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## 1. Introduction

(1.1) Background. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field and let $V$ be a finite-dimensional vector space over $k$. Let $G$ be a reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$.

Let $k[V]$ be the algebra of regular functions on $V$. The group $G$ acts on $k[V]$ as follows:

$$
(g \cdot f)(v)=f\left(g^{-1} v\right)
$$

for all $f \in k[V], g \in G$, and $v \in V$. The ring of $G$-invariant functions on $V$ is

$$
k[V]^{G}=\{f \in k[V]: g \cdot f=f \quad \text { for all } g \in G\} .
$$

We define an algebraic subvariety $X$ of $V$ by

$$
X=\left\{v \in V: f(v)=0 \text { for each non-constant homogeneous } f \in k[V]^{G}\right\}
$$

A point in $V$, not in $X$, is called semi-stable.
In order to describe the points in $X$, it is useful to introduce the concept of an orbit. Let $v$ be in $V$. The orbit of $v$ with respect to the action of $G$ is

$$
G \cdot v=\{g \cdot v: g \in G\}
$$

The Zariski-closure of $G \cdot v$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{cl}(G \cdot v)$.
Theorem. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$. Let $v \in V$. The following statements are equivalent:
(a) $v$ is not semi-stable;
(b) $0 \in \mathrm{cl}(G \cdot v)$;
(c) there is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda$ of $G$ so that $\lambda(\alpha) \cdot v \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

The notation in (c) will be explained in (2.1). The equivalence of $(a)$, $(b)$, and (c) is proved in [10; Sections 1 and 2] taking into account [5].
(1.2) Summary of results. The purpose of this paper is to prove some results aimed at explicitly describing the set $X$. The basic theorem is proved in (2.2). As consequences of this theorem, the following corollaries are proved in (2.3) and (3.2).
(1) Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$ and let $B=T U$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$. Let $v_{0}$ be a point in $V$ which is not semistable. Then there is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda_{0}: G_{m} \rightarrow B$ such that $\lambda_{0}(\alpha) v_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
(2) Let $G$ and $B$ be as in (1). There exist subspaces $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r}$ of $V$ such that the following statements hold:
(a) each $W_{i}$ is $B$-invariant;
(b) $X=G \cdot W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup G \cdot W_{r}$;
(c) each $G \cdot W_{i}$ is closed.
(3) Let $G$ be as in (1) and suppose that $G$ acts irreducibly on $V$. Let $v_{0} \in V$ be a point which is not semi-stable. Then the highest weight vector of $G$ is in $\mathrm{cl}\left(G \cdot v_{0}\right)$.
(1.3) Existence of semi-stable points. Semi-stable points exist and form an open set if $\operatorname{dim} V>\operatorname{dim} G$ and $G$ is semisimple. This fact follows from several well-known theorems but does not seem to have been stated before. We give the proof now.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a connected semisimple algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$. Let

$$
m=\max \{\operatorname{dim}(G \cdot v): v \in V\}
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} V>m$, then $V-X$ is not empty.
Proof. Let $k(V)$ be the field of rational functions on $V$. The group $G$ acts on $k(V)$ via

$$
(g \cdot f)(v)=f\left(g^{-1} v\right)
$$

for all $f \in k(V), g \in G$, and $v \in V$. Let

$$
k(V)^{G}=\{f \in k(V): g \cdot f=f \text { for all } g \in G\}
$$

We begin by showing that $k(V)^{G}$ is the quotient field of $k[V]^{G}$. Let $f=a / b$ be in $k(V)^{G}$ where $a, b \in k[V]$. Let $a=p_{1} \cdots p_{r}$ and $b=q_{1} \cdots q_{s}$ be the factorizations of $a$ and $b$ into prime elements where we shall assume $a$ and $b$ have no common factors. There is a finite-dimensional subspace $E$ of $k[V]$ which is $G$-invariant and contains each $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}[6 ;$ Proposition, p. 62]. For $h \in k[V]$, let

