affine, faithfully flat morphism of finite type. Assume that each fibre of  $\phi$  is geometrically integral and the general fibres of  $\phi$  are isomorphic to  $A^1$  over  $k$ . Then, there exist a regular, integral  $k$ -scheme  $S'$  of finite type and a faithfully flat, finite, radical morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$  such that  $X \times_S S'$  is an  $A^1$ -bundle over  $S'$ . If in particular the characteristic of  $k$  is zero,  $X$  is an  $A^1$ -bundle over  $S$ .*

A variation of the conjecture above, wherein  $S$  is a curve and  $A^n$  is replaced throughout by the projective  $n$ -space  $P^n$ , is in fact a proven theorem (see Maruyama [9, Theorem 0.1]). It seems that the exact relationship between this variation and the conjecture above stated remains to be clarified.

---

Received June 8, 1977.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by a National Science Foundation research grant.

### 1. Proof of Theorem 1

1.1. Let  $S$  be a locally noetherian, integral scheme, and let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be an affine, flat morphism of finite type. The fibres of  $\phi$  above the closed points of  $S$  will be referred to as *closed fibres*, while the fibre above the generic point of  $S$  will be called *the generic fibre*. By *the general fibres of  $\phi$*  we shall mean *all* fibres above the closed points belonging to an *unspecified* nonempty open set of  $S$ . The morphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ , or more conventionally  $X$  by itself, is called an *affine ruled variety over  $S$*  if for every point  $P$  on  $S$  (including the generic point) the fibre  $\phi^{-1}(P)$  above  $P$  is isomorphic to the affine line  $\mathbb{A}_{\kappa(P)}^1$  over the residue field  $\kappa(P)$  of  $P$ . The morphism  $\phi$ , or again simply  $X$ , is said to be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -*bundle over  $S$*  if there exists an open covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow S\}$  relative to the Zariski topology on  $S$  such that  $X \times_S U_i$  is isomorphic to the affine line  $\mathbb{A}_{U_i}^1 := \mathbb{A}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} U_i$  over  $U_i$  for all  $i$ . A scheme  $S$  is said to be *locally factorial* if for every point  $P$  on  $S$  the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{P,S}$  is a factorial ring (= a unique factorization domain). A discrete valuation ring of rank 1 will be called a *principal valuation ring*.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be given below in several reduction steps.

1.2. We shall begin with the following elementary result, which is a special case of a theorem of Nagata [11].

**LEMMA.** *Let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be a principal valuation ring and let  $A$  be a flat  $\mathfrak{o}$ -algebra of finite type. Let  $K$  be the quotient field of  $\mathfrak{o}$ ,  $t$  a uniformisant of  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $k$  the residue field of  $\mathfrak{o}$ ; and let  $A_K$  and  $A_k$  denote respectively  $K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} A$  and  $k \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} A$ . Assume that  $A_K$  and  $A_k$  are integral domains. Then:*

- (i) *If  $A_K$  is a normal ring, so is  $A$ .*
- (ii) *If  $A_k$  is factorial, so is  $A$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove only (ii), as the proof of (i) is a routine exercise. By flatness there is a natural inclusion  $\mathfrak{o} \subset A$ , and  $A$  is in turn contained in  $A_K$  and is noetherian. Since  $A_k$  is integral,  $tA$  is a prime ideal in  $A$  and  $\bigcap_{v \geq 0} t^v A = (0)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an arbitrary prime of height 1 in  $A$ . If  $t \in \mathfrak{p}$  then clearly  $tA = \mathfrak{p}$ . In case  $t \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}A_K$  is prime of height 1 in the factorial domain  $A_K = A[t^{-1}]$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}A_K = fA_K$ , where we may and shall take  $f \in A - tA$ . Let  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$  be arbitrary, and write  $b = ft^m a$  with integer  $m$  and  $a \in A - tA$ . If  $m < 0$ , then  $fa = bt^{-m} \in tA$ , an absurdity. Consequently,  $m \geq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq fA$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{p} = fA$  because  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

