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## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be the free group freely generated by $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$, and let $G$ be any group. An equation over $G$ is an expression $W=U$ where $W, U \in F * G$. A solution is a tuple of elements of $G$ which, when substituted for the $x_{i}$ 's in $W$ and $U$, make the equation true in $G$. A considerable amount of interest ([1]-[14]) has been shown in solving equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=U \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W \in F$ and $U \in G$. Ju. I. Hmelevskiĭ [7] calls these "equations with right-hand side". If such an equation has a solution in $G$ it shows that $U$ can be represented as a word of the same "form" as $W$. An early result of this type is the following theorem of $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{B}$. Griffiths.

Theorem [6]. If $G$ is a free product of groups $G_{i}, 1 \neq g_{i} \in G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$,

$$
W=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \cdots\left[x_{2 m-1}, x_{2 m}\right]
$$

and $U=g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$, then equation (1) has no solutions over $G$ for $m<n$.
(Here $[x, y]$ denotes the commutator $x^{-1} y^{-1} x y$.)
In the special case that $G$ is a free group, say $G=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots ; \phi\right\rangle$, R. C. Lyndon and M. Newman [10] proved that with $W=x_{1}^{2} \cdots x_{m}^{2}$ and $U=a_{1}^{2} \cdots a_{n}^{2}$ equation (1) has no solutions over $G$ for $m<n$. This was later extended by R. C. Lyndon, T. McDonough and M. Newman [9] to the case in which $W$ and $U$ are products of $k^{\text {th }}$ powers $(k>1)$.

Recently R. C. Lyndon [8] has generalized Griffiths' result to quadratic words (i.e. words in which each $x_{i}$ occurs exactly twice with exponent +1 or -1$)$.

Theorem [8]. If $G$ is a free product of groups $G_{i}, 1 \neq g_{i} \in G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, $W\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ is a quadratic word and $U=g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$, then equation (1) has no

[^0]solutions over $G$ for $m<n$. Furthermore, if $W \in F^{\prime}$ (the commutator subgroup), there are no solutions over $G$ for $m<2 n$.

Since a free group can be viewed as a free product, this gives the result of Lyndon and Newman as a corollary; however, since it requires that $W$ be quadratic, it does not give the result about $k^{\text {th }}$ powers. Using the methods developed in [5], we will obtain a result, in the special case where $G$ is free, which removes the hypothesis that $W$ is quadratic. An extension of this to free products appears to be difficult.

If the set $\left\{x_{i}: x_{i}\right.$ occurs in $\left.W^{ \pm 1}\right\}$ has $n$ elements we write $g(W)=n$. A word $W$ in $F$ is universal if it has every word of $F$ as an endomorphic image. Two words in $F$ are disjoint if they are words in disjoint subsets of the set $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. Our theorem can then be stated as follows.

Theorem. If $W$ is a non-universal word in $F$ and $U$ is a cyclically reduced word in $F$ which is a product of $n$ disjoint words $U=U_{1} \cdots U_{n}$, then equation (1) has no solutions over $F$ for $g(W)<n$. Furthermore, if $W \in F^{\prime}$, there are no solutions over $F$ for $g(W)<2 n$.

Since $G$ is assumed to be free; it is convenient and no less general to let $G=F$. The condition that $W$ be non-universal is clearly necessary but otherwise trivial since, by Corollary 2.3 of [5], the universal words are precisely those words $W$ with g.c.d. $\left(\left|s_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|s_{k}\right|\right)=1$ where the $s_{i}$ 's are the exponent sums of the generators occurring in $W$.

## 2. Lemmas

We will assume that the reader is familiar with the terminology and results of [5]. As in [5] we assume, without loss of generality, that words are cyclic (i.e. written around a circle with the last letter preceding the first) and that subwords are ordinary (linear) words. Upper case letters represent words and subwords and lower case letters represent letters. The symbol " $\equiv$ " denotes identical equality and " $=$ " denotes equality in $F$.

Lemma 1. If $U \equiv U_{1} U_{2} \cdots U_{n}$ is a cyclically reduced disjoint product of the $U_{i}^{\prime}$ 's, then there is an automorphism of $F$ sending $U$ to $U^{\prime} \equiv U_{1}^{\prime} U_{2}^{\prime} \cdots U_{n}^{\prime}$ where each $U_{i}$ cyclically reduces to $U_{i}^{\prime}$.