$$
\langle h\rangle=\{c h: c \in k\} .
$$

Now since $g \cdot f=f$, we see that $(g b) a=(g a) b$. Since $a$ and $b$ have no common factors, each $g p_{i}$ is a multiple of some $p_{j}$. Thus $G$ permutes $\left\langle p_{1}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle p_{r}\right\rangle$. Since $G$ is connected, $G\left\langle p_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle p_{i}\right\rangle$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$. Hence, there are constants $c_{g} \in k$ satisfying

$$
g \cdot p_{i}=c_{g} p_{i} \quad \text { for all } g \in G
$$

The map $g \rightarrow c_{g}$ is a character of $G$. But $G$ is semisimple so each character is trivial. Therefore, $g \cdot p_{i}=p_{i}$ for all $g \in G$ and $G$ fixes $a$. It follows that $G$ fixes $b$.

Next, let $m=\max \{\operatorname{dim} G \cdot v: v \in V\}$. According to a theorem of M. Rosenlicht [8; pp. 406-407], $\operatorname{dim} k(V)^{G}=\operatorname{dim} V-m$. If $\operatorname{dim} V>m$, then $\operatorname{dim} k(V)^{G}>0$. By what was proved above, there are non-constant functions in $k[V]^{G}$ and, so, $X \neq V$.

## 2. The theorem and its corollaries

(2.1) Preliminaries. We begin this section by reçalling some notation and definitions along with some of the concepts in [7].
(1) A one-parameter subgroup $\lambda$ of an algebraic group $G$ is a homomorphism $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow G$ (where $G_{m}$ is the multiplicative group $k^{*}=k-\{0\}$ ).

Let $f: G_{m} \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. If $f$ extends to a morphism $f_{1}: G_{a} \rightarrow X$, then $y=f_{1}(0)$ is called the specialization of $f(\alpha)$ as $\alpha$ specializes to 0 . We shall denote this by $f(\alpha) \rightarrow y$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
(2) Let $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow G L(V)$ be a one-parameter subgroup. There is a basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $V$ and integers $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ so that

$$
\lambda(\alpha) v_{i}=\alpha^{e_{i}} v_{i}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n[6 ; 16.1]$. Let

$$
V\left(e_{i}\right)=\left\{v \in V: \lambda(\alpha) v=\alpha^{e_{i}} v\right\} .
$$

Next, we define a subspace $W(\lambda)$ of $V$ by

$$
W(\lambda)=\{v \in V: \lambda(\alpha) v \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0\} .
$$

Then it is easily verified that $W(\lambda)$ is the direct sum of those subspaces $V\left(e_{i}\right)$ where $e_{i}>0$.
(3) [10; Lemma 3.1]. Let $G$ be a reductive algebraic group and let $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow G$ be a one-parameter subgroup of $G$. There is a unique algebraic subgroup $P(\lambda)$ in $G$ such that $p$ is in $P(\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda(\alpha) p \lambda\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$ has a specialization in $G$ when $\alpha$ specializes to 0 . Moreover, $P(\lambda)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G$.

For $g \in G$, let $g \lambda g^{-1}$ denote the one-parameter subgroup of $G$ defined by

$$
\alpha \rightarrow g \lambda(\alpha) g^{-1}
$$

It is not hard to check that $P\left(g \lambda g^{-1}\right)=g P(\lambda) g^{-1}$.
(4) Let $G$ be a reductive algebraic group and let $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ be a representation of $G$. Let $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow G$ be a one-parameter subgroup of $G$. Let $W(\lambda)$ and $P(\lambda)$ be as (2) and (3). Then $P(\lambda) \cdot W(\lambda) \subset W(\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $p \in P(\lambda)$ and $v \in W(\lambda)$. Then

$$
\lambda(\alpha) p v=\lambda(\alpha) p \lambda\left(\alpha^{-1}\right) \lambda(\alpha) v \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0
$$

(2.2) Theorem. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$. Let $B=T U$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$ and let $W(T)=N(T) / T$ be the Weyl group of $T$. Let $v_{0}$ be a point in $V$ which is not semi-stable. There is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow T$ such that the following statements hold:
(a) $B \subset P(\lambda)$, i.e., if $\mu$ is a root of $B$ relative to $T$, then $\langle\mu, \lambda\rangle \geq 0$;
(b) $B \cdot W(\lambda) \subset W(\lambda)$;
(c) there are elements $u \in U, s T \in W(T)$ such that $v_{0} \in u s \cdot W(\lambda)$.