1.3. **LEMMA.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{to})$  be a principal valuation ring with residue field  $k$  and quotient field  $K$ . Let  $A$  be a flat  $\mathfrak{o}$ -algebra of finite type. Assume that  $A_K := K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} A$  is  $K$ -isomorphic to a one-variable polynomial ring  $K[x]$  and that  $A_k := k \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} A$  is a geometrically integral domain over  $k$ . Then,  $A$  is  $\mathfrak{o}$ -isomorphic to a one-variable polynomial ring.*

*Proof.* Because  $A$  is factorial by Lemma 1.2 (or, rather, because of the

simple fact that  $\bigcap_{v \geq 0} t^v A = (0)$ , we may assume that  $x \in A$  and  $x$  is prime to the uniformisant  $t$  of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . We may write  $A = \mathfrak{o}[x, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ . Since  $A \subset A_K = K[x]$ , there exist integers  $\alpha(i) \geq 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad t^{\alpha(i)} y_i = \phi_i(x) := \lambda_{i0} + \lambda_{i1} x + \dots + \lambda_{i r(i)} x^{r(i)}$$

with  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq r(i)$ , where we may assume with each  $i$  that if  $\alpha(i) > 0$  then not all of  $\lambda_{i0}, \lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{i r(i)}$  are divisible by  $t$ . Let us put  $\alpha_x := \text{Max} \{ \alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m) \}$ . Consider the following assertion:

$P(n)$ . If  $x \in A$  is found as above with  $\alpha_x = n$ , then there is some  $x_1 \in A$  such that  $A = \mathfrak{o}[x_1]$ .

We shall prove the assertion  $P(n)$  by induction on  $n$ .  $P(0)$  is obviously true. We prove  $P(n)$  assuming  $P(r)$  to be true for all  $r < n$ . By applying the canonical (reduction modulo  $t$ ) homomorphism  $\rho: A \rightarrow A/tA = A_k$  to the both sides of (1) for each  $i$  with  $\alpha(i) = \alpha_x$ , we get

$$(2) \quad \rho(\lambda_{i0}) + \rho(\lambda_{i1})\rho(x) + \dots + \rho(\lambda_{i r(i)})\rho(x)^{r(i)} = 0$$

with at least one of the coefficients  $\rho(\lambda_{ij}) \neq 0$ . Since  $A_k$  is an integral domain, the equation (2) is a nontrivial algebraic equation of  $\rho(x)$  over  $k$ . Since  $A_k$  is geometrically integral, the field  $k$  is algebraically closed in the quotient field of  $A_k$ , whence  $\rho(x) \in k$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathfrak{o}$  be such that  $\rho(\mu) = \rho(x)$ , and write  $x - \mu = t^\beta x'$  with a positive integer  $\beta$  and  $x' \in A - tA$ . Then, noting  $\phi_i(\mu) \in t\mathfrak{o}$  and by substituting  $\mu + t^\beta x'$  for  $x$  in (1), we obtain, after cancellation of  $t$ ,

$$t^{\alpha'(i)} y_i \in \mathfrak{o}[x'] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } K[x] = K[x']$$

where  $\alpha_{x'} = \text{Max} \{ \alpha'(1), \dots, \alpha'(m) \} < n = \alpha_x$ . Since  $P(\alpha_{x'})$  is assumed to be true, the conclusion of  $P(n)$  holds. Q.E.D.

1.4. It is easy to see, as shown in Paragraph 1.5 below, that Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 1.3 in the special case where  $\dim S = 1$ . In order to prove the theorem over  $S$  with  $\dim S \geq 2$  we need the following:

**LEMMA.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a factorial local ring of dimension  $\geq 2$  with residue field  $k$ . Let  $R$  be a flat  $A$ -algebra of finite type. Assume that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} := A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A R$  is  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -isomorphic to a one-variable polynomial ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}[t_{\mathfrak{p}}]$  for every nonmaximal prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$  and that  $\bar{R} := R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is geometrically regular over  $k$ . Then,  $R$  is  $A$ -isomorphic to a one-variable polynomial ring  $A[t]$ .*

*Proof.* The proof consists of four steps.

(I) Let  $X := \text{Spec } R$ ,  $S := \text{Spec } A$  and let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be the flat morphism corresponding to the canonical injection  $A \subset R$ .  $\phi$  is in fact faithfully flat, and each fibre of  $\phi$  is geometrically regular. Therefore,  $\phi$  is smooth. Since  $S$  is normal, this implies that  $X$  is normal [3, IV (6.5.4)]. Thus,  $R$  is a normal domain.