Proof. If $U_{i} \equiv A^{-1} U_{i}^{\prime} A$ let $\alpha_{i}: x_{j} \rightarrow A x_{j} A^{-1}$ for every generator $x_{j}$ occurring in $U_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}: x_{k} \rightarrow x_{k}$ otherwise. Clearly $U_{i} \alpha_{i}=U_{i}^{\prime}$ and $U_{k} \alpha_{i}=U_{k}$ for $k \neq i$. Thus the automorphism $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{n}$ sends $U$ to $U^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2. If $U \equiv U_{1} U_{2} \cdots U_{n}$ is a disjoint product of cyclically reduced subwords and if $V$ is a non-universal word with $U$ as a c-free image under an endomorphism $\phi$, then $V$ is a disjoint product $V_{1} V_{2} \cdots V_{n}$ where each $V_{i}$ is a cyclically reduced subword of $V$ and $V_{i} \phi \equiv U_{i}$ for each $i$.

Proof. If $n=1$ the result is trivial.

Assuming $n>1$, we suppose first that $x$ is a letter occurring in $V$ which $\phi$ sends to a subword of $U$ containing the last letter, $y$, of some $U_{i}$ and the first letter, $z$, of $U_{i+1}$ (where we take $i+1$ as 1 if $i=n$ ). Thus $x \phi \equiv A y z B$. Since $V$ is non-universal, $x$ occurs again in $V$ as $x$ or $x^{-1}$. But $U$ cannot take the form $L y z M y z R$, since $y$ and $z$ are letters from disjoint subwords of $U$. Therefore $V$ must contain one occurrence each of $x$ and $x^{-1}$ and, thus, $U \equiv L y z M z^{-1} y^{-1} R$. Since $y$ and $z$ are from disjoint subwords, it follows that the $z^{-1}$ is the last letter in $U_{i+1}$. This, however, is a contradiction to the hypothesis that $U_{i+1}$ is cyclically reduced. Thus there is no such letter $x$ in $V$.

It follows that $\phi$ sends each letter $x$ in $V$ to a subword of some $U_{i}$. Thus $V$ is a disjoint product $V_{1} V_{2} \cdots V_{n}$ where $V_{i} \phi=U_{i}$. If any $V_{i}$ were not cyclically reduced, $U_{i}$ would not be cyclically reduced, contrary to hypothesis.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

If $U \equiv U_{1} U_{2} \cdots U_{n}$ is an endomorphic image of $W(\neq 1)$ in $F$, then by Lemma 1 we may assume without loss of generality that each $U_{i}$ is cyclically reduced. By Theorem 2.1 of [5] there is a word $V \in D_{W}$ having $U$ as a $c$-free image under some endomorphism $\phi$. It then follows from Lemma 2 that $V$ is a disjoint product, $V_{1} V_{2} \cdots V_{n}$, of cyclically reduced subwords with $V_{i} \phi=U_{i}$ for each $i$. Using Lemmas 3.3 and 5.2 of [5] we can assume without loss of generality that $W$ is irredundant. Thus by Proposition 3.8 of [5] it follows that $\Delta(W) \geq \Delta(V)$. A simple induction on $n$ using Lemma 5.8 of [5] then shows that $\Delta(V)=\left(\sum_{i} \Delta\left(V_{i}\right)\right)+(n-1)$. Thus we have

$$
g(W)-c(W)-\Delta(W) \geq \Delta(V)=\left(\sum_{i} \Delta\left(V_{i}\right)\right)+(n-1),
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(W) \geq\left(\sum_{i} \Delta\left(V_{i}\right)\right)+(n-1)+c(W) . \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since each $V_{i}$ is cyclically reduced we have $\Delta\left(V_{i}\right) \geq 0$. We also have $c(W) \geq 1$. Using these inequalities in $\left(^{*}\right)$ then yields $g(W) \geq n$.

If $W \in F^{\prime}$, it follows that $V \in F^{\prime}$ and, thus, that the exponent sum on each generator occurring in $V$ is zero. But this is impossible unless, for each $i$, $g\left(V_{i}\right) \geq 2$. If $\Delta\left(V_{i}\right)=0$ for some $i$, then $g\left(V_{i}\right)=c\left(V_{i}\right)$; thus all components of $\Gamma\left(V_{i}\right)$ are of order two. Since $V \in D_{W}$ it is irredundant and therefore $V_{i}$ can have at most one component of order two by Lemma 3.3 of [5]. Thus $c\left(V_{i}\right)=1$ and $g\left(V_{i}\right)=1$; a contradiction. It follows that $\Delta\left(V_{i}\right)>0$ for each $i$. Using this in $\left(^{*}\right)$ yields $g(W) \geq 2 n$.
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