Proof. According to statement (c) of the theorem in 1.1, there is a oneparameter subgroup $\lambda_{0}$ of $G$ such that $v_{0} \in W\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$. Let $B_{0}$ be a Borel subgroup in $P\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ such that each $\lambda_{0}(\alpha)$ is in $B_{0}$. There is an element $g \in G$ such that $B=g B_{0} g^{-1}$ and an element $b \in B$ so that

$$
b\left(g \lambda_{0}(\alpha) g^{-1}\right) b^{-1} \in T
$$

for all $\alpha \in G_{m}$. Let $\lambda=(b g) \lambda_{0}(b g)^{-1}$.
To prove statement (a), we use preliminary (3) above to see that

$$
P(\lambda)=(b g) P\left(\lambda_{0}\right)(b g)^{-1} \supset(b g) B_{0}(b g)^{-1}=B
$$

Also, we recall that there is an isomorphism $\varepsilon_{\mu}$ from $G_{a}$ into $G$ such that for all $t \in T, x \in G_{a}$, we have

$$
t \varepsilon_{\mu}(x) t^{-1}=\varepsilon_{\mu}(\mu(t) x) \quad[6 ; \text { Theorem, p. 161] }
$$

Hence,

$$
\lambda(\alpha) \varepsilon_{\mu}(x) \lambda\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)=\varepsilon_{\mu}\left(\alpha^{e} x\right)
$$

where, by definition, $e=\langle\mu, \lambda\rangle$. We now apply (3) again to see that $\langle\mu, \lambda\rangle \geq 0$.
Statement (b) follows from (a) and preliminary (4). To prove (c), we first note that $W(\lambda)=b g W\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ so that $v_{0} \in G \cdot W(\lambda)$. Now, according to the Bruhat decomposition of $G$, we have $G=\cup U s B$ where $s T$ ranges over all the distinct cosets of the Weyl group $W(T)=N(T) / T$. Hence,

$$
G \cdot W(\lambda)=\cup U s B \cdot W(\lambda)=\cup U s \cdot W(\lambda)
$$

according to $(b)$. This proves (c).
(2.3) Consequences. Throughout this section, we shall denote by $G$ a connected reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$ and by $B=T U$ a given Borel subgroup of $G$.

Corollary 1. Let $v_{0}$ be a point in $V$ which is not semistable. There is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda_{0}: G_{m} \rightarrow B$ such that $\lambda_{0}(\alpha) v_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. According to (2.2), there is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow T$ and elements $u \in U, s T \in W(T)$ such that $v_{0} \in u s \cdot W(\lambda)$. Let

$$
\lambda_{0}(\alpha)=(u s) \lambda(\alpha)(u s)^{-1}
$$

for all $\alpha \in G_{m}$. Then $\lambda_{0}$ is a one-parameter subgroup of $B$ since

$$
\lambda_{0}(\alpha)=(u s) \lambda(\alpha)(u s)^{-1} \subset u T u^{-1} \subset B
$$

Furthermore, $\lambda_{0}(\alpha) v_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. For if $v_{0}=u s \cdot w$ with $w \in W(\lambda)$, then

$$
\lambda_{0}(\alpha) v_{0}=u s \lambda(\alpha) s^{-1} u^{-1} u s w=u s \lambda(\alpha) w .
$$

Lemma. Let $X$ be a closed subset of $V$ and let $P$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$. If $P \cdot X$ is closed, then $G \cdot X$ is closed.