(II) Let  $U := S - \{m\}$ . Since  $R$  is finitely generated over  $A$  and  $R_p = A_p[t_p]$  for each  $p \in U$ , there is  $f_p \in A - p$  such that  $R[f_p^{-1}] = A[f_p^{-1}][t_p]$ , whence we know the existence of an open covering  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $U$  such that

$$V_i := \text{Spec}(A[f_i^{-1}])$$

with  $f_i \in A$  and  $R[f_i^{-1}] = A[f_i^{-1}][t_i]$  for each  $i \in I$ . This shows that  $X_U := \phi^{-1}(U) = X \times_S U$  can be viewed as an  $A^1$ -bundle over  $U$ . Set

$$A_i := A[f_i^{-1}], A_{ij} := A[f_i^{-1}, f_j^{-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad A_{ijl} := A[f_i^{-1}, f_j^{-1}, f_l^{-1}]$$

for  $i, j, l \in I$ . Since  $A_{ij}[t_i] = R[f_i^{-1}, f_j^{-1}] = A_{ij}[t_j]$  and  $A_{ij}$  is an integral domain, we get  $t_j = \alpha_{ji}t_i + \beta_{ji}$  with units  $\alpha_{ji}$  in  $A_{ij}$  and  $\beta_{ji} \in A_{ij}$  for each pair  $i, j$  of elements of the index set  $I$ , where, furthermore, the  $\alpha$ 's and the  $\beta$ 's are subject to the relations in  $A_{ijl}$  that read as follows:

$$\alpha_{li} = \alpha_{lj}\alpha_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{li} = \alpha_{lj}\beta_{ji} + \beta_{lj}.$$

Consequently,  $\{\alpha_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times I}$  gives rise to an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  which one views as an element of  $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U^*)$ . However,  $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U^*) = (0)$  because  $(A, m)$  is a factorial domain [5, Exp. XI, 3.5 and 3.10]. Thus, by replacing  $\mathcal{V}$  by a finer open covering of  $U$  if necessary, we may assume that

$$(3) \quad t_j = t_i + \beta_{ji} \quad \text{with} \quad \beta_{ji} \in A_{ji} \quad \text{such that} \quad \beta_{li} = \beta_{ji} + \beta_{lj} \quad \text{for} \quad i, j, l \in I.$$

Hence,  $\{\beta_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times I}$  defines an element  $\xi \in H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ .

(III) Consider  $X_U = \phi^{-1}(U) = X \times_S U$  and let  $Y := X - X_U$ . By the local cohomology theory we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(X_U, \mathcal{O}_X) & \simeq & H^2_Y(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \simeq & \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_R^2(R/m^n R, R) \\ \uparrow \theta_U & & \uparrow \theta_m & & \uparrow \theta_A \\ H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_S) & \simeq & H^2_{(m)}(S, \mathcal{O}_S) & \simeq & \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_A^2(A/m^n, A) \end{array}$$

where the terms in the upper and lower rows are respectively  $R$ -modules and  $A$ -modules, and  $\theta_U, \theta_m$ , and  $\theta_A$  are homomorphisms induced by the canonical injection  $\mathcal{O}_S \hookrightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ . (For the definitions and relevant results in local cohomology theory, consult [5] or [6].) Since  $R$  is  $A$ -flat and  $\varinjlim_n$  commutes with  $R \otimes_A ?$ , we have

$$\varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_R^2(R/m^n R, R) \cong R \otimes_A \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_A^2(A/m^n, A)$$

and  $\theta_A$  is identified with the homomorphism  $u \mapsto 1 \otimes u$  for  $u$  belonging to  $\varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_A^2(A/m^n, A)$ . Since  $R$  is  $A$ -flat,  $\theta_A$  is then injective. The commutative diagram above shows, hence, that  $\theta_U$  is injective. On the other hand,  $X_U$  has an open covering  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \{\phi^{-1}(V_i); i \in I\}$ , and the element  $\theta_U(\xi) \in H^1(X_U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is represented by a Čech 1-cocycle  $\{\beta_{ij}\}$  with respect to  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ . The relation (3) implies that  $\{\beta_{ij}\}$  is in fact a 1-coboundary because

$$t_i \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}(V_i), \mathcal{O}_X) = A_i[t_i].$$

Thus,  $\theta_U(\xi) = 0$ , and we find  $\xi = 0$  because  $\theta_U$  is injective. It follows that  $X_U$  has a section and is, in fact, a trivial  $A^1$ -bundle  $A^1_U$ .

(IV) Replacing  $\mathcal{V}$  by a finer open covering of  $U$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\beta_{ji} = \gamma_j - \gamma_i$  with  $\gamma_i \in A_i$  for all  $i, j \in I$ . Then,  $t_i - \gamma_i = t_j - \gamma_j$  for all  $i$  and all  $j$ , so if we put  $t := t_i - \gamma_i$  then  $t \in \Gamma(X_U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . On the other hand, since  $\text{codim}(Y, X) \geq 2$  and  $R$  is normal,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is  $Y$ -closed [3, IV (5.10.5)]. Hence,  $t \in \Gamma(X_U, \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = R$ . Now, look at the  $A$ -subalgebra  $A[t]$  of  $R$ , and let  $Z := \text{Spec}(A[t])$ . Then,  $\phi$  decomposes as

$$X \xrightarrow{\phi_1} Z \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S,$$

where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are the morphisms corresponding to the injections  $A \hookrightarrow A[t] \hookrightarrow R$ . By step (III),  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}[t]$  for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in U$ . This implies that  $\phi_1|_U: X_U \rightarrow \phi_2^{-1}(U) = Z \times_S U$  is a  $U$ -isomorphism. Notice that  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  is  $(Z - \phi_2^{-1}(U))$ -closed because  $\text{codim}(Z - \phi_2^{-1}(U), Z) \geq 2$  and  $Z$  is normal. Then we have

$$A[t] = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \Gamma(\phi_2^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq \Gamma(X_U, \mathcal{O}_X) = R,$$

an isomorphism given by  $(\phi_1|_U)^*$ . Therefore,  $R = A[t]$ . Q.E.D.

1.5. *Proof of Theorem 1.* Since  $\phi$  is affine, it suffices clearly to prove the theorem under the hypothesis that  $X$  and  $S$  are affine schemes. The proof consists of two steps.

(I) Let  $A := \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  and  $R := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . The homomorphism  $A \rightarrow R$  induced by  $\phi$  is injective, and makes  $R$  a flat  $A$ -algebra of finite type. For each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ , let  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} := A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A R$ . By induction on  $n := \text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$  we shall establish the following assertion:

$P(n)$ .  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a one-variable polynomial ring over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  provided  $\mathfrak{p}$  is of height  $n$ .

Indeed,  $P(0)$  follows from the assumption of the theorem. As for  $P(1)$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a principal valuation ring in that case, so the assertion is supported by Lemma 1.3. We now prove  $P(n)$  assuming  $P(r)$  to hold for every  $r < n$ . To simplify notations let us write  $R$  and  $A$  instead of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , respectively. Now,  $A$  is a factorial local ring of dimension  $\geq 2$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By virtue of [3, II (7.1.7)] one can find a principal valuation ring  $\mathfrak{o}$  such that the quotient field  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{o}$  agrees with that of  $A$  and that  $\mathfrak{o}$  dominates  $A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{o} \otimes_A R$  is a flat  $\mathfrak{o}$ -algebra of finite type,  $K \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} (\mathfrak{o} \otimes_A R) = K \otimes_A R$  is a one-variable polynomial ring over  $K$ , and

$$(\mathfrak{o}/t\mathfrak{o}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} (\mathfrak{o} \otimes_A R) = (\mathfrak{o}/t\mathfrak{o}) \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{m}} (R/\mathfrak{m}R)$$

is geometrically integral, where  $t$  is a uniformisant of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $\mathfrak{o} \otimes_A R$  is then a one-variable polynomial ring over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . It follows that  $(\mathfrak{o}/t\mathfrak{o}) \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{m}} (R/\mathfrak{m}R)$  is geometrically regular and, consequently,  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is geometrically regular over  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ . This observation and  $P(r)$  for  $0 \leq r < n$  together imply that

$A$  and  $R$  satisfy all assumptions in Lemma 1.4. Thus, by that lemma, we conclude that  $R$  is a one-variable polynomial ring over  $A$ .