Proof. Let $P$ act on the right on $G \times V$ by $(g, v) \cdot p=(g p, v)$. The quotient variety $(G \times V) / P$ exists and is $(G / P) \times V[4 ; 6.6$, Corollary]. Let

$$
\pi: G \times V \rightarrow(G / P) \times V
$$

be the quotient morphism. Then $\pi$ is open. Let

$$
A=\left\{(g, v) \in G \times V: g^{-1} v \in P \cdot X\right\}
$$

Since $A$ is the inverse image of $P \cdot X$ under the morphism $G \times V \rightarrow V$ defined by $(g, v) \rightarrow g^{-1} v$, we see that $A$ is closed. It is easily verified that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A))=A$ and, so, $\pi(A)$ is closed in $(G / P) \times V$ (since $\pi$ is open). Now $G / P$ is complete so the image $G \cdot X$ of $\pi(A)$ under the projection map $(G / P) \times V \rightarrow V$ is closed in $V$.

Note. The proof above is a slight extension of one in [3; Lemma 6.3]. A short "transcendental" proof can be given when $K=\mathbf{C}$. For then, $G=K P$ where $K$ is compact [11; Theorem 1, p. 102] and, so, $G \cdot X=K \cdot(P \cdot X))$. But $K \cdot(P \cdot X)$ is closed since compact transformation groups send closed sets to closed sets.

Corollary 2. Let $X$ be the set of points in $V$ which are not semi-stable. There are one-parameter subgroups $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ of $T$ such that the following statements hold:
(a) $B \subset P\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ and $B \cdot W\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \subset W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$;
(b) each $G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ is closed;
(c) $X=G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$ and this is the unique decomposition of $X$ into irreducible components unless there exist $i, j, i \neq j, s T \in W(T)$ such that $W\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \subset s \cdot W\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$.

Proof. Let $T$ have weights $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}$ on $V$ and let

$$
V(\chi)=\{v \in V: t v=\chi(t) v \quad \text { for all } t \in T\} .
$$

Next let $\lambda$ be a one-parameter subgroup of $T$. Let $\chi$ be one of the weights above and put $e=\langle\chi, \lambda\rangle$. Then $\lambda(\alpha) v=\alpha^{e} v$ for all $v \in V(\chi)$. Therefore, $V(\chi) \subset W(\lambda)$ if
and only if $e>0$. It follows that there are (finitely many) one-parameter subgroups $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ of $T$ such that (i) $B \subset P\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ and (ii) if $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow T$ is any one-parameter subgroup such that $B \subset P(\lambda)$, then $W(\lambda)=W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ for some $i=1, \ldots, r$.

Statements (a), (b), and (c) follow from the theorem and lemma above, except for the decomposition of $X$.

Let us write $W_{i}=W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Now suppose that

$$
G \cdot W_{i} \subset G \cdot W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup G \cdot W_{i-1} \cup G \cdot W_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup G \cdot W_{r}
$$

Since $W_{i}$ is irreducible, there is a $j \neq i$ so that $W_{i} \subset G \cdot W_{j}$. Applying the Bruhat decomposition of $G$, we now see that

$$
W_{i} \subset \cup U s B \cdot W_{j}=\cup U s W_{j} .
$$

But $W_{i}$ is $U$-invariant so $W_{i} \subset \cup s W_{j}$. Since $W_{i}$ is irreducible, we obtain the desired result that $W_{i} \subset s \cdot W_{j}$ for some $s T \in W(T)$.

Corollary 3. Suppose that there is an element sT in the Weyl group of $G$ so that $s \chi=-\chi$ for all weights $\chi$ of $T$. Let $X$ be the set of points in $V$ which are not semi-stable. Then $\operatorname{dim} X \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V+\operatorname{dim} U$.

Proof. Let us use the notation for $V(\chi)$ introduced in the proof of Corollary 2. Let $\lambda_{i}: G_{m} \rightarrow T$ be as in Corollary 2. If $\chi$ is a weight of $T$ on $V$ and if $V(\chi) \subset W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$, then $V(-\chi) \cap W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\{0\}$. Since $s \cdot V(\chi)=V(-\chi)$, we have $\operatorname{dim} V(\chi)=\operatorname{dim} V(-\chi)$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} W\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V$. The statement about $\operatorname{dim} X$ now follows from the Bruhat decomposition of $G$ and the fact that $B \cdot W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ is contained in $W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$. For $G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\cup U s B \cdot W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\cup U s W\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$.