(II) Since  $R$  is finitely generated over  $A$ , step (I) implies that for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$  there exists an element  $f \in A$  such that  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $R[f^{-1}]$  is a one-variable polynomial ring over  $A[f^{-1}]$ . Thus, for the Zariski open set  $U_f := \text{Spec}(A[f^{-1}]) \subseteq S$ , an isomorphism  $X \times_S U_f = \mathbb{A}^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} U_f$  obtains, and  $S$  is clearly covered by finitely many such  $U_f$ 's. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 2

2.1. Let  $k$  be a field. A  $k$ -scheme  $X$  is called a *form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  over  $k$* , or simply a  *$k$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$* , if for an algebraic extension field  $k'$  of  $k$  there exists a  $k'$ -isomorphism  $X \otimes_k k' \simeq \mathbb{A}_k^1 \otimes_k k' = \mathbb{A}_{k'}^1$ . When that is so, there is a purely inseparable extension field  $k''$  of  $k$  such that  $X \otimes_k k''$  is  $k''$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_{k''}^1$ . It is obvious that, for a  $k$ -scheme  $X$  and an algebraic extension field  $k'$  of  $k$ ,  $X$  is a  $k$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  if and only if  $X \otimes_k k'$  is a  $k'$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . A  $k$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is evidently an affine smooth  $k$ -scheme. A  $k$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  may be characterized as a one-dimensional  $k$ -smooth scheme of geometric genus zero having exactly one purely inseparable point at infinity. For detailed study on  $k$ -forms of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , see [7, Section 6] and [8].

2.2. A key result to prove Theorem 2 is the following:

**LEMMA.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ , let  $S$  be a geometrically integral  $k$ -scheme of finite type, and let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be an affine, flat morphism of finite type. Assume that the general fibres of  $\phi$  are forms of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  over their respective residue fields at the base scheme  $S$ . Then, the generic fibre  $X_K$  is a  $K$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , where  $K$  denotes the function field of  $S$  over  $k$ . If in particular  $p = 0$ ,  $X_K$  is  $K$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof consists of four steps.

(I) Let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ . Let

$$\bar{S} := S \otimes_k \bar{k}, \quad \bar{X} := X \otimes_k \bar{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\phi} := \phi \otimes_k \bar{k}.$$

Then  $\bar{S}$  is an integral  $\bar{k}$ -scheme, and the general fibres of  $\bar{\phi}$  are  $\bar{k}$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^1$ . The stated conditions for  $\phi$  are clearly present for  $\bar{\phi}$ , too. Let  $\bar{K} := \bar{k} \otimes_k K$ . As remarked in 2.1, the generic fibre  $X_K$  of  $\phi$  is a  $K$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  if and only if the generic fibre  $\bar{X}_{\bar{K}}$  of  $\bar{\phi}$  is a  $\bar{K}$ -form of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . These observations show that in proving the lemma at hand we may assume from the outset that  $k$  is algebraically closed and that the general fibres are  $k$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . Furthermore, we may assume with no loss of generality that  $S$  is smooth over  $k$  because the set of all  $k$ -smooth points of  $S$  is a nonempty open set. We shall assume these additional conditions in the steps that follow.

(II) Let  $C$  denote the generic fibre  $X_K$  of  $\phi$ .  $C$  is an affine curve over  $K$ , whose function field  $K(C)$  is a regular extension field of  $K$  [3, IV (9.7.7), III (9.2.2)]. For each positive integer  $n$  we let  $K_n := K^{p^{-n}}$ . If  $p = 0$ ,  $K_n$  is understood to mean  $K$  for every  $n$ . By virtue of [2, Theorem 5, p. 99], there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that a complete  $K_N$ -normal model of  $K_N(C) := K_N \otimes_K K(C)$  is smooth over  $K_N$ . We fix such an  $N$  once and for all. Let  $S_N$  be the normalization of  $S$  in  $K_N$ . Since  $S$  is smooth over  $k$  and  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $S_N$  is smooth over  $k$  and the normalization morphism  $S_N \rightarrow S$  is identified with the  $N$ th power of the Frobenius morphism of  $S_N$ .

(III) Let  $\tilde{C}_N$  be a complete normal model of  $K_N(C)$  over  $K_N$ . Then,  $\tilde{C}_N$  is a smooth projective curve over  $K_N$ . Thus,  $\tilde{C}_N$  is a closed subscheme in the projective space  $\mathbf{P}_{K_N}^m$  defined by a finite set of homogeneous equations

$$\{f_\lambda(X_0, \dots, X_m) = 0; \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

One can then find a nonempty open set  $U$  of  $S_N$  such that all the coefficients of all  $f_\lambda$ 's, as elements of  $K_N = k(S_N)$ , are defined on  $U$ . Let  $\tilde{X}_N$  be the closed subscheme of  $\mathbf{P}_k^m \times_k U$  defined by the same set of homogeneous equations

$$\{f_\lambda(X_0, \dots, X_m) = 0; \lambda \in \Lambda\},$$

and let  $\tilde{\phi}_N: \tilde{X}_N \rightarrow U$  be the projection onto  $U$ . The generic fibre of  $\tilde{\phi}_N$ , which coincides with  $\tilde{C}_N$ , is geometrically regular. Applying the generic flatness theorem [3, IV (6.9.1)] and the Jacobian criterion of smoothness, we may assume, by shrinking  $U$  to a smaller nonempty open set if need be, that  $\tilde{\phi}_N$  is smooth over  $U$ . Now, look at the morphism  $\phi_N: X_N := X \times_S U \rightarrow U$  obtained from  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  by the base change  $U \rightarrow S$ . Since  $\tilde{C}_N$  is a completion of the generic fibre  $C_N := C \otimes_K K_N$  of  $\phi_N$ , we have a birational  $U$ -mapping  $\psi_N: X_N \rightarrow \tilde{X}_N$  such that  $\phi_N = \tilde{\phi}_N \psi_N$ . Since  $\psi_N$  is everywhere defined on  $C_N$ , we may assume, by replacing  $U$  by a smaller open set if necessary, that  $\psi_N: X_N \rightarrow \tilde{X}_N$  is an open immersion of  $U$ -schemes.

(IV) It now suffices to show that  $X_K$  is a  $K$ -form of  $\mathbf{A}^1$  under the following additional hypotheses:

- (i) There exist a projective smooth morphism  $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow S$  and an open immersion  $\psi: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  such that  $\phi = \tilde{\phi}\psi$ .
- (ii) Every closed fibre of  $\phi$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_k^1$ .

Then, every closed fibre of  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}_k^1$  by virtue of conditions (i) and (ii). Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is faithfully flat and arithmetic genus is invariant under flat deformations [4, Exp. 221, p. 5], [3, III, Section 7], we have the arithmetic genus  $p_a(\tilde{X}_K) = 0$  for the generic fibre  $\tilde{X}_K$  of  $\tilde{\phi}$ , which is a smooth projective curve defined over  $K$ . We shall next show that  $\tilde{X}_K - \psi(X_K)$  has only one point and that point is purely inseparable over  $K$ . Let  $\eta$  be a point on  $\tilde{X}_K - \psi(X_K)$  and let  $T$  be the closure of  $\eta$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then,  $T \subseteq \tilde{X} - \psi(X)$ , the restriction  $\tilde{\phi}_T: T \rightarrow S$  of  $\tilde{\phi}$  onto  $T$  is a dominating morphism, and  $\text{deg } \tilde{\phi}_T = [K(\eta): K]$ . Notice that  $\tilde{\phi}_T$  is a

generically one-to-one morphism because for each closed point  $P$  on  $S$ ,

$$\tilde{\phi}_T^{-1}(P) \subseteq \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(P) - \psi\phi^{-1}(P) = \mathbf{P}_k^1 - \mathbf{A}_k^1 = \{\text{one point}\}.$$

This implies that  $\tilde{\phi}_T$  is a birational morphism if  $p = 0$  and a radical morphism if  $p > 0$ . Thus,  $K(\eta)$  is purely inseparable over  $K$ . If  $\eta'$  is a point of  $\tilde{X}_K - \psi(X_K)$  distinct from  $\eta$ , let  $T'$  be the closure of  $\eta'$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then,  $T' \subseteq \tilde{X} - \psi(X)$  and  $T \neq T'$ . Then, for a general closed point  $P$  on  $S$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(P) - \psi\phi^{-1}(P)$  would have two distinct points, and this is a contradiction. Thus,  $\tilde{X}_K - \psi(X_K)$  has only one point, and this point is purely inseparable over  $K$ . As  $\psi$  is an open immersion, this last fact combined with the fact that  $p_a(\tilde{X}_K) = 0$  tells us in view of 2.1 that  $X_K$  is a  $K$ -form of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ , as desired (cf. [7, 6.7.7]). Q.E.D.