Notes. Let $G$ be a simple algebraic group, not of type $A_{n}, D_{n}$ ( $n$ odd), or $E_{6}$. Then there is an element $s T$ in the Weyl group of $G$ satisfying $s \chi=-\chi$ for all weights $\chi$ of $T$ [11; p. 226].
(2.4) Properly stable points. Let $G$ be a reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$. A point $v$ in $V$ is called properly stable if the orbit $G \cdot v$ is closed and has dimension equal to that of $G$. A point $v$ in $V$ is not properly stable if and only if there is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda: G_{\boldsymbol{m}} \rightarrow G$ such that $\lambda(\alpha) \cdot v$ has a specialization in $V$ as $\alpha$ specializes to 0 [10; Section 2].

In case char $k=0$, one may prove the following result analogous to the Theorem of (1.3): Let $G$ be a connected semsimple algebraic group and let $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $G$. There is an integer $M$ so that if $\operatorname{dim} V>M$, then the set of properly stable points in $V$ contains a non-empty open set [12] and [1]-the first paper holds in any characteristic.

Let us assume char $k \geq 0$ and let $\lambda: G_{\boldsymbol{m}} \rightarrow G$ be a one-parameter subgroup of G. Let

$$
W^{\prime}(\lambda)=\{v \in V: \lambda(\alpha) \cdot v \text { has a specialization in } V \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0\} .
$$

Then we may show that $P(\lambda) \cdot W^{\prime}(\lambda) \subset W^{\prime}(\lambda)$ as in $(2.1)$ and prove the following theorem and corollaries just as in (2.2) and (2.3).

Theorem. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic subgroup of GL(V). Let $B=T U$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$ and let $W(T)=N(T) / T$ be the Weyl group of $T$. Let $v_{0}$ be a point in $V$ which is not properly stable. There is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow T$ such that the following statements hold:
(a) $B \subset P(\lambda)$, i.e., if $\mu$ is a root of $B$ relative to $T$, then $\langle\mu, \lambda\rangle \geq 0$;
(b) $B \cdot W^{\prime}(\lambda) \subset W^{\prime}(\lambda)$;
(c) there are elements $u \in U, s T \in W(T)$ such that $v_{0} \in u s \cdot W^{\prime}(\lambda)$.

Corollary 1. There is a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda_{0}: G_{m} \rightarrow B$ such that $v_{0} \in W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$.

Corollary 2. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the set of points in $V$ which are not properly stable. There are one-parameter subgroups $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ of $T$ such that the following statements hold:
(a) $B \subset P\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ and $B \cdot W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \subset W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$;
(b) each $G \cdot W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ is closed;
(c) $X^{\prime}=G \cdot W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup G \cdot W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$ and this is the unique decomposition of $X^{\prime}$ into irreducible components unless there exist $i, j, i \neq j, s T \in W(T)$ such that $W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \subset s \cdot W^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$.

## 3. Borel subgroups and semi-stable points

(3.1) Theorem. Let B be a connected solvable algebraic group acting on an affine variety $X$. Let $x \in X$ and $Z=\operatorname{cl}(B \cdot x)$. Then either $B \cdot x$ is closed or there is an $f \in k[Z]$ such that

$$
Z-B \cdot x=\{z \in Z: f(z)=0\}
$$

In the latter case, there is an element $c$ in $k^{*}$ so that the mapping $\chi: B \rightarrow k$ given by $\chi(b)=c f(b \cdot x)$ is a character of $B$.