2.3. Now we are able to proceed to the following:

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Notice that  $k$  is assumed to be algebraically closed. Using the same notations as in 2.2 (especially as in step (III)), we know that for a sufficiently large integer  $N$  the generic fibre of  $\phi_N: X_N \rightarrow U$  is  $k(S_N)$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_{k(S_N)}^1$ , where  $k(S_N)$  is the function field of  $S_N$  over  $k$ . Let  $S' := S_N$ . Then,  $S'$  is a regular, integral  $k$ -scheme of finite type and the canonical morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$  is a faithfully flat, finite, radical morphism. Let  $X' := X \times_S S'$  and  $\phi' := \phi \times_S S'$ . Then,  $\phi'$  is a faithfully flat, affine morphism of finite type, the generic fibre of  $\phi'$  is  $k(S')$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_{k(S')}^1$ , and every fibre of  $\phi'$  is geometrically integral. Thus, all conditions of Theorem 1 are present for  $S'$ ,  $X'$ , and  $\phi'$ . Hence  $X'$  is an  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -bundle over  $S'$ . If  $p = 0$ , it is clear that  $X$  is already an  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -bundle over  $S$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 3. Comments and discussions

Various remarks to Theorems 1 and 2 will be given in this section.

3.1. While the affine line  $\mathbf{A}^1$ , and hence the one-dimensional additive group  $\mathbf{G}_a$ , are stable under flat, geometrically integral specializations as shown in the text above, the one-dimensional torus  $\mathbf{G}_m$  may well be specialized into  $\mathbf{G}_a$ , as shown by the following:

*Example.* Let  $k[x, u, t] := (k[t])[X, U]/(U(1 + tX) - 1)$ , which contains the polynomial ring  $k[t]$  in a natural manner. Let

$$\phi: G := \text{Spec}(k[x, u, t]) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 = \text{Spec}(k[t])$$

be the corresponding morphism. The scheme  $G$  is made into an  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -group scheme through the group law defined by

$$(x, u)(x', u') := (x + x' + txx', uu').$$

Here, the fibre above  $(t = 0)$  is  $\mathbf{G}_a$ , and all other closed fibres as well as the generic fibre are isomorphic to  $\mathbf{G}_m$ .

3.2. If in the example of 3.1 the base ring  $k[t]$  is replaced by the one-variable power series ring  $k[[t]]$ , one can see at once that in Theorem 2 the base scheme  $S$  must be assumed to be of finite type over  $k$ .

3.3. A flat specialization of  $\mathbf{A}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is not necessarily isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , as shown by the next.

*Example.* Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and let  $C$  be a smooth affine plane curve of genus  $> 0$  contained as a closed subscheme in  $\mathbf{A}_k^2 := \text{Spec}(k[x, y])$ . Let  $f(x, y) = 0$  be an irreducible equation for  $C$ . Let  $\mathfrak{o} := k[t]_{(t)}$  be the local ring of  $\mathbf{A}_k^1 := \text{Spec}(k[t])$  at  $t = 0$ , let  $K := k(t)$ , and let

$$A := \mathfrak{o}[x, y, z]/(tz - f(x, y)).$$

Let  $X := \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $S := \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o})$ , and let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be the morphism induced by the natural inclusion  $\mathfrak{o} \hookrightarrow A$ . Then,  $\phi$  is a faithfully flat, affine morphism of finite type, the generic fibre  $X_K$  of  $\phi$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_K^2$ , and the closed fibre is  $k$ -isomorphic to  $C \times_k \mathbf{A}_k^1$  which could not be isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$ . (Flatness of  $\phi$  follows from [3, IV (14.3.8)].)