Proof. The group $B$ operates on $k[Z]$ via $(b \cdot f)(z)=f\left(b^{-1} \cdot z\right)$ for all $f \in k[Z], z \in Z$, and $b \in B$. Let $I$ be the ideal in $k[Z]$ vanishing on $Z-B \cdot x$. Then $I$ is $B$-invariant, i.e., $b \cdot I \subset I$ for all $b \in B$. Suppose now that $I \neq\{0\}$ and let $f$ be any non-zero element in $I$. There is a finite-dimensional $B$-invariant subspace $E \subset I$ such that $f \in E$ [6; Proposition, p. 62]. By the Lie-Kolchin theorem, there is a non-zero common eigenvector $h$ in $E$ for $B[6 ; 17.6$, p. 113]. Let $b \cdot h=c_{b} h$. Then

$$
h\left(b^{-1} \cdot x\right)=(b \cdot h)(x)=c_{b} h(x)
$$

If $h(x)=0$, then $h=0$ on $B \cdot x$ and $h=0$. Hence, $h(x) \neq 0$ and $h$ is non-zero on $B \cdot x$. Since $h$ is in $I, h$ is 0 on $Z-B \cdot x$.

The mapping $b \rightarrow h(b \cdot x)$ is non-zero on $B$ and, so is a character of $B$ if $h(e \cdot x)=1$ [9; Proposition 3, p. 29]. Modifying $h$ by a constant, we obtain the theorem.

Corollary (Kostant, Rosenlicht). Let $U$ be a unipotent group acting on an affine variety $X$. For every $x \in X$, the orbit $U \cdot x$ is closed.

Proof. The corollary follows at once from the theorem since the only character of $U$ is trivial.

Notes. The corollary above was first proved by B. Kostant. A shorter proof was found by M. Rosenlicht. Another proof was found by A. Borel [3; Theorem 12.1]. A modification of Borel's proof gives the theorem above.
(3.2) Theorem. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$ and let $B=T U$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$. Suppose that 0 is the only point in $V$ fixed by G. Let $v_{0}$ be a non-zero vector in $V$ which is not semi-stable. There is a non-zero vector $v \in \mathrm{cl}\left(B \cdot v_{0}\right)$ such that $U \cdot v=v$.

Proof. According to Corollary 1 in Section 2.3, the point 0 is in $\mathrm{cl}\left(B \cdot v_{0}\right)$. Let $w \in \operatorname{cl}\left(B \cdot v_{0}\right)$ be chosen so that $B \cdot w$ has the smallest possible positive dimension. Then $\mathrm{cl}(B \cdot w)-B \cdot w$ consists of points fixed by $B$. Since $G / B$ is complete, each of these points is fixed by $G$. However, by our assumption, then, $\mathrm{cl}(B \cdot w)-B \cdot w$ is $\{0\}$. The theorem in (3.1) now implies that $\operatorname{dim}(B \cdot w)=1$.

Now $U$ must fix $w$. For otherwise, $U \cdot w$ is a closed subset (by the corollary above) of $B \cdot w$ having dimension 1 . This would imply that $U \cdot w=B \cdot w$ and $B \cdot w$ is closed.

Corollary. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$ which acts irreducibly on V. Let B be a Borel subgroup of G. Let $v_{0}$ be a non-zero vector in $V$ which is not semi-stable. Then the highest weight vector of $G$ on $V$ (relative to $B$ ) is $\mathrm{cl}\left(G \cdot v_{0}\right)$.

## 4. Examples

(4.1) The adjoint representation. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic group and let $L(G)$ denote the Lie algebra of $G$. Then $G$ acts on $L(G)$ via the adjoint representation.

Let $B=T \cdot U$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$. Let $L(T), L(U)$, and $L(B)$ be the Lie algebras of $T, U$, and $B$, respectively. We shall denote the roots of $T$ acting on $L(U)$ by $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$. Then there is a basis $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $L(U)$ so that $t \cdot e_{\alpha}=\alpha(t) e_{\alpha}$ for all $t \in T$.

Next, let $W$ be a subspace of $L(G)$ which is $B$-invariant. If $W$ contains $e_{-\beta}$ (where $e_{\beta} \in L(U)$ ), then $w=\left[e_{\beta}, e_{-\beta}\right]$ is a non-zero element in $W$ which is fixed by $T$.