3.4. In the characteristic zero case we have the following, superficially stronger, version of Theorem 2.

*Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero, let  $S$  be a locally factorial, geometrically integral  $k$ -scheme of finite type, and let  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  be a faithfully flat, affine morphism of finite type. Assume that every fibre of  $\phi$  is geometrically integral. Then, the following conditions are equivalent to one another:*

- (i)  $X$  is an  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -bundle over  $S$ .
- (ii)  $X$  is an affine ruled variety over  $S$ .
- (iii) The general fibres of  $\phi$  are  $k$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^1$ .
- (iv) The generic fibre of  $\phi$  is  $k(S)$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_{k(S)}^1$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) follows from Lemma 2.2. (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from Theorem 1.

3.5. In the positive characteristic case there can be a flat fibration of a curve in which every closed fibre is  $\mathbf{A}^1$  and yet the generic fibre is nonisomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^1$ .

*Example.* Let  $A := k[t] \hookrightarrow R := k[t, X, Y]/(Y^p - X - tX^p)$  be the natural inclusion, and  $\phi: X := \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S := \text{Spec}(A)$  be the corresponding morphism, where  $k$  denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . In this example, the generic fibre is a purely inseparable  $k(t)$ -form of  $\mathbf{A}^1$  studied in our joint works [7, Section 6], [8], while all closed fibres are  $k$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^1$ .

3.6. In the notation of Theorem 2, if  $S$  is rational over  $k$ , then  $X$  is a unirational variety over  $k$ . It is an interesting problem to find examples of

unirational, irrational varieties by finding fibrations  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$  as in Theorem 2. This is partially done in [10] by making use of quasielliptic fibrations.

3.7. For a fibration  $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ , the property that a fibre is geometrically integral is not preserved under generalizations, as shown by the following:

*Example.* Let  $k$  be a field, and let

$$A := k[X, Y] \quad R := A[T, U]/(X^2T - YU^2 - U - Y)$$

be the natural inclusion mapping. For the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$ ,  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong k[T]$ , while for a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  of height 1 with  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_A R \cong (A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})[T, U]/(YU^2 + U + Y),$$

which is not geometrically integral over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

3.8. A very recent announcement of results [12] by Bass, Connell, and Wright is noteworthy. Their main result asserts that every  $A^n$ -bundle over an affine scheme in fact arises from a vector bundle over the same base. As a consequence, the  $A^1$ -bundle  $X$  in our Theorem 1 above may now be considered a line bundle over  $S$ , provided  $S$  is affine.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. JU. VEĚSEĚLER AND I. V. DOLGAĈĚV, *Unipotent group schemes over integral rings*, Math. USSR-Izvestija, vol. 8 (1974), pp. 761–800 (= English translation of Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., Tom 38 (1974), no. 4, pp. 757–799).
2. C. CHEVALLEY, *Introduction to the theory of algebraic functions of one variable*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., New York 1951.
3. A. GROTHENDIECK ET J. DIEUDONNĚ, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., France, vols. 8, 11, 24, 28, 32, Paris.
4. A. GROTHENDIECK, *Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique*—extraits du Séminaire Bourbaki, 1957–62, Secrétariat Mathématique, Paris.
5. ———, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique (SGA 2)*, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1968.
6. ———, *Local cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 41, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
7. T. KAMBAYASHI, M. MIYANISHI, AND M. TAKEUCHI, *Unipotent algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 414, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
8. T. KAMBAYASHI AND M. MIYANISHI, *On forms of the affine line over a field*, Lectures in Mathematics, Kyoto University, vol. 10, Kinokuniya Book-Store, Ltd., Tokyo, 1977.
9. M. MARUYAMA, *On classification of ruled surfaces*, Lectures in Mathematics, Kyoto University, vol. 3, Kinokuniya Book-Store, Ltd., Tokyo, 1970.
10. M. MIYANISHI, *On unirational quasielliptic surfaces*, to appear.
11. M. NAGATA, *A remark on the unique factorization theorem*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, vol. 9 (1957), pp. 143–145.
12. H. BASS, E. H. CONNELL, AND D. L. WRIGHT, *Locally polynomial algebras are symmetric algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 82 (1976), pp. 719–720.

NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
DE KALB, ILLINOIS  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
TOYONAKA, OSAKA, JAPAN