Let $\lambda: G_{m} \rightarrow T$ be a one-parameter subgroup of $T$ such that $W(\lambda)$ is $B$ invariant. Then $W(\lambda) \subset L(U)$ by the argument just given. Also, there is a oneparameter subgroup $\lambda$ of $T$ so that $\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle>0$ if $\alpha>0$ [4; Theorem, p. 317]. For this one-parameter subgroup, we have $W(\lambda)=L(U)$ and $P(\lambda)=B$.

Finally, let $X$ be the set of points in $L(G)$ which are not semi-stable. According to the remarks above and Corollary 2 in (2.3), we have

$$
X=G \cdot L(U)
$$

It is known that $G \cdot L(U)$ is precisely the set of nilpotent elements in $L(G)$. Hence, we obtain a result of B. Kostant: a point $v$ in $L(G)$ is not semi-stable if and only if $v$ is nilpotent.
(4.2) Certain actions of $S L_{n}$. Let $S L_{n}$ be the group of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $k$ and having determinant 1 . Let

$$
T=\left\{t=\left(t_{i j}\right) \in S L_{n}: t_{i j}=0 \quad \text { for } i \neq j\right\} .
$$

We shall denote a typical matrix $t=\left(t_{i j}\right)$ in $T$ by $t=\left[t_{11}, \ldots, t_{n n}\right]$. Let us define characters $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}$ of $T$ by

$$
\chi_{i}\left[t_{11}, \ldots, t_{n n}\right]=t_{i i} \quad \text { for each } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

(so $\chi_{1}+\cdots+\chi_{n}=0$ ). Let

$$
B=\left\{\left(b_{i j}\right) \in S L_{n}: b_{i j}=0 \quad \text { for } i>j\right\}
$$

Then $B$ is a Borel subgroup with maximal torus T. A simple system of roots for $T$ on $B$ is $\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}\right\}$ where $\mu_{i}=\chi_{i}-\chi_{i+1}$. If $\lambda$ is a one-parameter subgroup of $T$, then there are integers $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ so that

$$
\lambda(\alpha)=\left[\alpha^{u_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha^{u_{n}}\right]
$$

and $u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n}=0$. The subgroup $B$ is contained in $P(\lambda)$ if and only if each $\langle\mu, \lambda\rangle \geq 0$, that is, if and only if

$$
u_{i} \geq u_{i+1} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-2 \text { and } 2 u_{n-1}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n-2} \geq 0
$$

The group $S L_{n}$ acts on the vector space $k^{n}$ of all $n \times 1$ column matrices in the natural way, namely, $g \cdot v=g v$ for all $g \in S L_{n}, v \in k^{n}$. This action gives rise to an action on $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, the algebra of regular functions on $k^{n}$, via

$$
(g \cdot f)(v)=f\left(g^{-1} \cdot v\right) \quad \text { for all } g \in S L_{n}, v \in k^{n}, f \in k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

Let $S_{m}$ be the vector space consisting of all those polynomials in $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ which are homogeneous of degree $m$. Then $S_{m}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ which is stable under the action of $S L_{n}$. We shall study the variety $X$ in $S_{m}$.

Let $v=x_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{e_{n}}, e_{1}+\cdots+e_{n}=m$, be in $S_{m}$ and let

$$
\lambda(\alpha)=\left[\alpha^{u_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha^{u_{n}}\right]
$$

be a one-parameter subgroup of $T$. Then

$$
\lambda(\alpha) \cdot v=\alpha^{e} v \quad \text { where } e=u_{1}\left(e_{n}-e_{1}\right)+\cdots+u_{n-1}\left(e_{n}-e_{n-1}\right)
$$

To summarize, we have seen that:
(1) a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda$ of $T$ may be identified with a point $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)$ where each $u_{i}$ is an integer;
(2) $B \subset P(\lambda)$ if and only if
$u_{1}-u_{2} \geq 0, \ldots, u_{n-2}-u_{n-1} \geq 0, \quad$ and $2 u_{n-1}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n-2} \geq 0 ;$
(3) $x_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{e_{n}} \in W(\lambda)$ if and only if $u_{1}\left(e_{n}-e_{1}\right)+\cdots+u_{n-1}\left(e_{n}-e_{n-1}\right)>0$.

We turn now to the cases $n=2$ and $n=3$.
$S L_{2}$. Let us put $\lambda(\alpha)=\left[\alpha^{u}, \alpha^{-u}\right]$ where we may assume that $u>0$ (by (2)). Then, by (3), $x_{1}^{e} x_{2}^{m-e}$ is in $W(\lambda)$ if and only if $u(m-2 e)>0$, i.e., $e<m / 2$.

If $m=2 s$, then $W(\lambda)$ is spanned by $x_{2}^{m}, x_{1} x_{2}^{m-1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{s-1} x_{2}^{m-s+1}$. In each of these monomials, the multiplicity of $x_{2}$ is $\geq s+1$. Hence, $G \cdot W(\lambda)$ consists of all those polynomials in $S_{m}$ having a linear factor whose multiplicity is $\geq \mathrm{s}+1$.

If $m=2 s+1$, we arrive at a conclusion just like the one just given: $G \cdot W(\lambda)$ consists of all those polynomials in $S_{m}$ having a linear factor whose multiplicity is $\geq s+1$.

In both cases above, $X$ has only one component and $P(\lambda)=B$.
$S L_{3}$. Let us change notation here and write $u, t$ instead of $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $a, b, c$ instead of $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$. According to (2) and (3) above, we should study pairs $u, t$ so that $u \geq t$ and $u+2 t \geq 0$. (If $\lambda$ is to be non-trivial, we should take $u>0$.) Then $x_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{b} x_{3}^{c}$ is in $W(\lambda)$ if and only if $u(c-a)+t(c-b)>0$. Let us distinguish two types of one-parameter subgroups of $T$, namely:
(I) $u>0, u \geq t \geq 0$;
(II) $u>0, t \leq 0, u+2 t \geq 0$.

The chart below summarizes the conditions $u, t$ must satisfy for $x_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{b} x_{3}^{c}$ to be in $W(\lambda)$.

I II
$a=b=c \quad$ impossible
$a=b \neq c \quad \begin{cases}c>a & \text { all } u, t \\ c<a & \text { impossible }\end{cases}$
$a=c \neq b \quad \begin{cases}c>b & \text { all } t \neq 0 \\ c<b & \text { impossible }\end{cases}$
$a \neq b=c \quad \begin{cases}c>a & \text { all } u, t \\ c<a & \text { impossible }\end{cases}$
$a>b>c \quad$ impossible
$a>c>b \quad t / u>(a-c) /(c-b)$
$b>a>c \quad$ impossible
$b>c>a \quad t / u<(c-a) /(b-c)$
impossible
$\begin{cases}c>a & \text { all } u, t \\ c<a & \text { impossible }\end{cases}$
$\begin{cases}c>b & \text { impossible } \\ c<b & \text { all } t \neq 0\end{cases}$
$\begin{cases}c>a & \text { all } u, t\end{cases}$
$\mid c<a \quad$ impossible
impossible
impossible
$-t / u>(a-c) /(b-c)$
all $u, t$
$c>b>a \quad$ all $u, t$
$c>a>b \quad$ all $u, t$
all $u, t$
$-t / u<(c-a) /(c-b)$

To illustrate how this chart may be used, let us look at the case $m=8$. Using Corollary 2c, (2.3), one may show that

$$
X=G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cup G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \cup G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{3}\right) \cup G \cdot W\left(\lambda_{4}\right)
$$

is the unique decomposition of $X$ into irreducible components where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1} \text { is of type I with } 0<t / u<1 / 6 \\
& \lambda_{2} \text { is of type I with } 2 / 3<t / u<1 \\
& \lambda_{3} \text { is of type II with } 1 / 4<-t / u<1 / 3 \\
& \lambda_{4} \text { is of type II with } 1 / 3<-t / u<1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

In each case, $P\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=B$.
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